$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Production of Global Monopoles through the Nucleation of $O(3)$} \\ \mbox{Bubbles}^* \end{array}$

Yoonbai Kim¹, Kei-ichi Maeda², Nobuyuki Sakai³

¹Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan 609-735, Korea ^{2,3}Department of Physics, Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169, Japan

Abstract

First-order cosmological phase transitions are considered in the models with an O(3)-symmetric scalar field, in the high temperature limit. It is shown that a global monopole can be produced at the center of a bubble when the bubble is nucleated.

I. Introduction

Symmetries believed to exist in the early universe will break spontaneously as the universe expands and cools. Such cosmological phase transitions lead to the formation of defects - domain walls, strings, monopoles and textures - and the dominant channel is the Kibble mechanism [1]. The consequences of the production of defects may be a crisis like the over-production of magnetic monopoles in grand unified theories, which can be resolved by inflation, while cosmic strings may provide a viable fluctuation spectrum for galaxy formation [2]. Moreover, A "new inflationary" universe can be formed at the site of a defect itself [3]. When the cosmological phase transition is first order, it is achieved through the nucleation, evolution and percolation of bubbles, and defects are generated by the collisions of bubbles. Since the pattern of nucleated vacuum bubbles are not significantly affected by the symmetries of the model, for a wide class of systems, the bounce solution with one and only one negative mode of the Euclidean action can depict the vacuum bubble solution [4].

^{*}Talk presented at Pacific Conference on Gravitation and Cosmology, Seoul, Feb. 1-6, 1996. ¹e-mail: yoonbai@top.phys.pusan.ac.kr

e-mail: yoonbai@top.phys.pusan.ac.k

²e-mail: maeda@cfi.waseda.ac.jp

³e-mail: sakai@cfi.waseda.ac.jp

In this report, we review how an O(3) symmetric model can support new bubble solutions, despite the above restriction: a stable global monopole can be simultaneously generated at their center [5]. (For the short versions, refer [6] and, for the flat spacetime case, see also [7].)

II. Nucleation of Monopole-Bubbles

The model of interest is an O(3) symmetric scalar multiplet in a curved spacetime. At finite temperature it is formulated using the imaginary time method, and the Euclidean action is given by

$$S = \int_0^{1/T} dt_E \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left\{ -\frac{1}{16\pi G} R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi^a \partial_\nu \phi^a + V(\phi) \right\},\tag{1}$$

where $\phi^a = \hat{\phi}^a \phi$ is an O(3)-symmetric isovector (a = 1, 2, 3) with $\phi = \sqrt{\phi^a \phi^a}$. Our argument in the following does not depend on the detailed form of the scalar potential and the existence of the new bubble solution is guaranteed under any potential which includes one false vacuum and one true vacuum. Here we choose a representative ϕ^6 potential for the actual calculation,

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{v^2} (\phi^2 + \alpha v^2) (\phi^2 - v^2)^2 \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1/2.$$
(2)

This potential is appropriate for the first order transition from a symmetric vacuum (de Sitter spacetime with the horizon $H^{-1} \equiv (8\pi GV(0)/3)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$) to the broken vacuum (Minkowski spacetime).

Let us consider the situation with temperature T, such that $1/(\text{bubble radius}) \ll T \ll M_{Pl}(=1/\sqrt{G})$, although the supermassive scale will also raise questions about the inner structure of bubbles in the very early universe. At sufficiently high temperature, the first order phase transition is described by the O(3) symmetric sphaleron-type solutions. Thus the Euclidean metric is

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2GM(r)}{r}\right)e^{2\delta(r)}dt_{E}^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM(r)}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}), \quad (3)$$

and the ansatz for the scalar field takes the form:

$$\phi^{a} = \hat{\phi}^{a}(\theta,\varphi)\phi(r)
= (\sin n\theta \cos n\varphi, \sin n\theta \sin n\varphi, \cos n\theta)\phi(r),$$
(4)

where n = 0 for the ordinary bubble solutions and n = 1 for the *monopole-bubble* solutions.

In addition to the well-known ordinary bubble solution (n = 0) with the boundary conditions $d\phi/dr|_{r=0} = 0$ and $\phi(r \to H^{-1}) = 0$, there exists another nontrivial bubble solution (n = 1) which starts at $\phi(r = 0) = 0$, reaches the maximum point $\phi(r = r_{turn}) = \phi_{turn}$ and goes back to $\phi(r \to H^{-1}) = 0$ (see Fig. 1).



Figure 1. An n = 1 bubble solution: The solid, dotted and dashed lines correspond to $\phi(r)$, $\delta(r)$ and GM(r) when $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$ and $v/M_{Pl} = 0.1$.



Figure 2. T_t^t profiles for thin-wall bubble solutions: The dotted and solid lines correspond to an n = 0 bubble and an n = 1 bubble when $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 0.01$ and $v/M_{Pl} = 0.1$.

From the profile of T_t^t after Wick rotation to Minkowski signature in Fig. 2, we read the characteristics of the n = 1 bubble in both flat and curved spacetimes:

(i) There are two bubble walls: One at $r/H^{-1} = R_{n=1}$ is the bubble wall which distinguishes the inside of the bubble at true vacuum from the outside of the bubble at false vacuum, and the other at $r/H^{-1} = R_m$ is that which divides the false vacuum core and the true vacuum surroundings.

(ii) The matter aggregate formed at the center of the n = 1 bubble is nothing but the global monopole due to the nontrivial local mapping between the internal O(3)symmetry and the spatial O(3) symmetry. It is the reason why we call the n = 1bubble the *monopole-bubble*.

(iii) There is a no-go theorem that says the scalar fields described by the standard relativistic form of the Lagrangian do not support any non-trivial static soliton solutions of finite energy. However, the global monopole created inside the n = 1 bubble is a finite energy configuration since the long-range tail of the global monopole

$$T_{t}^{t} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \Big) \Big(\frac{d\phi}{dr} \Big)^{2} + \delta_{n1} \frac{\phi^{2}}{r^{2}} + V \overset{r \approx r_{\text{turn}}}{\sim} \frac{\phi_{\text{turn}}^{2}}{r^{2}}, \tag{5}$$

is tamed by the outer bubble wall at $r/H^{-1} = R_{n=1}$.

The gravitational effects on the shapes of the monopole-bubbles are:

(i) In terms of the radial coordinate r, $\phi(r)$ grows slowly near the origin, and it reflects the repulsive nature of the gravity at the global monopole core. The decrease of both r_{turn} and ϕ_{turn} can be understood by the attractive nature of gravity in the true vacuum region between two walls.

(ii) In a flat spacetime the radius of an n = 1 bubble is always larger than that of an n = 0 bubble. This is also true for a thick wall monopole-bubble in a curved spacetime. However, the size of a thin wall monopole-bubble in a curved spacetime can be smaller than that of an n = 0 bubble as shown in Fig. 2. Let us consider a junction condition for a thin wall bubble

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{dR}{d\tau}\right)^2 + 1 - \frac{8}{3}\pi GV(0)R^2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{dR}{d\tau}\right)^2 + 1 - 8\pi Gv^2 + \frac{2G|M_{\rm turn}|}{R}} = -4\pi G\sigma R,\tag{6}$$

where R, τ , and σ are the circumference radius, the proper time, and the surface energy density of the shell, respectively. It tells us that the ratio of initial radii of the n = 0 and n = 1 bubbles can be smaller than one for sufficiently large radius such that

$$\frac{R_{n=1}(0)}{R_{n=0}(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 - 8\pi G v^2} + \sqrt{1 - 8\pi G v^2 + \frac{4v^2}{R_{n=0}(0)\sigma}} \right) \xrightarrow{R \to \text{large}} \sqrt{1 - 8\pi G v^2} < 1,$$
(7)

where $R_{n=0}(0) \equiv 3\sigma/(V(0) + 6\pi G\sigma^2)$ is the initial radius of the n = 0 bubble.

The spacetime structure inside and outside the monopole-bubble is summarized as follows:

(i) The regions at the core of the global monopole $(r/H^{-1} < R_m)$ and at the outside of the bubble $(r/H^{-1} > R_{n=1})$ are de Sitter spacetimes described by the metric,

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\pi GV(0)r^{2}\right)e^{2\delta_{0}}dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\pi GV(0)r^{2}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}).$$
 (8)

(ii) The true vacuum region between the inner and outer bubble walls $(R_m < r/H^{-1} < R_{n=1})$ is a nearly flat spacetime with a deficit solid angle $\Delta = 8\pi G v^2$. Specifically, after rescaling the variables t and r, we have the metric near $r = r_{\text{turn}}$,

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM_{\text{turn}}}{1 - 8\pi G\phi_{\text{turn}}^{2}}\frac{1}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM_{\text{turn}}}{1 - 8\pi G\phi_{\text{turn}}^{2}}\frac{1}{r}\right)dr^{2} + r^{2}(1 - 8\pi G\phi_{\text{turn}}^{2})(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \,d\varphi^{2}),$$
(9)

where

$$GM_{\rm turn} = 4\pi G \int_0^{r_{\rm turn}} dr \, r^2 \bigg\{ \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \Big) \Big(\frac{d\phi}{dr} \Big)^2 + \frac{\phi^2 - \phi_{\rm turn}^2}{r^2} + (V - V(\phi_{\rm turn})) \bigg\}.$$

This flat nature of the metric can be understood in the Newtonian limit of the Einstein equation: $\nabla^2 \Phi = 8\pi G (T_t^t - T_r^r) \approx 0$ at $r \approx r_{\text{turn}}$ [8, 9].

(iii) Since the integral of the core region has the negative contribution, *i.e.*, $M_{\text{turn}} \approx -m_H = -\sqrt{4\lambda(3+2\alpha)}v < 0$, the global monopole inside the monopole-bubble does not form a black hole even at the Planck scale [9].

(iv) A currently open question is what is the structure of a spacetime manifold which is formed when the deficit solid angle is equal to or greater than 4π .

When the system contains two distinct decay channels described by n = 0and n = 1 bubbles, an interesting quantity is the ratio of two decay rates. If the tunneling action for each bubble is larger than unity, the nucleation rate for the n^{th} bubble takes the exponential form, *i.e.*, $\Gamma^{(n)} = A_n e^{-\frac{v}{T}B'_n}$, where B'_n is the value of the Euclidean action of the n^{th} bubble solution multiplied by T/v. For the ratio of prefactors A_1/A_0 , we assume that zero mode contributions are dominant as has been done in a flat spacetime and then we have

$$\frac{\Gamma^{(1)}}{\Gamma^{(0)}} \sim \left(\frac{B_1'}{B_0'}\right)^{\frac{6}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{v}{T}(B_1' - B_0')\right].$$
(10)

Note that the leading contribution of the action difference $(v/T)(B'_1 - B'_0)$ can be understood as the energy needed to generate the global monopole at the center of monopole-bubble, which is approximately proportional to the radius of the monopole-bubble, B'_1/B'_0 tends to one in the thin-wall limit and a few in the thickwall limit. Therefore the substitution of the values of the action gives several values of $\Gamma^{(1)}/\Gamma^{(0)}$:

$\alpha \setminus \frac{v}{T}$	1.0	2.0
0.3 (thick wall)	3.32×10^{-2}	1.98×10^{-5}
0.1	3.43×10^{-9}	1.59×10^{-18}
0.03 (thin wall)	5.23×10^{-13}	1.47×10^{-25}

Table 1. Values of $\Gamma^{(1)}/\Gamma^{(0)}$ for $\lambda = 1$ and $v/M_{Pl} = 0.1$.

As expected, (i) monopole-bubbles are more likely to be nucleated at high temperature and in the relatively thick wall case, and (ii) there may exist some parameter region of a scalar potential where the monopole-bubbles cannot be neglected, although B'_1 is always larger than B'_0 .

III. Evolution of Monopole-Bubbles

In order to pursue the evolution of bubbles, we solve the classical equations of motion and take into account the effect due to the temperature change when we prepare the initial conditions.



Figure 3. Profiles of an n = 1 thick-wall bubbles for fixed $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 0.3$ and $v/M_{Pl} = 0.1$ associated with the classical evolution.

An example of our numerical results for the evolution of an n = 1 bubble is displayed in Fig. 3. Note that:

(i) When the size of the outer bubble wall is larger than that of the static solution, *i.e.*, the critical size, the outer bubble wall of the monopole-bubble starts to expand.

(ii) As the bubble grows, a thick-wall bubble becomes a thin-wall one.

(iii) If we trace the time-evolution of the position of $\phi = 0.5v$ for the outer wall, its trajectory looks like a hyperbola, similar to that of n = 0 bubble wall. The terminal velocity of the wall is read from Eq.(6):

$$v_{\text{terminal}} \approx 1 - \frac{4\pi G V(0)}{3[R_{n=0}(0)]^2},$$
 (11)

which agrees with that of an n = 0 bubble.

(iv) From the trajectory of the position of $\phi = 0.5v$ for the inner wall, we find that it oscillates and we can expect that this oscillation will be damped gradually. It implies that the global monopole inside an n = 1 bubble is stable against the perturbations of the scalar amplitude.

Finally, let us discuss the case where the scale of the symmetry breakdown v approaches the Planck scale M_{Pl} . When the de Sitter horizon is smaller than the radius of a monopole-bubble, one probable case is that the nucleated bubble contains a super-horizon-sized monopole according to the viewpoint of Ref.[10]. Once such a configuration is formed, one can expect defect inflation at the monopole site [3].

We would like to thank R. Easther for reading the manuscript. Y.K.'s research was supported in part by the KOSEF (95-0702-04-01-3, Brain Pool Program, CTP of Seoul National University) and the Korean Ministry of Education (BSRI-95-2413). K.M. and N.S.'s research was supported in part by the Grantin-aid for Scientific Research Fund of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (No.06302021, No.06640412, and No.07740226), and by the Waseda University Grant for Special Research Projects.

References

- T.W.B. Kibble, J. Phys. A9, 1387 (1976); A. M. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. D45, R3304 (1992); J. Borrill, T. W. B. Kibble, T. Vachaspati and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D52, 1934 (1995).
- [2] For a review, see, e. g., A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, in Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994); R. H. Brandenberger, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A9, 2117 (1994).
- [3] E. I. Guendelman and A. Rabinowitz, Phys. Rev. D44, 3152 (1991); A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3137 (1994); A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B327, 208 (1994); A. D. Linde and D. Linde, Phys. Rev. D50, 2456 (1994); N. Sakai, H. Shinkai, T. Tachizawa, and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D53, 655 (1996); N. Sakai, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996, to be published).

- [4] S. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. **B298**, 178 (1988).
- Y. Kim, K. Maeda and N. Sakai, Preprint SNUTP-96-031, WU-AP/57/96, gr-qc/9604030.
- [6] N. Sakai, Y. Kim and K. Maeda, Preprint hep-th/9512213; Y. Kim, K. Maeda and N. Sakai, Preprint hep-th/9602034.
- [7] Y. Kim, Preprint DPNU-94-39, hep-th/9410076.
- [8] M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 341 (1989).
- [9] D. Harari and C. Loustó, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 2626 (1990).
- [10] A. H. Guth and E. J. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. **B212**, 321 (1983).