

Systematics of $q\bar{q}$ -states in the (n, M^2) and (J, M^2) planes

A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, and A.V. Sarantsev
St.Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, 188350, Russia
 (March 13, 2000)

In the mass region up to $M < 2400$ MeV we systematise mesons on the plots (n, M^2) and (J, M^2) , thus setting their classification in terms of $n^{2S+1}L_J q\bar{q}$ states. The trajectories on the (n, M^2) -plots are drawn for the following (IJ^{PC})-states: $a_0(10^{++})$, $a_1(11^{++})$, $a_2(12^{++})$, $a_3(13^{++})$, $a_4(14^{++})$, $\pi(10^{-+})$, $\pi_2(12^{-+})$, $\eta(00^{-+})$, $\eta_2(02^{-+})$, $\rho(11^{--})$, $f_0(00^{++})$, $f_2(02^{++})$. All trajectories are linear, with nearly the same slopes. At the (J, M^2) -plot we set out meson states for leading and daughter trajectories: for π , ρ , a_1 , a_2 and P' .

In the last decade tremendous efforts have been paid to study meson spectra over the mass region 1000–2400 MeV. The collected rich information that includes the discovery of new resonances and confirmation of those discovered before needs to be systematized.

We present a scheme for $q\bar{q}$ trajectories on the (n, M^2) and (J, M^2) plots (n is the radial quantum number and J is the meson spin) using the latest results [1–6] together with previously accumulated data [7].

The trajectories on the (n, M^2) -plots are presented in Figs. 1 and 2: they are linear and with a good accuracy can be represented as

$$M^2 = M_0^2 + (n - 1)\mu^2. \quad (1)$$

M_0 is the mass of basic meson and μ^2 is the trajectory slope parameter: μ^2 is approximately the same for all trajectories: $\mu^2 = 1.25 \pm 0.15$ GeV².

At $M \leq 2400$ MeV the mesons of $q\bar{q}$ nonets $n^{2S+1}L_J$ fill in the (n, M^2) -trajectories as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &^1S_0 \rightarrow \pi(10^{-+}), \eta(00^{-+}), \quad ^3S_1 \rightarrow \rho(11^{--}), \omega(01^{--}); \\ &^1P_1 \rightarrow b_1(11^{+-}), h_1(01^{+-}), \quad ^3P_J \rightarrow a_J(1J^{++}), f_J(0J^{++}) \quad J = 0, 1, 2; \\ &^1D_2 \rightarrow \pi_2(12^{-+}), \eta_2(02^{-+}), \quad ^3D_J \rightarrow \rho_J(1J^{--}), \omega_J(0J^{--}) \quad J = 1, 2, 3; \\ &^1F_3 \rightarrow b_3(13^{+-}), h_3(03^{+-}), \quad ^3F_J \rightarrow a_J(1J^{++}), f_J(0J^{++}) \quad J = 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Trajectories with the same IJ^{PC} can be created by different orbital momenta with $J = L \pm 1$, in this way they are doubled: these are trajectories $(I1^{--})$, $(I2^{++})$, and so on.

Isoscalar states are formed by two light flavor components, $n\bar{n} = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ and $s\bar{s}$. Likewise, this also results in doubling isoscalar trajectories.

The trajectories $a_1(11^{++})$ and $a_3(13^{++})$ are shown in Fig. 1a: the states $a_1(2100)$, $a_1(2340)$, $a_3(2070)$, $a_3(2310)$ have been seen in [1], an evidence for $a_1(1640)$ was found in [2].

Figure 1b displays the trajectories $\eta(00^{-+})$ and $\eta_2(02^{-+})$: the doubling is due to two independent flavor components $n\bar{n}$ and $s\bar{s}$. The states $\eta_2(2030)$ and $\eta_2(2300)$ have been seen in the analysis [4]. Linear extrapolation of trajectories predicts the mesons $\eta(1900)$, $\eta(2100)$ and $\eta_2(2200)$.

Two trajectories $\rho(11^{--})$ related to S and P $q\bar{q}$ -waves are demonstrated at Fig. 1c. The states $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ are cited in [7], the trajectories predict $\rho(1830)$, $\rho(2060)$ and $\rho(2380)$.

In Fig. 1d one can see the trajectories for 10^{-+} and 12^{-+} . The states $\pi(1300)$, $\pi(1800)$ $\pi_2(1670)$ are from [7]; all other states are predicted by the linearity of trajectories.

Experimental data in the sector $a_0(10^{++})$, $a_2(12^{++})$, $a_4(14^{++})$ do not fix the slope μ^2 uniquely. In Fig. 2a the trajectories $a_0(10^{++})$, $a_2(12^{++})$ and $a_4(14^{++})$ are shown for $\mu^2 = 1.38$ GeV². The states $a_0(2000_{-100}^{+50})$, $a_2(1980)$, $a_2(2100)$, $a_2(2280)$, $a_4(2005)$, $a_4(2260)$ have been observed in [1,3] and $a_2(1640 \pm 60)$ in [8]. Two trajectories $a_2(12^{++})$ owe their existence to two states, $^3P_2q\bar{q}$ and $^3F_2q\bar{q}$. Obviously the upper one refers to states with dominant $^3F_2q\bar{q}$ component. In Fig. 2b one can see the trajectories $a_0(10^{++})$, $a_2(12^{++})$, $a_4(14^{++})$ for $\mu^2 = 1.1$ GeV². Comparison of Figs. 2a and 2b demonstrates the uncertainty in fixing a_J -resonances. A noticeable difference between Figs. 2a and 2b consists in the prediction of $a_0(1800)$ for $\mu^2 = 1.1$ GeV².

For the 02^{++} states a quadruplet of trajectories arises due to the presence of both two waves, 3P_2 and 3F_2 , and two flavor components, $n\bar{n}$ and $s\bar{s}$. To set $f_2(02^{++})$ mesons on (n, M^2) trajectories with $\mu^2 = 1.38$ GeV² faces a problem, that is seen in Fig. 2b: we cannot fit the experimental data unambiguously. We know definitely two $^3P_2q\bar{q}$ states which are $f_2(1285)$ and $f_2(1525)$. This establishes masses of other f_2 mesons on these trajectories: they are to be near 1700 MeV, 1940 MeV, 2060 MeV, 2260 MeV, 2390 MeV. The trajectories of $^3F_2q\bar{q}$ states are located higher, with a gap of the order of $\Delta M^2 \simeq 2.5$ GeV², that gives mesons with masses around 2050 MeV, 2200 MeV, 2350 MeV. The compilation [7] presents three candidates for f_2 with the mass near 1700 MeV: $f_2(1640)$, $f_2(1710)$, and $f_2(1800)$; the

resonance $f_2(1950)$ has been seen in $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ [5]. Simultaneous analysis of the $\pi\pi$, $\eta\eta$ and $\eta\eta'$ spectra [6] gives evidence for $f_2(1910)$, $f_2(2020)$, $f_2(2230)$ and $f_2(2300)$; in the compilation [7], the state $f_2(2150)$ is also under discussion. In the $\phi\phi$ spectra three tensor resonances were seen: $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2300)$, $f_2(2340)$ [9]. Comparison of the predictions given by Fig. 2c with the observed mesons does not present strong arguments in favor of $\mu^2 \simeq 1.38 \text{ GeV}^2$. The description of data with $\mu^2 \simeq 1.10 \text{ GeV}^2$ looks much more reasonable, see Fig. 2d. Nevertheless, we must admit that location of all cited f_2 -mesons on the trajectories is questionable at present level of knowledge: the main problem is to distinguish between different states with close masses. To this aim a more sophisticated technique is needed, that is, a simultaneous analysis of available data in the framework of the K -matrix approach or N/D method.

In Fig. 2e the trajectories $f_0(00^{++})$ are displayed: they are doubled due to two flavor components, $n\bar{n}$ and $s\bar{s}$. We do not put the enigmatic σ -meson [10–13] on the $q\bar{q}$ trajectory supposing σ is alien to this classification. The broad state $f_0(1530_{-250}^{+90})$ (or $\epsilon(1400)$ in old notation), which is the descendant of the scalar glueball after mixing with the neighboring $q\bar{q}$ states [14,15], is superfluous for the $q\bar{q}$ trajectories and is also not put on the trajectory.

Trajectories $f_0(00^{++})$ in Fig. 2e are drawn for the masses of real resonances. However, in case of scalar/isoscalar $q\bar{q}$ -states there is an effect which is specific for 00^{++} wave, that is, a strong mixing of $q\bar{q}$ states due to their overlapping: the transitions *resonance 1* \rightarrow *real mesons* \rightarrow *resonance 2* result in a considerable mass shift (detailed discussion may be found in [14,15]). The states "before mixing" which respond to K -matrix poles were found in [14,15] for two multiplets, 1^3P_0 and 2^3P_0 (these states were denoted as f_0^{bare}). It is rather instructive to see the location of f_0^{bare} at the (n, M^2) plane: corresponding trajectories are shown in Fig. 2f. One can see a degeneration of states belonging to two different trajectories, just this degeneration has enlarged the mixing of f_0 states in the region 1000–1800 MeV. The linearity of trajectories tells us that a strong mixing of scalar/isoscalar states is very possible at higher masses as well.

The trajectories of the (n, M^2) plots should be complemented by those of (J, M^2) plots: they are shown in Fig. 3. The important point is the leading meson trajectories (π , ρ , a_1 , a_2 and P') are known from the study of hadron diffractive processes at $p_{lab} \sim 5 - 50 \text{ GeV}/c$ (for example, see [16]).

The π -meson trajectories, leading and daughter ones (see Fig. 3a), are linear. The leading trajectory includes $\pi(140)$, $\pi_2(1670)$ and $\pi_4(2350)$, while the daughter one contains $\pi(1300)$ and $\pi_2(2100)$. The other leading trajectories (ρ , η , a_1 , a_2 , f_2 or P') are also compatible with linear-type behavior:

$$\alpha_X(M^2) \simeq \alpha_X(0) + \alpha'_X(0)M^2. \quad (3)$$

Parameters for leading trajectories, which are defined by the positions of $q\bar{q}$ states, are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\pi(0) &\simeq -0.015, & \alpha'_\pi(0) &\simeq 0.72 \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \\ \alpha_\rho(0) &\simeq 0.50, & \alpha'_\rho(0) &\simeq 0.83 \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \\ \alpha_\eta(0) &\simeq -0.24, & \alpha'_\eta(0) &\simeq 0.80 \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \\ \alpha_{a_1}(0) &\simeq 0, & \alpha'_{a_1}(0) &\simeq 0.72 \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \\ \alpha_{a_2}(0) &\simeq 0.45, & \alpha'_{a_2}(0) &\simeq 0.91 \text{ GeV}^{-2}; \\ \alpha_{P'}(0) &\simeq 0.71, & \alpha'_{P'}(0) &\simeq 0.83 \text{ GeV}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The slopes are nearly the same for all trajectories, and the inverse value of a universal slope, $1/\alpha'_X \simeq 1.25 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV}^2$, is approximately equal to the slope μ^2 for trajectories on the (n, M^2) -plot: $\mu^2 \simeq 1/\alpha'_X$.

All daughter trajectories for π (Fig. 3a), a_1 (Fig. 3b), ρ (Fig. 3c), a_2 (Fig. 3d) and η (Fig. 3e) are uniquely determined. In the classification of daughter P' trajectories we have used the variant which corresponds to Fig. 2d.

Concerning P' -trajectories (Fig. 3f), one should notice that along the line 0^{++} in the region $M \sim 1500 - 2000$ the density of $q\bar{q}$ -states is lower. This is affected by the presence of the light scalar glueball. In this region the state $f_0(1530_{-250}^{+90})$ exists which is the glueball descendant created after mixing with the nearest $q\bar{q}$ neighbors [14,15]. Because of that, the lower density of $00^{++}q\bar{q}$ -levels near 1500–1800 MeV does not look eventual: the extra state (gluonium) being mixed with $q\bar{q}$ -state repulses the $q\bar{q}$ levels.

We conclude: meson states fit to linear trajectories at the (n, M^2) and (J, M^2) plots with sufficiently good accuracy. The linear behavior of $q\bar{q}$ trajectories at large M^2 should facilitate the $q\bar{q}$ systematizing which is a necessary step in identification of extra (exotic) states.

We thank Ya.I. Azimov, D.V. Bugg, L.G. Dakhno, G.S. Danilov, S.A. Kudryavtsev, V.A. Nikonov and A.A. Yung for useful discussions. This work was supported by the RFFI grant 98-02-17236.

- [1] A.V. Anisovich, C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty et al., Phys. Lett. **B452**, 187 (1999).
- [2] C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty, P. Blüm et al., Phys. Lett. **B449**, 114 (1999).
- [3] A.V. Anisovich, C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty et al., Phys. Lett. **B452**, 173 (1999).
- [4] A.V. Anisovich, C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty et al., Phys. Lett. **B452**, 180 (1999).
- [5] D. Barberis, F.G. Binon, F.E. Close et al., WA 102 Coll., "A study of the $f_0(980)$, $f_0(1370)$, $f_0(1500)$, $f_0(2000)$, and $f_2(1950)$ observed in the centrally produced 4π states", hep-ex/0001017 (2000).
- [6] A.V. Anisovich, C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty et al., Nucl. Phys. **A651**:253 (1999).
- [7] PDG, C. Caso et al. Eur. Phys. J. **C3**, 1 (1998).
- [8] V.V. Anisovich, A.A. Kondashev, Yu.D. Prokoshkin et al., "The two-pion spectra for the reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$ at 38 GeV/c pion momentum and combined analysis of the GAMS, Crystal Barrel and BNL data", hep-ph/9711319, Phys. of Atomic Nuclei, in press.
- [9] A. Etkin et al. Phys. Lett. **B201**, 568 (1988).
- [10] S. Narison, Nucl. Phys. **B509**, 312 (1998).
- [11] M.R. Pennington, "Riddle of the scalars: Where is the σ ?", Frascati Physics Series Vol. XV, 95 (1999).
- [12] L. Montanet, "What do we know about σ ?", Frascati Physics Series Vol. XV, 619 (1999).
- [13] V.V. Anisovich and V.A. Nikonov, "The low-mass σ -meson", hep-ph/9911512 (1999).
- [14] V.V. Anisovich, Yu.D. Prokoshkin and A.V. Sarantsev, Phys. Lett. **B389**, 366 (1996);
A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, Yu.D. Prokoshkin and A.V. Sarantsev, Z. Phys. **A357**, 123 (1997);
A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich and A.V. Sarantsev, Phys. Lett. **B395**, 123 (1997); Z. Phys. **A359**, 173 (1997).
- [15] V.V. Anisovich, D.V. Bugg and A.V. Sarantsev, Phys. Rev. **D58**:111503 (1998).
- [16] P.D.B. Collins, *An Introduction to Regge Theory and High Energy Physics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1975);
V.V. Anisovich, M.N. Kobrinsky, J. Nyiri and Yu.M. Schabelski, *Quark Model and High Energy Collisions*, Chapter 2, World Scientific, Singapore (1985).




FIG. 1. The (n, M^2) -plots for the states: a) $a_1(11^{++})$ and $a_3(13^{++})$; b) $\eta(00^{-+})$ and $\eta_2(02^{-+})$; c) $\rho(11^{--})$; d) $\pi(10^{-+})$ and $\pi_2(12^{-+})$. The trajectory slope is equal to $\mu^2 = 1.39 \text{ GeV}^2$. Open circles stand for states predicted by the present classification.




FIG. 2. The (n, M^2) -trajectories for the states $a_0(10^{++})$, $a_2(12^{++})$ and $a_4(14^{++})$ with a) $\mu^2 = 1.38 \text{ GeV}^2$ and b) $\mu^2 = 1.10 \text{ GeV}^2$; Two variants for the $f_2(02^{++})$ -trajectories with the slope parameter c) $\mu^2 = 1.38 \text{ GeV}^2$ and d) $\mu^2 = 1.10 \text{ GeV}^2$. The $f_0(00^{++})$ -trajectories for e) real resonance states and f) K-matrix pole states. As in Fig. 1, the open circles stand for states predicted by the present classification.




FIG. 3. The (J, M^2) -plots for leading and daughter trajectories: a) π -trajectories, b) a_1 -trajectories, c) ρ -trajectories, d) a_2 -trajectories, e) η -trajectories, f) P' -trajectories.