

# Reconsideration of the Regge-Wheeler equation \*

Guihua Tian<sup>1,2</sup>, Shikun Wang<sup>2</sup> Zhao Zheng<sup>3</sup>

1.School of Science, Beijing University  
of Posts And Telecommunications. Beijing100876, China.

2.Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science,  
Chinese Academy of Sciences,(CAS) Beijing, 100080, P.R. China,

3.Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing100875, China.

April 18, 2005

## Abstract

Reconsideration of the Regge-Wheeler equation is processed by using the Painlevé coordinate and "good" timelier to define the initial time. We find that: the Regge-Wheeler equation could has positive imaginary frequency. Because the Regge-Wheeler equation is the odd (angular) perturbation to the Schwarzschild black hole, the conclusion is that the Schwarzschild black hole is unstable with respect to the rotating perturbation.

**PACC:0420-q**

Regge and Wheeler first studied the stable problem of the Schwarzschild black hole in 1957. They divided the perturbation into two classes: odd and even ones [1]. Later, it is found that odd one represents really the angular perturbation to the metric, while even one is the radial perturbation to the metric [3].

Regge and Wheeler studied the stable problem in the Schwarzschild coordinates where the background metric has an apparent singularity at the horizon  $r = 2m$ . So, it is very difficult to discriminate the real divergence in the perturbation at  $r = 2m$  from a spurious one caused by the improper choice of the coordinates. A solution was proposed by Vishveshwara with transforming the perturbation quantities to the Kruskal reference frame, which is singularity-free at the horizon  $r = 2m$ . In Vishveshwara's work, the perturbation fields  $h_{\mu\nu}^s(t_s, r_s, \theta, \phi)$  in the Schwarzschild coordinates are transformed into  $h_{\mu\nu}^k(v, u, \theta, \phi)$  in the Kruskal reference frame where  $(t_s, r_s, \theta, \phi)$ ,  $(v, u, \theta, \phi)$  are the Schwarzschild, Kruskal coordinates respectively. Vishveshwara studied the perturbation quantities actually in Schwarzschild coordinates timelier  $t_s$ , that is, the initial conditions are defined by  $t_s = 0$ , not by  $v = 0$ . In this very way, the Schwarzschild black hole is proved stable by Vishveshwara.

In fact, the metric in the Schwarzschild coordinates has another obvious drawback: the time coordinate  $t$  is not proper for analysis of the physical process at the horizon  $r = 2m$ . The drawback is written in many books, for which we cite the reference [5] of pages 824, 826 as an instance [5]:

Every radial geodesic except a "set of geodesics of measure zero" crosses the gravitational radius at  $t = +\infty$  (or at  $t = -\infty$  or both) , according to Figure 31.1 and the calculations behind that figure (exercises for the student! See Chapter 25). One therefore suspects that all physics at  $r = 2M$  is consigned to  $t = \pm\infty$  by reason of some unhappiness in the choice of the Schwarzschild coordinates. A better coordinate system, one begins to believe, will take these two "points at infinity" and spread them out into a line in a new  $(r_{new}, t_{new})$ -plane; and will squeeze the "line" ( $r = 2M, t$  from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ ) into a single point in the  $(r_{new}, t_{new})$ -plane. One is more prepared to accept this tentative conclusion and act on it because one has already seen (equation 31.8) that the region covering the  $(\theta, \phi)$  2-sphere at  $r = 2M$ , and extending from  $t = -\infty$  to  $t = +\infty$ , has zero proper volume. What timelier indication could one want that the "line"  $r = 2M, -\infty < t < +\infty$ , is actually a point?

\*E-mail of Tian: hua2007@126.com, tgh-2000@263.net, xinda-2002@126.com

From the cited paragraph, it is clear that one must use the "good" timelier other than  $t_s$  for physical process at  $r = 2m$ .

We reinforce our viewpoint again: we must choose "good" coordinates to study the stable problem, just as done by Vishveshwara in reference [4]; furthermore, we must choose "good" timelier to study it, that is, to define the initial conditions by "good" timelier other than Schwarzschild coordinate timelier  $t_s$ .

There are several well-known coordinates for the Schwarzschild black-hole, which are regular at  $r = 2m$ , for example, the Kruskal coordinate system, etc. Here, we main select the Painlevé coordinate of the Schwarzschild black hole for the study of the stability problem. The Painlevé coordinates were discovered independent by Painlevé in 1921[10] and Kraus, Wilczck in 1994 [11], [12]. The Painlevé metric is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt_p^2 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} dr dt_p + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \\ &= -dt_p^2 + \left(dr - \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} dt_p\right)^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

It is obtained from Schwarzschild metric

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt_s^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (2)$$

by the transformation

$$t_p = t_s - \left[2\sqrt{2mr} + 2m \ln \frac{\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{2m}}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{2m}}\right]. \quad (3)$$

The Painlevé metric is stationary, and regular at the horizon [11], [12], furthermore, its timelier  $t_p$  is time-like for  $r > 2m$ . These good qualities make it more suitable for study of the stability of the Schwarzschild black hole. Of course, to carry out the perturbation analysis entirely in the Painlevé metric would be very difficult. We use the Vishveshwara's result in the Schwarzschild metric, and transform them to the Painlevé coordinates and by the timelier  $t_p$  to study the stability problem. Our conclusion is that the Schwarzschild space-time is unstable. In fact, the timelier  $t_p$  is not absolutely good for the analysis of physical process at  $r = 2m$ , that is, it has some drawback like the timelier  $t_s$  in some way. Nevertheless, we make further transformation

$$dR = \sqrt{\frac{r}{2m}} dr - dt_p, \quad (4)$$

then

$$ds^2 = -dt_p^2 + \frac{2m}{r} dR^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (5)$$

In this metric (5), the timelier  $t_p$  is well-defined. Just for simplicity, we first use the timelier  $t_p$  in the metric (1) to study the stable problem, and prove it is unstable. Then, we transform the perturbation fields further to the coordinates system (5), and use the timelier  $t_p$  in the metric (5) to prove that the unstable conclusion also holds.

Here, we briefly explain the perturbation of the Schwarzschild black hole.

Suppose the background metric is  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , while the perturbation in it is  $h_{\mu\nu}$ , the contract Ricci tensors  $R_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $R_{\mu\nu} + \delta R_{\mu\nu}$  correspond the metrics  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$  respectively. The non-linear perturbation equation is

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (6)$$

while the linear part with respect to  $h_{\mu\nu}$  in the equation (6) is called the perturbation field equation. After the consideration of the gauge freedom, the odd perturbation is

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & h_0(r) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1(r) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_0(r) & h_1(r) & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{-ikt_s} \left[ \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] P_l(\cos \theta),$$

and the even one is

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \begin{vmatrix} H_0(1 - \frac{2m}{r}) & H_1 & 0 & 0 \\ H_1 & H_2(1 - \frac{2m}{r})^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 K \sin^2 \theta \end{vmatrix} e^{-ikt_s} P_l(\cos \theta).$$

Here we mainly discuss the odd perturbation.

In the odd perturbation, the linear equations of (6) are combined into one single equation, that is, the Regge-Wheeler equation:

$$\frac{d^2 Q}{dr^{*2}} + [k^2 - V] Q = 0, \quad (7)$$

where the effective potential  $V$  and the tortoise coordinate are

$$V = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{6m}{r^3}\right], \quad (8)$$

$$r^* = r + 2m \ln\left(\frac{r}{2m} - 1\right) \quad (9)$$

respectively. The perturbation field  $h_0(r)$  and  $h_1(r)$  are connected with  $Q$  by

$$h_0(r) = \frac{i}{k} \frac{d}{dr^*} (rQ) = \frac{i}{k} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) Q + r \frac{dQ}{dr^*} \right] \quad (10)$$

and

$$h_1(r) = r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} Q. \quad (11)$$

In reference [8], Stewart applied the Liapounoff theorem to define dynamical stability of a black-hole. First, according Stewart, the normal mode of the perturbation fields  $h_{\mu\nu}$  have time-dependence of  $e^{-ikt}$ , which are bounded at the boundaries of the event horizon  $r = 2m$  and the infinity  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The range of permitted frequency is defined as the spectrum  $S$  of the Schwarzschild black-hole. Then, for the Schwarzschild black-hole, it could be obtained by the Liapounoff theorem that[8]:

- (1) if  $\exists k \in S$  with  $\Im k > 0$ , the Schwarzschild black-hole is dynamically unstable,
- (2) if  $\Im k < 0$  for  $\forall k \in S$ , and the normal modes are complete, then, the Schwarzschild black-hole is dynamically stable,
- (3) if  $\Im k \leq 0$  for  $\forall k \in S$ , and there is at least one real frequency  $k \in S$ , the linearized approach could not decide the stability of the Schwarzschild black-hole.

As just reinforced, it depends on by what timelieer one defines the time-dependence  $e^{-ikt}$ . Vishveshwara proved the normal mode of the Schwarzschild black-hole could be real by the timelieer  $t_s$ . Even not considering the drawback of the timelieer  $t_s$ , the stability of the Schwarzschild black-hole is unsolved according to this criterion of Stewart's definition. So, Price studied the problem carefully [6] and Wald also treated the problem further [7]. Of course, they all use the timelieer  $t_s$  in their analysis of the stable problem.

Here, we will select the timelieer  $t_p$  to define the initial condition and prove that  $\Re k = 0$ ,  $\Im k > 0$  is possible for the Schwarzschild black hole in the metric (1). The drawback of the timelieer  $t_p$  in the metric (1) make it not suitable for the analysis at  $r = 2m$ . We overcome this by transforming it to the timelieer  $t_p$  in the metric (5), and prove that  $\Re k = 0$ ,  $\Im k > 0$  is also possible. Therefore, the Schwarzschild black-hole is unstable according to this criterion of Stewart's definition.

Just as done in the reference [4], we get the perturbation field quantities in the Schwarzschild metric coordinates for simplification, then obtain the corresponding quantities in the metric (1) of Painlevé coordinates by transformation and study the stable problem.

By equation (3), one gets the perturbation fields  $[h_{ij}^p]$  in the metric (1) of Painlevé coordinates:

$$h_{03}^p = h_{03}^s, \quad (12)$$

$$h_{13}^p = h_{13}^s + \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} h_{03}^s, \quad (13)$$

where

$$h_{03}^s = h_0 e^{-ikt_s} = \frac{i}{k} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) Q + r \frac{dQ}{dr^*} \right] e^{-ikt_s}, \quad (14)$$

$$h_{13}^s = h_1 e^{-ikt_s} = r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} Q e^{-ikt_s} \quad (15)$$

(see equations (10) and (11)), therefore,

$$h_{03}^p = \frac{i}{k} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) Q + r \frac{dQ}{dr^*} \right] e^{-ikt_s} \quad (16)$$

$$h_{13}^p = \left[ \frac{i}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} Q + r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left( \frac{i}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} \frac{dQ}{dr^*} + Q \right) \right] e^{-ikt_s} \quad (17)$$

Now, we solve the differential equation (7)

$$\frac{d^2 Q}{dr^{*2}} + [k^2 - V] Q = 0 \quad (18)$$

As proved in reference [4], when  $k = ik_2$ ,  $k_2 > 0$ , the asymptotic solutions (7) as  $r^* \rightarrow \infty$  are  $\tilde{A}e^{\pm k_2 r^*}$ , and the well-behaved one is  $\tilde{A}e^{-k_2 r^*}$ , that is,

$$Q_\infty = \tilde{A}e^{-k_2 r^*} \quad (19)$$

and from the equation (7), the solution  $\tilde{A}e^{-k_2 r^*}$  cannot become  $Ae^{+k_2 r^*}$  as  $r^* \rightarrow -\infty$ . Therefore the asymptotic solution to  $r^* \rightarrow -\infty$  is [4]

$$Q_{2m} = Ae^{-k_2 r^*}. \quad (20)$$

It is obvious that  $Q_{2m}$  is singular at the horizon  $r = 2m$ , or,  $r^* \rightarrow -\infty$ . Nevertheless, it may be caused by the ill-behaved-ness of the Schwarzschild metric (2) at the horizon  $r = 2m$  and unphysical.

Substituting equation (20) into (16) and (17), and using the transformation equation (3), then, at  $r = 2m$ , that is,  $r^* \rightarrow -\infty$ , one could obtain

$$h_{03}^p(t_p, r) = \frac{1}{k_2} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) - k_2 r \right] Ae^{k_2(t_s - r^*)} \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k_2} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) - k_2 r \right] Ae^{k_2 t_p} e^{k_2[-r + 2\sqrt{2mr} - 4m \ln(1 + \sqrt{\frac{r}{2m}})]} \quad (22)$$

and

$$h_{13}^p(t_p, r) = \frac{1}{k_2} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} Ae^{k_2(t_s - r^*)} + r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{k_2} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} (-k_2) + 1 \right] Ae^{k_2(t_s - r^*)} \quad (23)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{1}{k_2} \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} + r \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}}\right)^{-1} \right] Ae^{k_2 t_p} e^{k_2[-r + 2\sqrt{2mr} - 4m \ln(1 + \sqrt{\frac{r}{2m}})]}. \quad (24)$$

From equations (22) and (24), it is easy to see that  $h_{13}^p$ ,  $h_{03}^p$  are regular at  $r = 2m$  initially (at  $t_p = 0$ ), subsequently,  $h_{13}^p(0, r)$  and  $h_{03}^p(0, r)$  are regular in  $(2m, \infty)$ , or  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  by  $r^*$ . This induces that  $\Im k = k_2 > 0$  belongs to the spectrum  $S$  of the Schwarzschild black-hole, that is,  $h_{13}^p(t_p, r)$  and  $h_{03}^p(t_p, r)$  therefore runs into infinity as  $t_p \rightarrow \infty$ .

If the timelier  $t_p$  were suitable for analysis of physical process at  $r = 2m$ , we would get the conclusion that the Schwarzschild black hole is instability. Because the timelier  $t_p$  in metric (1) has drawback as the Schwarzschild time coordinate  $t_s$ , the conclusion is not sure. So, we overcome it by transforming to the timelier  $t_p$  in the metric (5). In this case, if  $\Im k = k_2 > 0$

still belongs to the spectrum  $S$  of the Schwarzschild black-hole, we could get the unstable conclusion definitely.

By eq.(4), the perturbation fields are transformed as

$$\hat{h}_{03}^p = h_{03}^p + \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} h_{13}^p \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{h}_{13}^p = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r}} h_{13}^p \quad (26)$$

where we denote the perturbation fields in coordinates (5) as  $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}^p$ . From eq.(4), we get the variable  $r$  as function of the variables  $t_p, R$  by

$$r(t_p, R) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{9}{8m}} (t_p + R)^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (27)$$

So,  $\hat{h}_{03}^p, \hat{h}_{13}^p$  could be written as

$$\hat{h}_{03}^p(t_p, R) = h_{03}^p(t_p, r(t_p, R)) + \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r(t_p, R)}} h_{13}^p(t_p, r(t_p, R)), \quad (28)$$

and

$$\hat{h}_{13}^p(t_p, R) = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r(t_p, R)}} h_{13}^p(t_p, r(t_p, R)). \quad (29)$$

First, at  $t_p = 0$ ,  $\hat{h}_{03}^p(0, R)$ ,  $\hat{h}_{13}^p(0, R)$  all are well-defined from  $r = 2m$  to infinity; this again ensure that the spectrum  $S$  of the Schwarzschild black-hole contain  $\Im k = k_2 > 0$ . Because the timelie  $t_p$  in the metric (5) is "good", we could certainly state that the Schwarzschild space-time is unstable with respect to this kind perturbation. The conclusion is obvious also from the fact that  $\hat{h}_{03}^p(t_p, R)$ ,  $\hat{h}_{13}^p(t_p, R)$  all grow into divergence as  $t_p \rightarrow \infty$  by eqs.(27)-(29), (22)-(24).

We restate our conclusions again: the Schwarzschild space-time is unstable with respect to the angular perturbations. Besides, our method could be used in study of the stability problem of Reissner-Nordström black-hole, similar results could be obtained.

## Acknowledgments

We are supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No.10475013, No.10373003, No.10375087, No.10375008, NKDRTC(2004CB318000) and the post-doctor foundation of China.

## References

- [1] T. Regge, J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. *108*, 1063 ,(1957).
- [2] Guihua Tian, Shikun Wang, Zhao Zheng, web arxiv: gr-qc0504055.
- [3] S. Chandrasekhar, The mathematical theory of black hole. Oxford: Oxford University Press,(1983).
- [4] C. V. Vishveshwara, Phys. Rev. *D1*, 2870 ,(1970).
- [5] C. Misner, K. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, Gravitation. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman and Company, (1973).
- [6] R. Press, Phys. Rev. *D5*, 2419 ,(1972).
- [7] R. M. Wald, J. Math. Phys. *20*, 1056 ,(1979).

- [8] J. M. Stewart, Proc. R. Soc. *A344*, 65 ,(1975).
- [9] M. K. Parikh, F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett.*85*, 5042 ,(2000).
- [10] P. Painlevé, C. R. Hebd, Seances Acad. Sci.*173*, 677 ,(1921).
- [11] P. Kraus, F. Wilczek, Mod. Phys. Lett.*A9*, 3713 ,(1994).
- [12] P. Kraus, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys.*B433*, 403 ,(1996).