# Charmonium Review

Frederick A. Harris<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

During the last few years there has been a renaissance in charm and charmonium spectroscopy with higher precision measurements at the  $\psi'$  and  $\psi(3770)$  coming from BESII and CLEOc and many new discoveries coming from B-factories. In this paper, I will review the status of  $\psi(3770)$  and below.

# 1. Introduction

An admonishment says "May you live in exciting times". It turns out that for charm and charmonium spectroscopy, we are. Only 10  $C\bar{C}$  resonances were discovered from 1974 to 1977; none were discovered from 1978 to 2002. However from 2002 to 2005, seven new  $C\bar{C}$  resonances were discovered by Belle, BaBar, CLEOc, CDF, and D0. I will review the  $\psi(3770)$  and below, where there has been much progress from BES, CLEOc, Bfactories, etc. Brian Petersen will cover the new states: X, Y, Z, etc.

#### 2. Old but new states

## 2.1. $\eta_{c}^{'}$

Prior to 2002, there was an unconfirmed candidate for the  $\eta'_c$  by the Crystal Ball experiment [1] at a mass of  $3594 \pm 5 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ . In 2002, Belle observed clear peaks in the X mass distribution in  $B \to KX, X \to K_S K \pi$  at the  $\eta_C$ , the  $J/\psi$ , and at a mass of  $3654 \pm 10 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  [2]. CLEO [3] and BaBar [4] quickly confirmed the higher mass value in  $\gamma\gamma \to K_S K \pi$  with mass measurements of  $3642 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  and  $3633 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ , respectively. Belle also found a peak in  $e^+e^- \to J/\psi X$  at  $M_X = 3630 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  [5]

Combining the results, excluding Crystal Ball, yields a mass of  $M_{avg} = 3637 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , and hyperfine splittings of  $\Delta M(1S) = M_{J/\psi} - M_{\eta_c} =$   $117 \pm 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and  $\Delta M(2S) = M_{\psi(2S)} - M_{\eta'_c} = 49 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ . The higher mass is more consistent with lattice calculations (LQCD) and potential models [6].

#### 2.2. $h_c$

The  $h_c$  or  ${}^1P_1C\bar{C}$  state has  $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ . This state is important to learn more about the hyperfine (spin-spin) interaction of P wave states. It is expected to have a mass near the center of gravity of the  ${}^3P_1$  states  $m_{h_c} = m_{c.ofg.} =$  $3525.31 \pm 0.07 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , to be narrow ( $\Gamma < 1$ MeV/ $c^2$ ), and to decay to  $\eta_c \gamma$ .

In 1992, E760 using 16 pb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\bar{p}$  data observed a structure near 3526 MeV/ $c^2$  in  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$  [7]. The successor experiment E835 using 113 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data was unable to confirm this peak! However E835 also searched for  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow h_C \rightarrow \gamma\eta_C, \eta_C \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and found a signal at  $M = 3525.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  for  $\Gamma = 0.5$  to 1 MeV/ $c^2$  [8].

CLEOc quickly substantiated this with evidence for  $h_C$  production from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_C \rightarrow 3\gamma \eta_C$  at CESR [9] with a sample of  $3 \times 10^6 \psi(2S)$  events. Using an inclusive analysis where they measured the mass recoiling from the  $\pi^0$ , they obtained  $M(h_C) = 3524.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$  MeV/ $c^2$ . From an exclusive analysis, where they measured  $h_C$  decays to  $K_S K \pi$ ,  $K_L K \pi$ ,  $KK \pi \pi$ ,  $\pi \pi \pi \pi$ ,  $KK \pi^0$ , and  $\pi \pi \eta$ , they obtained  $M(h_C) = 3523.6 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.5$  MeV/ $c^2$ . The consistency between the two measurements was good giving an overall  $M(h_C) = 3524.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$  MeV/ $c^2$ ,

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the US Dept. Of Energy under Grant DE-FG03-94ER40833  $\,$ 

and a product branching fraction  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_C)B(h_C \rightarrow \gamma \eta_C) = (4.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ , in agreement with a PQCD prediction of  $B = (1.9 - 5.8) \times 10^{-4}$  [10]. The mass splitting  $\Delta M_{hf} = \langle M(^3P_J) \rangle - M(^1P_1) = 1.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4 \text{MeV}/c^2$ agrees with expectations ( $\approx 0$ ), but the sign and difference are not yet well enough determined to provide a real test.

Now the charmonium family below the  $\psi(3770)$  is complete, and the mass values can be used in potential models to predict masses of higher states.

# 3. $\psi(2S)$ radiative and hadronic transitions

## 3.1. $\psi(2S)$ radiative transitions

CLEOc with its CsI calorimeter ( $\Delta E/E = 5.0$ % at 100 MeV) allows a good measurement of the inclusive  $\gamma$  spectrum. Using  $3 \times 10^6 \psi(2S)$ events, they measured  $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{cJ}$  (E1 transitions) and  $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \eta_c$  (M1 transition) [11]. Results are shown in Table 1. Note the big change from PDG04 [12] for  $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c2}$ ; this will affect  $\chi_{c2}$  branching fractions! The combined transistions,  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{cJ}, \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi, J/\psi \rightarrow$  $\mu^+\mu^-, e^+e^-$  have also been measured by BE-SII [13] and CLEOc [14], and the product branching fractions are shown in Table 2. Branching fractions for  $\chi_{CJ} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$  are given in Table 3. BESII is calculated using the CLEOc branching fractions for  $\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{cJ}$  from Table 1 and the BESII results in Table 2.

Table 1 Radiative decay branching fractions.

itaalaelite aeeaj	sranoning mactions.		
Decay	PDG04 $\%$	CLEOc % [11]	
$\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c0}$	$8.6\pm0.7$	$9.22\pm0.47$	
$\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c1}$	$8.4\pm0.8$	$9.07 \pm 0.55$	
$\psi(2S) \to \gamma \chi_{c2}$	$6.4\pm0.6$	$9.33 \pm 0.63$	
$\psi(2S) \to \gamma \eta_c$	$0.28\pm0.08$	$0.32\pm0.07$	

## 3.2. $\psi(2S)$ hadronic transitions

The  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{cJ}, \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-, e^+ e^-$  decays can also be used to measure

Table 2

Product branching fractions: (BESII [13], CLEOc [14])

Decay:	PDG04	BESII	CLEOc
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow$	(%)	(%)	(%)
$\gamma \chi_{c0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$	$0.101 \pm 0.012$	_	$0.14 \pm 0.02$
$\gamma \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$	$2.67\pm0.15$	$2.81\pm0.24$	$3.44\pm0.14$
$\gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$	$1.30\pm0.08$	$1.62\pm0.13$	$1.85\pm0.08$

Table 3

 $\chi_C J \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$  branching fractions. BESII calculated using the BES results of Table 2 and the CLEOc results of Table 1.

Decay	PDG04	BESII	CLEOc [14]		
	(%)	(%)	(%)		
$\chi_{c0}$	$1.18\pm0.14$	_	$2.0 \pm 0.3$		
$\chi_{c1}$	$31.6\pm3.3$	$31.0\pm3.2$	$37.9\pm2.2$		
$\chi_{c2}$	$20.2\pm1.7$	$17.4\pm1.8$	$19.9 \pm 1.3$		

the processes  $\psi(2S) \to \pi^0 J/\psi$  and  $\eta J/\psi$ . These and  $\psi(2S) \to \pi \pi J/\psi$  results are shown in Table 4 [13–16]. Note that isospin is conserved in the CLEOc  $\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  to  $\pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$  ratio. Using CLEOc + BESII, we determine

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \pi^0 J/\psi)}{\Gamma(\psi(2S) \to \eta J/\psi)} = 0.042 \pm 0.004$$

R is much larger than expected using PCAC [17] and may indicate mixing between  $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\eta'$  [18].

## 4. Hadronic decays of charmonium

Decays of  $J/\psi$ ,  $\eta_C$ ,  $\chi_{CJ}$ , and  $\psi(2S)$  with definite J and I are ideal to study meson and baryon spectroscopy. In particular, radiative decays of  $J/\psi$  are ideal for glueball searches [19]. As an example, Fig. 1 shows Dalitz plots, projections, and the result of a partial wave analysis fit for the decay  $\chi_{C0} \to \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$ . The Dalitz plots show rich structure, and these decays are ideal for studying scalar states [20].

The pQCD 12% rule [21,22] states that single  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  hadronic decays to final state X proceed via the annihilation of the  $C\bar{C}$  pair into three gluons or a virtual photon, and the decay

#### 2-column format camera-ready paper in LATEX

Table 4 Hadronic transitions:  $\psi(2S)$  Branching fractions.

Decay	PDG04	BES	CLEOc $[14]$
$\pi^0 J/\psi$	$0.10 \pm 0.02~\%$	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \%$ [13]	$0.13 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01~\%$
$\eta J/\psi$	$3.16 \pm 0.22~\%$	$2.98 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.23 \%$ [13]	$3.25 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.11~\%$
$\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	$31.7 \pm 1.1~\%$	$32.3 \pm 1.4 \% \ [15]$	$33.54 \pm 0.14 \pm 1.10~\%$
$\pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$	$18.8 \pm 1.2~\%$	_	$16.52 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.58~\%$
$\frac{\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi}{\pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi}$	$1.69\pm0.12$	$1.75 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08$ [16]	$2.03\pm0.04$



Figure 1. Dalitz plots, projections, and the result of a partial wave analysis fit for the decay  $\chi_{C0} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$ .

rate should be determined by the wave function at the origin squared  $(|\psi(0)|^2)$ , which is measured by the decay rate into leptons, and therefore

$$Q_h = \frac{B(\psi(2S) \to X)}{B(J/\psi \to X)} = \frac{B(\psi(2S) \to e^+e^-)}{B(J/\psi \to e^+e^-)} \sim 12\%$$

MARK-II found that a number of decays obeyed this rule but that it was badly violated for VPdecays to  $\rho\pi$  and  $K^*K$ , the so called  $\rho\pi$  puzzle [23]. The suppression was confirmed by BESI with higher sensitivity, and BESI also found the VT mode to be suppressed [24].

There have many attempts at theoretical explanations [25]. Together BESII, CLEOc, and BaBar have all made many new  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  branching fraction measurements [26]. A summary of a few new  $Q_h$  values from BESII is shown in Fig. 2. There is no obvious rule to categorize the suppressed, the enhanced, and the normal decay modes of the  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$ . Hopefully the

many new measurements will help in understanding this problem.



Figure 2. Measurements of  $Q_h$  for some two body decays by BESII.

# 5. $\psi(3770)$

The  $\psi(3770)$  is just above  $D\bar{D}$  threshold so it decays mostly to correlated  $D\bar{D}$  pairs. Its importance for charm physics has been stressed by many speakers. BESII has 34 pb<sup>-1</sup> at and around the  $\psi(3770)$ , and CLEOc has 281 pb<sup>-1</sup> at the  $\psi(3770)$ . These samples not only allow precision charm decay measurements, they also better our understanding of the  $\psi(3770)$ .

The  $\psi(3770)$  is thought to be a mixture of S and D wave (mostly D), but since the  $\psi(3770)$  is above DD-bar threshold it is expected to decay mostly to  $D\bar{D}$ . BESII found evidence (see Fig. 3) for non  $D\bar{D}$  decay in  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  [27] with a branching fraction  $B(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (0.34 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.09)\%$  and a width of  $\Gamma(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (80 \pm 33 \pm 23)$  keV, to be compared to a prediction of 26 to 147 keV [10]. CLEOc with a larger data sample confirmed this with  $B(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) = (0.189 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.020)\%$  [28].



Figure 3. Evidence for non  $D\overline{D}$  decay through  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  by BESII and CLEOc.

CLEOc has also found evidence for non DD decays in the hadronic transitions,  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi$  and  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  [28] and in radiative decays  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{CJ}$  [29,30]. However, they have found that hadronic decays at the  $\psi(3770)$  are mostly consistent with continuum production [31].

#### 6. Future

We can expect further progress from B factories, BESII, and CLEOc. However, BaBar and CLEOc will stop running in 2008. BEPCII with a design luminosity of  $1 \times 10^{33}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a brand new BESIII detector will start commissioning in summer of 2007 [32]. With B factories, CLEOc, and BESIII, the future of charm and charmonium physics is very bright.

#### REFERENCES

 C. Edwards *et al.* (Crystal Ball), Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 70 (1982).

- S. K. Choi *et al.* (Belle), Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 102001 (2002).
- D. M. Asner *et al.* (CLEO), Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 142001 (2004).
- B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar), Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 142002 (2004).
- K. Abe *et al.* (Belle), Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 142001 (2002).
- 6. T. Skwarnicki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A19, 1030 (2004).
- T. A. Armstrong *et al.* (E760), Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2337 (1992).
- M. Andreotti *et al.* (E835), Phys. Rev. D72, 032001 (2005).
- J. L. Rosner *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 102003 (2006); P. Rubin *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. D72, 092004 (2005).
- 10. Y. P. Kuang, hep-ph/0601044.
- S. B. Athar *et al.* (CLEOc) Phys. Rev. D70, 112002 (2004).
- 12. S. Eidelman et al., Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004).
- 13. J. Z. Bai et al. (BES), Phys. Rev. D70, 012006 (2004).
- N. E. Adam *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 232002 (2005).
- 15. J. Z. Bai et al. (BES), Phys. Lett. B 550, 24 (2002).
- M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES), Phys. Rev. D70, 012003 (2004).
- 17. G. A. Miller, Phys. Rep. 194, 1 (1990).
- 18. P. Kroll, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A20, 331 (2005).
- M. Chanowitz, "Glueballs and Hybrids at BES", talk at Charm06, June 5-7, 2006, Beijing, China.
- 20. M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES), Phys. Rev. D72, 092002 (2005).
- T. Appelquist & H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 43 (1975).
- 22. A. De Rujula & S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 46 (1975).
- 23. M. E. B. Franklin *et al.* (MarkII), Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 963 (1983).
- 24. J. Z. Bai et al. (BES), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5080 (1998).
- See references in P. Wang, et al., Phys. Rev. D70, 114014 (2004).
- 26. W.-M. Yao et al. (PDG06), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- 27. M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES), Phys. Lett. B 605, 63 (2005).
- N. E. Adam *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 082004 (2006).
- 29. T. E. Coan *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 182002 (2006).
- R. A. Briere *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. D74, 031106 (2006).
- G. S. Adams *et al.* (CLEOc), Phys. Rev. D73, 12002 (2006).
- 32. F. A. Harris, Talk at " $e^+e^-$  collisions from the  $\phi$  to  $\psi$ ", Novosibirsk, Russia, 27 Feb 2 Mar 2006, physics/0606059.