

Improved measurement of $\psi(2S)$ decays into $\tau^+\tau^-$

M. Ablikim¹, J. Z. Bai¹, Y. Ban¹², J. G. Bian¹, X. Cai¹, H. F. Chen¹⁷, H. S. Chen¹,
H. X. Chen¹, J. C. Chen¹, Jin Chen¹, Y. B. Chen¹, S. P. Chi², Y. P. Chu¹,
X. Z. Cui¹, Y. S. Dai¹⁹, L. Y. Diao⁹, Z. Y. Deng¹, Q. F. Dong¹⁵, S. X. Du¹,
J. Fang¹, S. S. Fang², C. D. Fu¹, C. S. Gao¹, Y. N. Gao¹⁵, S. D. Gu¹, Y. T. Gu⁴,
Y. N. Guo¹, Y. Q. Guo¹, Z. J. Guo¹⁶, F. A. Harris¹⁶, K. L. He¹, M. He¹³,
Y. K. Heng¹, H. M. Hu¹, T. Hu¹, G. S. Huang^{1a}, X. T. Huang¹³, X. B. Ji¹,
X. S. Jiang¹, X. Y. Jiang⁵, J. B. Jiao¹³, D. P. Jin¹, S. Jin¹, Yi Jin⁸, Y. F. Lai¹,
G. Li², H. B. Li¹, H. H. Li¹, J. Li¹, R. Y. Li¹, S. M. Li¹, W. D. Li¹, W. G. Li¹,
X. L. Li¹, X. N. Li¹, X. Q. Li¹¹, Y. L. Li⁴, Y. F. Liang¹⁴, H. B. Liao¹, B. J. Liu¹,
C. X. Liu¹, F. Liu⁶, Fang Liu¹, H. H. Liu¹, H. M. Liu¹, J. Liu¹², J. B. Liu¹,
J. P. Liu¹⁸, Q. Liu¹, R. G. Liu¹, Z. A. Liu¹, Y. C. Lou⁵, F. Lu¹, G. R. Lu⁵,
J. G. Lu¹, C. L. Luo¹⁰, F. C. Ma⁹, H. L. Ma¹, L. L. Ma¹, Q. M. Ma¹, X. B. Ma⁵,
Z. P. Mao¹, X. H. Mo¹, J. Nie¹, S. L. Olsen¹⁶, H. P. Peng^{17b}, R. G. Ping¹, N. D. Qi¹,
H. Qin¹, J. F. Qiu¹, Z. Y. Ren¹, G. Rong¹, L. Y. Shan¹, L. Shang¹, C. P. Shen¹,
D. L. Shen¹, X. Y. Shen¹, H. Y. Sheng¹, H. S. Sun¹, J. F. Sun¹, S. S. Sun¹,
Y. Z. Sun¹, Z. J. Sun¹, Z. Q. Tan⁴, X. Tang¹, G. L. Tong¹, G. S. Varner¹⁶,
D. Y. Wang¹, L. Wang¹, L. L. Wang¹, L. S. Wang¹, M. Wang¹, P. Wang¹,
P. L. Wang¹, W. F. Wang^{1b}, Y. F. Wang¹, Z. Wang¹, Z. Y. Wang¹, Zhe Wang¹,
Zheng Wang², C. L. Wei¹, D. H. Wei¹, N. Wu¹, X. M. Xia¹, X. X. Xie¹, G. F. Xu¹,
X. P. Xu⁶, Y. Xu¹¹, M. L. Yan¹⁷, H. X. Yang¹, Y. X. Yang³, M. H. Ye², Y. X. Ye¹⁷,
Z. Y. Yi¹, G. W. Yu¹, C. Z. Yuan¹, J. M. Yuan¹, Y. Yuan¹, S. L. Zang¹, Y. Zeng⁷,
Yu Zeng¹, B. X. Zhang¹, B. Y. Zhang¹, C. C. Zhang¹, D. H. Zhang¹, H. Q. Zhang¹,
H. Y. Zhang¹, J. W. Zhang¹, J. Y. Zhang¹, S. H. Zhang¹, X. M. Zhang¹,
X. Y. Zhang¹³, Yiyun Zhang¹⁴, Z. P. Zhang¹⁷, D. X. Zhao¹, J. W. Zhao¹,
M. G. Zhao¹, P. P. Zhao¹, W. R. Zhao¹, Z. G. Zhao^{1d}, H. Q. Zheng¹², J. P. Zheng¹,
Z. P. Zheng¹, L. Zhou¹, N. F. Zhou^{1c}, K. J. Zhu¹, Q. M. Zhu¹, Y. C. Zhu¹,
Y. S. Zhu¹, Yingchun Zhu^{1b}, Z. A. Zhu¹, B. A. Zhuang¹, X. A. Zhuang¹, B. S. Zou¹

(*BES Collaboration*)

¹ *Institute of High Energy Physics,
Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China*

² *China Center for Advanced Science and Technology (CCAST),
Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China*

³ *Guangxi Normal University,
Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China*

⁴ *Guangxi University, Nanning 530004,
People's Republic of China*

⁵ *Henan Normal University,
Xinxiang 453002,
People's Republic of China*

⁶ *Huazhong Normal University,
Wuhan 430079,
People's Republic of China*

⁷ *Hunan University, Changsha 410082,
People's Republic of China*

⁸ *Jinan University, Jinan 250022,
People's Republic of China*

⁹ *Liaoning University,
Shenyang 110036,
People's Republic of China*

¹⁰ *Nanjing Normal University,
Nanjing 210097,
People's Republic of China*

¹¹ *Nankai University, Tianjin 300071,
People's Republic of China*

¹² *Peking University, Beijing 100871,
People's Republic of China*

¹³ *Shandong University, Jinan 250100,
People's Republic of China*

¹⁴ *Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064,
People's Republic of China*

¹⁵ *Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084,
People's Republic of China*

¹⁶ *University of Hawaii,
Honolulu, HI 96822, USA*

¹⁷ *University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China*

¹⁸ *Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072,
People's Republic of China*

¹⁹ *Zhejiang University,
Hangzhou 310028,
People's Republic of China*

^a *Current address: Purdue University,
West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA*

^b *Current address: DESY,
D-22607, Hamburg, Germany*

^c *Current address: Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire,
Orsay, F-91898, France*

^d *Current address: University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*

(Dated: August 23, 2019)

Using 14M $\psi(2S)$ events collected at BESII, the branching fraction of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ is measured to be $Br_{\tau\tau} = (3.10 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-3}$, where the first error is statistical and the second is systematic.

PACS numbers: 13.20.Gd, 14.40.Gx, 14.60.Fg

I. INTRODUCTION

The decays $\psi(2S) \rightarrow l^+l^-$ ($l = e, \mu, \text{ or } \tau$) have been measured by E760 [1], E835 [2], BES [3], and BaBar [4], and branching fractions are listed in Table I. According to the sequential lepton hypothesis, the branching fractions of these decays satisfy [5]

$$Br_{ee} \simeq Br_{\mu\mu} \simeq \frac{Br_{\tau\tau}}{0.3885} \equiv Br_{ll},$$

and the measurements agree with this relation within the large uncertainties.

The decay $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ was first observed by DASP [6], and the branching fraction was first measured by BES I using 4×10^6 $\psi(2S)$ events. In this paper, we report an improved measurement of the branching fraction for $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$. The measurement is based on a data sample of $14(1 \pm 4\%) \times 10^6$ $\psi(2S)$ events collected by the BESII detector at the BEPC.

TABLE I: Experimental results for $Br(\psi(2S) \rightarrow l^+l^-)$ ($\times 10^{-3}$). The CLEO-c result is calculated from $\Gamma_{ee}[7]/\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$ [5].

Experiment	Year	Br_{ee}	$Br_{\mu\mu}$	$Br_{\tau\tau}$
E760 [1]	1996	8.3 ± 0.86	-	-
E835 [2]	2000	7.4 ± 0.73	-	-
BES [3]	2000	-	-	2.71 ± 0.7
BaBar [4]	2003	7.8 ± 1.2	6.7 ± 1.1	-
CLEO-c	2006	7.5 ± 0.3	-	-
PDG [5]	2006	7.35 ± 0.18	7.3 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.7

II. BES DETECTOR

The upgraded Beijing Spectrometer (BESII) is located at the Beijing Electron-Positron Collider (BEPC). BESII is a large solid-angle magnetic spectrometer which is described in detail in Refs. [8, 9]. The momentum of the charged particle is

determined by a 40-layer cylindrical main drift chamber (MDC) which has a momentum resolution of $\sigma_p/p = 1.78\%\sqrt{1+p^2}$ (p in GeV/ c). Particle identification is accomplished by specific ionization (dE/dx) measurements in the drift chamber and time-of-flight (TOF) information in a barrel-like array of 48 scintillation counters. The dE/dx resolution for hadron tracks is about 8.0%; the TOF resolution of charged hadrons is about 200 ps. Radially outside of the TOF counters is a 12-radiation-length barrel shower counter (BSC) comprised of gas tubes interleaved with lead sheets. The BSC measures the direction and energy of photons with an energy resolution of $\sigma_E/E \approx 21\%/\sqrt{E}$ (E in GeV). Outside of the solenoidal coil, which provides a 0.4 Tesla magnetic field over the tracking volume, is an iron flux return that is instrumented with three double layers of counters that identify muons of momentum greater than 0.5 GeV/ c . It provides coordinate measurements along the muon trajectories with resolutions in the outermost layer of 10 cm in $r\phi$ and 12 cm in z . The solid angle coverage of the layers is 67%, 67%, and 63% of 4π , respectively.

A GEANT3 based Monte Carlo (MC) program with detailed consideration of the detector performance is used [10]. Reasonable agreement between data and Monte Carlo simulation has been observed in various channels tested, including $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$, and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$, $J/\psi \rightarrow l^+l^-$ ($l = e, \mu$).

III. EVENT SELECTION

The τ pair events are identified by the topology $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$ (denoted as $e\mu$). These events are characterized by two charged tracks, missing energy and momentum, and no real hits in the BSC. An event is required to have two oppositely charged tracks, each of which is well fitted to a helix within the polar angle region $|\cos\theta| < 0.8$ and with the point of closest approach of the track to the beam line within the interaction region of $\sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2} < 2$ cm, $|z_0| < 20$ cm. The transverse momentum of each track is required to be greater than 70 MeV/ c , which is the minimum needed to reach the outer radius of the BSC in the 0.4 Tesla magnetic

field, and the momentum is required to be less than 1.2 GeV/ c to reject background from radiative Bhabha ($e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)e^+e^-$) and dimuon ($e^+e^- \rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$) events.

The electron is identified using the following selection criteria. The ratio of the energy deposited by the track in the BSC to its momentum (E/p) should be greater than 0.65. To further distinguish the electron from hadrons, we determine

$$X_{se} = \frac{(\frac{dE}{dx})_{meas} - (\frac{dE}{dx})_{exp}}{\sigma_{\frac{dE}{dx}}}$$

and

$$T_{se} = \frac{(TOF)_{meas} - (TOF)_{exp}}{\sigma_{TOF}},$$

where $(\frac{dE}{dx})_{meas}$ and $(TOF)_{meas}$ are the measured dE/dx and TOF values, $(\frac{dE}{dx})_{exp}$ and $(TOF)_{exp}$ are the expected values for the electron hypothesis, and $\sigma_{\frac{dE}{dx}}$ and σ_{TOF} are the resolutions. A radiative Bhabha sample is used to determine corrections so that X_{se} and T_{se} are standard normal distributions. We require $|X_{se}| < 2.5$ and $|T_{se}| < 2.5$. The combined X_{se} and T_{se} information is used to calculate the confidence level for the electron hypothesis, and it is required to be greater than 0.01.

To identify the muon, the difference between the closest muon hit position in the muon counter and the projected MDC track in the i -th layer ($i = 1, 2, 3$), δ_i , is used. A hit is considered as a good μ hit if δ_i is within three standard deviations, where the μ^+ and μ^- δ_i distributions as a function of momentum and $\cos\theta$ have been corrected to standard normal distributions using radiative dimuon events. The total number of the good μ hits, μ_{hit}^{good} , should be greater than one.

The total energy of the neutral clusters in the BSC, which are not associated with the charged tracks, E_{neu}^{tot} , is required to be less than 0.2 GeV to remove the backgrounds which contain pions or gammas.

IV. EFFICIENCIES

The e and μ identification efficiencies, ε_{eID} and $\varepsilon_{\mu ID}$, are determined using radiative Bhabha and dimuon events, and the remaining efficiency ε_{MC} , including geometric acceptance, is determined from Monte Carlo simulation. Radiative Bhabha

TABLE II: The ω_i and ε_i^μ values in different P_{xy} regions.

P_{xy}^μ (GeV/c)	ω_i (%)	ε_i^μ (%)
$0.5 < P_{xy} < 0.6$	15.45	55.0 ± 5.3
$0.6 < P_{xy} < 0.7$	13.69	66.7 ± 2.4
$0.7 < P_{xy} < 0.8$	10.02	77.2 ± 1.2
$0.8 < P_{xy} < 0.9$	6.08	81.7 ± 0.89
$0.9 < P_{xy} < 1.0$	3.11	83.3 ± 0.66
$1.0 < P_{xy} < 1.1$	1.07	85.7 ± 0.45
$1.1 < P_{xy} < 1.2$	0.11	88.5 ± 0.31

and dimuon events require that the higher momentum track in each event be a well identified electron or muon. The overall efficiency is $\varepsilon_{e\mu} = \varepsilon_{eID} \times \varepsilon_{\mu ID} \times \varepsilon_{MC}$.

Radiative Bhabha events are used to measure ε_{eID} . It is $(82.8 \pm 0.08)\%$, while from MC simulation, it is $(81.5 \pm 0.11)\%$; the difference 0.2% is take as the systematic error for e identification.

Radiative dimuon events are used to measure $\varepsilon_{\mu ID}$. The μ identification efficiencies vary with the μ transverse momentum region, so $\varepsilon_{\mu ID} = \sum_i \omega_i \varepsilon_i^\mu$, where ε_i^μ is the μ identification efficiency in the i -th transverse momentum bin determined from data, and ω_i is the fraction of MC simulated $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$ events in the same bin. Table II shows ω_i and ε_i^μ ; $\varepsilon_{\mu ID}$ is determined to be $33.9(1 \pm 0.022)\%$. The final efficiency for selecting $e\mu$ events is $\varepsilon_{e\mu} = 17.8\%$.

V. BACKGROUND ESTIMATION

In this experiment, $\tau\tau$ pairs are produced by: (1) $\psi(2S)$ decays, (2) direct continuum production, and (3) the interference between them. To measure the branching fraction of $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, the continuum contribution including interference must be subtracted. Other $\psi(2S)$ decay backgrounds must also be subtracted.

The backgrounds from $\psi(2S)$ decays are studied using the 14 M MC inclusive $\psi(2S)$ decays generated with Lundcharm [11]. The dominant background is found to be from $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}\pi\nu$. All possible two-body background channels

are generated according to the branching fractions given in PDG(2006), including $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ with $\tau \rightarrow \pi\nu$ or $\rightarrow \pi\pi^0\nu$. Using $Br_{\tau\tau} = 3.10 \times 10^{-3}$, which is the value determined in this experiment, the number of the background events from $\psi(2S)$ decays is $N_{bg}^{norm} = 68.5 \pm 3.2$.

The continuum background is estimated by applying the same selection criteria to the data sample taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.650$ GeV and normalizing the result to 3.686 GeV:

$$N_{cont}^{obs} = N_{3.650}^{obs} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{3.686}^{cont}}{\sigma_{3.650}^{cont}} \cdot \frac{L_{3.686}}{L_{3.650}}.$$

where N is the number of continuum background events, σ^{cont} is the cross section for the continuum process, and L is the integrated luminosity. The continuum background at $\sqrt{s} = 3.686$ GeV is estimated to be $N_{cont}^{obs} = 516.4 \pm 45.3$.

VI. BRANCHING FRACTION OF $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$

The branching ratio $Br_{\tau\tau}$ is determined from:

$$Br_{\tau\tau} = \frac{\frac{N^{obs} - N_{cont}^{obs} - N_{bg}^{norm}(Br_{\tau\tau})}{\varepsilon_{e\mu} \cdot Br(e\mu)} - \sigma_{Int}^{\tau\tau}(Br_{\tau\tau}) \cdot L_{3.686}}{N_{\psi(2S)}}, \quad (1)$$

where N^{obs} is the number of observed events, $Br(e\mu)$ is the fraction of $\tau^+\tau^-$ events producing the $e\mu$ topology, which is $2Br(\tau \rightarrow e\nu\tilde{\nu})Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu\nu\tilde{\nu}) = 0.06194$ [5], $L_{3.686}$ is the integrated luminosity of the $\psi(2S)$ data, $N_{\psi(2S)}$ is the total number of $\psi(2S)$ events, and $\sigma_{Int}^{\tau\tau}(Br_{\tau\tau})$ is the interference cross section between $\psi(2S)$ decay and continuum amplitudes. A summary of the numbers used to find $Br_{\tau\tau}$ is given in Table III.

Since $N_{bg}^{norm}(Br_{\tau\tau})$ and $\sigma_{Int}^{\tau\tau}(Br_{\tau\tau})$ depend on $Br_{\tau\tau}$, we can solve Eq. 1 to obtain $Br_{\tau\tau}$. The value of $N_{bg}^{norm}(Br_{\tau\tau})$ is $22086.8 \cdot Br_{\tau\tau}$. Substituting the total width of $\psi(2S)$, $\Gamma = 281$ keV [5], and the energy spread $\Delta_E = 1.3$ MeV [12] into the cross section function given in Ref. [13], $\sigma_{Int}^{\tau\tau}(Br_{\tau\tau}) = -66.587 \cdot Br_{\tau\tau}$ is obtained at $\sqrt{s} = 3.686$ GeV. Solving, $Br_{\tau\tau}$ is 3.10×10^{-3} .

Figure 1 shows distributions of (a) the electron momentum, (b) the muon momentum, (c) E_{neu}^{tot} , and (d) the cosine of the acollinearity angle. The data (dots with

TABLE III: Summary of numbers used to determine $Br_{\tau\tau}$.

N^{obs}	1015
N_{cont}^{obs}	516.4 ± 45.3
$\varepsilon_{e\mu}$	17.8%
$Br(e\mu)$	0.06194
$L_{3,686}$	$19.72 \pm 0.86 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
$N_{\psi(2S)}$	$14(1 \pm 0.04) \times 10^6$
$N_{bg}^{norm}(Br_{\tau\tau})$	68.5 ± 3.2

error bars) are consistent with Monte Carlo simulation (blank histogram), which includes signal and backgrounds from $\psi(2S)$ decays and the continuum.

VII. SYSTEMATIC ERRORS

A. Systematic error on $\varepsilon_{e\mu}$

The systematic error on $\varepsilon_{e\mu}$ comes mainly from the particle identification efficiency uncertainties, the MDC tracking uncertainty, and the uncertainty of the E_{neu}^{tot} requirement. As shown in Sect. IV, the systematic errors for e and μ identification are 0.2% and 2.2%, respectively. The simulation of the MDC tracking efficiency agrees with data within 1 to 2 % for each charged track as measured using channels like $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. The systematic error for the channel of interest is taken conservatively as 4%.

The effect of the requirement on the total energy of the neutral clusters is estimated using the following method. A neutral cluster in the BSC is considered to be a photon candidate when the angle between the nearest charged track and the cluster in the xy plane is greater than 15° , the first hit layer is in the beginning 6 radiation lengths, and the angle between the cluster development direction in the BSC and the photon emission direction in the xy plane is less than 37° . Instead of the requirement on the energy in the BSC, we require that no photon is reconstructed.




FIG. 1: Distributions for (a) the electron momentum, (b) muon momentum, (c) E_{neu}^{tot} , and (d) cosine of the acollinearity angle. Dots with error bars are data, the shaded histograms are the background from $\psi(2S)$ decays, the histograms filled with diagonal lines are the background from the continuum and from $\psi(2S)$ decays, and the blank histograms are the signal plus the backgrounds.

The efficiency difference between data and MC for the no photon requirement is measured to be 0.9%, which we take as the systematic error for the total energy of neutral clusters requirement. Based on the above, $\varepsilon_{e\mu}$ is $17.8(1 \pm 4.7\)%$.

B. Systematic error of $\sigma_{inf}^{\tau\tau}$

The systematic error on $\sigma_{inf}^{\tau\tau}$ comes from a shift of the beam energy during data taking. About one third of the $\psi(2S)$ data was acquired with the beam energy dropping slowly from 1.8430 GeV to 1.8425 GeV. Defining $\sigma'_{inf} = \left| (\sigma_{inf}^{3.6855}/3 + 2\sigma_{inf}^{3.686}/3) \right|$, the difference between σ'_{inf} and $\sigma_{inf}^{\tau\tau}$ is 5.1% and is considered as the systematic error.

C. Systematic error of the branching fraction

Table IV shows the summary of the systematic errors, where σ_{abs} is the absolute uncertainty, and σ_{rel} is the relative error. The total systematic error on the branching fraction is 12.3%.

TABLE IV: Summary of systematic errors.

source	σ_{abs}	σ_{rel} (%)
$\varepsilon_{e\mu}$	0.12	4.0
σ_{Int}	0.15	5.0
$Br_{e\mu}$	0.013	0.42
$L_{3.686}$	0.013	0.42
$N_{\psi(2S)}$	0.13	4.2
N_{cont}^{obs}	0.30	10.0
Total	0.38	12.3

VIII. SUMMARY

The process $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ is studied with 14×10^6 $\psi(2S)$ events. The branching fraction is measured to be $(3.10 \pm 0.21_{stat.} \pm 0.38_{sys.}) \times 10^{-3}$. The error is more precise than the previous measurement by BES I [3]. In the present measurement, the e and μ particle identification efficiencies and the continuum background are obtained from data. The biggest systematic error comes from the the continuum background. To obtain more precision, a larger continuum sample is required.

Acknowledgments

The BES collaboration thanks the staff of BEPC for their hard efforts. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contracts Nos. 10491300, 10225524, 10225525, the Chinese Academy of Sciences under contract No. KJ 95T-03, the 100 Talents Program of CAS under Contract Nos. U-11, U-24, U-25, and the Knowledge Innovation Project of CAS under Contract Nos. U-602, U-34 (IHEP); by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contract No. 10175060 (USTC), and No. 10225522 (Tsinghua University); and by the Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FG02-04ER41291 (University of Hawaii).

-
- [1] T. A. Armstrong *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D55** , 1153(1997).
 - [2] M. Ambrogiani *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 032004(2000).
 - [3] J. Z. Bai *et al* (BES Collab.), Phys. Rev. **D65** , 052004(2002).
 - [4] B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 031101(2002).
 - [5] W.-M. Yao *et al.* (PDG), J. Phys. G 33, 1(2006).
 - [6] R. Brandelik *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B73**, 109(1978).
 - [7] N.E. Adam *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 082004.
 - [8] J. Z. Bai. *et al.* (BES Collab.), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **458**, 627(2001).
 - [9] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collab.), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **344**, 319 (1994).
 - [10] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collab.), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **552**, 334(2005).
 - [11] J. C. Chen *et al.* (BES Collab.), Phys. Rev. **D62**, 034003(2000).
 - [12] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B24**, 550(2002).
 - [13] The expression for the $\tau^+\tau^-$ cross section, including the center-of-mass energy spread, initial state radiation correction, vacuum polarization correction, Coulomb interaction correction, and final state radiation correction, is given in J.M. Wu, BIHEP-TH-00/45, 2000.