

Shape Variables for the Doubly-Charmed B Decays

Ming Lu[†]

*Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University,
Pittsburgh, PA 15213*

Abstract

We propose to use the hadronic shape variables to test the assumption of “local duality” in the doubly-charmed B decays, $B \rightarrow X_{c\bar{c}s}$. We compute these shape variables at leading order in parton model, and discuss how they can be compared with the experimental values.

June, 1996

[†] Email address: lu@fermi.phys.cmu.edu

Recently there has been a lot of progress in understanding inclusive B decays using the operator product expansion (OPE) and the heavy quark effective theory [1]. A better understanding of inclusive B decays will enable us to calculate the semileptonic and nonleptonic decay rates with less theoretical uncertainties, and therefore help us to get a more precise determination of the CKM matrix elements $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$. In addition, the B semileptonic and nonleptonic decay rates also determine the semileptonic branching fraction of B decays¹.

The decay rates of B into inclusive semileptonic and nonleptonic final states have an OPE, of which the leading order reproduces the parton model result. However, the validity of the OPE is different for semileptonic and nonleptonic decays. For inclusive semileptonic B decays ($b \rightarrow c\ell\bar{\nu}$ at quark level), the decay rate (and the differential spectra) can be expressed as an integral over some kinematic variable. In the complex plane of this kinematic variable, the only singularity is the physical cut on the real axis. It is possible to deform the integration contour for the decay rate (and the differential spectra) of this kinematic variable away from the physical cut in the complex plane. Along the deformed contour away from the physical cut, one hopes that the resonance effects associated with long distance hadronic production can be avoided, and perturbative QCD can be used reliably to compute the inclusive decay rate (and the differential spectra). This is called “global duality”. It is generally believed to be valid. For inclusive nonleptonic B decays ($b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$, $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ at quark level), however, one has to invoke quark-hadron duality directly in the physical region in order to use the OPE (and perturbative QCD) to compute the decay rates. This assumption is called “local duality”. The validity of local duality relies on the energy release in the B decay being much larger than Λ_{QCD} , in which case there are many available states in the decay products. It is then likely that the decay rate is insensitive to the resonance effects associated with the production of particular hadron state, and one may apply local duality in the physical region to compute the B decay

¹ At one point it was thought that the theoretical value for the semileptonic branching fraction is too high to be consistent with the experimental value [2]. However, given the uncertainties associated with the theoretical prediction, it seems premature to talk about its discrepancy with the experimental value [3].

rate. However, it is not clear whether the B meson is massive enough for local duality to apply. Especially in the case of the doubly-charmed B decays ($b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ at quark level), due to the large mass of the charm quark, the energy release $\Delta = m_b - 2m_c$ divided among three partons in the final state is not much larger than the QCD scale, and the resonance effects may be significant enough to destroy the validity of local duality. Therefore, it seems particularly important to test the validity of local duality for inclusive nonleptonic B decays in as many ways as possible, especially for the doubly-charmed decays. In this letter, we propose to use the shape variables of the doubly-charmed B decays as a test of local duality.

The shape variables were first introduced in [4] for B decays into semileptonic final states and singly-charmed nonleptonic final states. In analogy we can define a similar shape variable for B decays into doubly-charmed final states ($b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$)

$$\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \left[\sum_{i,j} \int dE_i dE_j \frac{|\vec{p}_i| |\vec{p}_j|}{m_b m_b} \frac{d^3\Gamma}{dE_i dE_j d\cos\theta} + \sum_i \int dE_i \frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{m_b^2} \frac{d\Gamma}{dE_i} \delta(1 - \cos\theta) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Γ is the total decay width of B into doubly-charmed final states, m_b is the b-quark mass. The first sum is over any hadronic pair (i, j) for $i \neq j$, with (i, j) and (j, i) counted as different pairs. θ is the angle between the two hadrons in (i, j) pair. As noted in [4], the shape variable defined above is infrared safe, free from divergences due to collinear gluon and soft gluon emissions. Consequently one can use free quarks and gluons to calculate this shape variable, i.e., one can compute the differential decay rate at parton level, and sum over all possible parton pairs (and partons) to get $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$. Notice that for this observable there is no OPE, unlike for the inclusive decay rates. All that is being assumed is local duality.

In the limit $m_b \gg m_c \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, the c and the \bar{c} in the decay products move along different directions with momenta much larger than Λ_{QCD} (in b rest frame) in most

of the phase space. Because $c(\bar{c})$ quark is heavy, the effect of long-distance soft QCD interaction on the direction of $c(\bar{c})$ is suppressed. To leading order in $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_c)$, the direction of $c(\bar{c})$ quark is a physical observable. One can define a shape variable for production of charmed and anti-charmed final states only

$$\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \sum_{c,\bar{c}} \int dE_c dE_{\bar{c}} \frac{|\vec{p}_c| |\vec{p}_{\bar{c}}|}{m_b m_b} \frac{d^3\Gamma}{dE_c dE_{\bar{c}} d\cos\theta} , \quad (2)$$

where the summation is over all possible charmed and anti-charmed hadrons, θ is the angle between the charmed hadron and the anti-charmed hadron. As noted above, one can invoke local duality to calculate this shape variable using the free quark picture, and it should be valid to leading order in $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_c)$. Notice that it is not clear at which order the QCD nonperturbative corrections arise for the parton model result of $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$ [5]. Consequently the parton model result for $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ ought to be more reliable.

The shape variables $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$ and $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ are computed in leading order in parton model for various values of m_c/m_b . The results are shown in fig. 1. (We have taken $m_s = 0$. $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$ for $m_c = 0$, which is for decay $b \rightarrow u\bar{u}d$, was first computed in [4].) Due to kinematics, the shape variables are all strongly peaked at $\cos\theta = -1$, and fall off rapidly as $\cos\theta$ goes from -1 to $+1$. The falloff is more steep for $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$, which implies that the hadrons containing c and \bar{c} respectively in the decay products prefer to travel back to back. It will be interesting to see whether the experimental data reproduce this feature. The δ -function contribution to $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ at $\cos\theta = +1$ is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of m_c/m_b .

Local duality has been used to compute these shape variables. To compare with the experimental measurements, one has to smear both the calculated and the measured shape variables [6]. The particular details of the smearing function are not important, except for its width. Take $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ for example. Consider the contributions to it at hadronic level. There is a significant branching fraction for producing charmonium ($c\bar{c}$) final states. Its contribution to $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ is a δ -function at $\cos\theta = +1$.

This δ -function is not found in the free quark picture, as long-distance nonperturbative QCD effects are essential in forming $c\bar{c}$ bound states. There is also a large branching fraction for $D\bar{D}$ two-body final states, which give a δ -function contribution at $\cos\theta = -1$ in $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$. In the free quark picture $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ is only strongly peaked at $\cos\theta = -1$. Therefore smearing is crucial in comparing the theoretically-calculated shapes with the experimentally-measured ones.

It is useful to define the Fox-Wolfram moments [7]

$$H(n) = \int d\cos\theta \frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta} P_n(\cos\theta) \quad ,$$

$$H_c(n) = \int d\cos\theta \frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta} P_n(\cos\theta) \quad , \quad (3)$$

where $P_n(x)$ are Legendre polynomials. The first several moments are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Notice that $H(1) = 0$ because of momentum conservation. In Table 1 $H(0)$ is subtracted from all the moments to remove the δ -function contribution to $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$. Apart from the contribution from the δ -function in $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$, the high moments go to zero asymptotically as $n \rightarrow \infty$. These moments can be measured experimentally, which will test the applicability of local duality to $B \rightarrow X_{c\bar{c}s}$.

n	$H(n) - H(0)$	
	$m_c/m_b = 0.3$	$m_c/m_b = 0.37$
1	-0.49	-0.30
2	-0.22	-0.13
3	-0.36	-0.22
4	-0.29	-0.17
5	-0.33	-0.20
6	-0.30	-0.18

Table 1. Fox-Wolfram moments for $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$.

n	$H_c(n)$	
	$m_c/m_b = 0.3$	$m_c/m_b = 0.37$
0	5.8×10^{-2}	3.8×10^{-2}
1	-3.9×10^{-2}	-2.9×10^{-2}
2	2.4×10^{-2}	2.0×10^{-2}
3	-1.4×10^{-2}	-1.3×10^{-2}
4	8.7×10^{-3}	8.9×10^{-3}
5	-5.5×10^{-3}	-6.0×10^{-3}

Table 2. Fox-Wolfram moments for $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$.

New physics other than the Standard Model of electroweak interactions can have different Lorentz structures for the b decay amplitude, such as scalar-scalar type or $(V + A)$ type. It turns out that these various forms of the decay amplitudes all produce the similar plots of the shape variables at leading order in parton model. For example, the first several Fox-Wolfram moments $H_c(n)$ from the $(V + A) \times (V - A)$ type Lorentz structure differ from the Standard Model results by less than 10% at leading order in parton model. So the shape variables are not sensitive probes for new physics. The experimental measurements of these shape variables will constitute a test of local duality, rather than a test for the possibility of new physics.

In summary, we have computed the shape variables for the doubly-charmed inclusive B decays. The results found here for B decays also apply for B_s and Λ_b decays. Although we have only computed the leading order parton model contributions, it is straightforward to include the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ perturbative contributions. Measurements of these shape variables will constitute a test of the applicability of local duality in the inclusive B decays. As there is no OPE for these shape variables, it is possible that local duality is more likely to fail for these shape variables than for the decay rates. It should be realized that understanding of the nonperturbative corrections to these shape variables is poor. If the experimental measurements of these shape variables corroborate the theoretical calculations, then it is possible that local duality holds in


the decay $B \rightarrow X_{c\bar{c}s}$ despite the small energy release, and we will be more confident in using it to calculate the inclusive decay rate.

Acknowledgement


I am grateful to Martin Savage for suggestion to look at the hadronic event shapes and many useful discussions. I also thank Zoltan Ligeti and Lincoln Wolfenstein for many interesting comments. This work was supported in part by DOE under contract DE-FG02-91ER40682.

References

1. M. Voloshin and M. Shifman, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **41** (1985)120; J. Chay, H. Georgi, and B. Grinstein, *Phys. Lett.* **B247** (1990)399; A.V. Manohar and M.B. Wise, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994)1310; T. Mannel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B413** (1994)396; I.I. Bigi, N.G. Uraltsev, and A.I. Vainshtein, *Phys. Lett.* **B293** (1992)430; I.I. Bigi, M. Shifman, N.G. Uraltsev, and A.I. Vainshtein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993)496; B. Blok, L. Koyrakh, M. Shifman, and A.I. Vainshtein, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994)3356; Erratum, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994)3572.
2. G. Altarelli and S. Petrarca, *Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991)303; I. Bigi, B. Blok, M. Shifman, and A. Vainshtein, *Phys. Lett.* **B323** (1994)408. See also A.F. Falk, M.B. Wise, and I. Dunietz, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995)1183.
3. M. Neubert, hep-ph/9604412; M. Lu, M. Luke, M. Savage, and B. Smith, hep-ph/9605406.
4. M. Luke, M.J. Savage, and M.B. Wise, *Phys. Lett.* **B322** (1994)154.
5. See, e.g., A.V. Manohar and M.B. Wise, *Phys. Lett.* **B344** (1995)407.
6. E.C. Poggio, H.R. Quinn, and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **D13** (1976)1958.
7. G.C. Fox and S. Wolfram, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** (1978)1581.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 1: Shape variables (a) $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$ and (b) $\frac{d\Sigma_c}{d\cos\theta}$ plotted over $\cos\theta$. The dashed line is for $m_c/m_b = 0$, the solid line $m_c/m_b = 0.30$, and the dot-dashed line $m_c/m_b = 0.37$.




Fig. 2: The coefficient of $\delta(1 - \cos \theta)$ term in $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\cos\theta}$ plotted over m_c/m_b .