Directional naked singularity in general relativity

K. S. Virbhadra¹ Theoretical Astrophysics Group Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Bombay 400005, India.

Abstract

We consider a static, axially symmetric, and asymptotically flat exact solution of the Einstein vacuum equations, known as the gamma metric. This is characterized by two constant parameters m and γ . We find that the total energy associated with this metric is $m\gamma$. Considering the total energy to be positive, we investigate the nature of a curvature singularity r = 2m (r is the radial coordinate) in this metric. For $\gamma < 1$, this singularity is globally visible along $\theta = 0$ as well as $\theta = \pi/2$. However, for $\gamma > 1$, this singularity is though globally naked along $\theta = \pi/2$, it is not visible (even locally) along $\theta = 0$. Thus, this exhibits "directional nakedness" for $\gamma > 1$. This could have implications for astrophysics.

¹E-mail : shwetketu@tifrvax.tifr.res.in

It is well-known that the general theory of relativity predicts the occurrence of the spacetime singularities in gravitational collapse. At singularities, the spacetime curvature is enormously large and the classical general relativity theory breaks down there. Whether or not the singularities are visible to observers at infinity has been debated. As physics at a spacetime singularity is not known, the existence of a naked singularity is usually expected to give serious problems as compared to a singularity which is not visible. For instance, there can be production of matter and/or radiation out of extremely high gravitational field and, as one knows, mechanism for that is not understood. Due to such reasons, naked singularities are abhorant to many physicists. The problem is observationally avoided if and only if it is assumed that no information can escape out of a singularity. Penrose[1], in a seminal review, asked, "Does there exist a cosmic censor who forbids the occurrence of naked singularities, clothing each one in an absolute event horizon?" The answer to this question is not known. Penrose^[2] as well as Hawking^[3] considered this as the most important unsolved problem of classical general relativity theory. The hypothesis that a physically realistic collapse will not lead to naked singularities is referred to as the cosmic censorship hypothesis (CCH)([1]-[2]). Penrose^[2] mentioned that unless the production of a naked singularity in a gravitational collapse is stable, the CCH remains valid. There is no agreed and precise statement of a CCH. There exists in the literature some other formulations to CCH[4]. However, due to the lack of a precise mathematical formulation describing "a physically realistic system", no proof for any version of CCH is known.

Penrose[5], three years after he proposed the CCH, expressed his opinion that it had long seemed to him that the presumption that the spacetime singularities that arise in gravitational collapse must inevitably reside inside black holes was a product largely of wishful thinking. He further suggested that the possibility that naked singularities may sometimes arise must be considered seriously. Since many remarkable and violent phenomena are seen in astronomy, he[6] suggested that it is worth speculating that naked singularities may play a role. Whether or not the CCH is true is a very important issue, as its validity is fundamental to lot of work that has been done on black holes. On the other hand, if the CCH is wrong and naked singularities occur in nature, then one might have a chance to study the effects of highly curved regions of spacetime. Over last twenty five years, after the CCH was proposed, the subject of singularity has fascinated many researchers' minds([7]-[12]). When a proof for a hypothesis is not known, it is worth obtaining counterexamples (see [9]-[11] and references therein).

The Schwarzschild solution has a spacetime singularity at r = 0 (r is the radial coordinate). This singularity is covered by an event horizon if the mass parameter m is positive. Similarly, the Kerr solution has a ring singularity which is covered by an event horizon if the mass parameter m is positive and is greater than the absolute value of the rotation parameter a. However, for the case $m^2 < a^2$, there is no event horizon and the singularity is globally naked. Carter demonstrated that the ring singularity ($m^2 < a^2$) is visible only for the equatorial plane (see in [6]). He also showed that the null geodesics from the neighbourhood of singular ring can escape to infinity only in directions very close to the equatorial plane. Using this property, Penrose ([5],[6]) suggested a possible explanation to Weber's gravitational waves observation. He argued that a rotating naked singularity at the center of the Galaxy would have the property that signals from the neighbourhood of this are necessarily beamed in one plane. This could remove the mass-loss conflict in Weber's observation. However, it is now usually believed (though it has not been explicitly proved) that $m^2 < a^2$ Kerr singularity cannot result from a realistic gravitational collapse. Though there is a general consensus that Weber's conclusion was wrong, it remains of interest to investigate whether or not other visible singularities have similar characteristics, which could be useful for explaining some astronomical observations in future. Obviously, only aspherical visible singularities can show such behaviour. We investigate the well-known gamma metric and find that it has a singularity which possesses "directional nakedness". We use geometrized units (G = 1, c = 1) and follow the convention that Latin (Greek) indices take values $0 \dots 3 (1 \dots 3)$.

A static and asymptotically flat exact solution to the Einstein vacuum equations, known as the gamma metric, is given by the line element[13]:

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\gamma} dt^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma} \left[\left(\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}\right)^{\gamma^{2} - 1} dr^{2} + \frac{\Delta^{r^{2}}}{\Sigma^{\gamma^{2} - 1}} d\theta^{2} + \Delta \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2}\right], \quad (1)$$

where,

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2mr,$$

$$\Sigma = r^2 - 2mr + m^2 \sin^2 \theta.$$
(2)

m and γ are two constant parameters in this solution. m = 0 or $\gamma = 0$ gives the flat spacetime. For $|\gamma| = 1$ the metric is spherically symmetric and for $|\gamma| \neq 1$, it is axially symmetric. $\gamma = 1$ gives the Schwarzschild spacetime in the Schwarzschild coordinates. $\gamma = -1$ gives the Schwarzschild spacetime with negative mass, as putting m = -M(M > 0)and carrying out a nonsingular coordinate transformation $(r \rightarrow R = r + 2M)$ one gets the Schwarzschild spacetime (with positive mass) in the Schwarzschild coordinates t, R, θ, Φ .

First, we investigate the total energy, momentum, and angular momentum for the gamma metric. For this purpose, we use the symmetric energy-momentum complex of Weinberg[14], which is given by

$$W^{ik} = \frac{1}{16\pi} D^{lik}{}_{,l},\tag{3}$$

where

$$D^{lik} = \frac{\partial h^a_a}{\partial x_l} \eta^{ik} - \frac{\partial h^a_a}{\partial x_i} \eta^{lk} - \frac{\partial h^{al}}{\partial x^a} \eta^{ik} + \frac{\partial h^{ai}}{\partial x^a} \eta^{lk} + \frac{\partial h^{lk}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial h^{ik}}{\partial x_l}$$
(4)

with

$$h_{ik} = g_{ik} - \eta_{ik}.\tag{5}$$

 η_{ik} is the Minkowski metric. Indices on h_{ik} or $\partial/\partial x_i$ are raised or lowered with help of η 's. It is obvious that

$$D^{lik} = -D^{ilk}. (6)$$

 W^{00} and $W^{\alpha 0}$ are the energy and energy current (momentum) density components, respectively. W^{ik} satisfies the covariant local conservation laws:

$$\frac{\partial W^{ik}}{\partial x^k} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Using Gauss's theorem, one has the energy and momentum components (P^0 is the energy and P^{α} are the momentum components)

$$P^{i} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint D^{\alpha 0 i} n_{\alpha} \, dS \tag{8}$$

and the physically interesting components of the angular momentum are

$$J^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \iint \left(x^{\alpha} D^{\sigma0\beta} - x^{\beta} D^{\sigma0\alpha} + \eta^{\sigma\alpha} h^{0\beta} - \eta^{\sigma\beta} h^{0\alpha} \right) n_{\sigma} \, dS. \tag{9}$$

where n_{α} is the outward unit normal vector and dS is the infinitesimal surface element.

The use of the Weinberg energy-momentum complex (which is a non-tensorial object), like any other energy-momentum complex, is restricted to quasi-Minkowskian coordinates (see [15] and references therein). Therefore, we transform the line element (1) to quasi-Minkowskian coordinates (t, x, y, z) according to $x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$ and $z = r \cos \theta$, and evaluate the above integrals at large distance. We get the total energy, momentum, and angular momentum :

$$P^{0} = m\gamma,$$

$$P^{\alpha} = 0,$$

$$J^{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$
(10)

Respecting the total energy to be nonnegative, in the following, we consider $m > 0, \gamma > 0$, but $\gamma \neq 1$ (as $\gamma = 1$ corresponds to the Schwarzschild metric and the structure of singularity for that is well-known in the literature). The divergence of the Kretschmann invariant $\mathcal{K} \equiv R_{abcd} R^{abcd}$ (R_{abcd} is the Riemann curvature tensor) for a given spacetime is a sufficient condition to have spacetime singularities. Therefore, we calculate the same for the gamma metric and get

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{16m^2\gamma^2 N}{r^{2\gamma^2 + 2\gamma + 2} (r - 2m)^{2\gamma^2 - 2\gamma + 2} \Sigma^{3 - 2\gamma^2}},\tag{11}$$

where

$$N = m^{2} \sin^{2} \theta \left\{ 3m\gamma \left(\gamma^{2} + 1 \right) (m - r) + \gamma^{2} \left(4m^{2} - 6mr + 3r^{2} \right) + m^{2} \left(\gamma^{4} + 1 \right) \right\} + 3r \left(\gamma m + m - r \right)^{2} (r - 2m).$$
(12)

We study the nature of r = 2m spacetime singularity. A spacetime singularity is called globally visible if there is a future directed causal curve with one end "on the singularity" and the other end on the future null infinity. We investigate the polar as well as the equatorial "radial" null geodesics in the gamma spacetime. The Kretschmann invariant along these geodesics are, respectively,

$$\mathcal{K}_{(\theta=0)} = \frac{48m^2\gamma^2 (m\gamma + m - r)^2}{r^{2\gamma+4} (r - 2m)^{4-2\gamma}}$$
(13)

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{(\theta=\pi/2)} = \frac{16m^2\gamma^2 S}{r^{2\gamma^2+2\gamma+2} (r-2m)^{2\gamma^2-2\gamma+2} (r-m)^{6-4\gamma^2}},$$
(14)

where

$$S = m^{4} \left(\gamma^{4} + 3\gamma^{3} + 4\gamma^{2} + 3\gamma + 1\right) - 3m^{3}r \left(\gamma^{3} + 4\gamma^{2} + 5\gamma + 2\right) + 3m^{2}r^{2} \left(2\gamma^{2} + 6\gamma + 5\right) - 6mr^{3} \left(\gamma + 2\right) + 3r^{4}.$$
(15)

 $\mathcal{K}_{(\theta=0)}$ diverges at r = 2m for $\gamma < 2$ ($\gamma \neq 1$) only, whereas $\mathcal{K}_{(\theta=\pi/2)}$ diverges at r = 2m for all values of γ ($\gamma \neq 1$). As the divergence of the Kretschmann invariant is a sufficient (not the necessary) condition for a spacetime singularity, one concludes that r = 2m is a curvature singularity in the gamma metric irrespective of the value of θ .

The null geodesics are governed by equations

$$\frac{dv^i}{dk} + \Gamma^i_{jk} v^j v^k = 0, \tag{16}$$

with

$$g_{ij}v^i v^j = 0. (17)$$

where $v^i \equiv \frac{dx^i}{dk}$ is the tangent vector to the null geodesics (k is the affine parameter).

Case(i) Outgoing polar "radial" null geodesics

The outgoing polar "radial" null geodesics in gamma spacetime are given by

$$v^{t} = E \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma},$$

$$v^{r} = E,$$

$$v^{\theta} = v^{\phi} = 0,$$
(18)

where E(E > 0) is an integration constant. Thus, one has

$$dt = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma} dr \tag{19}$$

We evaluate the integral $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{R} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma} dr$, where R is finite. For $\gamma > 1$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{R} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma} dr > \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (2m+\epsilon)^{\gamma} \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{R} \frac{dr}{(r-2m)^{\gamma}}$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{(2m+\epsilon)^{\gamma}}{(\gamma-1)} \left[\epsilon^{1-\gamma} - (R-2m)^{1-\gamma}\right] = \infty.$$
(20)

For $\gamma < 1$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{R} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma} dr < \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} R^{\gamma} \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{R} \frac{dr}{(r-2m)^{\gamma}} = R^{\gamma} \frac{(R-2m)^{1-\gamma}}{(1-\gamma)},$$
(21)

which is finite. Thus, with respect to the polar "radial" null geodesics, the r = 2m singularity is not (even locally) naked for $\gamma > 1$, whereas it is globally visible for $\gamma < 1$.

Case(ii) Outgoing equatorial "radial" null geodesics

The outgoing equatorial "radial" null geodesics in the spacetime are given by

$$v^{t} = E\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma},$$

$$v^{r} = E\left(\frac{r^{2} - 2mr + m^{2}}{r^{2} - 2mr}\right)^{\frac{\gamma^{2} - 1}{2}},$$

$$v^{\theta} = v^{\phi} = 0,$$
(22)

Thus, one has

$$dt = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\gamma} \frac{(r^2 - 2mr)^{\frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{2}}}{(r - m)^{\gamma^2 - 1}} dr$$

= $\left[r^{\frac{2\gamma - \gamma^2 + 1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{m}{r}\right)^{1 - \gamma^2}\right] \frac{dr}{(r - 2m)^{\frac{2\gamma - \gamma^2 + 1}{2}}}$ (23)

For finite R,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{R} \left[r^{\frac{2\gamma-\gamma^2+1}{2}} \left(1-\frac{m}{r}\right)^{1-\gamma^2} \right] \frac{dr}{(r-2m)^{\frac{2\gamma-\gamma^2+1}{2}}}$$

is clearly positive and finite for all values of γ ($\gamma \neq 1$). Therefore, with respect to the equatorial "radial" null geodesics, r = 2m singularity is globally naked for all values of γ . Thus, the r = 2m spacetime singularity in the axially symmetric gamma spacetime has "directional nakedness" for $\gamma > 1$, i.e., it is globally visible along $\theta = \pi/2$, whereas it is not (even locally) naked along $\theta = 0$. However, for $\gamma < 1$, r = 2m singularity is globally naked along $\theta = 0$ as well as $\theta = \pi/2$. It is of interest to investigate whether or not this singularity (r = 2m for $\gamma > 1$) is naked along other directions. As the "directional naked" singularities can exist only in aspherical spacetimes, it is of interest to study if it is a generic feature of such spacetimes (of course, with some restrictions on the spacetime parameters, e.g., $\gamma > 1$ in the gamma metric and $m^2 < a^2$ in the Kerr metric). It remains to be investigated whether or not a "directional naked" singularity occurs in the collapse from a reasonable nonsingular initial data. The detailed studies of these would enrich our knowledge of singularities in general relativity and this could have implications for astrophysics.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to Kerri (R.P.A.C.) Newman for helpful correspondence throughout preparation of this work.

References

- [1] R. Penrose, Riv. del. Nuovo Cim. 1, 252 (1969).
- [2] R. Penrose, in *Theoretical Principles in Astrophysics and Relativity*, edited by N. R. Lebovitz *et al* (The University of Chicago press, Chicago, 1978) p. 217.
- [3] S. W. Hawking, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **10**, 1047 (1979).
- [4] R. Penrose, in *General Relativity an Einstein Centenary Survey*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1979), p. 581; R. P. A. C. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav.3, 527 (1986).
- [5] R. Penrose, Nature **236** April 21, 377 (1972).
- [6] R. Penrose, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. **224**, 125 (1973).
- [7] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973); R. M. Wald, General Relativity (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984); G. L. Naber, Spacetime and Singularities an Introduction (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988); C. J. S. Clarke, The Analysis of Space-Time Singularities (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [8] F. J. Tipler, C. J. S. Clarke and G. F. R. Ellis, in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, edited by A. Held (Plenum, NY, 1980) p. 97; R. P. A. C. Newman, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 15, 641 (1983); Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 16, 1163 (1984); Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 16, 1177 (1984); Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A443, 473 (1993); E. Malec, *Global solutions of a free boundary problem for selfgravitating scalar fields*, gr-qc/9506005; *Selfgravitating nonlinear scalar fields*, Commun. Math. Phys., 1996 (submitted).
- [9] P. Yodzis, H. J. Seifert, and H. M. Hagen, Commun. Math. Phys. 34, 135 (1973); B. Steinmüller, A. R. King, and J. P. Lasota, Phys. Lett. 51A, 191 (1975); Y. Kuroda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72, 63 (1984); D. Christodoulou, Commun. Math. Phys. 93, 171 (1984); A. Papapetrou, in A Random Walk in General Relativity, edited by N. Dadhich et al (Wiley Eastern, New Delhi, 1985) p. 184; A. Ori and T. Piran, Phys. Rev. D42, 1068 (1990); S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 994 (1991); K. Lake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3129 (1992); J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1447 (1992); M. W. Choptuik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 9 (1993); D. Christdoulou, Ann. Math. 140, 607 (1994); T. P. Singh and P. S. Joshi, Class. Quantum Grav. 13, 559 (1996).
- [10] P. S. Joshi, Global Aspects in Gravitation and Cosmology, (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1993).
- [11] K. S. Virbhadra, S. Jhingan, and P. S. Joshi, Nature of singularity in Einstein-massless scalar theory, gr-qc/9512030;
- [12] C. S. Unnikrishnan, Phys. Rev. D53, R580 (1996); H. M. Antia, Phys. Rev. D53, 3472 (1996).
- [13] B. W. Stewart, D. Papadopoulos, L. Witten, R. Berezdivin, and L. Herrera, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 14, 97 (1982).

- [14] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of General Theory of Relativity (John Wiley and Sons, Inc.) p. 165.
- [15] J. M. Aguirregabiria, A. Chamorro, and K. S. Virbhadra, Energy and angular momentum of charged rotating black holes, Gen. Relativ. Gravit., 1996 (to appear).