

Comment on stability of atoms and nuclei in multidimensional TeV scale gravity

U. Günther^{†*}, A. Kuklov^{‡†}, and A. Zhuk^{§‡}

[†]Gravitationsprojekt, Mathematische Physik I,
Institut für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam,
Am Neuen Palais 10, PF 601553, D-14415 Potsdam, Germany

[‡]College of Staten Island, CUNY, NY, USA

[§]Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, CSIC,
C/ Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain

(19.12.2000)

We discuss stability of atoms and nucleons in the presence of multidimensional gravity characterized by the unified energy scale $M_{4+n}c^2 \approx 1$ TeV. We point out that the multidimensional gravitational attraction can create additional bound states deep inside of atomic and nucleon constituents. These states would be characterized by sizes comparable to the TeV scale distance $R_T = \hbar/(M_{4+n}c)$. We show that shallow gravity induced bound states between an electron and a quark are ruled out, because collapse processes in atoms to such bound states would occur on time scales which are in contradiction to current data on the stability of ordinary matter. The gravitational attraction may also produce diquark states, which should be considered in addition to those discussed in the literature. The estimates indicate that, for TeV scale gravity, the problem of UV divergencies and UV renormalization is crucial. Some universal renormalization mechanism should exist, which stabilizes atoms in the presence of multidimensional gravity.

PACS : 04.50.+h

Recently the idea of multidimensional TeV scale gravity has been put forward in Refs. [1] as a possible resolution of the hierarchy problem and as a step toward unification of the Electro-Weak interactions and Gravity. One of the main consequences of this approach is that the gravitational potential $\varphi_G(r)$ produced by a point-like mass M will deviate from the Newton's law $\varphi_{NG}(r) = -GM/r$ very significantly as

$$\varphi_G(r) = -G \frac{M}{r} \left(\frac{R_n}{r} \right)^n \quad (1)$$

at distances $r \ll R_n$. Here R_n stands for the typical size of the n internal dimensions of the $(4+n)$ -dimensional spacetime and G denotes the observable Newton's gravitational constant. The value R_n is fixed by the 4-dimensional Planck mass $M_{Pl} \equiv M_{(4)Pl} = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$ and energy $M_{Pl}c^2 \approx 10^{19}$ GeV, and by the energy scale $M_{4+n}c^2$ of the $(4+n)$ -dimensional gravity. Specifically, for a toroidal internal space

$$R_n = \frac{\hbar}{cM_{4+n}} \left(\frac{M_{Pl}^2}{M_{4+n}^2} \right)^{1/n} = (2.0 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}) \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_{4+n}c^2} \right) \left(\frac{M_{Pl}^2}{M_{4+n}^2} \right)^{1/n}. \quad (2)$$

Eq. (2) indicates that for $M_{4+n} \ll M_{Pl}$, the size of the compactified dimensions R_n becomes much larger than the Planck scale $L_{Pl} \equiv \hbar/cM_{Pl} \approx 10^{-33}$ cm. For example, in the case $M_{4+n}c^2 = 1$ TeV [1], one finds $R_2 \approx 10^{-1}$ cm, $R_3 \approx 10^{-6}$ cm, corresponding to distances below the reach of present experimental tests of gravitational forces.

In this letter, we point out that so large values of R_n imply that physics of atoms and nucleons (bound to a $(3+1)$ -dimensional world brane) becomes very sensitive to the UV behavior of gravity in $4+n$ dimensions. Specifically, atoms and nucleons may become unstable with respect to a collapse. This can be avoided by introducing a cut-off for the potential (1) below some distance r_s , determined by a specific screening or renormalization behavior. Below we will restrict the analysis to order of magnitude estimates of the required values of r_s .

*e-mail: u.guenther@htw-zittau.de

†e-mail: abk@bluebird.apsc.csi.cuny.edu

‡e-mail: ai_zhuk@imaff.cfmac.csic.es

on leave from: Department of Physics, University of Odessa, 2 Dvoryanskaya St., Odessa 65100, Ukraine

We start our consideration by noting that a non-relativistic quantum mechanical system with singular attractive potential $\sim 1/r^{1+n}$ and $n > 1$ is known to have no ground state [2]. The system is unstable and a collapse will occur. The collapse in a relativistic system described by the Dirac equation with potential $U(r \rightarrow 0) \sim 1/r^{1+n}$ occurs as well [3]. Clearly, at atomic and nuclear distances the strength of the additional gravitational potential (1), e.g. between nuclei and shell electrons, is some ten orders weaker than the strength of the nuclear Coulomb potential¹ $\varphi_C = Z|e|/r$, $e < 0$. Even weaker it is compared with the strength of strong interactions between constituent quarks inside the nucleons. Nevertheless, regardless of the strength of the $1/r^{1+n}$ potential (1) at atomic or nuclear distances, a collapse will occur if the form of the potential (1) holds down to $r = 0$ without lower bound.

A possible way to exclude a collapse could consist in some universal renormalization mechanism which makes the potential (1) non-singular for $r \leq r_s$. For our subsequent considerations we will assume that such a renormalization is present. We consider, for simplicity, the following effective potential

$$\tilde{\varphi}_G(r) = -G \frac{M}{r + r_s} \left(\frac{R_n}{r + r_s} \right)^n, \quad r_s > 0, \quad (3)$$

instead of (1).

We assume that the cut-off follows from string theory/M-theory. A natural scale for the upper energy cut-off is, then, the string tension $M_s c^2$. Thus, the distance $\hbar/M_s c$ determines the cut-off scale r_s . Obviously, such a cut-off leads to a lower bound for the discrete part of the energy spectrum. In this regard, several situations for the gravity induced bound states are possible: (i) there are "shallow" bound states characterized by energy values $E = mc^2 - E_b$, such that $-mc^2 < E < mc^2$, ($E_b > 0$ denotes the binding energy); (ii) there are deep bound states only with $E < -mc^2$ residing in the positron continuum; (iii) no bound states exist in the potential (3).

An instability of atoms due to large extra dimensions will occur in the case (i), if the additional gravity induced bound states are empty. Then a transition/collapse of an electron, e.g., from the K-shell to such a bound state (formed inside the nucleus) can occur². In the case (ii), the bound states will be filled by electrons quite rapidly from the vacuum, so that their partners — positrons are emitted to the outside (this process is similar to the generation of a charged vacuum in the vicinity of overcritical nuclei [5,6]). As we will see below, these bound states are formed at distances where the strength of the gravitational potential overcompensates the Coulomb potential. Accordingly, positrons will equally be attracted to practically the same bound states. The filling of these positron states from the vacuum will be accompanied by the emission to infinity of their partners — electrons. As a result, the system will contain real electron-positron pairs, which are located in the vicinity of the gravitating center, so that the total charge of the nucleus does not change. In accordance with the Pauli's exclusion principle, no transitions to the filled deep bound states can occur from atomic orbitals, so that the stability of atoms is insured in this case. Thus, the stability of atoms is not affected at all by the presence of deep bound states. Obviously, no gravity induced transition/collapse occurs in the case (iii).

In this letter, we consider possible implications of the presence of shallow bound states with $-mc^2 < E < mc^2$, because, as we have noted above, only in this case the collapse problem becomes relevant. In what follows we are confronted with the problem of an adequate description of our system. To be specific, let us consider an atom. If the collapse occurs, e.g., for an electron from the K-shell to one of the hypothetical gravity induced bound states deep into the nucleus, then the initial state is a well defined atomic state. Due to its smallness at atomic distances, the additional gravitational potential can be taken into account as perturbation, and the

¹An estimate for Hydrogen ($Mc^2 = 0.94$ GeV, $mc^2 = 0.51$ MeV) and the fundamental scale $M_{4+n}c^2 = 1$ TeV gives, e.g., at the Bohr radius r_B : $\frac{\varphi_G(r_B)m}{\varphi_C(r_B)e} = \frac{GmM}{e^2} \left(\frac{R_n}{r_B} \right)^n \approx 10^{-7} \times 4^n 10^{-9n}$ and at the proton surface ($r_p \approx 10^{-13}$ cm): $\frac{\varphi_G(r_p)m}{\varphi_C(r_p)e} \approx 10^{-7} \times 10^{-4n}$.

²Due to its small "orbit" size, such a gravity induced bound state should be formed between an electron and a nucleon constituent, i.e., a quark. Clearly, the form of the effective gravitating potential will deviate from (3) due to the mass distribution inside the nucleus. At atomic distances of order of the Bohr radius the gravitating mass of the center is given by the mass of the whole nucleus. Inside one of the nucleons it is given by the mass of one of the constituent quarks (e.g., for a u-quark, by $m_u \approx 310$ MeV [4]), whereas at smaller distances it will be defined by the bare mass of the corresponding quark ($m_u \approx 4$ MeV). This means that a screening form factor should be included into the potential (3). For our rough estimates we do not take into account such a form factor.

corresponding non-relativistic low-energy physics is well described by the Schrödinger equation. The problem arises when one considers the final state — one of the hypothetical gravity induced bound states. We will see below, that if such states with energies $-mc^2 < E < mc^2$ indeed exist, then the corresponding potential energy and kinetic energy of the bound electron would be of order of 1 TeV or even higher. Clearly, at such high energies the bound state approach is not completely adequate³. We understand that the following estimates can only be interpreted as a first crude indication of the collapse problem in TeV scale gravity.

In traditional models of heterotic string theory, the energy $M_s c^2$ is only few orders less than the Planck energy. Accordingly, the cut-off distance r_s is only slightly larger than L_{Pl} and much smaller than the characteristic TeV scale distance R_T : $L_{Pl} \sim 10^{-33}\text{cm} \lesssim r_s \ll R_T \approx 10^{-17}\text{cm}$. In this case, as will be shown below, the potential (3) can support plenty of the deep bound states with $E \ll -mc^2$. Shallow bound states are possible as well. Thus, the cases (i) and (ii) become possible. We should also note that, if the number of deep bound states is very large, the gravitational potential may become so strongly modified by the correspondingly large number of bound electrons and positrons, that an absolute instability with respect to gaining more and more particles from the vacuum develops. The realization of the latter scenario depends significantly on the ratio r_s/R_T . In this letter, we will not discuss this issue in detail. We only note that, in order to avoid the vacuum instability, the scale r_s must be much larger than 10^{-33}cm . Thus, the string energy must be lowered considerably. Such a lowering of the string energy scale $M_s c^2$ down to 1 TeV has been considered, e.g., in [1,7]. As discussed in [7], only certain types of strings can satisfy this requirement without producing contradictions with observations.

A natural criterion for the existence of bound states in any attractive potential with a potential energy $U(r)$ can be obtained by comparing it with the kinetic energy T_{kin} of the particle confined in a space region characterized by some linear dimension r . In general, no relativistic bound state exists if the condition

$$|U(r)| \ll \frac{\hbar c}{r} \quad (4)$$

holds. In TeV scale gravity, this condition (4) is already violated by the unrenormalized potential (1) (produced by the mass M and attracting the mass m) at the distance r_c given by $|U(r_c)| \approx \hbar c/r_c$. Employing $U(r) = \varphi_G(r)m$ and excluding R_n from (1) by means of Eq. (2) we find

$$r_c \approx R_n \left(\frac{GmM}{\hbar c} \right)^{1/n} = R_T \left(\frac{Mm}{M_{4+n}^2} \right)^{1/n}. \quad (5)$$

The quantity r_c represents a rough estimate for the size of the largest "orbit" of a gravity induced bound state in the potential (1). For $M_{4+n}c^2 \sim 1$ TeV and $Mc^2 = 0.94$ GeV, $mc^2 = 0.51$ MeV, this gives the estimate $r_c \approx 10^{-10/n}R_T \approx 10^{-17-10/n}\text{cm}$. From eq. (5) we see that for particles with masses $Mc^2, mc^2 \leq M_{4+n}$ the distance r_c is smaller than the TeV scale length $r_c \leq R_T$. This means that the characteristic size of the largest "orbit" lies already in the region of strong TeV scale gravity where (multidimensional) quantum gravity processes become relevant. These estimates confirm the relativistic ansatz for the kinetic energy $T_{kin} \approx \hbar c/r$ of the bound particles which becomes much larger than their rest energies. Accordingly, the rest masses M and m in the potential $U(r) = m\phi_G(r)$ should also be replaced by the corresponding expressions for the mass-energies $\hbar/(cr)$ [8], so that effectively $U(r) = -(G/r)(\hbar/(cr))^2(R_n/r)^n$ should be employed for estimating r_c . As a result, one arrives at the relation $r_c \approx R_T^{-4}$ for any value of n .

The above analysis implies that the model is very sensitive to the UV behavior induced by the extra dimensions. Obviously, for $r_s \gg r_c$ no bound states will be formed in the renormalized potential (3). In the opposite case, when $r_s \ll r_c$, the potential (3) should contain plenty of bound states. The situation $r_s \sim r_c$ is marginal. It is, however, obvious that there is a certain critical value $r'_s = \gamma r_c$, with γ being some numerical factor of order of 1, so that for $r_s > r'_s$ the bound states disappear, and for $r_s \rightarrow r'_s$ from below the bound states are shallow and approach the lower boundary of the upper part of the continuous spectrum. In order to find γ , the exact problem should be solved.

³More adequate could be a Bethe-Salpeter approach with inclusion of electroweak interactions, and of the Higgs sector as well as the of whole tower of massive Kaluza-Klein gravitons. Additionally, at distances $r < R_T$ and energies above $M_{4+n}c^2$, strong TeV scale quantum gravity effects, which could lead to topology fluctuations, should also be taken into account.

⁴In this case, the condition $|U(r_c)| \approx \hbar c/r_c$ can be written as $-\phi_G(r_c)/c^2 \approx 1$ which implies that the gravitational potential is in the range of intermediate gravity and at the upper bound of the employed Newtonian approximation.

We note that the existence of empty shallow bound states would strongly destabilize ordinary atoms on a time scale which is comparable with times of usual atomic transitions. Indeed, let us assume that there is a TeV scale gravity induced shallow bound state $\psi_G(\mathbf{r})$ for an electron. In rough approximation, such a state can be modeled by the Dirac equation with an effective potential corresponding to a spherical well of a depth $U_0 \approx m|\tilde{\varphi}_G(0)|$ and of the radius r_s . This potential should transform into the standard Coulomb potential at $r > r_0 \sim r_c$ ⁵. It is important that, regardless of the details of the problem on distances $r \sim r_s$, the behavior of $\psi_G(\mathbf{r})$ outside the gravitational potential is determined by the Coulomb potential and by the value of the energy E . For shallow bound states the long distance asymptotic is given by

$$\psi_G(\mathbf{r}) = A_0 \frac{e^{-\lambda r}}{r}, \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{m^2 c^2 - E^2/c^2}/\hbar$ [3]; A_0 stands for some constant which should be determined from the solution at small distances. To be more specific, the solution in the region where gravity dominates ($r \sim R_T$) must be matched with the standard behavior in the Coulomb potential [3]. In some sense, the constant A_0 defines the part of the gravity induced bound state which spreads outside the region of strong gravitational attraction. The quantity λ^{-1} sets a typical distance scale for the extension of $\psi_G(\mathbf{r})$. Obviously, $\lambda^{-1} \gg r_c \approx R_T$ for an electron. The amplitude A_0 in the asymptote (6) determines also the scattering phases [3], and for any realistic potential these phases should be finite (see [2]), and therefore A_0 should also be finite in the limit $r_s \rightarrow 0$.

The above analysis implies that the overlap of the gravity induced shallow bound state with any atomic state (with characteristic extension $r_{in} \approx 10^{-8}$ cm) is determined by the ratio $\chi = (r_{in}\lambda)^{-3} \geq 10^{-8}$ rather than by the smallness $(r_c/r_{in})^3 \sim 10^{-27}$. For the K-shells of heavy elements, the ratio χ can be as large as 10^{-2} . Thus, the corresponding matrix elements of the photon assisted transitions from atomic orbitals to gravity induced shallow bound states are only insignificantly reduced by χ . This becomes especially clear when one considers observational implications of such transitions/collapses. Indeed, in order to reconcile the existence of the shallow bound states with current data on the stability of ordinary matter, the collapse rate must be smaller than at least the inverse age of the Universe 10^{-18}s^{-1} . In fact, observational limitations on the stability are much stronger. Experimental lower bounds on a possible disappearance of K-shell electrons in Iodine atoms yield, e.g., a half-life time of such processes $> 10^{29} - 10^{31}\text{s}$ [9]. The above value of χ can reduce the typical rates of the atomic transitions from $10^{15} - 10^{18}\text{s}^{-1}$ to about $1 - 10^7\text{s}^{-1}$ only. This, implies that the existence of shallow gravity induced bound states (the possibility (i)) is absolutely excluded for electrons.

Thus, if multidimensional TeV scale gravity induces bound states, then these states should not be shallow. In fact, these states should lie deep enough so that the escaping part of the electron wave function (6) does not significantly modify the probability of finding the atomic electrons at the nucleus. Otherwise, such deep gravity induced states would contradict to the current data on the atomic hyperfine splitting, which is known to be sensitive to the probability of finding electron near the nucleus [2]. In this letter, we will not further discuss possible implications of the hypothetical gravity induced deep bound states. We only note that in the case (ii), the Pauli exclusion principle would stabilize the system, so that transitions of atomic electrons to such states will not occur. Thus, in the case (ii), the presence of the TeV scale gravity potential will have no direct consequence on the stability of atoms.

We note that, for a realization of the case (iii) with no bound states present at all, the cut-off r_s should satisfy the condition

$$r_s > \gamma r_c \approx R_T. \quad (7)$$

The above analysis has been carried out for electrons in the field of some massive center. A similar stability problem, which, however, leads to less drastic consequences than in the case of the atomic electrons, exists for quarks in nucleons. In this case, the TeV scale gravity may induce, e.g., diquark states at various energy scales. Such states, which are characterized by the quarks separation $\sim R_T$ should be considered in addition to those discussed in the literature [10]. We will not dwell on this here.

⁵The distance r_0 , where the potential energy of an electron in the gravitational field of another particle becomes comparable with its energy due to the Coulomb attraction by a unit electric charge, is in the ultra-relativistic limit roughly given by $(G/r_0)(\hbar/(cr_0))^2(R_n/r_0)^n \sim e^2/r_0$ (without running couplings taken into account). Accordingly, one has $r_0 \approx (\hbar c/e^2)^{1/(n+2)} R_T = (137)^{1/(n+2)} R_T > r_c \approx R_T$.

Summarizing the above discussion, we are led to the conclusion that the UV problem is very acute in multi-dimensional TeV scale gravity⁶, and any renormalization scheme should ensure that at least no shallow bound states with binding energies below the electron rest mass can be formed. A similar stability problem as for TeV scale gravity will also occur in Randall-Sundrum models [11]. Although the effective on-brane gravitational potential on the Planck brane differs from that on a probe brane, the short distance behavior on both types of branes is given by a r^{1+n} term with $n \geq 1$ [12], so that an appropriate circumvention of the corresponding collapse problem should be found. We should also note that our results are not valid for models [13], where due to the induced metric on the brane, the gravity on the brane is exactly 4-dimensional all the way down to distances of order $\hbar/M_{Pl}c$.

Acknowledgments We thank Valery Rubakov and Gia Dvali for valuable comments. This work has been initiated during A.Z.'s visit at the College of Staten Island of CUNY. A.Z. acknowledges support by CUNY, the US Federal Department of Foreign Affairs, the programme SCOPES (Scientific co-operation between Eastern Europe and Switzerland) of the Swiss National Science Foundation, project No. 7SUPJ062239, and the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport. U.G. acknowledges financial support from DFG grant KON 1575/1999/GU522/1.

-
- [1] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B429, (1998), 263 - 272, hep-ph/9803315; N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Rev. D59:086004 (1999), hep-ph/9807344.
 - [2] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics*, v.III, Pergamon Press, Oxford-NY, 1977.
 - [3] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Relativistic Quantum Theory*, Part I, v.IV, Pergamon Press, Oxford-NY, 1971.
 - [4] M. Lavelle and D. McMullan, Phys. Rep. 279 (1997) 1 - 65, hep-ph/9509344.
 - [5] Ya.B. Zeldovich and V.S. Popov, Uspehi Fizich. Nauk, 105 (1971) 403.
 - [6] W. Greiner, B. Müller and J. Rafelski, *Quantum electrodynamics of strong fields*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
 - [7] J.D. Lykken, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 3693 - 3697, hep-th/9603133; I. Antoniadis and K. Benakli, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 4237 - 4286, hep-ph/0007226.
 - [8] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Chapter 23, Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
 - [9] Y. Aharonov et al, Phys. Rev. D52 (1995) 3785 - 3792; P. Belli et al, Phys. Lett. B460 (1999) 236 - 241.
 - [10] M. Anselmino, E. Predazzi, S. Ekelin, S. Frederiksson, and D. Lichtenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65 (1993) 1199 - 1233.
 - [11] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370 - 3373, hep-ph/9905221; Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4690 - 4693, hep-th/9906064.
 - [12] S. Giddings, E. Katz and L. Randall, JHEP 0003 (2000) 023, hep-th/0002091; D. Chung, L. Everett and H. Davoudias, "Experimental probes of the Randall-Sundrum infinite extra dimension", hep-ph/0010103.
 - [13] G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, "Gravity on a brane in infinite-volume extra space", hep-th/0008054.

⁶Similar stability arguments for atoms can be applied to the physics on a brane of some finite width R_B . The traditional attractive Coulomb potential should convert into its multidimensional counterpart $V_C(r) \sim 1/r^{n+1}$ at $r \lesssim R_B$. Accordingly, additional induced states with $r_c \sim R_B$ could exist unless some universal renormalization mechanism in $4+n$ dimensions makes the potential less singular at such distances.