

Multifractal Multiplicity Distribution in Bunching-Parameter Analysis

S.V.Chekanov¹ and V.I.Kuvshinov

*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of Belarus
F.Scaryna Av. 70, 220072 Minsk, Belarus*

Published in J. of Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. V22 (1996) 601-610

Abstract

A new multiplicity distribution with multifractal properties which can be used in high-energy physics and quantum optics is proposed. It may be considered as a generalization of the negative-binomial distribution. We find the structure of the generating function for such distribution and discuss its properties.

1. Introduction

Multifractal analysis in high-energy physics and quantum optics has received a great interest in recent years due to the possibility to obtain quantitative and qualitative results concerning multiparticle production in different processes. In addition, the analysis is becoming an important theoretical tool to discriminate between different dynamical models.

The fact that the normalized factorial moments (NFMs)

$$F_q = \frac{\langle n(n-1)\dots(n-q+1) \rangle}{\langle n \rangle^q}, \quad (1)$$

(n is the number of particles in a restricted phase-space interval δ , $\langle \dots \rangle$ is the average over all events) depend on the size of the phase-space interval (bin) as $F_q \sim \delta^{-\phi_q}$ (intermittency phenomenon) is a manifestation of non-statistical fluctuations in the multiplicity distribution of secondary particles produced in high-energy physics [1–4]. The multifractal behavior, when the anomalous fractal dimension $d_q = \phi_q/(q-1)$ depends on q , is particularly important because such behavior is typical for the vast majority of experiments [2–4]. It is more pronounced in two- and three-dimensional

¹Present address: High Energy Physics Institute Nijmegen (HEFIN), University of Nijmegen/NIKHEF, NL-6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

phase-space domains. This behavior has also been found in photon-counting experiments on laser fluctuations near threshold, where δ is the counting time interval T [5]. Thus, the problem of multifractality is a common one both for high-energy physics and quantum optics.

In this paper, we shall discuss the theoretical aspect of the problem in the context of high-energy physics by means of bunching parameters (BPs) [6]. We introduced this quantity in order to get a simple and efficient method for the analysis of complex multiplicity distributions in restricted phase-space intervals. Our consideration can also be used in any field of research, where local dynamical fluctuations are a subject of investigation.

As is well known, the negative-binomial distribution has become the focus of interest in view of its applicability to the study of multiparticle productions in high-energy physics. However, it has been noted that this distribution has no multifractal properties for small phase-space intervals [6, 7].

Moreover, the study of charged-particle multiplicity distributions in restricted rapidity intervals conforms that the negative-binomial distribution is not sufficient to describe the data in Z^0 hadronic decays due to a shoulder structure of the experimental distributions [8]. A similar conclusion was obtained by ALEPH collaboration [9]: it was shown that the negative-binomial distribution does not describe the experimental data, either in restricted rapidity intervals or in the full phase space. The UA5 collaboration has also observed that the negative-binomial distribution fails to give a good fit to data at the center-of-mass energy of 900 GeV [10] in full phase space due to a shoulder structure. This structure is explained by the superposition of 2-jet events with low multiplicities and 3-jet and 4-jet events yielding much larger multiplicities. As a rule, this leads to more complex multiplicity distribution for full phase space than the negative-binomial one.

The conclusion must be that the negative-binomial distribution is not sufficient to describe the experimental distributions both for restricted rapidity windows and for full phase space in definite experimental situations and, hence, a true multiplicity distribution must be more complicated.

In this paper we propose a new multiplicity distribution which has multifractal properties for small phase-space intervals and is very similar to conventional negative-binomial form for large phase-space intervals. We shall analyze this distribution in terms of BPs and bunching moments. As we shall see, such an investigation is simpler than the analysis of multiplicity distributions with the help of NFMs. Moreover, recurrence relations for probabilities can lead to a non-traditional form of generating functions, both for well-known distributions (Poisson, geometric, logarithmic, positive-binomial, negative-binomial distributions) and the multiplicity distribution with multifractal properties for the small phase-space intervals.

In the second section, we give a short introduction to the bunching-parameter method. In the third section, we consider the general form of a multifractal negative-binomial like distribution and derive its generating function. In Sect. 4, the properties of Markov branching process leading to this multiplicity distribution are considered. In

Sect. 5, we discuss a particular form of such a generalization of the negative-binomial distribution and illustrate its multifractal properties. In Sect. 6, we present the conclusions.

2. Bunching-parameter approach

Normalized factorial moments have become an important and popular topic of experimental and theoretical investigations in high-energy physics and quantum optics. Measuring the NFMs is equivalent to measuring the multiplicity distribution. On the other hand, recently another simple mathematical tool to investigate the behavior of the multiplicity distribution in different phase-space intervals has been proposed. In order to reveal “spike” structure of events, one can study the behavior of probabilities themselves by means of the BPs. The definition of the BPs is given by the formula [6]

$$\eta_q = \frac{q}{q-1} \frac{P_q P_{q-2}}{P_{q-1}^2}, \quad q \geq 2, \quad (2)$$

where P_q is the probability of finding q particles inside the limited phase-space interval δ^2 . For example, $\eta_q = 1$ for the Poisson distribution. If the size of the phase space is small and the average number of particles in this interval is approximately proportional to δ , then we have the following approximate relation between the NFMs and the BPs [6]

$$F_q \simeq \prod_{i=2}^q \eta_i^{q+1-i}. \quad (3)$$

Such relation, in fact, means that BPs share with the NFMs the property of suppression of Poissonian noise.

For the intermittent fluctuations, one expects $\eta_2 \propto \delta^{-d_2}$ (d_2 is the second-order anomalous fractal dimension), while the high-order BPs may have a different dependence on δ . In [6] it has been shown that for the high-energy collisions with the multifractal behavior of the NFMs $d_q = d_2(1-r) + d_2 r q/2$, the power-like behavior of the BPs $\eta_2 \propto \delta^{-d_2}$, $\eta_s = \eta_2^r$, $s > 2$ is valid. The positive parameter r can be interpreted as a degree of multifractality (for $r = 0$, we have exactly monofractal behavior). Thus, the problem of the multifractal multiplicity distribution with inverse power δ -dependence of *all* BPs is central one.

As discussed in [6], the use of the BPs can give a general answer to the problem of finding a multiplicity distribution leading to observed intermittency. Indeed, any multiplicity distribution can be expressed as

$$P_n = \frac{P_0}{n!} \left(\frac{P_1}{P_0} \right)^n \prod_{i=2}^n \eta_i^{n+1-i}. \quad (4)$$

On the contrary, a multiplicity distribution can not be expressed in terms of its factorial moments if this distribution is not truncated one [4].

²In ref. [6] we introduced the BPs by averaging the probabilities over all bins of equal width. Here we consider only one fixed bin. We do this only for the sake of simplicity and it is not a physical restriction.

3. Recurrence relation for multifractal multiplicity distribution

A necessary and sufficient condition for a multiplicity distribution P_n to be negative-binomial one (P_n^N) is the recurrence relation [11]

$$\frac{P_n^N}{P_{n-1}^N} = C_{n-1} = \frac{a + b(n-1)}{n}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (5)$$

where a, b are positive constants for fixed δ ($b < 1$). Note that throughout this paper we shall treat the probabilities and the parameters C_{n-1}, a, b as continuous functions of the phase-space interval of size δ . If $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$, iterations of (5) with the normalization condition $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^N = 1$ give the negative-binomial distribution. In the limit $b \rightarrow 0$, the recurrence relation gives a Poisson distribution. The case $a \rightarrow 0$ at constant b shows that the negative-binomial distribution reduces to a logarithmic distribution. For $a = b$, we get a geometric multiplicity distribution. For $a > 0, b < 0$ and a/b integer, we have a positive-binomial distribution. Using the definition of the BPs, (5) and theorem of [6], it is easy to see that none of these distributions leads to multifractality if any assumption is chosen for the behavior of a, b in small phase-space bins.

Thus, it is important to find some multifractal generalization of the commonly used multiplicity distributions. From a physical point of view, to find such a multiplicity distribution, in fact, means to understand the reasons of intermittency with multifractal behavior of the anomalous fractal dimensions. However, the level of theoretical understanding of this phenomenon is still insufficient (hadronization problem) and is quite different for various types of collision processes [4]. Nevertheless, from a mathematical point of view, we can propose a distribution that has *a priori* multifractal behavior.

There is a natural way to include BPs with power-law behavior into a new recurrence relation in order to obtain a modification of (5) which can generate the multifractal multiplicity distribution in the limit of small δ . To see this, let us rewrite the definition (2) of BPs as follows

$$\frac{P_q}{P_{q-1}} = \eta_q \frac{q-1}{q} \frac{P_{q-1}}{P_{q-2}}. \quad (6)$$

As mentioned in Sect. 2, for a multifractal behavior with $r = 1$, all BPs have the same power-law behavior, i.e., in the simplest case, we can write

$$\eta_q = g \propto \delta^{-\beta}. \quad (7)$$

The β is a positive constant and is taken as a measure of the strength of the multifractal effect. After that, by combining (5) and (6), we assume the following recurrence relation

$$\frac{P_n}{P_{n-1}} = \frac{a + b(n-1)}{n} + g \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_{n-2}}, \quad (8)$$

where $n \geq 1$. Here, in fact, g can be either a new parameter or some combination of the parameters a and b (the latter case we shall discuss below). Equation (8) is a

sufficient condition to construct a distribution which for a large phase-space interval ($g \ll 1$) is very similar to the multiplicity distribution (5) and has the multifractal behavior for a small phase-space interval (the value of g is not small).

The expression (8) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{P_n}{P_{n-1}} = \tilde{C}_{n-1} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^l}{n} (a + b(n-l-1)), \quad (9)$$

with the sum equal to

$$\begin{cases} b(n-ng)^{-1}[k(1-g^n) + n + (g^n - 1)(1-g)^{-1}], & \text{for } g \neq 1, \\ b(k + 0.5(n-1)), & \text{for } g = 1, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $k^{-1} = b/a$ was called aggregation coefficient by Giovannini and Van Hove [11] for the usual negative-binomial distribution. For a compact description of the recurrence relation, we shall use expression for \tilde{C}_{n-1} in the form of sum (9). Using (8) and (9), we obtain for BPs

$$\eta_q = \frac{1 + k^{-1}(q-1)}{\sum_{l=0}^{q-2} g^l (1 + k^{-1}(q-l-2))} + g. \quad (11)$$

We have multifractal behavior because the second term in (11) has power-law behavior and, for enough small δ , can be the leading one. The multiplicity distribution corresponding to (8), (9) is

$$P_n = \frac{P_0}{n!} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^s g^l (a + b(s-l)). \quad (12)$$

Throughout this paper, we consider the probability $P_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$ of having no particles in δ as a normalization constant. Since in the limit $g \rightarrow 0$ expression (12) reduces to a negative-binomial distribution, we shall call this distribution a multifractal negative-binomial distribution (MNBD) and denote it as P_n^M .

Let us note that we can analyze a multiplicity distribution written in terms of the recurrence relations using the generating function for P_n/P_{n-1} . Let

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n-1)!} \frac{P_n}{P_{n-1}}. \quad (13)$$

be the generating function for the ratios P_n/P_{n-1} . Then, the BPs are given by

$$\eta_q = \frac{G^{(q)}(z) |_{z=0}}{G^{(q-1)}(z) |_{z=0}}. \quad (14)$$

For example, the generating function of the negative-binomial distribution has the following form

$$G^N(z) = (a-b)(e^z - 1) + bz e^z. \quad (15)$$

For the Poisson distribution, we have

$$G^P(z) = a(e^z - 1). \quad (16)$$

In terms of the generating function, the recurrence relation (8) can be rewritten in form of the following differential equation

$$\frac{dG^M(z)}{dz} = \frac{dG^N(z)}{dz} + g G^M(z), \quad (17)$$

with the initial condition (see (13))

$$G^M(z=0) = G^N(z=0) = 0. \quad (18)$$

Using (17), we can obtain the generating function in integral form as follows

$$G^M(z) = G^N(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g^i \int G^N(z) d^i z. \quad (19)$$

Using (15) and condition (18), one gets the analytical solution of the equation (17)

$$G^M(z) = \frac{e^z}{1-g} \left(a + b z - \frac{b}{1-g} \right) - e^{gz} \frac{a(1-g) - b}{(1-g)^2}, \quad (20)$$

for $g \neq 1$ and, using (9) and (13), $G^M(z) = e^z(az + bz^2/2)$ for $g = 1$. Below, we shall not consider the trivial case, when $g = 1$.

Now let us mention two limiting cases:

a) In the simplest Poisson case, when $b = 0$, we have the following multifractal Poisson distribution with the generating function of the form

$$G^{MP}(z) = \frac{a}{1-g} (e^z - e^{zg}). \quad (21)$$

Then, from (11) and (12), one has

$$P_n^{MP} = P_0 \frac{a^n}{n!} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^s g^l, \quad (22)$$

$$\eta_q^{MP} = \frac{1}{\sum_{l=0}^{q-2} g^l} + g. \quad (23)$$

Here a is not an average multiplicity as for a usual Poisson distribution.

b) The limit $a \rightarrow 0$ is also interesting since it leads to the multifractal logarithmic distribution

$$G^{ML}(z) = \frac{be^z}{1-g} \left(z - \frac{1}{1-g} \right) + e^{gz} \frac{b}{(1-g)^2}. \quad (24)$$

From (11) and (12), one gets

$$P_n^{ML} = P_1 \frac{b^{n-1}}{n!} \prod_{s=0}^{n-2} \sum_{l=0}^s g^l (s-l+1), \quad (25)$$

$$\eta_q^{ML} = \frac{q-1}{\sum_{l=0}^{q-2} g^l (q-l-2)} + g, \quad (26)$$

where $q > 2$ and P_1 is a normalization constant (for the logarithmic multiplicity distribution, $P_0 = 0$). We see that these multiplicity distributions have the same power law behavior of the high-order BPs for small δ . In this sense, the distributions are equivalent for small phase-space intervals.

It is important to emphasize here that an infinite sequence of probabilities P_n can be normalized if it converges, i.e., if $P_n \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is possible if, for every i greater than some number ζ , we have the following condition

$$\frac{P_i}{P_{i-1}} < 1 \quad (27)$$

(ratio test). For the MNBD (9) this is possible if

$$0 < g < 1, \quad 0 < \frac{b}{1-g} < 1. \quad (28)$$

For any other domain of g , we must truncate the MNBD, putting $P_i^M = 0$ for $i > \zeta$.

One can understand, from definition (2), that BPs are sensitive to the local multiplicity fluctuations (or to the behavior of the multiplicity distribution in small phase-space intervals) near the multiplicity $q = n - 1$. In order to study the total contribution from multiplicity fluctuations for large values of n , it is appropriate to introduce the ‘‘bunching moments’’ b_q as follows

$$b_q \equiv G^{(q)}(z) |_{z=1} = \sum_{s=q}^{\infty} \frac{s}{(s-q)!} \frac{P_s}{P_{s-1}}, \quad (29)$$

following an analogy with factorial moments. The knowledge of the generating function gives us a possibility to calculate both the BPs and the bunching moments. The higher the rank of the b_q , the more sensitive they are to the ‘‘tail’’ of the multiplicity distributions for large n . In order to normalize the bunching moments B_q , we put

$$B_q \equiv \frac{b_q}{b_1} = \frac{G^{(q)} |_{z=1}}{G' |_{z=1}}. \quad (30)$$

Then for the Poisson distribution (16) we have

$$B_q^P = 1, \quad q = 1 \dots \infty. \quad (31)$$

For the negative-binomial distribution (15):

$$B_q^N = \frac{k+q}{k+1}, \quad q = 1 \dots \infty. \quad (32)$$

This means that the negative-binomial distribution is broader than the Poisson distribution ($B_q^N > B_q^P$). For the geometric multiplicity distribution ($k = 1$), the normalized bunching moments are larger than those of the negative-binomial distribution with $k > 1$. In this case, we can say that the geometric distribution is broader than the negative-binomial one. For the positive-binomial multiplicity distribution, where $k < 0$ and integer, the normalized bunching moments are smaller than unity because this distribution is narrower than Poisson.

For MNBD (20), one gets

$$B_q^M = \frac{k(g-1)(g^q e^{g-1} - 1) + g^q e^{g-1} - g(q+1) + q}{k(g-1)(g e^{g-1} - 1) + g e^{g-1} - 2g + 1}, \quad (33)$$

$q = 1 \dots \infty$. For a small g (or for a large phase-space interval), the MNBD slightly differs from the negative-binomial distribution. For $g \rightarrow 0$ ($B_q^M \rightarrow B_q^N$), the MNBD tends to the negative-binomial distribution. The situation drastically changes when large g (or small δ) is considered. The B_q^M becomes larger than B_q^N , since the MNBD becomes *broader* than the negative-binomial distribution for all k . Bear in mind of this property, it is not surprising that for this region of phase space the MNBD reflects the increase of the local intermittent fluctuations and can lead to a multifractal behavior of the anomalous fractal dimension.

The generating function $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n P_n$ commonly considered in probability theory and its applications can also be used in the multifractal generalization [12]. To analyze this generating function, it is appropriate to use the usual NFMs or the normalized cumulant moments [4]. In fact, these two "languages", using the BPs and the NFMs for the study of multiplicity distributions in terms of the generating functions G and Q , are equivalent. Nevertheless, in some cases, the analysis of multiplicity distributions in small δ with the help of BPs and the bunching moments is simpler, because an analytical form of the NFMs for some multiplicity distributions may be too complicated. For example, to find a simple form of the generating functions for multifractal distributions (12), (22), (25), is rather difficult. The technical advantage of the use of these quantities comes mainly from the fact that, as a rule, the structure of the ratio P_n/P_{n-1} is simpler than the form of the probabilities P_n themselves.

It is appropriate to make some remarks here on the relationship between the form of the recurrence relation (8) and the definition of the generating function (13). The particular form of recurrence relation (8) was chosen because of its simplicity. For example, the factor $(n-1)/n$ in the last term of (8) was chosen only because it is possible to rewrite this relation in the form of generating function (13). It is easy to check that other similar forms involving P_{n-1} and P_{n-2} in the recurrence relation can lead to qualitatively similar results, providing a singular form of BPs for all order.

However, in these cases, one needs to introduce other forms of generating function in order to obtain a differential equation for the MNBD with simplest solutions.

4. The MNBD as a solution of non-linear Markov process

Now we shall show that the form of the MNBD can be obtained from a stochastic Markov process with non-linear birth rate. Let $P_n(t)$ be the probability to have n particles at time t . Of course, such a choice of an evolution parameter is not unique. In principle, the evolution variable t can be connected, for example, with the squared mass of the branching parton in the parton shower. For simplicity, we shall assume that the process starts at time $t = 0$, with the initial condition $P_0(t = 0) = 1$, $P_n(t = 0) = 0$, $n > 0$. We shall consider a very general birth-death process with an infinitesimal birth rate w_n^+ and an infinitesimal death rate w_n^- of particles, treating these parameters as continuous functions of t . The corresponding Markov equation is

$$P'_n(t) = w_{n-1}^+ P_{n-1}(t) + w_{n+1}^- P_{n+1}(t) - (w_n^+ + w_n^-) P_n(t), \quad (34)$$

[13]. For a stationary process, when time goes to infinity, the $P_n(t)$ are t -independent constants. Then, from (34) one has $\pi_n - \pi_{n+1} = 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\pi_n = w_n^- P_n - w_{n-1}^+ P_{n-1}$. Taking into account $\pi_0 = 0$, we have $\pi_n = 0$, $n \geq 1$ and, hence, a solution of (34) in the form of the recurrence relation

$$\frac{P_n}{P_{n-1}} = \frac{w_{n-1}^+}{w_n^-}. \quad (35)$$

The negative-binomial distribution can be considered as a stationary solution of (34) if we put the linear forms for w_n^+ and w_n^- ,

$$w_n^+ = \gamma + \beta n, \quad w_n^- = \rho n, \quad (36)$$

where $a = \gamma/\rho$ and $b = \beta/\rho$ (γ, β, ρ are t -independent). If we admit that the parameter w_n^+ is the non-linear function of n , i.e.,

$$w_n^+ = \sum_{l=0}^n g^l [\gamma + \beta(n-l)], \quad w_n^- = \rho n, \quad (37)$$

then the MNBD can be found as a stationary solution of the evolution equation (34). Here, in fact, parameter g represents the strength of influence of the non-linearity in the equation. For $\delta \rightarrow 0$ the non-linearity of the birth rate increases.

So, the multifractal structure of MNBD can be caused by the non-linearity of the stationary Markov process. From the point of view of high-energy physics, the multiparticle production in QCD and the subsequent transition to hadrons have a strongly non-linear nature. In this sense, the form of (37) may reflect the non-linearity of the underlying multiparticle dynamics leading to multifractality.

5. The choice of parameter g and application to experimental data

Up to now, we have considered g as a free parameter with the power-law behavior $g \propto \delta^{-\beta}$. The next question is how does one choose g , if one wants to obtain the multiplicity distribution with a degree of multifractality r (see Sect. 2). The simplest way is to assume the following form

$$g = \omega(r) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^r = \omega(r)k^{-r}, \quad (38)$$

where $\omega(r)$ is some function which tends to zero for $r \rightarrow 0$ (in the simplest case, $\omega(r) = r$). The parameter r allows interpolation between the negative-binomial distribution with monofractal behavior ($r = 0$) and the MNBD with the multifractal behavior ($r > 0$). Let us remind that the aggregation coefficient k^{-1} is related to the mean multiplicity $\langle n \rangle = a/(1-b)$ and the dispersion D of the negative-binomial distribution as $D^2 = \langle n \rangle + \langle n \rangle^2 k^{-1}$.

From the point of view of high-energy physics, our choice is justified by the following reasons:

i) If we have the negative-binomial distribution, for a large (pseudo)rapidity interval k^{-1} has a small value ($k^{-1} \sim 0.1 - 0.01$). Applying a fit by (12), we can hope that g in the MNBD will be small also and this distribution will be similar to the negative-binomial distribution.

ii) It is essential that the negative-binomial distribution is approximately valid, not only for large phase-space intervals, but also for small ones. For the negative-binomial distribution, the behavior $k^{-1} \propto \delta^{-\beta}$ lies in the framework of the assumption that intermittency is governed only by the aggregation coefficient. In [7] Van Hove showed that, if $k^{-1} \propto \delta^{-\beta}$ for small δ , then the negative-binomial distribution has monofractal behavior, $F_q \propto \delta^{-d_q(n-1)}$, $d_q = \beta$. Then $\eta_2 \propto \delta^{-\beta}$, $\eta_s = \text{const.}$, $s > 2$ [6]. In our case, the assumption $\omega(r) \neq 0$ yields intermittency with the multifractal behavior of the anomalous fractal dimension.

From the experimental point of view, a good approximation for the aggregation coefficient of the negative-binomial distribution is [14]

$$k^{-1} = c M^{d_2}, \quad M = \frac{\Delta}{\delta}, \quad (39)$$

where Δ and δ are three-dimensional full and limited phase-space intervals, respectively, d_2 is the anomalous fractal dimension of the second order. For different reactions, the parameters c and d_2 are of the same order of magnitude, moreover, the d_2 has almost universal value ~ 0.4 [14]. Expression (39) has been obtained from the well-known relation $F_2^N = 1 + k^{-1}$ between second-order NFM and the aggregation coefficient which is correct for the negative-binomial distribution.

iii) Since the fits for different reactions show a logarithmic increase of k^{-1} with energy \sqrt{s} in the negative-binomial distribution, one may expect that for the MNBD

we shall obtain the similar effect. Then, the distribution (12) in the full phase space may slightly differ from the standard negative-binomial distribution for large energies. This is quite important because, as mentioned already in the introduction, the usual negative-binomial distribution fails to describe multiplicity distributions at 900GeV in $p\bar{p}$ collisions and in Z^0 hadronic decay for full phase space.

Let us obtain the anomalous fractal dimension for the MNBD in the particular case, when $g = \omega(r)k^{-r}$. The calculation of the NFMs can be simplified when an average multiplicity in δ is small. Then, the NFMs are given by expression (3). Let us discuss two domains of the parameter r :

(i) $0 \leq r \leq 1$: From (11) we have

$$\eta_2 = 1 + k^{-1} + w(r)k^{-r}, \quad (40)$$

$$\eta_s = \frac{1 + k^{-1}(s-1)}{\sum_{l=0}^{s-2} \omega^l(r)k^{-rl}(1 + k^{-1}(s-l-2))} + w(r)k^{-r}, \quad s > 2. \quad (41)$$

We see that, if $k \propto \delta^{d_2}$, the leading terms of the BPs have the following behavior: $\eta_2 \propto \delta^{-d_2}$, $\eta_s \propto \delta^{-rd_2}$, $s > 2$. Then

$$F_q \propto \delta^{-d_q(q-1)} \quad d_q = d_2(1-r) + d_2r\frac{q}{2}. \quad (42)$$

For $r = 0$, we have the monofractal behavior $d_q = d_2$, and the MNBD reduces to the negative-binomial distribution.

(ii) $r > 1$: The leading terms of the BPs are given by the expression $\eta_q \propto \delta^{-rd_2}$, $q \geq 2$. The corresponding anomalous fractal dimension is

$$d_q = d_2r\frac{q}{2}. \quad (43)$$

The values of r for different reactions have been discussed in [6].

6. Conclusion

We have proposed a new multiplicity distribution with multifractal properties for small phase-space intervals, basing on the simplicity of the analysis of multifractality in terms of BPs and bunching moments. Guided by the fact that, for the multifractality of normalized factorial moments the same power-law behavior for all orders of the BPs is necessary and sufficient, in this paper we focus our attention on the analysis of the MNBD with the multifractal behavior. The MNBD may be considered as a generalization of the negative-binomial distribution with a new free parameter g (or r for the particular case, when $g = \omega(r)k^{-r}$) which has a power-like behavior for small phase-space intervals.

Theoretically, the question arises what is the physical reason of such multifractal distribution (or similar to it). The problem of multifractality is very complicated and requires further examination both in high-energy physics and quantum optics. Note, as an example, that some versions of cascade models can lead to this distribution

because they have the same anomalous fractal dimension (see α -model [15], where the anomalous fractal dimension has the form (43) with $r = 1$).

On the experimental side of the question, we hope that the MNBD is interesting since it yields a new possibility to describe experimental data.

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the research program of the “Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM)”, which is financially supported by the “Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO)”.

The research of S.V.C. was supported in part by Grant MP-19 of the Fund for Fundamental Research of the Republic of Belarus. S.V.C would like to thank the High Energy Physics Institute Nijmegen (HEFIN) for the hospitality.

References

- [1] A.Białaś and R.Peschanski, Nucl. Phys. B273 (1986) 703
- [2] A.Białaś, Nucl. Phys. A525 (1991) 345
- [3] R.Peschanski, J. of Mod. Phys. A21 (1991) 3681
- [4] E.A.De Wolf, I.M.Dremin, W.Kittel, *Scaling Laws for Density Correlations and Fluctuations in Multiparticle Dynamics* preprint HEN-362(93) IIHE-93.01 FIAN/TD-09/93 (update 1995), hep-ph/9508325, Phys. Rep. (in print)
- [5] M.R.Young, Y.Qu.Surendra Singh, R.Hwa, Opt. Comm. V105 (1994) 325
- [6] S.V.Chekanov and V.I.Kuvshinov, Acta Phys. Pol. B25 (1994) 1189
- [7] L.Van Hove, Phys. Lett. B232 (1989) 509
- [8] DELPHI Coll., P.Abreu et al., Z. Phys. C52 (1991) 271
- [9] ALEPH Coll., D.Buskulic et al., Z. Phys. C69 (1995) 409
- [10] UA5 Coll., R.E.Ansorge et al., Z. Phys. C43 (1989) 357
- [11] A.Giovannini and L.Van Hove, Acta Phys. Pol. B19 (1988) 495
- [12] S.V.Chekanov, Acta Phys. Pol. B25 (1994) 1583
- [13] A.T.Bharucha-Reid, “Elements of the Theory of Markov Processes and Their Applications”, 1960, McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc. p.87
- [14] K.Fiałkowski, Z. Phys. C61 (1994) 313
- [15] A.Białaś and R.Peschanski, Nucl. Phys. B308 (1988) 857