

ON TRANSVERSE ENERGY PRODUCTION IN HADRON COLLISIONS

Andrei Leonidov^{(a),(b)}

(a) *Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY 11973, USA*

(b) *Theoretical Physics Department, P.N. Lebedev Physics Institute
117924 Leninsky pr. 53, Moscow, Russia*¹

Abstract

The transverse energy spectrum in the unit rapidity window in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV is calculated to the next-to-leading order accuracy $O(\alpha_s^3)$ and compared to the experimental data by UA(2) collaboration [2]. We show that the calculated spectrum starts matching experimental data only at relatively large transverse energy $E_\perp \sim 60$ GeV and is in essential disagreement with it both in shape and magnitude at lower transverse energies. The data are well reproduced by HIJING Monte-Carlo generator indicating the crucial importance of all-order effects in perturbation theory as well as those of hadronization in describing the transverse energy production in hadron collisions at small and intermediate transverse energies.

¹Permanent address

In this note we calculate to the next-to-leading (NLO) order accuracy the transverse energy spectrum in the central rapidity window within perturbative QCD and compare it to experimental data obtained by UA2 collaboration [2]. The NLO calculation of a generic jet cross section requires using a so-called jet defining algorithm specifying the resolution for the jet to be observed, for example, the angular size of the jet-defining cone, see e.g. [5]. The cross section in question is calculated by integrating the differential one over the phase space, with the integration domain restricted by the jet characteristics fixed by the jet-defining algorithm. Schematically the NLO distribution of the transverse energy produced into a given rapidity interval $y_a < y < y_b$ is given, to the $O(\alpha_s^3)$ order, by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_\perp} = & \int D^2 PS \frac{d\sigma}{d^4p_1 d^4p_2} \delta(E_\perp - \sum_{i=1}^2 |p_{\perp i}| \theta(y_{min} < y_i < y_{max})) \\ & + \int D^3 PS \frac{d\sigma}{d^4p_1 d^4p_2 d^4p_3} \delta(E_\perp - \sum_{i=1}^3 |p_{\perp i}| \theta(y_{min} < y_i < y_{max})) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the first contribution corresponds to the two-particle final state and the second contribution to the three-particle one. The two-particle contribution has to be computed with one-loop corrections taken into account.

In perturbative QCD one can rigorously compute only infrared safe quantities [5], in which the divergences originating from real and virtual gluon contributions cancel each other, so that adding very soft gluon does not change the answer. It is easy to convince oneself, that the transverse energy distribution into a given rapidity interval Eq. (1) satisfies the above requirement².

The calculation of transverse energy spectrum in $p\bar{p}$ collisions was performed in [1] using the Monte-Carlo code developed by Kunzst and Soper [7], and a "jet" definition appropriate for transverse energy production Eq. (1). Recently the transverse energy production to the NLO accuracy was also discussed in [8], where the only the moments of transverse energy distribution were discussed and no comparison with experimentally observed transverse energy spectra was made.

In Fig. 1 we compare the LO and LO+NLO transverse energy spectra for $p\bar{p}$ collisions, calculated as in [1], with the experimental data on transverse energy distribution in the central rapidity window $|y| < 1$ and azimuthal coverage $\pi/6 \leq \varphi \leq 11\pi/6$ at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV measured by UA2 Collaboration [2]. We see that the perturbative LO+NLO calculations start merging with the experimental data only around quite a large scale $E_\perp \sim 60$ GeV. It is interesting to note, that it is precisely around this energy, that the space

²For a formal definition of infrared safety see, e.g., [7]




Figure 1: Transverse energy spectrum in $p\bar{p}$ collisions calculated within LO+NLO accuracy in perturbative QCD vs the experimental data by UA2 collaboration [2]

of experimental events starts to be dominated by two-jet configurations [2]. This means that only starting from these transverse energies the assumptions behind the perturbative calculation (collinear factorization at leading twist, explicit account for all contributions of a given order in α_s) are becoming adequate to the observed physical process of transverse energy production providing the required duality between the description of dominant configuration contributing to transverse energy production at this order in perturbation theory and the final state transverse energy carried by hadrons. At $E_\perp \leq 50$ GeV the calculated spectrum is in radical disagreement with the experimental one both in shape and magnitude calculated and observed spectrum is very large indicating the inadequacy of the considered $O(\alpha_s^3)$ perturbative calculation in this domain. Let us mention here, that it is currently impossible to improve the results of the above calculation, because neither calculations of higher order nor infinite order resummation for this process are

currently available ³.

In practical terms this means that additional model assumptions are needed to achieve agreement with experimental data strongly indicating that higher order corrections and higher twist effects have to be taken into account (in a necessarily model-dependent way) in order to describe them. In the popular Monte-Carlo generators such as PYTHIA [3] and HIJING [4] such effects as multiple binary parton-parton collisions, initial and final state radiation and transverse energy production during hadronization are included. In Fig. 2 we compare the same experimental data by UA(2) [2] with the spectrum calculated with HIJING event generator. To show the relative importance of different dynamical mechanisms, in Fig. 2 we plot the contributions from the hard parton scattering without initial and final state radiation, full partonic contribution and, finally, the transverse energy spectrum of final hadrons. We see, that taking into account additional partonic sources such as, e.g., initial and final state radiation, allows to reproduce the (exponential) form of the spectrum, but still not the magnitude. The remaining gap is filled in by soft contributions due to transverse energy production from decaying stretched hadronic strings. The results confirm those earlier communicated to the author by M. Gyulassy [10]. Let us note, that the spectrum calculated in HIJING is somewhat steeper than the experimental one. Additional fine-tuning can be achieved by probing different structure functions.

The above results clearly demonstrate that in order to reproduce the experimentally observed transverse energy spectrum, one has to account for complicated mechanisms of parton production, such as initial and final state radiation accompanying hard parton-parton scattering, production of gluonic kinks by strings, as well as for nonperturbative transverse energy production at hadronization stage. This statement is a calorimetric analog of the well-known importance of the minijet component in describing the tails of the multiplicity distributions, [11] and [4] (see, however, the alternative explanation based on accounting for multipomeron contributions described in [12]).

Let us note that the result has straightforward implications for describing the early stages of heavy ion collisions. In most of the existing dynamical models of nucleus-nucleus collisions they are described as an incoherent superposition of nucleon-nucleon ones. As we have seen, to correctly describe the partonic configuration underlying the observed transverse energy flow in nucleon-nucleon collisions, mechanisms beyond conventional collinear factorization are necessary. In particular this indicates that the results obtained within minijet approach based on collinearly factorized QCD, see e.g. the recent review [13] and references therein, must be taken with care.

³For discussion of the contribution of the initial and final state radiation see [9]




Figure 2: Transverse energy spectrum in $p\bar{p}$ collisions calculated in HIJING vs the experimental data by UA2 collaboration [2]

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Yu. Dokshitzer, M. Gyulassy, Yu. Kovchegov, L. McLerran and D. Soper for useful discussions.

I am also grateful to Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, where this work was started, and Brookhaven National Laboratory, where it was completed, for kind hospitality. This manuscript has been authored under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

This work was partially supported by RFBR Grant 00-02-16101

References

- [1] A. Leonidov and D. Ostrovsky, *Eur. Phys. Journ.* **C11** (1999), 495
- [2] UA2 Collaboration, M. Banner et. al., *Phys. Lett.* **B118** (1982), 203
- [3] T. Sjostrand, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **82** (1994), 74;
- [4] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991), 3501; **D45** (1992), 844;
Comput. Phys. Commun. **83** (1994), 307
- [5] D. Soper, "Jet observables in theory and reality" [hep-ph/9706320]
- [6] S.D. Ellis, Z. Kunszt and D.E. Soper, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990), 2121;
Phys. Rev. **D40** (1992), 2188
- [7] Z. Kunszt and D.E. Soper, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992), 192
- [8] K.J. Eskola and K. Tuominen, "Production of Transverse Energy from Minijets in Next-to-Leading Order Perturbative QCD", [hep-ph/000208]
- [9] G. Pancheri and Y. Srivastava, *Phys. Lett.* **B128** (1983), 433;
"Jets in Minimum Bias Physics", in Proc. 5th Topical Workshop on Proton-Antiproton Collider Physics, Saint Vincent, Italy, 1985, ed. M. Greco, World Scientific, 1985;
C.T.H. Davies and B.R. Webber, *Zeit. Phys.* **C24** (1984), 133
M. Greco, *Phys. Lett.* **B121** (1983), 360; *Nucl. Phys.* **B250** 1985, 450
- [10] M.Gyulassy, private communication.
- [11] T. Sjostrand and M. van der Zijl, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987), 2019
- [12] S.G. Matinyan and W.D. Walker, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999), 034022
- [13] K.J. Eskola, "High-Energy Nuclear Collisions", [hep-ph/9911350]