

# On heavy Majorana neutrinos as a source of the highest energy cosmic rays

D. PALLE

Zavod za teorijsku fiziku

Institut Rugjer Bošković

Pošt. Pret. 180, HR-10002 Zagreb, CROATIA

*Cosmic ray events beyond the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin cut-off represent a great challenge for particle physics and cosmology. We show that the physics of heavy Majorana neutrinos, well defined by their masses, cross sections and lifetimes, could explain the highest energy cosmic rays as a consequence of the galactic annihilation of heavy neutrinos as cold dark matter particles. Galactic nuclei accelerators, colliding neutron stars (black holes) or shocks from the collapsed objects could produce ultra high energy cosmic rays as heavy neutrinos beyond the mass threshold at an arbitrary cosmic distance. We comment and also analyse the DAMA results with regard to heavy neutrinos as galactic halo CDM particles.*

It seems that cosmic ray events accumulated at Yakutsk, Haverah Park, Fly's Eye, HiRes and AGASA beyond the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) cut-off [1] are definitely established as an observational fact, but also as a theoretical problem. The gyroradius of the particle in the galactic magnetic field and available galactic energy sources are in conflict with these ultra high energy (UHE) events, which suggests its extragalactic origin. However, the GZK absorption length  $\lambda_{\gamma p} \simeq 10 Mpc$  cannot be reconciled with protons as extragalactic UHE cosmic rays.

From a variety of attempts to solve the problem, we mention only two that we find interesting and plausible: light neutrinos [2] and superheavy particles [3]. A detailed analysis of the Z-burst scenario [4] (interaction of UHE and relic light neutrinos) shows that it is difficult to find an astrophysical

mechanism that could simultaneously explain neutrino, nucleon and photon fluxes because they are subject of severe constraints. The proposed existence of a superheavy particle (which does not belong to any well-founded particle model or theory) and the attempt to explain UHE data through the decay or the annihilation of the superheavy particle requires rather unusual cross sections and a large flux of photons [3, 5].

In this paper we discuss the role of heavy Majorana neutrinos in order to explain UHE cosmic rays. Let us recall the main features of heavy neutrinos as a key ingredient of the theory described in Ref. [6]. We construct a theory free of the SU(2) global anomaly and free of the ultraviolet singularity in order to render this theory mathematically consistent. Light and heavy Majorana neutrinos are new key ingredients of the particle spectrum that play essential roles in cosmology [7]. It is important to emphasize the absence of Higgs scalars, as an essential fact to have cosmologically stable heavy neutrinos [7]. The Nambu-Goldstone scalars and heavy neutrinos are in a strong coupling regime.

Let us now focus on UHE cosmic ray events. Our first observation relies on the energies of UHE events. The laboratory energy of UHE events corresponds to the following centre of mass energy:  $E_{CM} \simeq \sqrt{2E_{LAB}m_p} = 750TeV$  for  $E_{LAB} = 3 \times 10^{20}eV$ . One can easily imagine astrophysical processes such as galaxy nuclei accelerators, shocks of collapsed stellar objects or colliding neutron stars [8], so energetic as to produce heavy neutrinos  $N_\mu$  or  $N_\tau$  with masses  $m_{N_i} = \mathcal{O}(100TeV)$  and lifetimes  $\tau_{N_i} = \mathcal{O}(10^{25}s)$  [7]. They can propagate through very large cosmological distances because they are neutral and cosmologically stable ( $\tau_{N_i} > 10^{17}s$ ) with no energy loss and avoiding the GZK cut-off. These cosmic UHE messengers can, at the end of its cosmic voyage, hit the relic cold dark matter (CDM) particles (again heavy Majorana neutrinos) that form the halo of our Milky Way galaxy. As a result of annihilation, the Standard Model (SM) particles, such as hadrons, light neutrinos and photons, besides heavy neutrinos, are produced.

We show in Ref. [7] that the Griest-Kamionkowski (GK) unitarity bound [9] for annihilation cross sections could be saturated by heavy Majorana neutrinos with mass  $\mathcal{O}(100TeV)$  strongly coupled to the Nambu-Goldstone scalars as shown in the diagram depicted in Fig. 1. In the strong coupling regime, the self-energy of the Nambu-Goldstone scalar is dominantly given by the loop in the Dyson-Schwinger (DS) equation [7] and the same is also valid for the effective scalar-neutrino coupling and its corresponding DS equation.

We can easily find a heavy neutrino annihilation process with scalars in the loop and two-particle final state as two electroweak gauge bosons (see Fig. 2). As we expect, the cross section of this process evaluated in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge can achieve and even exceed the GK unitarity bound (this is due to the approximate gauge dependent-calculation in the strong coupling regime) [7, 10]:

$$\sigma_A(N_i N_i \rightarrow ZZ; s \gg M_Z^2) = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_e^2 \alpha_e^2}{2 \times 64^2 \pi \sin^8 \theta_W} \sqrt{s(s - 4m_N^2)} \frac{sm_N^2}{M_Z^4} |C_0 - \Delta\Gamma_\Lambda|^2,$$

$$m_N = 100\text{TeV}, \tilde{\alpha}_e = 10^{-2}, \sqrt{s} \simeq 300\text{TeV}, \sigma_A \simeq 10^{-7}\text{GeV}^{-2}.$$

Thus, although we may conclude that besides heavy neutrinos, all other known SM particles, such as hadrons, neutrinos and photons, are produced in the annihilation of  $N_\mu$  or  $N_\tau$  CDM particles, one cannot precisely calculate rates and distributions because of the strong coupling [11].

From the analysis in Ref. [5] we estimate the annihilation cross section assuming that two leading jets are produced in the annihilation in the smooth component of the galactic halo:

$$\mathcal{F} = 2 \frac{d\mathcal{N}(E, E_{jet} = M_X)}{dE} \langle \sigma_{Av} \rangle \int d^3 \vec{d} \frac{n_X^2(d)}{4\pi |\vec{d} - \vec{d}_\odot|^2},$$

$$n_X(d) = \frac{N_0}{d(d + d_s)^2}, \quad N_0 \propto M_X^{-1},$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}(x)}{dx} \simeq \frac{15}{16} x^{-3/2}, \quad \text{for } x \ll 1, \quad x \equiv E/E_{jet}.$$

Taking into account the scaling of the flux on the CDM particle mass and the fit of UHE cosmic ray spectra (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [5]), we conclude on an order of magnitude relation (owing to large uncertainties in  $h, \Omega_m, m_N, v, \tilde{\alpha}_e$ , etc.):

$$\mathcal{F} \propto M_X^{-3/2} \langle \sigma_{Av} \rangle,$$

$$M_X = 10^{12}\text{GeV}, \langle \sigma_{Av} \rangle = 6 \times 10^{-27}\text{cm}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow m_N = 100\text{TeV}, v = 10^{-3} \Rightarrow \sigma_A = 4.8 \times 10^{-7}\text{GeV}^{-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_A(\text{GK unit. bound}) \approx \sigma_A(N_i N_i \rightarrow \text{SM part.}) \approx \sigma_A(\text{UHE cos. rays}).$$

Thus we see that the physics of heavy Majorana neutrinos can explain its present cosmic abundances, lifetimes and UHE cosmic ray events, but also one should notice the necessity of their existence to understand the physics of light neutrinos [6].

The other important search for the galactic CDM particle is a direct detection of the nucleon-CDM particle scattering for which the DAMA collaboration reported the evidence for the annual modulation of the scattering rate disfavouring the unmodulated behaviour by the probability  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  with the NaI set-up [12]. The model dependent interpretation of the signal as a spin-independent elastic nucleon-WIMP scattering gives for the mass  $m_{WIMP} \simeq 50 GeV$ , roughly,  $\sigma_s^{(p)} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-6} pb$  [12]. Our aim is to interpret these data as a spin-independent elastic nucleon-heavy neutrino scattering. In Fig. 3 one can visualize a typical process that contributes to such an elastic scattering. However, because of the strong coupling in the loop with the Nambu-Goldstone scalars and lack of our knowledge of this coupling at low-energy scales, it is impossible at present to reasonably evaluate this kind of diagrams. What we can do is the evaluation of the effective coupling of heavy neutrinos and quarks, assuming that the DAMA data are reliable.

The standard calculation of the total rate gives us the following formula [13]:

$$R = \rho_0 \sigma_s^{(n)} \phi(m_{WIMP}, E_T, A),$$

$$\phi(m_{WIMP}, E_T, A) = \left[ \frac{1 + m_{WIMP}/m_p}{1 + m_{WIMP}/m_{nucl}} \right]^2 A^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} v_0 m_{WIMP} m_r^2} \int_{E_T}^{+\infty} dQ T(Q) F^2(Q),$$

$$m_{nucl} = A m_p, \quad m_r = \frac{m_{WIMP} m_{nucl}}{m_{WIMP} + m_{nucl}},$$

$$T(Q) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} v_0 \int_{v_{min}}^{v_{esc}} \frac{f_1(v)}{v} dv,$$

$$F(Q)^2 = \left[ \frac{3j_1(qR_1)}{qR_1} \right]^2 \exp[-(qs)^2],$$

$$q = \sqrt{2m_{nucl}Q}, \quad R_1 = (R_0^2 - 5s^2)^{1/2}, \quad R_0 \simeq 1.2 fm A^{1/3}, \quad s \simeq 1 fm.$$

Now we can compare the cross sections for different WIMP masses with a target consisting of two different nuclei (like in the set-up of the DAMA experiment):

$$\begin{aligned}
fr(A_1) + fr(A_2) &= 1, \quad R_{A_i} = \frac{1}{fr(A_i)}R(total) \\
&\Rightarrow R^{-1}(total) = R_{A_1}^{-1} + R_{A_2}^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$R(total, m_{WIMP}) = R(total, m_N)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(\rho_0 \sigma_s^{(n)})_{WIMP}}{(\rho_0 \sigma_s^{(n)})_N} = \frac{\phi^{-1}(m_{WIMP}, E_T, A_1) + \phi^{-1}(m_{WIMP}, E_T, A_2)}{\phi^{-1}(m_N, E_T, A_1) + \phi^{-1}(m_N, E_T, A_2)}.$$

Using quark form factors as in Ref. [13], with the scalar cross section fit of the DAMA data, we estimate the effective coupling of heavy neutrino with quarks as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_s^{(p)} &= 3 \times 10^{-6} pb, \quad m_{WIMP} = 50 GeV, \\
\mathcal{L}_{int} &= f_{\chi q} \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{q} q, \quad \sigma_s^p = f_p^2 m_p^2 = 0.7^2 f_{\chi q}^2 m_p^2, \\
&\Rightarrow f_{\chi q} = 1.33 \times 10^{-7} GeV^{-2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{int} &= f_{Nq} \bar{N}_i \gamma_5 N_i \bar{q} q, \\
m_N &= 400 TeV \Rightarrow f_{Nq} = 1.09 \times 10^{-5} GeV^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It appears that the effective coupling  $f_{Nq}$  is close to the Fermi constant  $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} GeV^{-2}$ .

Let us make some concluding remarks. Evidently, the process like that in Fig. 3, as an example, can produce heavy neutrinos in very energetic astrophysical events on even larger energy scales than those already observed [8]. Almost without any energy loss and avoiding the GZK cut-off, cosmologically stable ( $\tau_{N_i} = \mathcal{O}(10^{25} s)$ ) heavy Majorana particles annihilate in the galactic halo producing hadron showers, gamma rays and UHE neutrinos. We have shown the compatibility of the annihilation cross section that fits UHE cosmic ray data with the GK unitarity bound for heavy neutrinos of mass  $m_{N_i} = \mathcal{O}(100 TeV)$ . In the case of the smooth galactic halo, one should observe correlations of events towards higher CDM densities at the centre of the halo. Halo models with subclumps require even smaller cross sections

[5]. UHE neutrino detectors, like AMANDA (or the future ICECUBE), have good prospects to observe UHE light neutrinos from galactic annihilation [14]. One should notice that heavy Majorana neutrinos are not strongly self-interacting dark matter [15].

Recent measurements of SuperKamiokande and SNO confirm the existence of lepton mixing and nonvanishing neutrino masses. However, any theoretical explanation must also take care of the spin-flavour flip and the magnetic field in the solar interior to understand the solar neutrino data [16], as well as to account for all three neutrino flavours. New data from KamLAND, MiniBOONE and K2K experiments could help to resolve some puzzles of neutrino oscillations. There is also an indication from the neutrinoless double beta decay experiment that neutrinos are Majorana particles [17].

Thus, forthcoming studies of UHE cosmic rays by Auger, EUSO and OWL might appear as a study of heavy  $N_\mu$  and  $N_\tau$  neutrinos, while the LHC collider might discover a  $N_e$  neutrino if  $m_{N_e} < E_{CM}(LHC)/2 = 7TeV$ .

\* \* \*

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia under Contract No. 00980103.

## References

- [1] Greisen K., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **16** (1966) 748; Zatsepin G. T. and Kuzmin V. A., *Pisma Ž.E.T.F.* **4** (1966) 114.
- [2] Weiler T. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (1982) 234.
- [3] Berezhinsky V., Kachelriess M. and Vilenkin A., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 4302; Kuzmin V. A. and Rubakov V. A., *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **61** (1998) 1028.
- [4] Kalashev O. E., Kuzmin V. A., Semikoz D. V. and Sigl G., *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 103003.
- [5] Blasi P., Dick R. and Kolb E. W., **astro-ph/0105232**, to appear in *Astropart. Phys.* (2002).

- [6] Palle D., *Nuovo Cimento A* **109** (1996) 1535.
- [7] Palle D., *Nuovo Cimento B* **115** (2000) 445.
- [8] Biermann P. L., *J. Phys. G* **23** (1997) 1.
- [9] Griest K. and Kamionkowski M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 615; Hui L., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 3467.
- [10] Palle D., *Hadronic J.* **24** (2001) 89; Palle D., *ibidem* **24** (2001) 469.
- [11] Jungman G. and Kamionkowski M., *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 328.
- [12] Bernabei R. et al, **astro-ph/0205047** (2002).
- [13] Jungman G., Kamionkowski M. and Griest K., *Phys. Rep.* **267** (1996) 195.
- [14] Barbot C., Drees M., Halzen F. and Hooper D., **hep-ph/0205230** (2002).
- [15] Spergel D. N. and Steinhardt P. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 3760.
- [16] Friedland A. and Gruzinov A., **hep-ph/0202095** (2002).
- [17] Klapdor-Kleingrothaus H. V., Dietz A., Harney H. L. and Krivosheina I. V., *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** (2001) 2409.

**Caption of Fig. 1:** Annihilation into heavy Majorana neutrinos;  $\chi^0$  denotes the Nambu-Goldstone scalar.

**Caption of Fig. 2:** Example of annihilation into SM particles;  $\chi^0$  denotes the Nambu-Goldstone scalar,  $V_i$  denotes the EW gauge boson.

**Caption of Fig. 3:** Example of heavy neutrino-quark elastic scattering;  $\chi^0$  denotes the Nambu-Goldstone scalar,  $V_i$  denotes the EW gauge boson.





