

What we can learn from atmospheric neutrinos

Sandhya Choubey

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad 211019, India

E-mail: sandhya@mri.ernet.in

Abstract. Physics potential of future measurements of atmospheric neutrinos is explored. Observation of Δm_{31}^2 driven sub-dominant effects and θ_{13} driven large matter effects in atmospheric neutrinos can be used to study the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality and its octant. Neutrino mass hierarchy can be determined extremely well due to the large matter effects. New physics can be constrained both in standard atmospheric neutrino experiments as well as in future neutrino telescopes.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric neutrinos observed in the Super-Kamiokande (SK) experiment provided the first unambiguous signal for neutrino flavor oscillations [1]. The observed zenith angle and energy dependent depletion of atmospheric $\nu_\mu/\bar{\nu}_\mu$ in SK can be explained only by ν_μ - ν_τ oscillations with $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ and almost maximal mixing angle, $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$. The results of the SK experiment was subsequently corroborated by the MACRO and Soudan-2 atmospheric neutrino experiments and more recently by the K2K and MINOS long baseline (LBL) experiments. In this talk we will expound the physics potential of future atmospheric neutrino experiments using larger and better detectors.

2. Confirming oscillations of atmospheric neutrinos

The “smoking gun” signal for ν_μ - ν_τ oscillations is the observation of the characteristic “dip” in L/E , predicted by neutrino flavor mixing. Although the analysis of the L/E binned atmospheric neutrino data in SK has been found to support the oscillation hypothesis [2], it would be worthwhile to make an unambiguous check using a detector with better E and L resolution. This can be done in large magnetized detectors, such as the proposed ICAL detector at the India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO) [3]. Analysis of results obtained from detailed simulations by the INO collaboration show that oscillations can be confirmed with a significant C.L. with just 250 kTy data.

3. Precision measurement of Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$

Both Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ are expected to be measured very accurately by the forthcoming LBL experiments. A statistical analysis of the combined data set with five years of running of MINOS, ICARUS, OPERA, T2K and NO ν A each, reveals that Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ could be measured with a spread of 4.5% and 20% respectively at 3σ [4]. The future prospective data from water Cerenkov atmospheric neutrino experiments with a statistics 20 times the current SK statistics could measure Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ with a spread of $\sim 17\%$ and $\sim 24\%$ respectively [5]. A large

magnetized iron calorimetric detector such as the proposed INO detector ICAL [3], could use atmospheric neutrinos to measure Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ within 10% and 30% respectively at 3σ with a statistics of 250 kTy [3].

4. Atmospheric neutrino experiments: Subdominant effects

The effect of the sub-dominant terms in the Super-Kamiokande (SK) atmospheric neutrino data is not yet at the statistically significant level. However, the sub-dominant terms, if observed in a future high statistics atmospheric neutrino experiment, can be used to give information on:

- Deviation of θ_{23} from its maximal value
- Octant of θ_{23}
- $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_{31}^2)$

Assuming a constant density for the earth matter, the excess of electron type events in a water Cerenkov experiment such as SK is given by [6, 7]

$$\frac{N_e}{N_e^0} - 1 \simeq \sin^2 2\theta_{12}^M \sin^2 \left(\frac{(\Delta m_{21}^2)^M L}{4E} \right) \times (r \cos^2 \theta_{23} - 1) \quad (1)$$

$$+ \sin^2 2\theta_{13}^M \sin^2 \left(\frac{(\Delta m_{31}^2)^M L}{4E} \right) \times (r \sin^2 \theta_{23} - 1) \quad (2)$$

$$+ \sin \theta_{23} \cos \theta_{23} r \text{Re} \left[A_{13}^* A_{12} \exp(-i\delta_{CP}) \right], \quad (3)$$

where L is the baseline, E is the energy of the neutrino, $r = N_e/N_\mu$, N_e and N_μ being the number of e and μ events respectively in the detector in absence of oscillations and θ_{12}^M , θ_{13}^M , $(\Delta m_{21}^2)^M$ and $(\Delta m_{31}^2)^M$ are the mixing angle and mass squared differences in matter.

- The first term in Eq. (3) is the Δm_{21}^2 driven oscillation term – which is obviously more important for the sub-GeV neutrino sample. Since $r \simeq 0.5$ in the sub-GeV regime, this term brings an excess (depletion) of sub-GeV electron events if $\theta_{23} < \pi/4$ ($\theta_{23} > \pi/4$). It can thus be used to study the maximality and octant of θ_{23} through the sub-GeV electron sample [6, 5].
- The second term is the θ_{13} driven oscillation term. Being dependent on $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, this term goes in the opposite direction to the first term. Therefore for sub-GeV neutrinos, larger θ_{13} would imply that the effect of the first term would get negated by this term. However for multi-GeV neutrinos, there will be large matter effects inside the earth and this term dictates the electron excess. The $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ dependence of this term could then be used to study the maximality and octant of θ_{23} through the multi-GeV electron sample (see also [8]). Since matter effects bring in sensitivity to the $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_{31}^2)$, this term can be used to study the mass hierarchy.
- The last term is the “interference” term [7], which depends on δ_{CP} . The effect of this term could be to dilute the effect of the first two terms and spoil the sensitivity of the experiment. However, being directly dependent on δ_{CP} , this term also brings in some sensitivity to the CP phase itself [7, 9].

The depletion of the muon events in the limit of $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 0$ is given by¹

$$1 - \frac{N_\mu}{N_\mu^0} = (P_{\mu\mu}^1 + P_{\mu\mu}^2) + (P_{\mu\mu}^3)' \sin^2 \theta_{23} (\sin^2 \theta_{23} - \frac{1}{r}), \quad (4)$$

¹ The approximation of taking a vanishing Δm_{21}^2 has been made in Eq. (7) only for the sake of simplicity, since the main subdominant effect in the muon neutrino channel comes from earth matter effects, which are large for multi-GeV neutrinos for which Δm_{21}^2 dependence is less importance. The results presented in the later sections have been obtained using the full numerical solution of the three-generation equation of the atmospheric neutrinos.

$$P_{\mu\mu}^1 = \sin^2 \theta_{13}^M \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \frac{[(A + \Delta m_{31}^2) - (\Delta m_{31}^2)^M] L}{8E}, \quad (5)$$

$$P_{\mu\mu}^2 = \cos^2 \theta_{13}^M \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \frac{[(A + \Delta m_{31}^2) + (\Delta m_{31}^2)^M] L}{8E}, \quad (6)$$

$$(P_{\mu\mu}^3)' = \sin^2 2\theta_{13}^M \sin^2 \frac{(\Delta m_{31}^2)^M L}{4E}, \quad (7)$$

where $A = 2\sqrt{2}G_F N_e E$ is the matter potential. For very small values of θ_{13} , there is very little matter effect and we can see that $P_{\mu\mu}^2$ is the dominant term in the survival probability. Since this term depends on $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ we do not expect octant sensitivity in absence of matter effects from experiments probing the $P_{\mu\mu}$ channel alone. However, if θ_{13} is not too small, neutrinos which travel through large baselines and hence large matter densities inside the earth, undergo large matter effects. The mixing angle θ_{13}^M increases in matter and the third term $(P_{\mu\mu}^3)'$ becomes important as well. Since this term has a strong dependence on $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ rather than $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$, we expect the $P_{\mu\mu}$ channel to develop sensitivity to the octant of θ_{23} in presence of large matter effects [10]. Probing matter effects in the resultant muon signal in the detector will also provide us with information on the neutrino mass hierarchy [11, 12].

Since matter effects are large for higher energy neutrinos, we expect that multi-GeV atmospheric $\nu_\mu/\bar{\nu}_\mu$ events can be used for this purpose. However, unlike in the case for matter effects in the $P_{\mu e}$ channel, both the magnitude and sign of the earth matter effects in the $P_{\mu\mu}$ channel depends crucially on L and E . The largest effect of earth matter comes for neutrino travelling $L \simeq 7000$ km with $E \sim 5$ GeV. The matter effects changes sign rapidly with L and E – with $\Delta(P_{\mu\mu}) < 0$ and $\Delta(P_{\mu\mu}) > 0$ at the maximum and minimum respectively of $P_{\mu\mu}$. Thus, in order to see the matter effects one needs to bin the data judiciously both in energy and zenith angle.

Very good energy and zenith angle detector resolution is expected for the magnetized iron calorimeters. Therefore, fine binning would allow such detectors to observe matter effects in the muon signal. Since large matter effects appear only in either the neutrino or the antineutrino channel, the magnetic field which allows for charge discrimination, further helps these type of detectors to observe earth matter effects in the muon channel. However, unless the iron plates of the detector are thin enough, it would not be possible to detect electrons in these type of detectors. The current INO-ICAL design does not allow for it and therefore would observe muon events only. Another restriction for these detectors come from the relatively higher threshold, which allows for the detection of only multi-GeV $\nu_\mu/\bar{\nu}_\mu$.

Water Cerenkov detectors have the advantage that sub-GeV neutrinos can be detected. However, the energy resolution is worse than that for iron calorimeters. For the results shown here, the data is binned in sub-GeV and multi-GeV bins and therefore the matter effects in the $P_{\mu\mu}$ channel get largely averaged out. This means that one would see very small residual matter effects in the multi-GeV muon sample. However, matter effects in the $P_{\mu e}$ channel do not change sign over most of the relevant range of E and L in the multi-GeV regime. Therefore, multi-GeV electron sample has large matter effects and can be used to study the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality and its octant as well as the neutrino mass hierarchy.

5. Is the mixing angle θ_{23} maximal?

The measurement of both the magnitude and sign of the deviation of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ from its maximal value 0.5 is of utmost theoretical importance. To quantify the deviation of the true value of θ_{23} from its maximal value, we introduce the function $D \equiv \frac{1}{2} - \sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The magnitude $|D|$ gives the deviation of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ from its maximal value, while $sgn(D)$ gives the octant of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The best current limit on $|D|$ comes from the SK atmospheric neutrino experiment




Figure 1. The regions of $\Delta m^2_{31}(\text{true})$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true})$ where maximal θ_{23} mixing can be rejected at 1σ (inner bands), 2σ (middle bands) and 3σ (outer bands) C.L. The left-hand panel [13] shows the sensitivity expected from the combined data from the LBL experiments. The middle panel [5] shows the sensitivity expected with atmospheric neutrinos in a megaton water detector (SK50). The extreme right-hand panel [10] shows the corresponding reach expected from 500 kTy atmospheric neutrino data in large magnetized iron detectors. The true value of θ_{13} is assumed to be zero.




Figure 2. Left-hand panel same as in Fig. 1. Middle panel (for water detector) and right-hand panel (for magnetized iron detector) have been drawn assuming that $\sin^2 \theta_{13}(\text{true}) = 0.04$.

giving $|D| \leq 0.16$ at 3σ [1] while $\text{sgn}(D)$ is almost unknown at present. Fig. 1 shows the potential of atmospheric neutrino experiments to test the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality and compares it with the reach of the combined data from the current and next generation long baseline experiments. The combined long baseline data include five years of running each of the MINOS, ICARUS, OPERA, T2K and NO ν A experiments. The middle panel gives the sensitivity to $|D|$ of atmospheric neutrino experiments with water detectors for a 4.6 Megaton-yr statistics, while the left panel shows the corresponding sensitivity of atmospheric neutrino data in large magnetized iron detectors with 500 kTy statistics. At $\Delta m^2_{31}(\text{true}) = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, it should be possible to measure $|D|$ within 19% and 25% at 3σ with atmospheric neutrinos in water and iron detectors respectively. This is slightly weaker than the sensitivity of the combined long baseline experiments, where it should be possible to measure $|D|$ within 14% at 3σ . However, note that all the results presented in Fig. 1 have been obtained assuming that the true value of θ_{13} was zero. Results for atmospheric neutrino experiments when the true value of θ_{13} is not zero is shown in Fig. 2. For non-zero θ_{13} , presence of earth matter effects in the $P_{\mu\mu}$ channel




Figure 3. Plot showing the octant sensitivity as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true})$, for an atmospheric neutrino experiment with large magnetized iron calorimeter (left-hand panel) and megaton water detector (right-hand panel).

| Type of Experiment | $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{false})$ excluded at 3σ if: | for |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Magnetized Iron (0.5 MTy) | $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}) < 0.402$ or > 0.592 | $\sin^2 \theta_{13}(\text{true}) = 0.02$ |
| | $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}) < 0.421$ or > 0.573 | $\sin^2 \theta_{13}(\text{true}) = 0.04$ |
| Water Cerenkov (4.6 MTy) | $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}) < 0.383$ or > 0.600 | $\sin^2 \theta_{13}(\text{true}) = 0.00$ |
| | $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}) < 0.438$ or > 0.573 | $\sin^2 \theta_{13}(\text{true}) = 0.02$ |

Table 1. A comparison of the potential of different experiments to rule out the wrong θ_{23} octant at 3σ (1 dof). The third column gives the condition on the true value of $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ needed for the θ_{23} octant resolution.

brings in a marginal improvement in the sensitivity of atmospheric neutrino experiment with the magnetized iron detector. For the megaton water atmospheric neutrino experiment, very large earth matter effects in the $P_{\mu e}$ channel bring in significant improvement in the determination of $|D|$, making this experiment comparable/better than the long baseline experiments for studying the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality.

6. Resolving the θ_{23} Octant Ambiguity

If the true value of θ_{23} is not 45° , then the question arises whether $\theta_{23} > (D \text{ positive})$ or $< \pi/4$ (D negative). This leads to an additional two-fold degeneracy in the measurement of the mixing angle θ_{13} and the CP phase δ_{CP} in LBL experiments. This ambiguity is generally regarded as the most difficult to resolve. As discussed before, the presence of earth matter effects in the zenith angle and energy binned atmospheric $\nu_\mu/\bar{\nu}_\mu$ data in magnetized iron detectors opens up the possibility of probing the octant of θ_{23} [10]. On the other hand atmospheric $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e$ data in water detectors could also give information on the octant of θ_{23} , both through the Δm_{21}^2 dependent subdominant term in the sub-GeV sample [6, 5], as well as through earth matter effect in the multi-GeV events, as discussed above. One could hence combine the atmospheric neutrino data, in either megaton water detectors [8, 14], or in large magnetized iron calorimeters with data from long baseline experiments to resolve parameter degeneracies.

In order to obtain the limiting value of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true})$ which could still allow for the determination of $sgn(D)$ we define

$$\Delta\chi^2 \equiv \chi^2(\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{true}), \sin^2 \theta_{13}(\text{true}), \text{others}(\text{true})) - \chi^2(\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{false}), \sin^2 \theta_{13}, \text{others}), \quad (8)$$

with $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (false) restricted to the wrong octant and ‘others’ comprising Δm_{31}^2 , Δm_{21}^2 , $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ and δ_{CP} . These, along with $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ as well as $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (false), are allowed to vary freely in the fit. Fig. 3 shows the results of a statistical analysis based on simulated data from atmospheric neutrinos with 500 kiloton-yr exposure in a large magnetized iron calorimeter (left-hand panel) and 4.6 Megaton-yr exposure in a water Cerenkov experiment (right-hand panel). For large magnetized iron detector we show results for four different values of $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ (true), assuming a normal mass ordering. For a given $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ (true), the range of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true), for which $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (false) can be ruled out with atmospheric neutrinos in magnetized iron detector is given in Table 1. This can be compared to the sensitivity possible with water Cerenkov detectors, shown for a true normal hierarchy in the right-hand panel of Fig. 3 and Table 1, where octant determination can be done reasonably well even if $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ (true) was zero [5]. However, if $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ (true) was non-vanishing and reasonably large, the octant sensitivity of this experiments gets significantly boosted through earth matter effects appearing in the multi-GeV electron sample.

7. Resolving the Ambiguity in Neutrino Mass Hierarchy

Large earth matter effects in atmospheric neutrinos can be exploited to probe the sign of Δm_{31}^2 . Fig. 4 [12] shows the sensitivity to $sgn(\Delta m_{31}^2)$ expected in a magnetized iron calorimeter, with 4000 observed upward going events. The data corresponds to a normal (solid lines) and inverted (dashed lines) hierarchy and the curves show the χ^2 and hence the C.L. with which the wrong hierarchy can be ruled out. The red lines correspond to an analysis method where all the oscillation parameters are fixed in the fit. The blue lines show the results of the fit where external priors for the oscillation parameters have been used. The green lines correspond to the case where all oscillation parameters are allowed to vary freely in the fit. The left-hand panel is for muon events in a detector with 15% energy and 15° zenith angle resolution, the middle panel is for muon events with 5% energy and 5° zenith angle resolution, while the right-hand panel is for electron events. For vanishing θ_{13} the matter effects vanish giving $\chi^2 = 0$. As θ_{13} increases, matter effects increase, thereby increasing the sensitivity of the experiment to hierarchy determination. For a INO-ICAL like detector, where energy resolution is expected to be around 15% and zenith angle resolution of about 15°, the wrong hierarchy can be ruled out at 2σ using the muon events, if $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ (true)= 0.1 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true)= 0.5, and where the information from the other long baseline experiments on the oscillation parameters have been included through the priors. Comparison of the left-hand with the middle panel shows that the sensitivity to hierarchy increases if the detector resolution is improved. Comparison of the left-hand with the right-hand panel shows that the sensitivity to hierarchy increases if the detector could detect electron type events as well. And of course since matter effects increase with θ_{23} , the sensitivity to hierarchy increases as the true value of θ_{23} increases.

The $sgn(\Delta m_{31}^2)$ can be done using the excess in the multi-GeV electron sample due to earth matter effects in water Cerenkov detectors. The wrong hierarchy can be ruled by a 4.6 Megaton-yr data in such an experiment at more than the 2σ limit if $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ (true)= 0.1 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ (true)= 0.5 (see also [8]). This is comparable to the sensitivity of the magnetized iron detectors as discussed above. However, since water detectors use the excess in electron events for multi-GeV neutrinos, which in turn have large matter effects in the $P_{\mu e}$ channel, they therefore depend also on the CP phase δ_{CP} as discussed before. If the value of δ_{CP} is allowed to vary freely in the fit then the sensitivity gets affected and decreases appreciably.

8. Looking for new physics

There are a variety of new physics scenarios which could manifest themselves as subdominant effects in oscillations of atmospheric neutrinos. Each one of these have a distinctive L/E behavior, while oscillations go linearly with L/E . This can be probed directly using the L/E




Figure 4. $\Delta\chi^2$ for the wrong hierarchy as a function of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}(\text{true})$. See [12] for details.

binned data in large iron detectors [15] or by comparing the low energy contained events with the high energy upward going muons in large water detectors [16]. Atmospheric neutrino events which constitute a background for the neutrino telescopes such as IceCube, can also be used effectively to constrain new physics. Neutrino telescopes look for neutrinos in the $(10^{-1} - 10^4)$ TeV range, for which standard oscillations of atmospheric neutrinos are negligible. Hence, any E or L dependence in the data would signify new physics. Likewise, the absence of any E and L dependence can be used to constrain the new physics parameters [17].

9. Conclusions

In this talk we explored the physics potential of future measurements of atmospheric neutrinos. Observation of Δm_{21}^2 driven sub-dominant effects and θ_{13} driven large matter effects in atmospheric neutrinos can be used to study the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality and its octant. Neutrino mass hierarchy can be determined extremely well due to the large matter effects. New physics can be constrained both in standard atmospheric neutrino experiments as well as in future neutrino telescopes.

- [1] Y. Ashie *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **71**, 112005 (2005).
- [2] Y. Ashie *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 101801 (2004).
- [3] M. S. Athar *et al.* [INO Collaboration], *India-based Neutrino Observatory: Project Report*.
- [4] P. Huber *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 073014 (2004).
- [5] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 093005 (2004).
- [6] O. L. G. Peres and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B **456**, 204 (1999).
- [7] O. L. G. Peres and A. Y. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **680**, 479 (2004).
- [8] P. Huber, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 053006 (2005).
- [9] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone and A. Palazzo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **57**, 742 (2006).
- [10] S. Choubey and P. Roy, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 013006 (2006).
- [11] J. Bernabeu *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **669**, 255 (2003); S. Palomares-Ruiz and S. T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys. B **712**, 392 (2005); D. Indumathi and M. V. N. Murthy, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 013001 (2005); R. Gandhi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 053001 (2006); R. Gandhi *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ph/0506145.
- [12] S. T. Petcov and T. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. B **740**, 1 (2006).
- [13] S. Antusch, P. Huber, J. Kersten, T. Schwetz and W. Winter, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 097302 (2004).
- [14] J. E. Campagne, M. Maltoni, M. Mezzetto and T. Schwetz, arXiv:hep-ph/0603172.
- [15] A. Datta *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 356 (2004).
- [16] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 033010 (2004) and references therein.
- [17] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 093010 (2005); D. Morgan *et al.*, Astropart. Phys. **25**, 311 (2006).