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#### Abstract

We consider one-loop effects in general relativity that result in quantum long-range corrections to the Newton law, as well as to the gravitational spin-dependent and velocitydependent interactions. Some contributions to these effects can be interpreted as quantum corrections to the Schwarzschild and Kerr metrics.
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## 1 Introduction

It has been recognized long ago that quantum effects in general relativity can generate longrange corrections to the Newton law. Such corrections due to the contribution by photons and massless neutrinos to the graviton polarization operator were calculated by Radkowski [1], Capper, Duff, and Halpern [2], Capper and Duff [3], Duff and Liu 4]. The corresponding quantum correction to the Newton potential between two bodies with masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\gamma \nu}=-\frac{4+N_{\nu}}{15 \pi} \frac{k^{2} \hbar m_{1} m_{2}}{c^{3} r^{3}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\nu}$ is the number of massless two-component neutrinos, $k$ is the Newton gravitational constant.

The reason why the problem allows a closed solution is as follows. The Fourier-transform of $1 / r^{3}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d \mathbf{r} \frac{\exp (-i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{r})}{r^{3}}=-2 \pi \ln q^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This singularity in the momentum transfer $\mathbf{q}$ implies that the discussed correction can be generated only by diagrams with two massless particles in the $t$-channel. The number of such diagrams of second order in $k$ is finite, and their logarithmic part in $q^{2}$ can be calculated unambiguously.

The analogous diagrams with gravitons and ghosts in the loop, Figs. 1a,b, were considered in Refs. [1, 5, 6, 7]. (Here and below, wavy lines refer to quantum fluctuations of metric, double wavy lines denote a background gravitational field; dashed lines here refer to ghosts.) Clearly, other diagrams with two gravitons in the $t$-channel contribute as well to the discussed


Figure 1: Graviton loop
correction $\sim 1 / r^{3}$. This was pointed out long ago by Boulware and Deser [8] together with indicating explicitly all relevant diagrams.

The problem of quantum correction to the Newton law is certainly interesting from the theoretical point of view. It was addressed later by Donoghue [9, 10, 11, 12, Muzinich and Vokos [13, Akhundov, Belucci, and Shiekh [14], as well as by Hamber and Liu [15]. Unfortunately, as demonstrated in [16], neither of these attempts was satisfactory.

Then the discussed problem was considered quantitatively in our previous paper [16]. Therein, all relevant diagrams, except one (see Fig. 40 below), were calculated correctly. In a recent paper by Bjerrum-Bohr, Donoghue, and Holstein [17] this last diagram is calculated correctly ${ }^{3}$, and our results for all other contributions are confirmed.

[^1]The content of our present work is as follows. Using the background field technique by 't Hooft and Veltman [7], we construct invariant operators that describe quantum power corrections in general relativity. In the limit when one of the interacting particles is heavy, one can interpret some of the derived corrections as quantum corrections to the Schwarzschild and Kerr metric. Here our results differ essentially from those by Bjerrum-Bohr, Donoghue, and Holstein [18].

We demonstrate also in an elementary way that, to our accuracy, the spin-independent part of the discussed corrections for spinor particles coincides with the corrections for scalar ones. It implies in particular that the obtained quantum corrections to the Schwarzschild metric are universal, i. e. independent of the spin of the central body. For some loop diagrams relevant to the problem, the mentioned coincidence of spin-independent contributions of spinor particles with the corresponding results for scalar ones was proven previously in [18 by direct calculation.

With the constructed effective operators we not only derive easily the corrections to the Newton law. They allow us to obtain quantum corrections to other gravitational effects: spindependent and velocity-dependent interactions. In the present paper we confine mainly to the case of scalar particles. Therefore, by spin we mean here the internal angular momentum of a compound particle with scalar constituents.

We comment also on the problem of the classical relativistic corrections to the Newton law. Our conclusions here agree completely with the results by Einstein, Infeld, Hoffmann [19, Eddington, Clark [20], Iwasaki [21] (see also the textbook [22], §106), but on some point we disagree essentially with the statements by Bjerrum-Bohr, Donoghue, and Holstein [17].

## 2 Propagators and Vertices

We use below the units with $c=1, \hbar=1$. Our metric signature is $\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$.
The graviton operator $h_{\mu \nu}$ describes quantum fluctuations of the metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ in the background metric $g_{\mu \nu}^{o}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}=g_{\mu \nu}^{o}+\varkappa h_{\mu \nu} ; \quad \varkappa^{2}=32 \pi k=32 \pi l_{p}^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use for $h_{\mu \nu}$ the gauge condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\nu ; \mu}^{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} h_{\mu ; \nu}^{\mu}=0 ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

here indices of $h_{\mu \nu}$ are raised with the background metric $g_{\mu \nu}^{o}$, and the covariant derivatives are taken in the background field $g_{\mu \nu}^{o}$. The free graviton propagator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}(q)=i \frac{P_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}}{q^{2}+i 0} ; \quad P_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\mu \alpha} \delta_{\nu \beta}+\delta_{\nu \alpha} \delta_{\mu \beta}-\delta_{\mu \nu} \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor $P_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}$ is conveniently presented as [7]

$$
P_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}=I_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu \nu} \delta_{\alpha \beta},
$$

where $I_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\mu \alpha} \delta_{\nu \beta}+\delta_{\nu \alpha} \delta_{\mu \beta}\right)$ is a sort of a unit operator with the property

$$
I_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta} t_{\alpha \beta}=t_{\mu \nu}
$$



Figure 2: Gravitational vertices
for any symmetric tensor $t_{\alpha \beta}$. Let us note the following useful identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\alpha \beta, \kappa \lambda} P_{\kappa \lambda, \gamma \delta}=I_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The propagators of scalar and spinor particles are the usual ones:

$$
D(p)=i \frac{1}{p^{2}-m^{2}+i 0}, \quad \text { and } \quad G(p)=i \frac{1}{\hat{p}-m+i 0}
$$

respectively.
Single-graviton vertex both for scalar and spinor particles (see Fig. 2a) are related to the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha \beta}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ of the corresponding particle as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\alpha \beta}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=-i \frac{\varkappa}{2} T_{\alpha \beta}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expressions for scalar and spinor particles are

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\alpha \beta}^{(0)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=-i \frac{\varkappa}{2}\left[p_{\alpha} p_{\beta}^{\prime}+p_{\alpha}^{\prime} p_{\beta}-\delta_{\alpha \beta}\left(p p^{\prime}-m^{2}\right)\right], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mu \nu}^{(1 / 2)}=-\frac{i \varkappa}{4} \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left[I_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} P_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta}-\delta_{\mu \nu}(\hat{P}-2 m)\right] u(p), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively; here $P=p+p^{\prime}$.
The contact interaction of a scalar particle with two gravitons (see Fig. 2b) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{\varkappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}^{(0)}=i \varkappa^{2}\left[I_{\kappa \lambda, \alpha \delta} I_{\delta \beta, \rho \sigma}\left(p_{\alpha} p_{\beta}^{\prime}+p_{\alpha}^{\prime} p_{\beta}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\kappa \lambda} I_{\rho \sigma, \alpha \beta}+\delta_{\rho \sigma} I_{\kappa \lambda, \alpha \beta}\right) p_{\alpha} p_{\beta}^{\prime}\right.  \tag{10}\\
\left.+\frac{\left(p^{\prime}-p\right)^{2}}{4}\left(I_{\kappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\kappa \lambda} \delta_{\rho \sigma}\right)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

To our accuracy, one can neglect in this expression the last term, with $\left(p^{\prime}-p\right)^{2}=q^{2}$, and rewrite the vertex conveniently as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varkappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}^{(0)}=i \varkappa^{2}\left[I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \delta} I_{\delta \beta, \rho \sigma} T_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_{\varkappa \lambda} T_{\rho \sigma}+\delta_{\rho \sigma} T_{\varkappa \lambda}\right)\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the two-graviton vertices on-mass-shell only. Therefore, the terms with the Kronecker $\delta$ entering the energy-momentum tensor in the last expression are also proportional to $q^{2}$, and thus can be neglected.

The contact two-graviton interaction of a spinor particle (see Fig. 2b) can be written on-mass-shell as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\varkappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}^{(1 / 2)}= & i \frac{\varkappa^{2}}{8}\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(I_{\varkappa \lambda, \mu \beta} I_{\rho \sigma, \beta \alpha}+I_{\rho \sigma, \mu \beta} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \beta \alpha}\right) P_{\mu}-\right. \\
\quad- & \left.\delta_{\varkappa \lambda} I_{\rho \sigma, \mu \alpha} P_{\mu}-\delta_{\rho \sigma} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \mu \alpha} P_{\mu}\right] \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\alpha} u(p)  \tag{12}\\
= & i \varkappa^{2}\left[\frac{3}{4} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \delta} I_{\delta \beta, \rho \sigma} T_{\alpha \beta}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_{\varkappa \lambda} T_{\rho \sigma}+\delta_{\rho \sigma} T_{\varkappa \lambda}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

As to the 3 -graviton vertex (see Fig. 22), which has the most complicated form, we follow [7, [17] in representing it as

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}= & -i \frac{\varkappa}{2} \sum_{i}{ }^{i} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta} ;  \tag{13}\\
{ }^{1} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}= & P_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}\left[k_{\mu} k_{\nu}+(k-q)_{\mu}(k-q)_{\nu}+q_{\mu} q_{\nu}-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{\mu \nu} q^{2}\right], \\
{ }^{2} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}= & 2 q_{\varkappa} q_{\lambda}\left[I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \beta} I_{\mu \nu, \gamma \delta}+I_{\varkappa \lambda, \gamma \delta} I_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}-I_{\varkappa \mu, \alpha \beta} I_{\lambda \nu, \gamma \delta}-I_{\varkappa \nu, \alpha \beta} I_{\lambda \mu, \gamma \delta}\right], \\
{ }^{3} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}= & q_{\varkappa} q_{\mu}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} I_{\varkappa \sim, \gamma \delta}+\delta_{\gamma \delta} I_{\varkappa \nu, \alpha \beta}\right)+q_{\varkappa} q_{\nu}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} I_{\varkappa \mu, \gamma \delta}+\delta_{\gamma \delta} I_{\varkappa \mu, \alpha \beta}\right) \\
& -q^{2}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} I_{\mu \nu, \gamma \delta}+\delta_{\gamma \delta} I_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}\right)-\delta_{\mu \nu} q_{\varkappa} q_{\lambda}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} I_{\gamma \delta, \varkappa \lambda}+\delta_{\gamma \delta} I_{\alpha \beta, \varkappa \lambda}\right), \\
{ }^{4} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}= & 2 q_{\varkappa}\left[I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \beta} I_{\gamma \delta, \nu \lambda}(k-q)_{\mu}+I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \beta} I_{\gamma \delta, \mu \lambda}(k-q)_{\nu}\right. \\
& \left.-I_{\varkappa \lambda, \gamma \delta} I_{\alpha \beta, \nu \lambda} k_{\mu}-I_{\varkappa \lambda, \gamma \delta} I_{\alpha \beta, \mu \lambda} k_{\nu}\right] \\
& +q^{2}\left(I_{\lambda \mu, \alpha \beta} I_{\gamma \delta, \lambda \nu}+I_{\lambda \nu, \alpha \beta} I_{\gamma \delta, \lambda \mu}\right)+\delta_{\mu \nu} q_{\varkappa} q_{\lambda}\left(I_{\alpha \beta, \varkappa \rho} I_{\rho \lambda, \gamma \delta}+I_{\gamma \delta, \varkappa \rho} I_{\rho \lambda, \alpha \beta}\right), \\
{ }^{5} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}= & {\left[k^{2}+(k-q)^{2}\right]\left(I_{\lambda \mu, \alpha \beta} I_{\gamma \delta, \lambda \nu}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu \nu} P_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}\right) } \\
& -k^{2} \delta_{\gamma \delta} I_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta}-(k-q)^{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta} I_{\mu \nu, \gamma \delta} .
\end{align*}
$$

In this vertex one can also neglect, to our accuracy, the last structure ${ }^{5} v_{\mu \nu, \alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}$.

## 3 Universality of Spin-Independent Effects

Let us address at first the lowest-order $s$ - and $u$-pole diagrams for graviton scattering, presented in Fig. 3a,b.
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Figure 3: Pole diagrams

We start with a scalar particle. The terms with the Kronecker $\delta$ in the single-graviton vertices ( (8) cancel here the $s$ - and $u$-pole denominators. It can be easily demonstrated that the arising contact contributions combine in the sum of the two diagrams into

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}^{(0) \prime}=i \frac{\varkappa^{2}}{4}\left[\delta_{\alpha \beta}\left(p_{\gamma} p^{\prime} \delta+p^{\prime}{ }_{\gamma} p_{\delta}\right)+\delta_{\gamma \delta}\left(p_{\alpha} p^{\prime}{ }_{\beta}+p^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} p_{\beta}\right)\right]=i \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} T_{\gamma \delta}^{(0)}+\delta_{\gamma \delta} T_{\alpha \beta}^{(0)}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the course of these transformations we omit the terms with extra powers of the graviton momenta since after subsequent loop integration they do not lead to $\ln q^{2}$ in the result. Combining this induced term with (10), we arrive at the total effective two-graviton vertex for a scalar particle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varkappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}^{(0) e f f}=i \varkappa^{2} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \delta} I_{\delta \beta, \rho \sigma} T_{\alpha \beta}^{(0)}=i \frac{\varkappa^{2}}{2} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \delta} I_{\delta \beta, \rho \sigma} P_{\alpha} P_{\beta} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For spinor particles the single-graviton vertices (19) also contain terms with the Kronecker $\delta$. Proceeding here with the $s$ - and $u$-pole diagrams in the same way as in the scalar case, we obtain the following correction to the two-graviton vertex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta}^{(1 / 2) \prime}=i \frac{\varkappa^{2}}{4}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} T_{\gamma \delta}^{(1 / 2)}+\delta_{\gamma \delta} T_{\alpha \beta}^{(1 / 2)}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the total effective two-graviton vertex for a spinor particle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varkappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}^{(1 / 2) e f f}=i \frac{3}{4} \varkappa^{2} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \alpha \delta} I_{\delta \beta, \rho \sigma} T_{\alpha \beta}^{(1 / 2)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one is interested in spin-independent effects in the graviton scattering off a spinor particle, one more step is possible. The spinor structure of the numerators in the $s$ - and $u$-pole diagrams can be transformed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\sigma}(\hat{l}+m) \gamma^{\omega} u(p)=\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left[l^{\sigma} \gamma^{\omega}+l^{\omega} \gamma^{\sigma}-(\hat{l}-m) \delta^{\sigma \omega}+i \gamma^{5} \epsilon^{\sigma \xi \omega \eta} l_{\xi} \gamma_{\eta}+m \sigma_{\sigma \omega}\right] u(p) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right)(\hat{l}-m) u(p)$ in this expression, when averaged over spins, transforms to $l^{2}-m^{2}$ (here we omit again a term proportional to $q^{2}$ ). After cancelling the denominators, the sum of these terms in the $s$ - and $u$-pole diagrams reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varkappa \lambda, \rho \sigma}^{(1 / 2) \prime \prime}=\frac{i \varkappa^{2}}{8} I_{\varkappa \lambda, \mu \beta} I_{\rho \sigma, \beta \alpha} P_{\mu} P_{\alpha} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the spin-averaged energy-momentum tensor for spinors coincides with the scalar one, which is equal to $P_{\mu} P_{\alpha} / 2$, the spin-independent term in the sum of (17) and (19) reduces to (15). In other words, we can single out from the fermion diagrams the sum of structures that, after averaging over spins, coincides with the effective sea-gull for a scalar particle.

At last, it can be easily demonstrated that all other terms in the numerators of the $s$ - and $u$-pole spinor diagrams, after averaging over the spins, coincide with the required accuracy with the corresponding terms in scalar diagrams.

As to the diagram Fig. 3. c , with the graviton pole in the $t$-channel, here the coincidence between the scalar and spin-averaged spinor cases is obvious.

To summarize, the sum of scalar and spin-averaged spinor tree amplitudes, and hence the sum of the corresponding loop diagrams, coincide with the required accuracy.


Figure 4: Vertex diagrams


Figure 5: Scattering diagrams

## 4 Spin-Independent Effective Amplitudes

We start the discussion of the loops with the vacuum polarization diagrams, Fig. The covariant effective Lagrangian corresponding to the sum of these loops was derived in [7] with dimensional regularization (see also [23]). It is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R R}=-\frac{1}{960 \pi^{2}(4-d)} \sqrt{-g}\left(42 R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}+R^{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, as usual, $g$ is the determinant of the metric tensor, $R_{\mu \nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, $R=R_{\mu}^{\mu}$.
For our purpose Lagrangian (20) is conveniently rewritten as 9

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R R}=-\frac{1}{1920 \pi^{2}} \ln \left|q^{2}\right|\left(42 R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}+R^{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will be interested in particular in the situation where at least one of the particles is considered in the static limit. In this case $\left|q^{2}\right| \rightarrow \mathbf{q}^{2}$, and in the coordinate representation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R R}=\frac{1}{3840 \pi^{3} r^{3}}\left(42 R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}+R^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next set of diagrams, Fig. 4 refers to the vertex part. The corresponding effective operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R T}=-\frac{k}{8 \pi^{2} r^{3}}\left(3 R_{\mu \nu} T^{\mu \nu}-2 R T\right) ; \quad T=T_{\mu}^{\mu} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below $T^{\mu \nu}$ is the spin-independent part of the total energy-momentum tensor of matter.

At last, diagrams in Fig. 5. The first two of them, diagrams in Fig. [5a,b, as well as diagrams in Figs. 1 and 4 depend only on the momentum transfer $t=q^{2}$. As to the box diagrams in Fig. 5:, d, their contribution is partly reducible to the same structure as that of diagrams in Fig. $5 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~b}$. The sum of all these $t$-dependent effective operators originating from diagrams in Fig. 5 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{T T}=\frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{3}} T^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The irreducible contribution of the $s$-channel box diagram 5

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{s}=\frac{k^{2}\left[\left(s-m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 m_{1}^{2} m_{2}^{2}\right]^{2}}{m_{1}^{2} m_{2}^{2}\left|q^{2}\right|} \ln \frac{\left|q^{2}\right|}{\lambda^{2}} \\
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(s-m_{-}^{2}\right)\left(s-m_{+}^{2}\right)}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\left(s-m_{-}^{2}\right)}+\sqrt{\left(s-m_{+}^{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left(s-m_{-}^{2}\right)}-\sqrt{\left(s-m_{+}^{2}\right)}} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $m_{1}, m_{2}$ are the particle masses, $m_{ \pm}=\left(m_{1} \pm m_{2}\right), s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}$ are the incoming 4 -momenta.

The irreducible contribution $M_{u}$ of the $u$-channel diagram in Fig. 5d is obtained from formula (25) by the substitution $s \rightarrow u=\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-q\right)^{2}$, with the corresponding analytic continuation.

The expressions for $M_{s}$ and $M_{u}$ are convergent in the ultraviolet sense, but diverge in the infrared limit, depending logarithmically on the "graviton mass" $\lambda$. As usual, such behaviour is directly related to the necessity to cancel the infrared divergence in the Bremsstrahlung diagrams (of course, the gravitational Bremsstrahlung in the present case). The box diagrams [5: d were considered previously by Donoghue, Torma [24] from a different point of view.

As to the three Lagrangians (224), (231), (24), in virtue of the Einstein equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu \nu}=8 \pi k\left(T_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} T\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

they can be conveniently combined into

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t o t}=-\frac{k^{2}}{60 \pi r^{3}}\left(138 T_{\mu \nu} T^{\mu \nu}-31 T^{2}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The irreducible amplitudes generated by the box diagrams号, d depend nontrivially on $s$ and $u$, respectively, (in line with their simple dependence on $\ln \left|q^{2}\right| /\left|q^{2}\right|$ ). Therefore, they cannot be reduced to a product of energy-momentum tensors.

## 5 Quantum Corrections to Metric

The effects due to Lagrangian (27) can be conveniently interpreted as generated by quantum corrections to metric. To obtain these corrections, let us split the total energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$ into those of a static central body and of a light probe particle, $T_{\mu \nu}^{o}$ and $t_{\mu \nu}$, respectively. Then, by variation in $t^{\mu \nu}$ of the expression, resulting in this way from (27), we obtain a tensor which can be interpreted as a quantum correction $h_{\mu \nu}^{(q)}$ to the metric created by the central body:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mu \nu}^{(q)}=\frac{k^{2}}{15 \pi r^{3}}\left(138 T_{\mu \nu}^{o}-31 \delta_{\mu \nu} T^{o}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows immediately from this expression that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{00}^{(q)}=\frac{107}{15} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{3}} T_{00}^{o}=\frac{107}{15} \frac{k^{2} M}{\pi r^{3}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the mass of the central body.
For the space components $h_{m n}^{(q)}$ of metric created by a heavy body at rest, one might expect naively from formula (28) that they are

$$
\frac{31}{15} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{3}} \delta_{m n} T_{00}^{o}=\frac{31}{15} \frac{k^{2} M}{\pi r^{3}} \delta_{m n}
$$

However the calculation of $h_{m n}^{(q)}$ demands actually some modification of formula (28). The point is that we work with the gauge condition (4) for the graviton field. It is only natural to require that the resulting effective field $h_{m n}^{(q)}$ should satisfy the same condition which simplifies now to $h_{\nu, \mu}^{(q) \mu}-(1 / 2) h_{\mu, \nu}^{(q) \mu}=0$. Thus obtained space metric is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m n}^{(q)}=\frac{k^{2} M}{\pi r^{3}}\left\{\frac{31}{15} \delta_{m n}-\frac{76}{15}\left[\frac{r_{m} r_{n}}{r^{2}}+\ln \left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)\left(\delta_{m n}-3 \frac{r_{m} r_{n}}{r^{2}}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Technically, the expression in square brackets in (29) originates from the terms containing structures of the type $\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}$. Generally speaking, they arise when calculating Lagrangians (231), (24), and (27), but are omitted therein since they vanish on-mass-shell. Thus these terms are absent in (28). But they can be restored by rewriting, by means of the Einstein equations (26), the net result (27) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t o t}=-\frac{1}{3840 \pi^{3} r^{3}}\left(138 R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu}-31 R^{2}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then attaching energy-momentum tensors to the double wavy lines using the graviton propagators (5). The presence of $\ln \left(r / r_{0}\right)$, where $r_{0}$ is some normalization point, is quite natural here if one recalls $\ln \left|q^{2}\right|$ in the momentum representation. Fortunately, this term in the square brackets does not influence physical effects.

The obtained quantum corrections to metric $h_{00}^{(q)}$ and $h_{m n}^{(q)}$ are universal, i. e. are the same when created by a spinless or spinning heavy point-like particle.

Our results (29), (30) differ from the corresponding ones of [18]. The main reason is that the contribution of operator (24) to metric is absent in 18. This omission does not look logical to us: on-mass-shell one cannot tell this operator from other ones (see (27), (317). One more disagreement is due perhaps to the same inconsistency: the contribution of operator (23) to metric, as given in [18], is two times smaller than ours.

In addition, the Fourier-transformation of $\left(q_{m} q_{n} / \mathbf{q}^{2}\right) \ln \mathbf{q}^{2}$ is performed in [18] incorrectly, which gives a wrong result ( $r_{m} r_{n} / r^{2}$ only) for the term in the square brackets in (30).

In conclusion of this section, let us consider the $0 n$ component of tensor (28). It is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0 n}^{(q)}=\frac{46}{5} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{3}} T_{0 n}^{o}=-\frac{46}{5} \frac{k^{2} M \mathbf{v}}{\pi r^{3}} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity of the source.
We are interested now in the situation corresponding to a compound central body, rotating with the angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, but with its centre of mass being at rest. Here the velocity of a separate element of the body is $\mathbf{v}=\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}$, where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is the coordinate of this element. Besides, in formula (32) one should shift $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}+\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Then, following [22], $\S 106$, Problem 4, we obtain a quantum correction to the Kerr metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0 n}^{(q)}=\frac{69}{5} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}[\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{r}] . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us emphasize that here spin $\mathbf{S}$ is in fact the internal angular momentum of a rotating compound central body with spinless constituents. We cannot see any reason why this last quantum correction (33) should be universal (as distinct from $h_{00}^{(q)}$ and $h_{m n}^{(q)}$ ). If instead of a compound body discussed here, we deal with a particle of spin $1 / 2$, the general structure of $h_{0 n}^{(q)}$ is of course the same, but the numerical coefficient can be quite different.

The last problem, that of a quantum correction to the Kerr metric created by a particle of spin $1 / 2$, was addressed by Bjerrum-Bohr, Donoghue, Holstein [18. However, their treatment of this correction causes the same objections: the contribution of operator (24) to $h_{0 n}^{(q)}$ is missed at all, and the corresponding effect of operator (23) is not taken into account properly.

## 6 Quantum Corrections to Gravitational Effects I

We start with the correction to the Newton law. As usual, it is generated by the 00 component of metric. Here expression (29) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{q r}(r)=\frac{107}{30} \frac{k^{2} M m}{\pi r^{3}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, now in line with (29), we should take into account the irreducible contribution of the box diagrams 号, d, which cannot be reduced to metric. Having in mind other applications, we write the sum of the two amplitudes, retaining in it not only terms of zeroth order in $c^{-2}$, but of first order as well:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}+M_{u}=-k^{2} m_{1} m_{2} \ln \left(\mathbf{q}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right) \frac{2}{3}\left(23+\frac{524}{5} \frac{p_{1} p_{2}-m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1} m_{2}}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the static limit, $\omega \rightarrow 0, p_{1} p_{2} \rightarrow m_{1} m_{2}$, expression (35) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}+M_{u} \rightarrow-\frac{46}{3} k^{2} m_{1} m_{2} \ln \mathbf{q}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing the sign (we are going over from amplitude to potential) and performing the Fourier transformation, we obtain [16, 17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{q i}(r)=-\frac{23}{3} \frac{k^{2} M m}{\pi r^{3}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the net correction to the Newton law is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{q}(r)=-\frac{41}{10} \frac{k^{2} M m}{\pi r^{3}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result was also cross-checked and confirmed by the independent calculation in the usual harmonic gauge, with the field variables $\psi^{\mu \nu}=\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu}-\delta^{\mu \nu}$ and the gauge condition $\partial_{\mu} \psi^{\mu \nu}=0$.

Let us consider now the quantum correction to the interaction of the orbital momentum l of a light particle with its own spin s, i. e. to the gravitational spin-orbit interaction. It is most easily obtained with the general expression for the frequency $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ of the spin precession in a gravitational field derived in [25]. For a nonrelativistic particle in a weak static centrallysymmetric field this expression simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i m n}\left(\gamma_{m n k} v_{k}+\gamma_{0 n 0} v_{m}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\gamma_{m n k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{m} h_{n k}-\partial_{n} h_{m k}\right), \quad \gamma_{0 n 0}=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{n} h_{00}
$$

are the Ricci rotation coefficients, $\mathbf{v}$ is the particle velocity (the present sign convention for $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is opposite to that of [25]). A simple calculation results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{l s}^{q}(r)=-\frac{169}{20} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}} \frac{M}{m}(\mathbf{l s}) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

And finally, with formula (33) we derive easily the quantum correction to the interaction of the orbital momentum $\mathbf{l}$ of a light particle with the internal angular momentum (spin) $\mathbf{S}$ of a compound central body, i. e. to the Lense-Thirring effect:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{L T}^{q, r}(r)=-\frac{69}{5} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}(\mathbf{l S}) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7 Aside on Classical Relativistic Corrections

In this section we consider at first the classical velocity-dependent correction to the Newton law. On the one hand this is an introduction to the derivation in the next section of quantum velocity-dependent corrections. On the other hand, this is necessary for the discussion of another, velocity-independent relativistic correction to the Newton law. The derivation of the classical velocity-independent correction in the diagram technique served in [16, 17] as a check of calculations of quantum corrections to the Newton law.

Let us consider the Born scattering amplitude with the graviton exchange in the harmonic gauge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{B}=8 \pi k \frac{T_{\mu \nu}^{1} T_{\mu \nu}^{2}-1 / 2 T_{\mu \mu}^{1} T_{\nu \nu}^{2}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}-\omega^{2}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $T_{\mu \nu}^{1,2}$ are the energy-momentum tensors of particles with masses $m_{1,2}$ and velocities $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$, respectively. To the adopted accuracy, the numerator simplifies to

$$
\frac{1}{2} T_{00}^{1} T_{00}^{2}-2 T_{0 n}^{1} T_{0 n}^{2}=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{2}\left(1-4 \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)
$$

Then we expand the denominator to first order in $\omega^{2} / \mathbf{q}^{2}$, and thus arrive at the following expression

$$
\frac{4 \pi k m_{1} m_{2}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\left(1-4 \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\right)
$$

The term of zeroth order in $c^{-2}$ in this formula, $4 \pi k m_{1} m_{2} / \mathbf{q}^{2}$, is obviously (after the necessary sign reversal) the Fourier-transform of the Newton potential. However, we are interested here in the terms of first order in $c^{-2}$. To transform $\omega^{2} / \mathbf{q}^{2}$, let us note that $\omega$ is in fact the energy difference between the initial and final energies of a particle. The particles can be considered now as nonrelativistic, so this difference transforms (to first order in $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}-\mathbf{p}$ ) as follows:

$$
\varepsilon^{\prime}-\varepsilon=\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}-\mathbf{p}\right) \mathbf{v}
$$

Therefore, the terms of first order in $c^{-2}$ are rewritten as

$$
\frac{4 \pi k m_{1} m_{2}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\left[-4 \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{v}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{q} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}\right]
$$

The Fourier-transform of this expression, taken with the opposite sign, is the well-known relativistic velocity-dependent correction to the Newton potential [19, 20, 22]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{v v}^{c l}=\frac{k m_{1} m_{2}}{2 r}\left[7 \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}+\left(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{v}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)\right], \quad \mathbf{n}=\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We follow here essentially the derivation by Iwasaki 21 .
At least as simple is the derivation of the relativistic velocity-independent correction to the Newton potential. In the harmonic gauge the metric created by a point-like mass $m_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\frac{r-k m_{1}}{r+k m_{1}} d t^{2}-\frac{r+k m_{1}}{r-k m_{1}} d r^{2}-\left(r+k m_{1}\right)^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}\right) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the expansion in $r_{g}$ of the classical action $-m_{2} \int d s$ for a probe particle of mass $m_{2}$, the second-order term is $-k^{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{2} / 2 r^{2}$. Now, reversing the sign (to go over from a Lagrangian
to a potential) and restoring the symmetry between $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, we arrive at the discussed correction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{c l}=\frac{k^{2} m_{1} m_{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{2 r^{2}} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical correction (45) was found long ago by Einstein, Infeld, Hoffmann [19], Eddington, Clark [20] (see also the textbook [22], §106), and derived later in [21] by calculating in the harmonic gauge the corresponding parts of diagrams 40 , and $5 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$. A subtle point of the last calculation [21] refers to the box diagrams [5, d. Obviously, the classical $c^{-2}$ contribution of these diagrams contains in particular the result of iteration of the usual Newton interaction and the velocity-dependent interaction (43). Therefore, the result of this iteration should be subtracted from the sum of the contributions of diagrams $40,5 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$. This has been done properly by Iwasaki [21]).

However, Bjerrum-Bohr, Donoghue, and Holstein argue (see section 2.1 in [17]) that in the scattering problem, as distinct from the bound-state one, this subtraction is unnecessary. They claim that there is a difference between what they call "the lowest order scattering potential" without this subtraction, and the classical correction $U^{c l}$ which they call the bound state potential. For our part, we do not see any difference of principle between the bound state problem and the scattering one ${ }^{4}$, and thus believe that it is just (45) which should be considered as the relativistic correction to the Newton law, both in the scattering and bound state problems.

## 8 Quantum Corrections to Gravitational Effects II

We address now the quantum correction to the classical velocity-dependent gravitational interaction (43). We start with the amplitude (27) written in the momentum representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t o t}=\frac{k^{2}}{30} \ln \left|q^{2}\right|\left(138 T_{\mu \nu} T^{\mu \nu}-31 T^{2}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

As distinct from the previous quantum corrections, here we go beyond the static approximation, and in the spirit of the previous section expand $\ln \left|q^{2}\right|=\ln \left(\mathbf{q}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)$ to first order in $\omega^{2}$. Following further the same lines of reasoning, we arrive easily at the quantum velocity-dependent correction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{v v}^{q, r}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{k^{2} m_{1} m_{2}}{60 \pi r^{3}}\left[445\left(\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)+321\left(\mathbf{n v}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n v}_{2}\right)\right], \quad \mathbf{n}=\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

With formula (47) we can derive (in the spirit of [22], §106, Problem 4) the quantum correction to the spin-spin interaction of compound bodies 1 and 2 rotating with the angular velocities $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}$, but their centres of masses being at rest. Here the velocity of a separate element of the body $i$ is $\mathbf{v}_{i}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}$, where $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}$ is the coordinate of this element counted off the center of mass of this body. Then in formula (47), where $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}$, we shift $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}+\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}$. Following again [22], §106, Problem 4, we obtain in this way

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{s s}^{q, r}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{69}{10} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}\left[3\left(\mathbf{S}_{1} \mathbf{S}_{2}\right)-5\left(\mathbf{n S}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n S}_{2}\right)\right], \quad \mathbf{n}=\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{S}_{i}$ are the internal angular momenta (spins) of the rotating compound central bodies.

[^2]Let us note that in the same way one can derive also the quantum correction (41) to the Lense-Thirring effect.

At last, let us consider the corresponding corrections induced by the irreducible amplitude (35) which is conveniently rewritten now as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}+M_{u}=-k^{2} m_{1} m_{2} \ln \left(\mathbf{q}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right) \frac{2}{3}\left(23-\frac{524}{5} \mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This amplitude also generates quantum corrections to the velocity-dependent, Lense-Thirring, and spin-spin interactions. The calculations are practically the same as the previous ones, and give, respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{v v}^{q, i r r}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{k^{2} m_{1} m_{2}}{10 \pi r^{3}}\left[311\left(\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)+115\left(\mathbf{n v}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n v}_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{50}\\
U_{L T}^{q, i r r}(r)=\frac{262}{5} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}(\mathbf{l S})  \tag{51}\\
U_{s s}^{q, i r r}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{131}{5} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}\left[3\left(\mathbf{S}_{1} \mathbf{S}_{2}\right)-5\left(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{S}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n} \mathbf{S}_{2}\right)\right] \tag{52}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, combining these contributions with those originating from quantum corrections to metric, we obtain finally

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{v v}^{q}(\mathbf{r})=U_{v v}^{q, r}(\mathbf{r})+U_{v v}^{q, i r r}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{k^{2} m_{1} m_{2}}{60 \pi r^{3}}\left[1421\left(\mathbf{v}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)+369\left(\mathbf{n v}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n v}_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{53}\\
U_{L T}^{q}(r)=U_{L T}^{q, r}(r)+U_{L T}^{q, i r r}(r)=\frac{193}{5} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}(\mathbf{l S})  \tag{54}\\
U_{s s}^{q}(\mathbf{r})=U_{s s}^{q, r}(\mathbf{r})+U_{s s}^{q, i r r}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{193}{10} \frac{k^{2}}{\pi r^{5}}\left[3\left(\mathbf{S}_{1} \mathbf{S}_{2}\right)-5\left(\mathbf{n S}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{n S}_{2}\right)\right] \tag{55}
\end{gather*}
$$
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[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Both previous results for this contribution, by Donoghue 10] and by us 16, were incorrect.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ For instance, the second Born approximation to a scattering amplitude is as legitimate notion, as the second-order correction to a bound state energy.

