

Anti-self-dual Maxwell solutions on hyperkähler manifold and $N = 2$ supersymmetric Ashtekar gravity

*Takayoshi OOTSUKA , †Sayuri MIYAGI ,
‡Yukinori YASUI and §Shoji ZEZE

Department of Physics, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshiku, Osaka, Japan

Abstract

Anti-self-dual (ASD) Maxwell solutions on 4-dimensional hyperkähler manifolds are constructed. The $N = 2$ supersymmetric half-flat equations are derived in the context of the Ashtekar formulation of $N = 2$ supergravity. These equations show that the ASD Maxwell solutions have a direct connection with the solutions of the reduced $N=2$ supersymmetric ASD Yang-Mills equations with a special choice of gauge group. Two examples of the Maxwell solutions are presented.

PACS numbers 04.20.Jb, 04.65.+e

1 Introduction

The Ashtekar formulation of Einstein gravity gives a new insight to the search for anti-self-dual (ASD) solutions without cosmological constant. These are constructed from the solutions of certain differential equations for volume-preserving vector fields on a 4-dimensional manifold. This characterization of the ASD solutions has been originally given by Ashtekar, Jacobson and Smolin [1], and further elaborated by Mason and Newman [2]. In the following, we call their differential equations the half-flat equations. These equations clarify the relationship between the ASD solutions of the Einstein and the Yang-Mills equations. Indeed, if we specialize the gauge group to be a volume-preserving diffeomorphism group, the reduced ASD Yang-Mills equations on the Euclidean space are identical to the half-flat equations [2].

Looked at geometrically, the Ashtekar formulation emphasizes the hyperkähler structures that naturally exist on ASD Einstein solutions. A hyperkähler manifold is a $4n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) such that (1) M admits three complex structures $J^a (a = 1, 2, 3)$ which obey the quaternionic relations $J^a J^b = -\delta_{ab} - \epsilon_{abc} J^c$; (2) the metric

*E-mail address : ootsuka@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

†E-mail address : miyagi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

‡E-mail address : yasui@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

§E-mail address : zeze@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

g is preserved by J^a ; (3) the 2-forms B^a defined by $B^a(X, Y) = g(J^a X, Y)$ for all vector fields X, Y are three kähler forms, i.e. $dB^a = 0$ ($a = 1, 2, 3$). The solutions of the half-flat equations ensure the conditions above and hence 4-dimensional hyperkähler metrics are ASD Einstein solutions.

Recently, making use of the half-flat equations we have explicitly constructed several hyperkähler metrics [3]. Subsequently here we extend the half-flat equations to the case of $N = 2$ supergravity ¹ Our formulation has the advantage that the setting of $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory is automatically provided. In particular ASD Maxwell solutions on hyperkähler manifolds are elucidated through the relationship to the reduced $N = 2$ ASD Yang-Mills equations. In the literature [4, 5] the $N = 2$ ASD supergravity has been investigated by using the superfield formulation, but our approach is very different and the results in the present work are more concrete.

In Section 2 we review the half-flat equations. In Section 3 we present a new construction of ASD Maxwell solutions on hyperkähler manifolds and derive the $N = 2$ supersymmetric half-flat equations. Finally, in Section 4 two examples of ASD Maxwell solutions are given.

The following is a summary of the notation used in this paper. The $so(3)$ generators and the Killing form are denoted by E_a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) and \langle, \rangle , respectively. The symbols $\eta_{\mu\nu}^a$ and $\bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a$ ($a = 1, 2, 3; \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) represent the 't Hooft matrices satisfying the relations:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}^a = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\eta_{\lambda\sigma}^a, \quad \bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\bar{\eta}_{\lambda\sigma}^a \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}^a \eta_{\mu\sigma}^b = \delta_{ab}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + \epsilon_{abc}\eta_{\nu\sigma}^c \quad (\bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a \text{ satisfy the same relations.}) \quad (1.2)$$

In Section 3 we consider a space-time with the signature $(++--)$. Then the metrics $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$ and $\kappa_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$ are used to lower and rise the indices of $\eta_{\mu\nu}^a$ ($\bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a$).

2 Half-flat equations

In this section, we briefly describe the 4-dimensional hyperkähler geometry from the point of view of Ashtekar gravity [1, 2]. We use the metric of the Euclidean signature for avoiding complex variables. The Ashtekar gravity consists of an $so(3)$ connection 1-form $A = A^a \otimes E_a$ and an $so(3)$ -valued 2-form $B = B^a \otimes E_a$ on a 4-dimensional manifold M . The action is given by [6]

$$S_{Ash} = \int_M \langle B \wedge F \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle C(B) \wedge B \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $F = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A \wedge A]$, $C(B) = C^a{}_b B^b \otimes E_a$ and $C = C^a{}_b E_a \otimes E^b$ is a Lagrange multiplier field which obeys the conditions, $C^a{}_b = C^b{}_a$ and $C^a{}_a = 0$. The equations of

¹ $N = 1$ half-flat equations are obtained from our equations (3.20) ~ (3.23) by putting $T = 0$.

motion are

$$F - C(B) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$DB = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$B^1 \wedge B^2 = B^2 \wedge B^3 = B^3 \wedge B^1 = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

$$B^1 \wedge B^1 = B^2 \wedge B^2 = B^3 \wedge B^3, \quad (2.5)$$

where D is the covariant derivative with respect to A . The algebraic equations (2.4) and (2.5) represent the constraints of this system.

To solve the constraints we introduce linearly independent four vector fields V_μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and a volume form ω on M . Then the solutions become the self-dual 2-forms

$$B^a = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a \iota_{V_\mu} \iota_{V_\nu} \omega, \quad (2.6)$$

where ι_{V_μ} denotes the inner derivation with respect to V_μ . We proceed to solve the remaining equations (2.2) and (2.3). For the hyperkähler geometry, which we will focus on in this paper, C must be taken to be zero because C^a_b are the coefficients of self-dual Weyl curvature; this is equivalent to the requirement that the holonomy group is contained in subgroup $\text{Sp}(1)$ of $\text{SO}(4)$. With this choice, (2.2) becomes $F = 0$ and if we take the gauge fixing $A = 0$, (2.3) reduces to

$$dB^a = 0 \quad (a = 1, 2, 3). \quad (2.7)$$

Thus (2.6) implies the half-flat equations [1, 2],

$$\frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^a [V_\mu, V_\nu] = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$L_{V_\mu} \omega = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

This can be seen by applying the formula :

$$d(\iota_X \iota_Y \alpha) = \iota_{[X, Y]} \alpha + \iota_Y L_X \alpha - \iota_X L_Y \alpha + \iota_X \iota_Y d\alpha \quad (2.10)$$

for vector fields X, Y and a form α . Given a solution of (2.8) and (2.9), we have a metric

$$g(V_\mu, V_\nu) = \phi \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\phi = \omega(V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3)$. This metric is invariant by the three complex structures

$$J^a(V_\mu) = \bar{\eta}_{\nu\mu}^a V_\nu \quad (a = 1, 2, 3), \quad (2.12)$$

which obey the relations $J^a J^b = -\delta_{ab} - \epsilon_{abc} J^c$ and give the three Kähler forms $B^a(V_\mu, V_\nu) = g(J^a(V_\mu), V_\nu)$. Thus the triplet (M, g, J^a) is a hyperkähler manifold. Conversely, it is known that every 4-dimensional hyperkähler manifold locally arises by this construction [2, 7].

This formulaton yields that the vector fields V_μ may be identified with the components of a space-time independent ASD Yang-Mills connection on \mathbb{R}^4 . Indeed, (2.9) is the

assertion that the gauge group is the diffeomorphism group $\text{SDiff}_\omega(M)$ preserving the volume form ω , and (2.8) are explicitly written as

$$[V_0, V_1] + [V_2, V_3] = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

$$[V_0, V_2] + [V_3, V_1] = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

$$[V_0, V_3] + [V_1, V_2] = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

which are equivalent to the reduced ASD Yang-Mills equations [2].

3 N=2 supersymmetric Ashtekar gravity

We start with the chiral action for $N = 2$ supergravity without cosmological constant [9, 10]. The bosonic part, which is the chiral action of Einstein-Maxwell theory, contains a $U(1)$ connection 1-form a and a 2-form b in addition to A, B in (2.1) [8]. The fermionic fields (two gravitino fields) are expressed by Weyl spinor 1-forms ψ^i and Weyl spinor 2-forms χ^i , where $i(= 1, 2)$ is a $\text{Sp}(1)$ index representing the two supersymmetric charges. By using the 2-component spinor notation, the chiral action is written as ².

$$\begin{aligned} S_{Ash}^{N=2} = & \int B^{AB} \wedge F_{AB} + b \wedge f + \chi^i{}_A \wedge D\psi_i{}^A - \frac{1}{2}b \wedge b - \frac{1}{8}b \wedge \psi_i{}^A \wedge \psi^i{}_A \\ & - \frac{1}{2}C_{ABCD}B^{AB} \wedge B^{CD} - \kappa^i{}_{ABC}B^{AB} \wedge \chi_i{}^C - \frac{1}{2}H_{AB}(B^{AB} \wedge b - \chi_i{}^A \wedge \chi^{iB}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $f = da$, and $C_{ABCD}, \kappa^i{}_{ABC}$ and H_{AB} are totally symmetric Lagrange multiplier fields.

Let us focus on ASD solutions. Then we can put $A_{AB} = C_{ABCD} = 0$ as stated in Sect.2, and further impose the conditions $H_{AB} = \kappa^i{}_{ABC} = \psi_i{}^A = 0$. It should be noticed that these restrictions preserve the $N = 2$ supersymmetry; as we will see in Sect.3.2 this symmetry is properly realized in the $N = 2$ supersymmetric ASD Yang-Mills equations with the gauge group $\text{SDiff}_\omega(M)$. Now the equations of motion derived from $S_{Ash}^{N=2}$ reduce to

$$f = b \quad (3.2)$$

$$dB^{AB} = db = d\chi_i{}^A = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$B^{(AB} \wedge B^{CD)} = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

$$B^{(AB} \wedge \chi_i{}^C) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

$$B^{AB} \wedge b - \chi_i{}^A \wedge \chi^{iB} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

3.1 Maxwell solutions on hyperkähler manifolds

We first consider the bosonic sector ($b = f, B$) in a space-time with the Euclidean signature. The relevant equations are obtained from (3.2)~(3.6) by putting $\chi_i{}^A = 0$. In the

²We have re-named the variables in [10] as $(A_{AB}, A, \psi_\alpha{}^A, \Sigma^{AB}, B, \chi_\alpha{}^A, \Psi_{ABCD}, \kappa^\alpha{}_{ABC}, \phi_{AB}) \mapsto (A_{AB}, a, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_i{}^A, -B^{AB}, -\frac{1}{2}b, -\sqrt{2}\chi_i{}^A, -C_{ABCD}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\kappa^i{}_{ABC}, -\frac{1}{2}H_{AB})$

previous section we have seen that the solutions B^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) are self-dual Kähler forms on a hyperkähler manifold M . Thus the equations (3.3) and (3.6) imply that b is an ASD closed 2-form (ASD Maxwell solution) on M . The following proposition holds.

Proposition. Let M be a hyperkähler manifold expressed by linear independent vector fields V_μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and a volume form ω as mentioned in (2.8) and (2.9). If the vector field $T = T_\mu V_\mu$ satisfies

$$L_T \omega = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$[V_\mu, [V_\mu, T]] = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

then b defined by

$$b = \frac{1}{2} b^a \eta_{\mu\nu}^a \iota_{V_\mu} \iota_{V_\nu} \omega \quad \text{for} \quad b^a = \eta_{\mu\nu}^a V_\mu T_\nu, \quad (3.9)$$

is an ASD closed 2-form on M .

Proof. The ASD condition of b immediately follows from (3.9). Therefore it suffices to prove that b is a closed 2-form. Using the identity of the 't Hooft matrices

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}^a \eta_{\lambda\sigma}^a = \delta_{\mu\lambda} \delta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta_{\mu\sigma} \delta_{\nu\lambda} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}, \quad (3.10)$$

we rewrite (3.9) in the form,

$$b = \iota_{V_\mu} \iota_{V_\nu} L_{V_\mu} (T_\nu \omega) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \iota_{V_\mu} \iota_{V_\nu} L_{V_\lambda} (T_\sigma \omega). \quad (3.11)$$

Let us define the vector fields

$$W_{\mu\nu} = [V_\mu, V_\nu] + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} [V_\lambda, V_\sigma]. \quad (3.12)$$

Then,

$$b + \iota_{V_\mu} \iota_{W_{\mu\nu}} T_\nu \omega = \iota_{V_\mu} L_{V_\mu} \iota_T \omega + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \iota_{V_\mu} L_{V_\lambda} \iota_{V_\sigma} (T_\nu \omega). \quad (3.13)$$

The exterior derivative of (3.13) is evaluated as follows: Since both the vector fields V_μ and T preserve the volume form ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\iota_{V_\mu} L_{V_\mu} \iota_T \omega) &= L_{V_\mu} L_{V_\mu} \iota_T \omega \\ &= \iota_{[V_\mu, [V_\mu, T]]} \omega \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \iota_{V_\mu} L_{V_\lambda} \iota_{V_\sigma} (T_\nu \omega)) &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} (L_{V_\mu} L_{V_\lambda} \iota_{V_\sigma} - \iota_{V_\mu} L_{V_\lambda} L_{V_\sigma}) (T_\nu \omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \iota_{[[V_\mu, V_\lambda], V_\sigma]} T_\nu \omega = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

We thus find

$$d(b + \iota_{V_\mu} \iota_{W_{\mu\nu}} T_\nu \omega) = \iota_{[V_\mu, [V_\mu, T]]} \omega. \quad (3.16)$$

Finally, making use of (2.8), i.e. $W_{\mu\nu} = 0$, combined with the condition (3.8), we obtain the required formula $db = 0$. \square

Remark. Using the hyperkähler metric (2.11), we can rewrite (3.9) as

$$b = dg(T, \cdot) + \iota_{[V_\mu, [V_\mu, T]]}\omega. \quad (3.17)$$

This expression is convenient to the explicit calculation in Sect.4.

3.2 $N = 2$ supersymmetric half-flat equations

Let us return to the equations (3.2)~(3.6) and assume a space-time with the signature $(++--)$. It is known that the hyperkähler manifolds with this signature provide the consistent backgrounds for closed $N=2$ strings [11, 12]. We follow the paper for the spinor notation of [4]; the spinor indices $A, B, C \dots$ in (3.1) are replaced by the dotted indices $\dot{A}, \dot{B}, \dot{C} \dots$. To solve the constraints we introduce spinor valued vector fields $V_i^{\dot{A}}$ in addition to the vector fields V_μ (or $V_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$) and T . Referring to (3.9), we put

$$\chi_{i\dot{A}} = \iota_{V_{\dot{B}\dot{A}}}\iota_{V_i^{\dot{B}}}\omega, \quad (3.18)$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{a\lambda\sigma}V_\lambda T_\sigma)\eta_a^{\mu\nu}\iota_{V_\mu}\iota_{V_\nu}\omega + \iota_{V_i^{\dot{A}}}\iota_{V_i^{\dot{A}}}\omega, \quad (3.19)$$

together with (2.6), i.e. $B_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} = \frac{1}{2}\iota_{V_{\dot{C}\dot{A}}}\iota_{V^{\dot{C}}\dot{B}}\omega$ in the spinor notation (See Sect.1 for the 't Hooft matrices.). It is easily confirmed that these formulas automatically satisfy (3.4)~(3.6). Furthermore (3.3) requires the following equations for the vector fields, which are proved in a similar fashion to the preceding proposition:

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}^{a\mu\nu}[V_\mu, V_\nu] = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

$$[V^\mu, [V_\mu, T]] + [V^i_A, V_i^A] = 0 \quad (3.21)$$

$$[V_{\dot{B}\dot{A}}, V_i^{\dot{B}}] = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$L_{V_\mu}\omega = L_{V_i^{\dot{A}}}\omega = L_T\omega = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

This result is satisfactory in that it gives the direct correspondence between the ASD solutions of the $N = 2$ supergravity and the $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory; the equations (3.20)~(3.23) can be regarded as $N = 2$ supersymmetric extension of the half-flat equations. To say more precisely, let us recall the $N = 2$ ASD Yang-Mills equation in a flat space-time with the signature $(++--)$ [4, 5]. The $N = 2$ Yang-Mills theory has the field content $(A_\mu, \lambda_{iA}, \tilde{\lambda}_{i\dot{A}}, S, \tilde{S})$, where λ_{iA} and $\tilde{\lambda}_{i\dot{A}}$ are chiral and anti-chiral Majorana-Weyl spinors, while the fields S and \tilde{S} are real scalars. All the fields are in the adjoint representation of gauge group. By the supersymmetric ASD condition, i.e. $\tilde{S} = 0$, the equations of motion reduce to

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}^{a\mu\nu}[D_\mu, D_\nu] = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

$$D^\mu D_\mu S + [\lambda^i_A, \lambda_i^A] = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

$$(\sigma^\mu D_\mu)_{\dot{B}\dot{A}}\lambda_i^{\dot{B}} = 0, \quad (3.26)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + [A_\mu, \cdot]$. If we require that the fields are all constant on the space-time, and further choose the gauge group as $\text{SDiff}_\omega(M)$, then the equations (3.24)~(3.26) just become the $N = 2$ supersymmetric half-flat equations (3.20)~(3.23) with the identification $A_\mu = V_\mu$, $\lambda_i^A = V_i^A$ and $S = T$.

4 Examples of ASD Maxwell solutions

As an application of the proposition, we present two examples of ASD Maxwell solutions on 4-dimensional hyperkähler manifolds with one isometry generated by a Killing vector field $K = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$. The first example gives the well-known Maxwell solution and the second one leads to a new solution as far as the authors know. We use local coordinates (τ, x^1, x^2, x^3) and a volume form $\omega = d\tau \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3$ for the background manifold.

4.1 Gibbons-Hawking background

In this case we choose the vector fields V_μ as [13]

$$V_0 = \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$V_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \psi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (4.2)$$

where the functions ϕ, ψ_i are all independent of τ . Then these vector fields preserve the volume form ω and (2.8) implies

$$*d\phi = d\psi, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\psi = \psi_i dx^i$ and $*$ denotes the Hodge operator on $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x^1, x^2, x^3)\}$. The resultant metric is the Gibbons-Hawking multi-center metric [14],

$$ds^2 = \phi^{-1}(d\tau + \psi)^2 + \phi dx^i dx^i. \quad (4.4)$$

The Killing vector field $T = K$ clearly satisfies (3.7) and (3.8). Applying the proposition, we have an ASD Maxwell solution [15],

$$b = da \quad \text{with} \quad a = \phi^{-1}(d\tau - \psi). \quad (4.5)$$

4.2 Real heaven background

We choose the vector fields V_μ as [3]

$$V_0 = e^{\frac{\psi}{2}} \left(\partial_3 \psi \cos\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \sin\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

$$V_1 = e^{\frac{\psi}{2}} \left(-\partial_3 \psi \sin\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \cos\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right) \quad (4.7)$$

$$V_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \partial_2 \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \quad (4.8)$$

$$V_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - \partial_1 \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \quad (4.9)$$

If the function ψ is independent of τ and satisfies the 3-dimensional continual Toda equation:

$$\partial_1^2 \psi + \partial_2^2 \psi + \partial_3^2 e^\psi = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

these vector fields are solutions of the half-flat equations (2.8) and (2.9). Then, the hyperkähler metric (the real heaven solution) is given by [16]

$$ds^2 = (\partial_3\psi)^{-1}(d\tau + \beta)^2 + (\partial_3\psi)\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\beta = -\partial_2\psi dx^1 + \partial_1\psi dx^2, \quad (4.12)$$

and γ_{ij} is the diagonal metric $\gamma_{11} = \gamma_{22} = e^\psi, \gamma_{33} = 1$.

In this case we find a solution of (3.7) and (3.8):

$$T = c_1(\partial_1\psi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + c_2(\partial_2\psi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \quad \text{for constants } c_i \ (i = 1, 2). \quad (4.13)$$

The corresponding ASD Maxwell solution is given by

$$b = c_1 da^{(1)} + c_2 da^{(2)}, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$a^{(1)} = \partial_1\psi(\partial_3\psi)^{-1}(d\tau + \beta) + \partial_3e^\psi dx^2 - \partial_2\psi dx^3, \quad (4.15)$$

$$a^{(2)} = \partial_2\psi(\partial_3\psi)^{-1}(d\tau + \beta) - \partial_3e^\psi dx^1 + \partial_1\psi dx^3. \quad (4.16)$$

Acknowledgments

We want to thank Y. Hashimoto for many useful discussions.

References

- [1] A. Ashtekar, T. Jacobson and L. Smolin, A new characterization of half-flat solutions to Einstein's equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 115 (1988) 631-648.
- [2] L.J. Mason and E.T. Newman, A connection between the Einstein and Yang-Mills equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 121 (1989) 659-668.
- [3] Y. Hashimoto, Y. Yasui, S. Miyagi and T. Ootsuka, Applications of the Ashtekar gravity to four-dimensional hyperkähler geometry and Yang-Mills instantons, *J. Math. Phys.* 38 (1997) 5833-5839.
- [4] S.V. Ketov, H. Nishino and S.J. Gates Jr., Self-dual supersymmetry and supergravity in Atiyah-Ward space-time, *Nucl. Phys. B* 393 (1993) 149-210.
- [5] S.J. Gates Jr., H. Nishino and S.V. Ketov, Extended supersymmetry and self-duality in 2+2 dimensions, *Phys. Lett. B* 297 (1992) 99-104.
- [6] R. Capovilla, J. Dell, T. Jacobson and L. Mason, Self-dual 2-forms and gravity, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 8 (1991) 41-57.

- [7] S.K. Donaldson, Complex cobordism, Ashtekar's equations and diffeomorphisms, in: *Symplectic Geometry*, ed. D. Salamon, London Math. Soc. (1992) 45-55.
- [8] D.C. Robinson, A $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ formulation of Einstein-Maxwell theory, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 11 (1994) L157-L161.
- [9] H. Kunitomo and T. Sano, The Ashtekar Formulation for Canonical N=2 Supergravity, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement* 114 (1993) 31-39.
- [10] K. Ezawa, Ashtekar's Formulation for N=1,2 Supergravities as "Constrained" BF Theories, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 95 (1996) 863-882.
- [11] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Self-Dual and N=2 String Magic, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* (1990) 1389-1398.
- [12] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Geometry of N=2 String, *Nucl. Phys.* B361 (1991) 469-518.
- [13] D.D. Joyce, Explicit construction of self-dual 4-manifolds, *Duke Math. J.* 77 No.3 (1995) 519-552.
- [14] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, Gravitational multi-instantons, *Phys. Lett. B* 78 (1978) 430-432.
- [15] T. Eguchi and A.J. Hanson, Self-Dual Solutions to Euclidean Gravity, *Ann. Phys.* 120 (1979) 82-106.
- [16] C. Boyer and J. Finley, Killing vectors in self-dual, Euclidean Einstein spaces, *J. Math. Phys.* 23 (1982) 1126-1130.