# **Radial Excitations of Heavy-Light Mesons**

Takayuki Matsuki<sup>1</sup>, Toshiyuki Morii<sup>2</sup>, and Kazutaka Sudoh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tokyo Kasei University, 1-18-1 Kaga, Itabashi, Tokyo 173, JAPAN

<sup>2</sup> Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kobe University, Nada, Kobe 657-8501, JAPAN

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization,

1-1 Ooho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, JAPAN

October 16, 2006

**Abstract.** Recent discovery of  $D_s$  states suggests existence of radial excitations. Our semirelativistic quark potential model succeeds in reproducing these states within one to two percent of accuracy compared with the experiments,  $D_{s0}(2860)$  and  $D_s^*(2715)$ , which are identified as  $0^+$  and  $1^-$  radial excitations (n = 2). We also present calculations of radial excitations for  $B/B_s$  heavy mesons. Relation between our formulation and the modified Goldberger-Treiman relation is also described.

PACS. 12.39.Hg, 12.39.Pn, 12.40.Yx, 14.40.Lb, 14.40.Nd potential model; spectroscopy; heavy mesons

## 1 Introduction

BaBar has recently announced the discovery of a new  $D_s$ state, which seems to be  $c\bar{s}$  state,[1]  $D_{s0}(2860)$ . Subsequent to this experiments Belle has observed a new state of  $D_s^*(2715)$  whose spin and parity is determined to be  $1^-.[2]$ 

We were the first in predicting  $0^+$  and  $1^+$  states of  $D_s$  and D particles,  $D_{s0}(2317)$ ,  $D_{s1}(2460)$ ,  $D_0^*(2308)$ , and  $D'_{1}(2427),[3]$ , and we have also succeeded in reproducing higher resonances of  $B/B_s$  particles,  $B_1(5720), B_2^*(5745),$ and  $B_{s2}^{*}(5839), [4]$  using our semirelativistic quark potential model. Our model succeeds in lowering  $0^+$  and  $1^+$ states of  $D_s$  so that these mass values are below  $DK/D^*K$ threshold which other models do not easily succeed. This model respects both heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry in a certain limit of parameters, [5] which relates our formulation with the idea of the modified Goldberger-Treiman relation proposed in Refs. [6,7]. Hence it is natural to try to explain the newly discovered  $D_s$  states by using our semirelativistic model and we are again successful in reproducing these states discovered by BaBar and Belle.

To interpret the state  $D_{s0}(2860)$ , there are arguments that this  $c\bar{s}$  state is explained to be a scalar by a coupled channel model[8], or that it is a  $J^P = 3^-$  state[9], or that it can be explained by using a phenomenological interaction terms like our quark potential model,[10] or that it can be analyzed by using the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  model.[11]

Starting from the astonishing discovery of  $D_{sJ}$  particles with narrow decay width by BaBar and CLEO, and confirmed by Belle, a series of successive experiments on the spectrum of a heavy-light system, i.e., heavy mesons, heavy quarkonium, and heavy baryons, stimulates theo-

rists to explain all these spectra as well as their decay modes. See the recent reviews of Refs. [12,13]. It seems that a new era of spectroscopy is opening, which is challenging to theorists to solve these spectra at the same time.

### **2** Numerical Calculation

Our model starts from a Hamiltonian with a scalar confining potential together with a Coulombic vector potential, we expand the whole system, i.e., Hamiltonian, wave function, and eigenvalue is expanded in  $1/m_Q$ , and we solve equations order by order consistently. In the actual numerical calculation, we expand a wave function in a power series of relative coordinate with some weighting exponential times power functions. A wave function has positive components of a heavy quark due to the lowest order constraint when expanding in  $1/m_Q$  and four components of a light antiquark. Thus the lowest eigenfunction is two by four matrix. Other than angular part, its radial part can be written like below.

$$u_k(r), v_k(r) \sim w_k(r) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{\lambda} \exp\left[-(m_q+b)r - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2\right],$$

where k is a quantum number of an operator,  $-\beta_q (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q \cdot \boldsymbol{L} + 1)$ with  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q$  light quark spin and  $\boldsymbol{L}$  light quark angular momentum, which distinguishes uniquely each state[3], for instance, k = -1 for a spin multiplet  $(J^P = 0^-, 1^-)$ , k = +1 for  $(0^+, 1^+)$ , etc.,  $u_k(r)$  and  $v_k(r)$  are upper and lower components of radial wave functions, and  $\lambda = \sqrt{k^2 - (4\alpha_s/3)^2}$ , where a and b are included in a scalar potential as  $S(r) = r/a^2 + b$ ,  $\alpha_s$  is a strong coupling,

Mass gap (n = 1)

Table 1. Most optimal values of parameters.

Parameters	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha_s^{n=2} \\ 0.344 \end{array}$	$a \; (\text{GeV}^{-1}) \\ 1.939$	b (Ge 0.07	eV) 49
$m_{u,d} \; (\text{GeV})$	$m_s$ (C	$GeV$ ) $m_c$ (0	GeV)	$m_b \; (\text{GeV})$
0.0112	0.09	929 1.0	32	4.639

Table 2. Theoretical mass gap. Values in brackets are experiments. Units are in MeV.

 $D_s$ 

D

 $B_s$ 

239

242

В

322320

$0^+ - 0^-$ $1^+ - 1^-$	$\begin{array}{c} 414 \ (441) \\ 410 \ (419) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 358 \ (348) \\ 357 \ (348) \end{array}$		
(n=2)	D	$D_s$	B	$B_s$	
$0^+ - 0^-$	308	274	206	160	
$1^{+} - 1^{-}$	350	327	216	171	

and  $w_k(r)$  is a finite series of a polynomial in  $r, w_k(r) =$  $\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i^k (r/a)^i$ , which takes different coefficients for  $u_k(r)$ and  $v_k(r)$ . In actual calculation, we have used N = 7. Hence we can in principle obtain seven different radial excitations.

In this paper, we calculate the most optimal values of parameters so that recently discovered and known n =2 (the first radial excitation)  $D_s$  particles are all fitted well around one percent of accuracy compared with the experiments. Here only a strong coupling  $\alpha_s$  is modified and other parameters are kept the same as in [4], in which we have obtained  $\alpha_s = 0.261$  both for D and  $D_s$ . These are presented in Table 1 at the first order of calculation in  $p/m_Q$  with p being internal quark momentum and  $m_Q$ heavy quark mass.

With these values of parameters, we obtain n = 2masses of  $D_s$  and D at the same time. The results are shown in Table 3 for  $D_s$ . We also predict n = 2 states for D, B, and  $B_s$  states, which are shown in Tables 4, 5, and 6 assuming the same strong coupling  $\alpha_s$  for  $D_s$ , which may actually be different for  $B/B_s$  particles. In these Tables,  $p_i$  and  $n_i$  are *i*-th order positive and negative component contributions of a heavy quark, respectively, and  $c_i = p_i + n_i$ . When one carefully looks at these Tables, one notices that values of higher states  ${}^{3}D_{1}$  and  ${}^{"3}D_{2}$ " are not reliable even though we have listed in Tables for consistency with the former calculations.

## 3 Mass Gap

Noticing the mass gaps between spin multiplets,  $(0^{-}, 1^{-})$ and  $(0^+, 1^+)$  for  $D_{s,I}$  mesons, are almost equal to each other, the modified Goldberger-Treiman relation is proposed by Bardeen et al.[6,7] to understand the facts, i.e., the underlying physics might be chiral physics. In other words the mass gap is caused due to the chiral symmetry breakdown which is expressed by this relation. Further they have assumed hyperfine splittings due to breakdown of heavy quark symmetry (inclusion of  $1/m_Q$  corrections) are the same within two spin multiplets,  $(0^-, 1^-)$ and  $(0^+, 1^+)$ , so that the mass gap is not affected by this hyperfine splitting.

In our formulation this is explained in Fig. 1.[5] Heavy quark symmetry reduces the original Hamiltonian into the one without spin structure after projecting wave functions into positive and negative components of a heavy quark.[3, 5] Chiral symmetry of a light quark is realized by taking a limit of  $m_q \to 0$  and  $S(r) \to 0$ , in which case all the members of two spin multiplets,  $(0^-, 1^-)$  and  $(0^+, 1^+)$ ,

are degenerate. When the light quark mass and a scalar potential are turned on, then the degeneracy due to chiral symmetry is resolved and the mass gap corresponding to the modified Goldberger-Treiman relation is given by

$$\Delta M = M_0(k = +1) - M_0(k = -1),$$

where  $M_0(k)$  is a degenerate mass for a quantum number k, which appears in Tables  $3\sim 6$  to distinguish states. This is described as  $\Delta M = \tilde{g}_{\pi} f_{\pi} / G_A$  in [6]. They have assumed dominant interaction terms for hyperfine splitting due to  $1/m_{Q}$  corrections so that mass gaps between  $0^{+} - 0^{-}$  and  $1^+ - 1^-$  are almost equal to each other, which seems to hold in our formulation. Our hyperfine splittings due to  $1/m_Q$  to this mass gap  $\Delta M$  are calculated and we have added those to degenerate mass gaps between  $0^+ - 0^$ and  $1^+ - 1^-$  for  $D, D_s, B$ , and  $B_s$  with n = 1, which are given in Table 2. Our dynamical calculation supports the assumption that the mass gaps between  $0^+ - 0^-$  and  $1^+ - 1^-$  are almost equal to each other in the case of n = 1. In the second row of the same Table values for n = 2 are given, which is not as good as the case for n = 1.

#### References

- 1. A. Palano, New Spectroscopy with Charm Quarks at B Factories, talk presented at Charm 2006, Beijing, June 5-7, 2006; B.Aubert et al. BaBar Collaboration, hep-ex/0607082.
- 2. K. Abe et al. [Belle Collaboration], Belle report BELLE-CONF-0643, hep-ex/0608031.
- 3. T. Matsuki and T. Morii, Phys. Rev. D 56, 5646 (1997); See also T. Matsuki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11, 257 (1996), T. Matsuki and T. Morii, Aust. J. Phys. 50, 163 (1997), T. Matsuki, T. Morii, and K. Seo, Trends in Applied Spectroscopy, 4, 127 (2002).
- 4. T. Matsuki, T. Morii, and K. Sudoh, hep-ph/0605019.
- 5. T. Matsuki, K. Mawatari, T. Morii, and K. Sudoh, Phys. Lett. B 606, 329 (2005).
- W. A. Bardeen, E. J. Eichten, and C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. 6. D 68, 054024 (2003).
- 7. M. Harada, M. Rho, and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 70, 074002 (2004).
- 8. E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, hep-ph/0606110.
- 9. P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and S. Nicotri, hep-ph/0607245.
- 10. F.E. Close, C.E. Thomas, O. Lakhina and E.S. Swanson, hep-ph/0608139.
- 11. B. Zhang, Z. Liu, W. Deng, and S. Zhu, hep-ph/0609013.
- 12. J. L. Rosner, hep-ph/0606166.
- 13. E. S. Swanson, PR 429, 243 (2006), hep-ph/0601110.



Fig. 1. Procedure how the degeneracy is resolved in our model. A quantum number k is defined in the main text.

$^{2s+1}L_J(J^P)$	$M_0$	$c_{1}/M_{0}$	$p_1/M_0$	$n_1/M_0$	$M_{\rm calc}$	$M_{\rm obs}$
${}^{1}S_{0}(0^{-})$	2328	$1.006 \times 10^{-1}$	$0.919 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.695 \times 10^{-3}$	2563	—
${}^{3}S_{1}(1^{-})$		$1.830 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.824 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.744 \times 10^{-4}$	2755	2715
${}^{3}P_{0}(0^{+})$	2456	$1.553 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.470 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.245 \times 10^{-3}$	2837	2856
$P_1^{*}(1^+)$		$2.551 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.543 \times 10^{-1}$	$7.667 \times 10^{-4}$	3082	_
$P_1^{(1+)}(1^+)$	2585	$1.969 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.966 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.531 \times 10^{-4}$	3094	_
${}^{3}P_{2}(2^{+})$		$2.209 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.209 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.070 \times 10^{-7}$	3157	_
${}^{3}D_{1}(1^{-})$	2391	$8.605 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.600 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.016 \times 10^{-4}$	4449	_
$^{"3}D_2"(2^-)$		$-4.287 \times 10^{-1}$	$-4.287 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.482 \times 10^{-7}$	1366	_

**Table 3.**  $D_s(n=2)$  meson mass spectra (first order). Units are in MeV.

Table 4. D(n = 2) meson mass spectra (first order). Units are in MeV.

$^{2s+1}L_J(J^P)$	$M_0$	$c_1/M_0$	$p_1/M_0$	$n_1/M_0$	$M_{\rm calc}$	$M_{\rm obs}$
$^{1}S_{0}(0^{-})$	2241	$1.078 \times 10^{-1}$	$0.975 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.038 \times 10^{-2}$	2483	_
${}^{3}S_{1}(1^{-})$		$1.917 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.910 \times 10^{-1}$	$6.882 \times 10^{-4}$	2671	_
${}^{3}P_{0}(0^{+})$	2418	$1.540 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.444 \times 10^{-1}$	$9.621 \times 10^{-3}$	2791	_
$^{"3}P_1"(1^+)$		$2.493 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.488 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.352 \times 10^{-4}$	3021	_
$P_1^{(1+)}(1^+)$	2491	$2.076 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.070 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.956 \times 10^{-4}$	3008	_
${}^{3}P_{2}(2^{+})$		$2.319 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.318 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.101 \times 10^{-4}$	3069	_
${}^{3}D_{1}(1^{-})$	2280	$1.869 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.863 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.418 \times 10^{-4}$	2706	_
$^{"^3}D_2"(2^-)$		$2.100 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.099 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.203 \times 10^{-4}$	2759	_

**Table 5.** B(n = 2) meson mass spectra (first order). Units are in MeV.

$^{2s+1}L_J(J^P)$	$M_0$	$c_1/M_0$	$p_1/M_0$	$n_1/M_0$	$M_{\rm calc}$	$M_{\rm obs}$
${}^{1}S_{0}(0^{-})$	5849	$0.919 \times 10^{-2}$	$0.831 \times 10^{-2}$	$8.849 \times 10^{-4}$	5902	-
${}^{3}S_{1}(1^{-})$		$1.634 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.629 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.867 \times 10^{-5}$	5944	_
${}^{3}P_{0}(0^{+})$	6025	$1.375 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.289 \times 10^{-2}$	$8.590 \times 10^{-4}$	6108	_
$^{"3}P_1"(1^+)$		$2.226 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.221 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.779 \times 10^{-5}$	6160	_
$P_1^{(1+)}(1^+)$	6098	$1.886 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.881 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.412 \times 10^{-5}$	6213	_
${}^{3}P_{2}(2^{+})$		$2.108 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.107 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.001 \times 10^{-5}$	6227	_
${}^{3}D_{1}(1^{-})$	5888	$1.610 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.605 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.668 \times 10^{-5}$	5982	_
$^{"^3}D_2"(2^-)$		$1.809 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.808 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.037 \times 10^{-5}$	5994	—

**Table 6.**  $B_s(n=2)$  meson mass spectra (first order). Units are in MeV.

$^{2s+1}L_J(J^P)$	$M_0$	$c_{1}/M_{0}$	$p_1/M_0$	$n_1/M_0$	$M_{\rm calc}$	$M_{\rm obs}$
${}^{1}S_{0}(0^{-})$	5936	$0.878 \times 10^{-2}$	$0.802 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.588 \times 10^{-4}$	5988	_
${}^{3}S_{1}(1^{-})$		$1.597 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.592 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.013 \times 10^{-5}$	6031	—
${}^{3}P_{0}(0^{+})$	6063	$1.399 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.325 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.429 \times 10^{-4}$	6148	_
$^{"^{3}}P_{1}"(1^{+})$		$2.299 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.292 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.908 \times 10^{-5}$	6202	_
$P_1^{(1^+)}$	6193	$1.828 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.826 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.351 \times 10^{-5}$	6306	_
${}^{3}P_{2}(2^{+})$		$2.052 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.052 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.709 \times 10^{-8}$	6320	_
${}^{3}D_{1}(1^{-})$	5999	$7.631 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.627 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.449 \times 10^{-5}$	6456	_
$^{"3}D_2"(2^-)$		$-3.802 \times 10^{-2}$	$-3.802 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.861 \times 10^{-8}$	5770	—