

Canonical quantization and black hole perturbations*

Zoltán Perjés and Árpád Lukács

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics,
Budapest 114, P.O. Box 49, H-1525 Hungary
arpi@rmki.kfki.hu

November 24, 2018

Abstract

We examine the possibility of a constraint-free quantization of linearized gravity, based on the Teukolsky equation for black hole perturbations.

We exhibit a simple quadratic (but complex) Lagrangian for the Teukolsky equation, leading to the interpretation that the elementary excitations (gravitons bound to the Kerr black hole) are unstable.

Classification: 04.60.Ds, 04.70.-s, 04.25.Nx

Keywords: quantum gravity, black hole perturbations, Teukolsky equation

1 Introduction

Quite a number of attempts have been made and are being made to quantize Einstein's gravitation theory. One of the earliest attempts to use canonical quantization of linearized gravity goes back to Gupta [1]. The canonical approach to quantum gravity using the 3+1 decomposition has been worked out by Arnowitt, Deser and Misner [2]. A different idea has been put forward by Penrose [3] introducing twistors. Ashtekar [4] introduced spinor variables to handle the constraints. Nowadays loop quantum gravity is being actively pursued, see the review by Rovelli [5]. In spite of the remarkable progress achieved using these beautiful constructions, there remains a lot to be done.

Undoubtedly most of the difficulties in all canonical approaches to quantum gravity come from the constraints. Another problem, closely related to the treatment of constraints is the choice of the canonical coordinates. A good choice of coordinates leads to less constraint equations, and thus it makes quantization easier.

In general relativity, the physical state of space-time is described by a symmetric, second rank tensor that can be parametrized by ten components. Due to the constraints, we should introduce less than ten independent canonical coordinates, and their conjugate momenta.

*Talk presented by Zoltán Perjés

The well known Teukolsky equation [6], which is a linear PDE, describes the perturbations of a Kerr space-time with a single scalar quantity, ψ , one of the Newman–Penrose spin coefficients.

Chrzanowski, Misner [7] and Ori [8] have shown the way to reconstruct the metric perturbations from the solution of the Teukolsky equation by acting on it with a differential operator.

This makes the quantity ψ a promising candidate as a canonical coordinate in a linearized quantum gravity, provided a Hamiltonian formulation of the Teukolsky equation (1) can be given. This problem will be examined in the next section.

One would think, that the easiest way to obtain a canonical formalism based on the Teukolsky equation is to reconstruct the perturbations of the metric tensor, and subsequently the quadratic part of the corresponding perturbed Lagrangian. This could then be taken as a starting point for a canonical quantization. However, the formulae for the metric perturbations are very complicated, and contain fourth order derivatives of ψ .

One could just as well try to find a Lagrangian directly for Teukolsky's equation in itself, and use that for quantization. Unfortunately, as we will see later, there is no quadratic, first order real function, which gives (1) as an Euler–Lagrange equation.

We have identified a complex Lagrangian for the Teukolsky equation. A possible solution to quantize the Teukolsky equation could be considering the complex part of the Lagrangian as an interaction term. The interaction-free part can be then simply quantized, and it's quanta may be identified as gravitons. As a result of the perturbations (the complex part of the Lagrangian), these excitations decay.

2 Is a Lagrangian formulation of Teukolsky's equation possible?

Some basic features of the Teukolsky equation can be found in the Appendix. Here we recall that this equation governs the dynamics of one of the Riemann tensor's tetrad components in a linearized approximation over a Kerr background.

Using the notations of the Appendix, Teukolsky's equation [6] can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2}{\Delta} - a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right] \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4Mar}{\Delta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t \partial \varphi} + \left[\frac{a^2}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right] \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} \\ & - \Delta^{-s} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Delta^{s+1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \left(\sin \vartheta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \vartheta} \right) - 2s \left[\frac{a(r-M)}{\Delta} + \frac{i \cos \vartheta}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right] \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi} \\ & - 2s \left[\frac{M(r^2 - a^2)}{\Delta} - r - ia \cos \vartheta \right] \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (s^2 \text{ctg}^2 \vartheta - s) \psi = 4\pi \Sigma T, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where T is a source term, calculated from the energy–momentum tensor of other fields. In the following, we concentrate on the free gravity case ($T = 0$).

Over a Kerr geometry, this equation describes massless scalar fields ($s = 0$), electromagnetic perturbations ($s = \pm 1$) and gravity ($s = \pm 2$).

In this section, we examine, whether it is possible to obtain equation (1) as an Euler–Lagrange equation from a *real* Lagrangian of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = ag^{ik}\overline{\partial_i(b\psi)}\partial_k(b\psi) + c\overline{\psi}\psi, \quad (2)$$

which is the most general first order quadratic local Lagrangian of a scalar field. The motivation to try to find such a Lagrangian (eg. local, not containing higher derivatives) is the possibility to quantize it easily.

In order for \mathcal{L} be real, a and c have to be real, and b complex arbitrary functions of the coordinates. From the above function, the Euler–Lagrange equation is given by the formula

$$\partial_i \frac{\partial \sqrt{-q}\mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_i \overline{\psi}} - \frac{\partial \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}}{\partial \overline{\psi}} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\sqrt{-g} = (r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta) \sin \vartheta,$$

is the determinant of the metric tensor.

Calculating the above equation, and taking the coefficients of ϕ 's derivatives in (3) and (1) yields equations for a , b , c and their derivatives. When trying to solve these equations, one finds, that the integrability conditions (eg. the r derivative of $\partial a/\partial t$ should be equal to the t derivative of $\partial a/\partial r$) cannot be fulfilled. This clearly shows that no real Lagrangian of the form (2) can lead to Teukolsky's equation.

While this work was in progress, we have learnt from the summary of Stephen Anco's talk at the Montreal Workshop on the Interaction of Gravity with External Fields [9], that Teukolsky's equation has no Lagrange function. According to Anco [9], this is because the non self-adjointness of (1), which means that

$$\int \overline{\phi} D\psi \neq \overline{\int \psi D\phi},$$

where D denotes the differential operator in (1).

To show that the equation is indeed not self-adjoint, one should take the terms in $\int \overline{\phi} D\psi$ and do the partial integrations to calculate its adjoint, and compare it with the complex conjugate of $\int \overline{\psi} D\phi$.

In the first term, self-adjointness is obvious: the coefficient of $\partial^2/\partial t^2$ does not depend on t . Similar is the case with the second and the third term.

However, the non-self-adjointness can be seen on the fourth term:

$$\int \overline{\phi} \Delta^{-s} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Delta^{s+1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right), \quad (4)$$

where substituting Δ , and expanding the derivatives yields in the $s = 2$ case

$$\int \phi \frac{3(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2)^2 (2r - 2M) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + (r^2 - 2Mr + a^2)^3 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2}}{(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2)^2},$$

which takes the form

$$\int \psi \left[(r^2 - 2Mr + a^2) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} - (2r - 2M) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} - 4\phi \right] \quad (5)$$

when doing the partial integrations. One can see, that exchanging the roles of ψ and ϕ in the original integral, and conjugating does not give such terms, and no other term can cancel the r -derivatives in the above.

Bini, Cherubini and Jantzen [10] gave another form of the above equation, closely resembling an ordinary Klein–Gordon equation. They have proven, that the Teukolsky equation is a generalization of the Klein–Gordon equation for arbitrary spin weight s . Examining the following form of the equation, it is easy to point out the cause of the non self-adjointness:

$$[(\nabla^i + s\Gamma^i)(\nabla_i + s\Gamma_i) - 4s^2\Psi_2^A] \psi = 4\pi T, \quad (6)$$

where Γ is a four-vector with components

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^t &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma} \left[\frac{M(r^2 - a^2)}{\Delta} - (r + ia \cos \vartheta) \right] \\ \Gamma^r &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma} (r - M) \\ \Gamma^\vartheta &= 0 \\ \Gamma^\varphi &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma} \left[\frac{a(r - M)}{\Delta} + i \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It is easy to show that

$$\nabla_i \Gamma^i = -\frac{1}{\Sigma} \quad s \quad \Gamma_i \Gamma^i = \frac{1}{\Sigma} \text{ctg}^2 \vartheta + 4\Psi_2^A.$$

Here Ψ_2^A denotes the value of the Ψ_2 spin coefficient in an unperturbed Kerr space-time,

$$\Psi_2^A = -\frac{M}{(r - ia \cos \vartheta)^3}.$$

Now we can see, that the equation is non self-adjoint, because the Γ_i -components are not purely imaginary, unlike the Klein–Gordon case, where $\Gamma_i = iA_i$, where A_i is the electromagnetic four-potential. These complex terms make the equation absorptive.

3 Perturbative quantization of the Teukolsky equation

In the previous section we have seen that a direct canonical quantization of the Teukolsky equation is not possible because of the absorptive terms in the Lagrangian. On the other hand, the Bini-form (6) of the Teukolsky equation (1) is in close resemblance to the Klein–Gordon equation. This suggests the following solution: we separate the absorptive part of the equation to treat it as a perturbation, and this way we can construct a Lagrangian for the self-adjoint part. This will be real, and so the Fock space construction is well defined, a particle interpretation can be given.

Introducing the notation of

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \Im(\Gamma_i) \\ B_i &= \Re(\Gamma_i), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

the Bini form of the equation can be written as

$$[(\nabla^i + s(B^i + iA^i))(\nabla_i + s(B_i + iA_i)) - 4s^2\Psi_2^A] \psi = 4\pi T, \quad (9)$$

and if we keep only the A_i s, we get an equation which is formally a Klein–Gordon equation in an external electromagnetic field. The (complex) Lagrangian for this equation is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\nabla^i - s(B^i + iA^i)\} \bar{\psi} \{\nabla_i + s(B_i + iA_i)\} \psi + 4s^2\Psi_2^A \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (10)$$

which can be written as a sum of an unperturbed part, and a perturbation term:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{KG} + \mathcal{L}_1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{KG} = \overline{(\nabla^i + isA^i)} \psi (\nabla_i + isA_i) \psi \quad (11)$$

is the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian, and

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -s(B^i \bar{\psi} \nabla_i \psi - B^i \psi \nabla_i \bar{\psi}) + 2isB^i A_i \bar{\psi} \psi + 4is^2\Psi_2^A \bar{\psi} \psi \quad (12)$$

is the perturbation term.

The canonical momenta are given then by the well-known formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_0 \psi} = (\partial^0 + isA^0) \psi \\ \bar{\Pi} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_0 \bar{\psi}} = (\partial^0 - isA^0) \bar{\psi}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \Pi \partial_0 \psi + \bar{\Pi} \partial_0 \bar{\psi} - \mathcal{L}_0 = \bar{\Pi} \Pi + \overline{(\nabla_\alpha + iA_\alpha)} \psi (\nabla^\alpha + iA^\alpha) \psi. \quad (14)$$

In the following discussion \mathcal{H}_0 will be taken as the "unperturbed" Hamiltonian density for gravitons. In the next section, we examine the "unperturbed" equation obtained by taking the variation of the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{KG} .

4 The "unperturbed" equation. Separation of variables

The Euler-Lagrange equations can be obtained by using either (3) or the well known tensorial - and thus simpler - formula

$$\nabla_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \nabla_i \psi} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \psi} = 0.$$

The resulting equation, as mentioned above, is formally a Klein–Gordon equation with an external electromagnetic four-potential:

$$(\nabla_i - isA_i)(\nabla^i - isA_i) \psi = 0 \quad (15)$$

In the following paragraphs, we examine this equation in detail. An explicit computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(((2M-r)r-a^2) \psi s^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} - 4 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi \partial t} aMr - (a^2 - 2Mr + r^2) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} \right. \\
& - (a^4 - 4a^2Mr - r^4) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - ((2M-r)r-a^2) \left(2(M-r) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \vartheta^2} \right) \cos^2 \vartheta \\
& \quad - \left(\left((2M-r) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} - 4 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi \partial t} aM \right) r - (a^2 - 2Mr + r^2)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} \right. \\
& \left. \left(a^2 \cos^4 \vartheta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + ias \cos^3 \vartheta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \vartheta^2} - 2(m-r) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) ((2m-r)r-a^2) \right) \\
& + ((2m+r)a^2 + r^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t^2} - \left(ias \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \sin \vartheta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \vartheta} + is \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi} \right) ((2m-r)r-a^2) \cos \vartheta \Big) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

It can be shown that the variables in the above equation are separable. Let us look for solutions in the form

$$\psi(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) = e^{-i\omega t} R(r) T(\vartheta) e^{im\varphi}. \tag{17}$$

The Euler–Lagrange equations can be normalized so, that the coefficient of $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \vartheta^2}$ will be 1, similarly to the original Teukolsky equation (1).

After substituting the ansatz (17) into the above equation, it can be seen, that none of the terms contains mixed derivatives, and the angle dependence can be factorized in the coefficients of the radial derivatives, and similarly the r -dependence can be factorized in the coefficients of the ϑ -derivatives.

The above properties of the equation make the separation of the variables possible. Straightforward but tedious calculation yields for the radial equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a^4 - 4a^2Mr + 2a^2r^2 + 4M^2r^2 - 4Mr^3 + r^4) R''(r) \\
& + 2(-a^2M + a^2r + 2M^2r - 3Mr^2 + r^3) R'(r) = \lambda_r R(r),
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\lambda_r = a^4 \omega^2 - 4a^2 M \omega^2 r - a^2 m^2 - a^2 s^2 - 4a M m \omega r + 2M r s^2 - \omega^2 r^4 - r^2 s^2 - k,$$

in which k is the separation constant.

Similarly the angular equation is

$$(\cos^2 \vartheta - 1) T''(\vartheta) - \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta T'(\vartheta) = \lambda_\vartheta T(\vartheta), \tag{19}$$

where

$$\lambda_\vartheta = ((\cos \vartheta a \omega - s) \cos^2 \vartheta a \omega + (a \omega + m) s) \cos \vartheta + m^2 + s^2 - a^2 \omega^2 + k.$$

Introducing $x = \cos \vartheta$ as a new variable in the angular equation we get

$$(-x^4 + 2x^2 - 1) T''(x) + 2x(1 - x^2) T'(x) = \lambda_\vartheta T(x). \tag{20}$$

Both equations are boundary value problems for second order linear differential operators, with regular boundary conditions at the horizon and at $r = \infty$. Unfortunately we have not succeeded to express the solutions of equations (18)

and (20) using elementary functions. Let ψ_k denote the k th eigenfunction of (16), then the general solution can be written as

$$\psi = \sum_k a_k \psi_k. \quad (21)$$

Now the canonical quantization of the unperturbed problem is completely straightforward. The modes (21) of equation (16) can be interpreted as gravitons bound to the black hole. Defining the corresponding a_k and a_k^\dagger absorption and emission operators for each mode, the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_k E_k a_k^\dagger a_k. \quad (22)$$

The E_k energy levels can be determined numerically, as well as the eigenmodes.

Taking into account the complex part of the Hamiltonian (12) of the full Teukolsky equation, the "gravitons" of (22) become unstable. This may not come as a complete surprise, as we know that the Kerr black hole admits only quasi normal modes.

The decay constants for these excitations can be calculated using the matrix elements of the perturbation part of the Lagrangian, similarly to the way decaying states are described with an imaginary energy contribution in nuclear physics.

Appendix

In this paper, we quantize the perturbations of a Kerr black hole. We use the Boyer–Lindquist coordinate system: the coordinates are the time, t , the radius, r , and the usual spherical angles, ϑ and φ . Using these coordinates the line element can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right) dt^2 + \frac{4Mar \sin^2 \vartheta}{\Sigma} dt d\varphi - \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 - \Sigma d\vartheta^2 - \sin^2 \vartheta \frac{r^2 + a^2 + 2Ma^2 r \sin^2 \vartheta}{\Sigma} d\varphi^2, \quad (23)$$

where we used

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta$$

and

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$$

for simplicity. Here M denotes the mass of the black hole, and

$$a = \frac{J}{M}$$

is the fraction of its angular momentum (J) and mass. It's an important property of these coordinates when deriving the Teukolsky equation, that these handle the two double principal directions of the space-time symmetrically.

To derive the Teukolsky equation, the Newman–Penrose null tetrad method is utilized. When using Boyer–Lindquist coordinates, a usual choice for the null tetrad is the Kinnersley tetrad:

$$\begin{aligned}
(l^i) &= \left(\frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta}, 1, 0, \frac{a}{\Delta} \right) \\
(n^i) &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma}(r^2 + a^2, -\Delta, 0, a) \\
(m^i) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(r + ia \cos \vartheta)} \left(ia \sin \vartheta, 0, 1, \frac{i}{\sin \vartheta} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Using these, the non-vanishing spin coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho &= -\frac{1}{r - ia \cos \vartheta} \\
\beta &= \bar{\rho} \frac{\text{ctg} \vartheta}{2\sqrt{2}} \\
\pi &= ia\rho^2 \frac{\sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\tau &= -ia\bar{\rho}\rho \frac{\sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\mu &= \rho^2 \bar{\rho} \frac{\Delta}{2} \\
\gamma &= \mu + \bar{\rho}\rho \frac{r - 2M}{2} \\
\alpha &= \pi - \bar{\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Here we recall the basic properties of the Teukolsky equation [6]. This equation is obtained using the Newman–Penrose tetrad method. This calculation can in fact be done for any space-time in the D Petrov class (ie. two pairs of degenerate principal directions).

The perturbations of a Kerr space-time are described by the Teukolsky equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2}{\Delta} - a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \right] \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4Mar}{\Delta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t \partial \varphi} + \left[\frac{a^2}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right] \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} \\
&- \Delta^{-s} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Delta^{s+1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \left(\sin \vartheta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \vartheta} \right) - 2s \left[\frac{a(r - M)}{\Delta} + \frac{i \cos \vartheta}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right] \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi} \\
&- 2s \left[\frac{M(r^2 - a^2)}{\Delta} - r - ia \cos \vartheta \right] \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (s^2 \text{ctg}^2 \vartheta - s) \psi = 4\pi \Sigma T
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

then. The calculation of T from the energy-momentum tensor of other fields is not included here, but it can be found in Teukolsky’s original paper [6].

In this equation, the variables can be separated, using an ansatz

$$\psi = e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\varphi} S(\theta) R(r)$$

for the dependent variable. The radial equation is

$$\Delta^{-s} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\Delta^{s+1} \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + \left(\frac{K^2 - 2is(r - M)K}{\Delta} + 4is\omega r - \lambda \right) R = 0, \tag{27}$$

and the angular equation is

$$\frac{1}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{d}{d\vartheta} \left(\sin \vartheta \frac{dS}{d\vartheta} \right) + \left(a^2 \omega^2 \cos^2 \vartheta - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta} - 2a\omega s \cos \vartheta - \frac{2ms \cos \vartheta}{\sin^2 \vartheta} - s^2 \operatorname{ctg}^2 \vartheta + s + A \right) S = 0, \quad (28)$$

then, where

$$K = (r^2 + a^2)\omega - am$$

and

$$\lambda = A + a^2\omega^2 - 2am\omega.$$

The boundary conditions demand ψ being regular at $\vartheta = 0, \pi$. The solutions are examined in a paper by Mano, Suzuki and Takasugi [11].

According to Bini, Cherubini, Jantzen and Ruffini [10], the above equation is the direct generalization of the Klein–Gordon equation for arbitrary s spin weight. In their paper, they have brought the equation into a form closely resembling the Klein–Gordon equation

$$[(\nabla^i + s\Gamma^i)(\nabla_i + s\Gamma_i) - 4s^2\Psi_2^A] \psi = 4\pi T, \quad (29)$$

where Γ is the following four-vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^t &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma} \left[\frac{M(r^2 - a^2)}{\Delta} - (r + ia \cos \vartheta) \right] \\ \Gamma^r &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma} (r - M) \\ \Gamma^\vartheta &= 0 \\ \Gamma^\varphi &= -\frac{1}{\Sigma} \left[\frac{a(r - M)}{\Delta} + i \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Acknowledgements

Á. L. would like to thank his supervisor, Zoltán Perjés for all what he has learnt from him, and for suggesting this problem. The tragic loss of Zoltán is a great blow for all Hungarian physics.

Á. L. also would like to thank György Pócsik and Péter Gnädig for discussions, and Péter Forgács for his help in preparing this manuscript.

This work has been supported by OTKA grant no. TS044665.

References

- [1] S. N. Gupta, *Proc. Phys. Soc. A*, **65**, 161–169 (1952).
S. N. Gupta, *Proc. Phys. Soc. A*, **65**, 608–619 (1952).
- [2] R. L. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, "Canonical Analysis of General Relativity", in *Recent Developments in General Relativity*, 1962.
R. L. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, "The Dynamics of General Relativity", in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, edited by L. Witten, 1962.

- [3] R. Penrose, *Phys. Reports*, **6**, 241–316 (1972).
R. Penrose, "Twistor theory: its aims and achievements", in *Quantum Gravity: An Oxford Symposium*, eds. C. J. Isham, R. Penrose, D. W. Sciama, Calrendon Press, Oxford, 1975.
- [4] A. Ashtekar, *Phys. Rev.*, **D36**, 1587–1602 (1987).
A. Ashtekar, *Lectures on non-perturbative canonical gravity*, World Scientific, 1991.
- [5] C. Rovelli, *Loop Quantum Gravity*, Living Rev. Relativity, **1**, 1998.
- [6] S. A. Teukolsky, *ApJ*, **185**, 635–647 (1973).
S. A. Teukolsky, and W. H. Press, *ApJ*, **185**, 649–672 (1973).
- [7] P. L. Chrzanowski, *Phys. Rev.*, **D11**, 2042–2062 (1975).
P. L. Chrzanowski, and C. W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.*, **D10**, 1701–1721 (1974).
- [8] A. Ori, *Phys. Rev.*, **D67**, 124010 (2003).
- [9] S. Anco, Conservation Laws of the Teukolsky equation for massless spin s fields in Kerr spacetime (2003).
- [10] D. Bini, C. Cherubini, R. Jantzen, and R. Ruffini, *Prog.Theor.Phys.*, **107**, 967–992 (2002).
- [11] S. Mano, H. Suzuki, and E. Takasugi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, **95**, 1079–1096 (1996).