

# Absolute values of three neutrino masses from atmospheric mixing and an ansatz for the mixing-matrix elements

Saul Barshay and Georg Kreyerhoff  
 III. Physikalisches Institut  
 RWTH Aachen  
 D-52056 Aachen  
 Germany

October 29, 2018

## Abstract

Using data from atmospheric neutrino mixing, and a simple functional form for mixing angles, the absolute values of three neutrino masses are calculated:  $m_3 \cong 5.37 \times 10^{-2}$  eV,  $m_2 = (1.37 - 1.94) \times 10^{-2}$  eV,  $m_1 = (1.03 - 1.46) \times 10^{-2}$  eV. The quantities relevant for solar neutrino mixing are calculated:  $(m_2^2 - m_1^2) = (0.8 - 1.63) \times 10^{-4}$  eV<sup>2</sup>, with non-maximal mixing  $\tan^2 \theta_{\text{sol}} \cong 0.56$ . The analysis gives a suggestion of a dynamical origin for the empirical, large CP-violating phase associated with an intrinsically, very small mixing angle in the quark sector.

With the experimental determination [1, 2, 3] of two differences between squared masses for neutrinos, and nearly maximal mixing [1, 4, 5] for atmospheric neutrinos, the matter of the absolute value of the neutrino masses is of importance for many physical processes [6]. The purpose of this note is to use the atmospheric measurements [1]  $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 \cong 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  eV<sup>2</sup>,  $\tan^2 \theta_{\text{atm}} \cong 1$ , together with a simple ansatz for the functional form of the main elements of the lepton mixing matrix which is motivated by certain analogies to the quark sector, to determine three absolute values for neutrino masses:  $m_3 \cong 5.37 \times 10^{-2}$  eV,  $m_2 = (1.37 - 1.94) \times 10^{-2}$  eV,  $m_1 = (1.03 - 1.46) \times 10^{-2}$  eV. These masses are hierarchal, although not as markedly so as the masses of the three, charge (-1/3) quarks. A further essential result following from our calculations is that the second sizable mixing angle, responsible for the disappearance of solar, electron neutrinos is not maximal (i. e.  $45^\circ$ ), rather  $\tan^2 \theta_{\text{sol}} \cong 0.56$ . A comparison of the pattern of lepton mixing to that in the quark sector shows that in both cases, there appears to be a single, intrinsically, very small mixing angle ( $< 10^{-1}$  of either of the other two mixing angles). In the quark mixing matrix, this very small angle is associated with the Kobayashi-Maskawa [7], CP-violating phase  $\delta_{13}$ , which as presently determined, may well be large [8] ( $\gtrsim 45^\circ$ ). This can suggest that there may exist some kind of milli-weak interaction which itself grossly violates CP invariance. This interaction dynamically gives rise to a sizable CP-violating phase in the quark CKM matrix. This matrix then phenomenologically describes the “corrected” weak interactions with a third, very small mixing angle associated with the milli-weak strength, and a large CP-violating phase associated with the gross violation of the symmetry.

The mixing of three neutrino mass states,  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ , is described by the

mixing matrix, given in two alternative forms

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12}c_{23} & c_{12}s_{23} & s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} & -c_{12}s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The second form is written in the standard form [8], in terms of the sines (cosines) of the two mixing angles  $s_{12}, s_{23}(c_{12}, c_{23})$ . The sine of the third mixing angle  $s_{13}$  has been set equal to zero. With this approximation, the CP-violating phase factor  $e^{-i\delta_{13}}$  drops out of this phenomenological mixing matrix. The atmospheric data [1, 6] indicates that  $(m_3^2 - m_2^2) \cong 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ . The mixing is essentially maximal [1, 6], which means that  $s_{23} = \sin 45^\circ (= c_{23})$ . The depletion of atmospheric  $\nu_\mu$  via  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ , is proportional to  $|2U_{\mu3}U_{\tau3}|^2 \cong 1$ . Our ansatz for the functional form of the effective mixing angle  $\theta_{23}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{23} \cong 45^\circ &= \tan^{-1} \left( \mp \sqrt{\frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau}} \right) + \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_3}} \right) \\ &= \mp 14^\circ + \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_2}{m_3}} \right) \\ &\Rightarrow s_{23} = \sin \theta_{23} \cong 0.707 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We choose the positive sign for the first term, so that  $m_2 < m_3$ . Then eq. (2) and  $(m_3^2 - m_2^2) \cong 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  together, give

$$m_3 \cong 5.37 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}, \quad m_2 \cong 1.94 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV} \quad (3)$$

Eq. (2) defines an effective, positive mixing angle for neutrino mass states in terms of a difference between two mixing angles which are given by the square roots of mass ratios. The larger (positive) angle is given by a ratio of neutrino masses, and the smaller angle which can be negative or positive, by a ratio of charged-lepton masses. The motivation for the square root of mass ratio, is the physical idea that the mass  $m_2$  is generated by a second-order ‘‘radiative correction’’ proportional to  $(g^2/m_3)$  which arises from a coupling to the larger mass  $m_3$ , with a coupling parameter  $g = (m_3 \tan \theta)$  and  $\tan \theta = \sqrt{m_2/m_3}$ . The sign of such a coupling parameter is a physically relevant variable; we take it as determining the relative sign of the first term in eq. (2) above. Following this argument, the other effective mixing angle  $\theta_{12}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{12} &= \tan^{-1} \left( \mp \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_\mu}} \right) + \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \right) \\ &= \mp 4^\circ + \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If the sign of the first term were to be positive, we postulate that the sum would be the same as in eq. (2), i. e.  $\theta_{12} \cong 45^\circ$ . This is done because of a corresponding similarity in the two analogous equations in the quark sector, which are discussed below (eq. (8)). This determines  $\sqrt{m_1/m_2}$ , and hence

$$m_1 \cong 0.755m_2 \cong 1.46 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV} \Rightarrow (m_2^2 - m_1^2) \cong 1.63 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2 \quad (5)$$

The ‘‘hierarchical’’ character[6] of squared-mass differences is thus calculated. With the absolute value of both angles now fixed on the right-hand side of eq. (4), we take the negative sign of the first term as determining the actual value of  $\theta_{12}$

$$\theta_{12} \cong -4^\circ + 41^\circ = 37^\circ \Rightarrow \tan^2 \theta_{12} \cong 0.56 \quad (6)$$

This negative sign follows the pattern in the quark sector which gives rise to one smaller mixing angle (the second equation in eq. (8) below). The calculated results in eqs. (5,6) for  $(m_2^2 - m_1^2)$  and  $\tan^2 \theta_{12} = \tan^2 \theta_{\text{sol}}$  are well within the allowed range, and are in fact, close to best-fit values from the recent analyses [4, 5] of the KamLAND data (see fig. 1 and table 1 in ref. 4, and fig. 1 in ref. 5). The mixing of atmospheric neutrinos is controlled by  $|2U_{\mu 3}U_{\tau 3}|^2 = \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \cong 1$ , by assumption. The disappearance of solar  $\nu_e$  is controlled by the calculated quantity

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{e1}U_{e2}| &= \left| \{ |U_{e2}U_{\mu 2}|^2 + |U_{e2}U_{\tau 2}|^2 \}^{1/2} \right| \\ &= |\cos \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{12}| \cong 0.48 < |\cos \theta_{23} \sin \theta_{23}| = |U_{\mu 3}U_{\tau 3}| = 0.5 \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality reflects an important aspect of the data [4, 5]. The solar neutrino mixing appears to be not maximal, whereas the atmospheric neutrino mixing is essentially maximal, i. e.  $\tan^2 \theta_{12} \cong 0.56 < \tan^2 \theta_{23} \cong 1$ . From the second form in eq. (7) the solar  $\nu_e$  disappear about equally to  $\nu_\mu$  and  $\nu_\tau$ . As an example of the sensitivity of the results to small changes,  $m_{1,2} \rightarrow m_{1,2}/\sqrt{2}$  gives  $(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \cong 0.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$  with  $\tan^2 \theta_{12} \cong 0.56$  (these are about the simultaneous best-fit numbers from the data analysis in ref. 4). With  $m_3$  unchanged,  $(m_3^2 - m_2^2)$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$  change little, to  $\sim 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  and  $\sim 0.98$ , respectively.

Consider the equations in the quark sector [9] which are the analogues of eq. (4) and eq. (2), respectively (we denote the quark mixing angles by  $\tilde{\theta}_{12}$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}_{23}$ , and the sines by  $\tilde{s}_{12}$ ,  $\tilde{s}_{23}$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}_{12} &= \tan^{-1} \left( \mp \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} \right) + \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} \right) \cong -1.8^\circ + 13.7^\circ \cong 11.9^\circ \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{s}_{12} \cong 0.21 \\ \tilde{\theta}_{23} &= \tan^{-1} \left( \mp \sqrt{\frac{m_c}{m_t}} \right) + \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_b}} \right) \cong -5^\circ + 8^\circ \cong 3^\circ \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{s}_{23} \cong 0.05 \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

We have taken the negative sign for the smallest angles. We use [8]  $m_u \cong 1.5 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_d \cong 5 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $(m_s/m_d) \cong 17$ ,  $m_c \cong 1.4 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_b \cong 4.5 \text{ GeV}$ , and  $m_t \cong 175 \text{ GeV}$ . The approximate nature of the numbers obtained from eqs. (8) is also related to the approximate nature of the definite quark masses used. An approximate relation for the Cabibbo angle  $\tilde{\theta}_{12}$ , involving square roots of mass ratios, is long known. [10, 11] Two additional interesting features of eqs. (8) have been commented upon [9]: (1) the near cancellation which occurs for the effective  $\tilde{\theta}_{23}$ , and (2) if the positive sign were to be chosen for both of the smallest angles, then  $\tilde{\theta}_{12} \sim \tilde{\theta}_{23}$ . This is the motivation for the postulate following eq. (4) in the lepton sector, which determines  $(m_1/m_2)$ . (This common angle being smaller than  $\sim 45^\circ$  here, is of course related to the extreme smallness of  $m_{u,d}$  i. e. the approximate chiral SU(2) invariance of effective strong interactions.) With the minus sign, the near cancellation in determining the effective angle  $\tilde{\theta}_{23}$ , suggests that this angle might be considered to be “accidentally” significantly smaller than  $\tilde{\theta}_{12}$ . Similarly, the non-maximal mixing of the solar neutrinos need not appear as “unnatural”. None of the above considered effective mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$  ( $\tilde{\theta}_{ij}$ ) in the lepton and the quark sectors need to be considered as intrinsically small. The exception appears to be the empirical, non-zero  $\tilde{s}_{13} < 0.005$  in the quark sector [8]. However, this very small number is associated with the phase factor  $e^{-i\delta_{13}}$  in the quark-mixing matrix element  $|U_{u3}|$  with empirically,  $\delta_{13} > 45^\circ$  [8]. As remarked in the introduction, this can suggest the existence of an effective [12] milli-weak interaction which grossly violates CP invariance, and which gives a dynamical basis for the phenomenological parameterization of CP noninvariance which is contained in the unitary KM matrix.

It is instructive to compare our above results for  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ ,  $m_3$  and  $|U_{\mu 3}U_{\tau 3}|$ ,  $|U_{e1}U_{e2}|$ , with those of another recent calculation of the absolute values of three, hierarchal neutrino masses [13], which is based upon certain hypothetical, analogous structures for mixing in the lepton and quark sectors. These results are [13]  $m_3 = (5.2 - 5.4) \times 10^{-2}$  eV,  $m_2 = (1.0 - 1.3) \times 10^{-2}$  eV,  $m_1 = (0.2 - 0.5) \times 10^{-2}$  eV; and  $|U_{\mu 3}U_{\tau 3}| \cong (0.39 - 0.40)$ ,  $|U_{e1}U_{e2}| \cong (0.42 - 0.44)$ . There are two essential differences to our results. In contrast to eq. (7), here  $|U_{\mu 3}U_{\tau 3}| < |U_{e1}U_{e2}|$ , which means that the mixing of solar neutrinos is closer to maximal than the mixing of atmospheric neutrinos. This does not appear to be the case, from analyses of the present experimental data [4, 5]. The magnitude of  $|U_{e1}U_{e2}|$  itself, is significantly smaller than our value; this is related to a significantly smaller lowest mass  $m_1$ .

In conclusion, we have shown that the present data on the mixing of atmospheric neutrinos and simple arguments based upon dynamical generation of hierarchal mass structure, together with certain analogies to quark mixing, allow one to estimate the absolute values of three neutrino masses, and also the non-maximal mixing relevant for disappearance of KamLAND electron antineutrinos. There is a suggestion that the mixing matrix (empirically, for quarks) embodies a dynamical origin for a large CP-violating phase which is associated with an intrinsically, very small mixing angle. A possible implication is the presence of additional loop diagrams in  $B$  decays, with dependence upon squared four-momentum transfer as probed by a virtual gluon. An experimental effect might be a failure of the expected near equality [14] of the effective mixing angle for the mixing of  $B^0$  states as determined in two different processes,  $B^0(\bar{B}^0) \rightarrow J/\psi + K_S, \phi + K_S$ . [15]

## References

- [1] The Super-Kamiokande Collab., Y. Fukuda et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1562  
T. Futugami et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 3999
- [2] The SNO Collab., Q. R. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 011301  
Q. R. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 011302
- [3] The KamLAND Collab., K. Eguchi et al., hep-ex/0212021
- [4] J. N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, hep-ph/0212147v1
- [5] A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, R. Gandhi, S. Goswami and D. P. Roy, hep-ph/0212146v1  
M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 093003  
M. Maltoni et al., Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 013011
- [6] S. M. Bilenky, C. Giunti, J. A. Grifols and E. Masso, hep-ex/0211462v1
- [7] M. Kobayashi and J. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49** (1973) 652
- [8] Particle Physics Data Booklet, July 2002, pages 165-172  
Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara et al., Phys. Rev. **bf D66** (2002) 010001-1
- [9] S. Barshay and P. Heiliger, Astropart. Phys. **6** (1997) 323. This paper emphasized the physical importance of the relative sign between the two terms.
- [10] S. Weinberg, Festschrift in honor of I. I. Rabi (New York, Academy of Sciences, 1977)
- [11] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. **70B** (1977) 436

- [12] This effective interaction could also be due to a new kind of CP-violating, “milli-strong” interaction which corrects a weak interaction. There is a CP-violating, forward-backward asymmetry in the 13 curious Tevatron events reported by CDF in hep-ex/0109012. (Possibly related to effective 6-fermion interactions). In addition, there may be “excess” production of “*b*-like quarks” at the Tevatron. See D. Acosta et al., Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 052005; M. Cacciari and P. Nason, hep-ph/0204025v1.
- [13] R. Gastmans, P. Osland and T. T. Wu, hep-ph/0212060v1
- [14] Y. Grossman and M. P. Worah, Phys. Lett. **B395** (1997) 241
- [15] B. Aubert et al., BABAR Collab., hep-ex/0207070  
T. Augshev, BELLE Collab., BELLE-CONF-0232