

S-MATRICES FOR SPINOR PARTICLES ON REISSNER-NORDSTRÖM BLACK HOLES

N. E. FIRSOVA

*Institute for Mechanical Engineering, Russian Academy of Sciences
Sankt-Petersburg 199178, Russia*

Received January 2002

The scattering problems arising when considering the contribution of the topologically inequivalent configurations of the spinors on Reissner-Nordström black holes to the Hawking radiation are correctly stated. The corresponding S -matrices are described and presented in the form convenient to numerical computations.

1. Introduction

The nontrivial topological properties of black holes may play essential role while studying quantum geometry of fields on them. Really the black holes can carry the whole spectrum of topologically inequivalent configurations (TICs) for miscellaneous fields, in the first turn, complex scalar and spinor ones. The mentioned TICs can markedly modify the Hawking radiation from black holes.

Physically, the existence of TICs should be obliged to the natural presence of magnetic $U(N)$ -monopoles (with $N \geq 1$) on black holes though the total (internal) magnetic charge (abelian or nonabelian) of black hole remains equal to zero. One can consider that monopoles reside in black holes as quantum objects without having influence on the black hole metrics. They can reside in the form of monopole gas in which the process of permanent creation and annihilation of the virtual monopole-antimonopole pairs occurs so that the summed internal magnetic charge (i.e., connected with topological properties) is equal to zero while the external (not connected with topological properties) one may differ from zero.

While existing the virtual monopole-antimonopole pair can interact with a particle and, by this, increasing the Hawking radiation.

This influence on the Hawking radiation has been studied more or less for the TICs of complex scalar field (see Ref.¹ and references therein for more details).

Investigation of the spinor case has only recently started. A description of TICs for spinors was obtained in Ref.². The detailed analysis of their contribution to Hawking radiation required the knowledge of the conforming S -matrices which regulate the spinor particle passing through the potential barrier surrounding black hole. Those S -matrices were explored in Ref.³ for the case of spinors on Schwarzschild black holes. An algorithm to calculate their elements numerically was gained and

applied to the calculation of all the configurations luminosity for massless spinors from Schwarzschild black holes.⁴

The present paper is just devoted to building the mentioned S -matrices for the spinor TICs on Reissner-Nordström (RN) black holes and obtaining the appropriate algorithm to numerically compute their elements.

The metric under consideration can be written down in the form

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu \equiv a dt^2 - a^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 (d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2) \quad (1)$$

with $a = 1 - 2M/r + \alpha^2 M^2/r^2$, $\alpha = Q/M$, where M , Q are respectively a black hole mass and a charge. Besides we have $|g| = |\det(g_{\mu\nu})| = (r^2 \sin \vartheta)^2$, $r_\pm = M(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2})$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, so $r_+ \leq r < \infty$, $0 \leq \vartheta < \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$.

Throughout the paper we employ the system of units with $\hbar = c = G = 1$, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Our choice of the Dirac γ -matrices is the same as in Ref.² Finally, we shall denote $L_2(F)$ the set of the modulo square integrable complex functions on any manifold F furnished with an integration measure while $L_2^n(F)$ will be the n -fold direct product of $L_2(F)$ endowed with the obvious scalar product.

2. Preliminary remarks

As was discussed in Ref.², TICs of spinor field on the black holes under consideration arise due to existence of the twisted spinor bundles over the standard black hole topology $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. From a physical point of view the appearance of spinor twisted configurations is linked with the natural presence of Dirac monopoles that play the role of connections in the complex line bundles corresponding to the twisted spinor bundles. Under this situation, each such a bundle can be labeled by the Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the wave equation for corresponding massive spinors Ψ as sections of the mentioned bundle looks as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_n \Psi = \mu_0 \Psi, \quad (2)$$

with the twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_n = i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu^n$ and we can call (standard) spinors corresponding to $n = 0$ *untwisted* while the rest of the spinors with $n \neq 0$ should be referred to as *twisted*. Referring for details and for explicit form of \mathcal{D}_n to Ref.², it should be noted here that in $L_2^4(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ there is a basis from the solutions of (2) in the form

$$\Psi_{\lambda m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\omega}} e^{i\omega t} r^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} F_1(r, \omega, \lambda) \Phi_{\lambda m} \\ F_2(r, \omega, \lambda) \sigma_1 \Phi_{\lambda m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where σ_1 is the Pauli matrix, the 2D spinor $\Phi_{\lambda m} = \Phi_{\lambda m}(\vartheta, \varphi) = (\Phi_{1\lambda m}, \Phi_{2\lambda m})$ is the eigenspinor of the twisted euclidean Dirac operator with Chern number n on the unit sphere with the eigenvalue $\lambda = \pm \sqrt{(l+1)^2 - n^2}$ while $-l \leq m \leq l+1$,

$l \geq |n|$. The functions $F_{1,2}$ obey the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{a}\partial_r F_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr} + \frac{\lambda}{r}\right) F_1 = -i\left[\mu_0 - \frac{\omega+e_0Q/r}{\sqrt{a}}\right]F_2, \\ \sqrt{a}\partial_r F_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr} - \frac{\lambda}{r}\right) F_2 = -i\left[\mu_0 + \frac{\omega+e_0Q/r}{\sqrt{a}}\right]F_1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The explicit form of the 2D spinor $\Phi_{\lambda m}$ is inessential in the given paper and can be found in Ref.². One can only notice here that they can be subject to the normalization condition at n fixed

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} (|\Phi_{1\lambda m}|^2 + |\Phi_{2\lambda m}|^2) \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi = 1$$

and these spinors form an orthonormal basis in $L_2^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ at any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By passing on to the variable

$$r_* = r + \frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} \ln \left| \frac{r - r_+}{2M} \right| - \frac{r_-^2}{r_+ - r_-} \ln \left| \frac{r - r_-}{2M} \right|$$

where $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$ and by going to the quantities $x = r_*/M, y = r/M, k = \omega M, \mu = \mu_0 M, y_\pm = r_\pm/M = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}$, we shall have

$$x = y + \frac{y_+^2}{y_+ - y_-} \ln \left| \frac{y - y_+}{2M} \right| - \frac{y_-^2}{y_+ - y_-} \ln \left| \frac{y - y_-}{2M} \right|$$

so that $y(x)$ is given implicitly by the latter relation and

$$y' = dy/dx = 1 - 2/y + \alpha^2/r^2 = (y - y_+)(y - y_-)/y^2 = a = a(x, \alpha) \quad (5)$$

and the system (4) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} E_1' + a_1 E_1 = b_1 E_2, \\ E_2' + a_2 E_2 = b_2 E_1 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with $E_1 = E_1(x, k, \lambda) = F_+(Mx), F_+(r^*) = F_1[r(r^*)], E_2 = E_2(x, k, \lambda) = iF_-(Mx), F_-(r^*) = F_2[r(r^*)]$ and

$$a_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2y^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{y}\right) \pm \frac{\lambda}{y} \sqrt{a}, \quad (7)$$

$$b_{1,2} = \mu \sqrt{a} \mp \left(k + \frac{e\alpha}{y}\right), \quad e = e_0 M. \quad (8)$$

Let us eliminate E_2 to find equation for E_1 . Without going into details we obtain

$$E_1'' + \tilde{a}E_1' + \tilde{b}E_1 = (\mu^2 - k^2)E_1, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{1}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{y}\right) - \frac{b_1'}{b_1}, \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{b} = 2k \frac{e\alpha}{y} + \frac{e^2\alpha^2 - \lambda^2 a}{y^2} + \frac{1}{4y^4} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{y}\right)^2 + a'_1 - \frac{b'_1}{b_1} a_1. \quad (11)$$

Now we apply the ansatz

$$E_1 = u_1 \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{a} dx \right] \quad (12)$$

and obtain instead of (9) the following Schrödinger-like equation for the function u_1

$$u_1'' + (k^2 - \mu^2)u_1 = q_1 u_1 \quad (13)$$

where

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{a}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{a}' - \tilde{b}. \quad (14)$$

With the help of the relation

$$\int \frac{1}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{y}\right) dx = \int \frac{(y - \alpha^2) dy}{y(y - y_+)(y - y_-)}$$

we transform the (12) as follows

$$E_1 = i u_1 \sqrt{\frac{k + e\alpha/y}{\sqrt{a}} - \tilde{\mu}}. \quad (15)$$

The (14) can be rewritten in the form

$$q_1 = -2 \frac{\mu^2 + e\alpha k}{y} + \frac{(\mu^2 - e^2)\alpha^2}{y^2} + \frac{a\lambda^2}{y^2} + \frac{a(3\alpha^2 - 2y)}{2y^4} + \frac{\lambda\sqrt{a} b'_1}{y b_1} + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{b'_1}{b_1}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b''_1}{b_1} - a'_1. \quad (16)$$

Consequently we can transform (13) to the following

$$u_1'' + \left[\left(k + \frac{e\alpha}{y}\right)^2 - a\mu^2 \right] u_1 = \frac{\sqrt{a}\lambda^2}{y^2} \tilde{q}_1 u_1. \quad (17)$$

Here

$$\tilde{q}_1 = \sqrt{a} + \frac{\sqrt{a}(3\alpha^2 - 2y)}{2y^2\lambda^2} + \frac{y b'_1}{\lambda b_1} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{y^2}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{a}} \left(\frac{b'_1}{b_1}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{a}} \frac{b''_1}{b_1} - \frac{y^2}{\lambda^2 \sqrt{a}} a'_1, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\frac{b'_1}{b_1} = -\frac{\sqrt{a} \mu \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{y}\right) + e\alpha \sqrt{a}}{y^2 \left(k + \frac{e\alpha}{y} - \mu \sqrt{a}\right)}$$

$$\frac{b''_1}{b_1} = -\frac{\sqrt{a} \mu \left(-2 + \frac{5+3\alpha^2}{y} - \frac{10\alpha^2}{y^2} + \frac{4\alpha^4}{y^3}\right) - 2e\alpha \left(1 - \frac{3}{y} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{y^2}\right)}{y^3 \left(k + \frac{e\alpha}{y} - \mu \sqrt{a}\right)}$$

$$a'_1 = -\frac{\lambda\sqrt{a}}{y^2} \left[1 - \frac{3}{y} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{y^2} + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\lambda y} \left(1 - \frac{3\alpha^2}{2y} \right) \right]$$

So it is not difficult to see that the function \tilde{q}_1 is bounded uniformly in $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $k \geq \mu$ when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.

$$|\tilde{q}_1| \leq C. \quad (19)$$

3. The scattering problem

One can notice that though the potentials $q_1 = q_1(x, k, \lambda)$ and $\tilde{q}_1 = \tilde{q}_1(x, k, \lambda)$ of (16),(18) is given implicitly, it satisfies the conditions explored in Ref.⁵ and referring for more details to those works we may here use their results to formulate correctly the scattering problem for (17). Namely, the correct statement of the scattering problem will consist in searching for two solutions $u_1^+(x, k, \lambda)$, $u_1^-(x, k, \lambda)$ of the equation (17) obeying the following conditions

$$u_1^+(x, k, \lambda) = \begin{cases} e^{i(k+e\alpha/y_+)x} + s_{12}(k, \lambda)e^{-i(k+e\alpha/y_+)x} + o(1), & x \rightarrow -\infty, \\ s_{11}(k, \lambda)w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x) + o(1), & x \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases}$$

$$u_1^-(x, k, \lambda) = \begin{cases} s_{22}(k, \lambda)e^{-i(k+e\alpha/y_+)x} + o(1), & x \rightarrow -\infty, \\ w_{-i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(2ik^+x) + s_{21}(k, \lambda)w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x) + o(1), & x \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\mu^2 + e\alpha k}{k^+} \quad k^+ = \sqrt{k^2 - \mu^2}$$

and the functions $w_{\pm i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(\pm z)$ are related to the Whittaker functions $W_{\pm i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(\pm z)$ (concerning the latter ones see e. g. Ref.⁶) by the relation

$$w_{\pm i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(\pm z) = W_{\pm i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(\pm z)e^{-\pi\beta/2},$$

so that one can easily gain asymptotics (using the corresponding ones for Whittaker functions⁶)

$$w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x) = e^{ik^+x} e^{i\beta \ln |2k^+x|} [1 + O(|k^+x|^{-1})], \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$w_{-i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(2ik^+x) = e^{-ik^+x} e^{-i\beta \ln |2k^+x|} [1 + O(|k^+x|^{-1})], \quad x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (21)$$

We can see that there arises some S -matrix with elements s_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$. As will be seen below, for calculating the Hawking radiation we need the coefficient s_{11} , consequently, we need to have some algorithm for numerical computation of it inasmuch as the latter cannot be evaluated in exact form. The given algorithm can be extracted from the results of Ref.⁵. To be more precise

$$s_{11}(k, \lambda) = 2i \left(k + \frac{e\alpha}{y_+} \right) / [f^-(x, k, \lambda), f^+(x, k^+, \lambda)], \quad (22)$$

where $[\cdot]$ signifies the Wronskian of functions f^- , f^+ , the so called Jost type solutions of (17). In their turn, these functions and their derivatives obey the certain integral equations. Since the Wronskian does not depend on x one can take the mentioned integral equations by $x = x_0$

$$f^-(x, k, \lambda) = e^{-i(k+e\alpha/y_+)x} + \frac{1}{k+e\alpha/y_+} \int_{-\infty}^x \sin[(k+e\alpha/y_+)(x-t)] q_1^-(t, k, \lambda) f^-(t, k, \lambda) dt, \quad (23)$$

$$(f^-)'_x(x, k, \lambda) = -i(k+e\alpha/y_+)e^{-i(k+e\alpha/y_+)x} + \int_{-\infty}^x \cos[(k+e\alpha/y_+)(x-t)] q_1^-(t, k, \lambda) f^-(t, k, \lambda) dt, \quad (24)$$

and

$$f^+(x, k^+, \lambda) = w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x) + \frac{1}{k^+} \int_x^{+\infty} \text{Im}[w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x)w_{-i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(2ik^+t)] q_1^+(t, k, \lambda) f^+(t, k^+, \lambda) dt, \quad (25)$$

$$(f^+)'_x(x, k^+, \lambda) = \frac{d}{dx} w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x) + \frac{1}{k^+} \int_x^{+\infty} \text{Im} \left[\frac{d}{dx} w_{i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(-2ik^+x) w_{-i\beta, \frac{1}{2}}(2ik^+t) \right] q_1^+(t, k, \lambda) f^+(t, k^+, \lambda) dt, \quad (26)$$

where the potentials have the form

$$q_1^-(x, k, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 \sqrt{a}}{y^2} \tilde{q}_1 + a \left(\mu^2 + \frac{e\alpha}{yy_+(y-y_+)} (2k + e\alpha(y^{-1} + y_+^{-1})) \right) \quad (27)$$

and

$$q_1^+(x, k, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 \sqrt{a}}{y^2} \tilde{q}_1 + 2(\mu^2 + e\alpha k) \frac{y-x}{xy} + \frac{a^2(\mu^2 - e^2)}{y^2} \quad (28)$$

The potential q_1^- exponentially tends to zero when $x \rightarrow -\infty$ and q_1^+ behaves as $O(x^{-2})$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. So one can notice that these potentials are integrable when $x \rightarrow -\infty$ or $x \rightarrow \infty$ respectively. The point $x = x_0$ should be chosen from the considerations of the computational convenience. The relations (22)–(28) can be employed for numerical calculation of s_{11} (see Ref.¹ for the complex scalar field case).

To summarize, we can now obtain the general solution of (17) in the class of functions restricted on the whole x -axis in the linear combination form

$$u_1(x, k, \lambda) = C_\lambda^1(k) u_1^+(x, k, \lambda) + C_\lambda^2(k) u_1^-(x, k, \lambda), \quad (29)$$

since a couple of the functions $u_1^+(x, k, \lambda)$, $u_1^-(x, k, \lambda)$ forms a fundamental system of solutions for the equation (17). Besides we have the unitarity relations (for more details see Ref.⁵)

$$\frac{1}{\gamma(k)}|s_{22}(k, \lambda)|^2 + |s_{21}(k, \lambda)|^2 = 1, \quad \gamma(k)|s_{11}(k, \lambda)|^2 + |s_{12}(k, \lambda)|^2 = 1, \quad \gamma(k) = \frac{k^+}{k}$$

and it is not difficult to show that the following asymptotic behavior occurs

$$s_{12}(k, \lambda) = O(k^{-1}), \quad s_{21}(k, \lambda) = O(k^{-1}),$$

$$\Gamma(k, \lambda) = |s_{11}(k, \lambda)|^2 = 1 + O(k^{-1}).$$

When analysing the Hawking radiation one should put $C_\lambda^2(k) = 0$ and then using (20)–(21) we can find asymptotic of the function E_1 as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$E_1 = C_\lambda^1(k) i \sqrt{k - \mu} s_{11}(k, \lambda) e^{ik^+ x} e^{i\beta \ln(2k^+ x)} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (30)$$

To study the Hawking radiation we shall also need asymptotic of the function E_2 at $x \rightarrow \infty$. To find the latter function we should use the first equation of (6) so that

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{b_1} (E_1' + a_1 E_1)$$

and after differentiating the relation (15) we obtain

$$E_2 = C_\lambda^1(k) \sqrt{k + \mu} s_{11}(k, \lambda) e^{ik^+ x} e^{i\beta \ln(2k^+ x)} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (31)$$

At last, with the help of (30) and (31) it is easily to establish that at $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$E_1^* \partial_x E_1 + E_2^* \partial_x E_2 = -(E_1 \partial_x E_1^* + E_2 \partial_x E_2^*) = -2ik^+ |s_{11}(k, \lambda)|^2 |C_\lambda^1(k)|^2 + o(1),$$

$$E_1^* E_2 - E_2^* E_1 = -2ik^+ |s_{11}(k, \lambda)|^2 |C_\lambda^1(k)|^2 + o(1), \quad (32)$$

where (*) means complex conjugation.

Though for studying the Hawking radiation one needs only s_{11} , the other elements of the S -matrix described can be important in a number of the problems within the 4D black hole physics, for instance, when studying vacuum polarization near black holes for spinor TICs.

Having obtained all the above, we can discuss the Hawking radiation process for any TIC of spinor field.

4. Modification of Hawking radiation

As was pointed out in Ref.², the equation (2) corresponds to the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2} |g|^{1/2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu^n \Psi - (\nabla_\mu^n \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^\mu \Psi], \quad (33)$$

where $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \gamma_0$ is the adjoint spinor and (\dagger) stands for hermitian conjugation. As a result, we have the conforming energy-momentum tensor for TIC with the Chern number n conforming to the lagrangian (34)

$$T_{\mu\nu}(\bar{\Psi}, \Psi) = \frac{i}{4} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma_\mu \nabla_\nu^n \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma_\nu \nabla_\mu^n \Psi - (\nabla_\mu^n \bar{\Psi}) \gamma_\nu \Psi - (\nabla_\nu^n \bar{\Psi}) \gamma_\mu \Psi] . \quad (34)$$

When quantizing twisted TIC with the Chern number n we shall take the set of spinors $\Psi_{\lambda m}$ of (3) as a basis in $L_2^4(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ so that $-l \leq m \leq l+1$, $l = |n|, |n|+1, \dots$ and we can evidently realize the procedure of quantizing TIC with the Chern number n , as usual, by expanding in the modes $\Psi_{\lambda m}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \sum_{\pm\lambda} \sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l+1} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} d\omega (a_{\omega n l m}^- \Psi_{\lambda m} + b_{\omega n l m}^+ \Psi_{-\lambda m}), \\ \bar{\Psi} &= \sum_{\pm\lambda} \sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l+1} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} d\omega (a_{\omega n l m}^+ \bar{\Psi}_{\lambda m} + b_{\omega n l m}^- \bar{\Psi}_{-\lambda m}), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

so that $a_{\omega n l m}^\pm, b_{\omega n l m}^\pm$ should be interpreted as the corresponding creation and annihilation operators for spinor particle in both the gravitational field of black hole and the field of the conforming monopole with the Chern number n and we have the standard anticommutation relations

$$\{a_i^-, a_j^+\} = \delta_{ij}, \{b_i^-, b_j^+\} = \delta_{ij} \quad (36)$$

and zero for all other anticommutators, where $i = \{\omega n l m\}$ is a generalized index.

Under the circumstances one can speak about the Hawking radiation process for any TIC of spinor field. To get the luminosity $L(n)$ with respect to the Hawking radiation for TIC with the Chern number n , we define a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ by the conditions

$$a_i^- |0\rangle = 0, b_i^- |0\rangle = 0, \quad (37)$$

and then

$$L(n) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S^2} \langle 0 | T_{tr} | 0 \rangle d\sigma \quad (38)$$

with the vacuum expectation value $\langle 0 | T_{tr} | 0 \rangle$ and the surface element $d\sigma = r^2 \sin \vartheta d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi$. Now with the help of (35)–(38) we have

$$L(n) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S^2} \left[\sum_{\pm\lambda} \sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l+1} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} T_{tr}(\bar{\Psi}_{\lambda m}, \Psi_{\lambda m}) d\omega \right] d\sigma \quad (39)$$

with spinors $\Psi_{\lambda m}$ of (3). After this we can fix vacuum state by choosing

$$C_\lambda^1(k) = \sqrt{\frac{B(\alpha)}{e^{4\pi k[1+f(\alpha)]} + B(\alpha)}}$$

with $f(\alpha) = 2^{-1}(\sqrt{1-\alpha^2} + 1/\sqrt{1-\alpha^2})$, $B(\alpha) = \exp[-4\pi e\alpha M \frac{\sqrt{G}}{\hbar c} \frac{1+f(\alpha)}{1+\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}}]$, $e = 4.8 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{3/2} \cdot \text{g}^{1/2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, and we shall find (in usual units)

$$L(n) = A \sum_{\pm\lambda} \sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty} 2(l+1)B(\alpha) \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{|s_{11}(k, \lambda)|^2}{e^{4\pi k[1+f(\alpha)]} + B(\alpha)} k^+ dk, \quad (40)$$

where the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} &= \frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dr^*} = \frac{1}{aM} \frac{d}{dx}, \\ \gamma_t &= \sqrt{a}\gamma^0, \\ \gamma_r &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\gamma^1 \end{aligned}$$

have been used while $A = \frac{c^5}{\pi GM} \left(\frac{c\hbar}{G}\right)^{1/2} \approx 0.251455 \cdot 10^{55} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot M^{-1}$, M in g. It should be noted that in (41), generally speaking, $s_{11}(k, \lambda) \neq s_{11}(k, -\lambda)$ and that is clear from the form of potential q_1 of (17).

We can interpret $L(n)$, as usual,^{1,2} as an additional contribution to the Hawking radiation due to the additional spinor particles leaving the black hole because of the interaction with monopoles and the conforming radiation can be called *the monopole Hawking radiation*².

Under this situation, for the all configurations luminosity L of black hole with respect to the Hawking radiation concerning the spinor field to be obtained, one should sum up over all n , i. e.

$$L = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) = L(0) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(n) \quad (41)$$

since $L(-n) = L(n)$. The convergence of the series of (41)–(42), respectively, over l and n can be proved by the same considerations as in Ref.¹ what we shall here not dwell upon.

As a result, we can expect a marked increase of Hawking radiation from black holes under consideration for spinor particles. But for to get an exact value of this increase one should apply numerical methods.

5. Conclusion

The relations obtained here can be employed when numerically calculating the Hawking radiation luminosity for the really existing spinor particles, for example, for e^{\pm} and neutrinos and also for other fundamental leptons.

In Ref.⁴ it was calculated in the Schwarzschild black hole case that the additional Hawking radiation due to twisted massless spinors is about 22 per cent. It would be interesting to have known as this result will change for Reissner–Nordström black hole in dependence of its electric charge Q . We hope to discuss the numerical results elsewhere.

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Yu. Goncharov for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

1. Yu. P. Goncharov and N. E. Firsova, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D5**, 419 (1996);
Nucl. Phys. **B486**, 371 (1997);
Phys. Lett. **B478**, 439 (2000).
2. Yu. P. Goncharov, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* **69**, 619 (1999);
Phys. Lett. **B458**, 29 (1999).
3. N. E. Firsova, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A16**, 1573 (2001).
4. Yu. P. Goncharov and N. E. Firsova, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A16**, 2399 (2001).
5. N. E. Firsova, *Phys. Rev.* **D59**, 127502 (1999);
Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D9**, 79 (2001).
6. Eds. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C., 1964).