

ON THE EXISTENCE OF MAXIMIZING CURVES FOR THE CHARGED-PARTICLE ACTION

ETTORE MINGUZZI

ABSTRACT. The classical Avez-Seifert theorem is generalized to the case of the Lorentz force equation for charged test particles with fixed charge-to-mass ratio. Given two events x_0 and x_1 , with x_1 in the chronological future of x_0 , and a ratio q/m , it is proved that a timelike connecting solution of the Lorentz force equation exists provided there is no null connecting geodesic and the spacetime is globally hyperbolic. As a result, the theorem answers affirmatively to the existence of timelike connecting solutions for the particular case of Minkowski spacetime. Moreover, it is proved that there is at least one C^1 connecting curve that maximizes the functional $I[\gamma] = \int_\gamma ds + q/(mc^2)\omega$ over the set of C^1 future-directed non-spacelike connecting curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Λ be a Lorentzian manifold endowed with the metric g having signature $(+ - - -)$. A point particle of rest mass m and electric charge q , moving in the electromagnetic field F , has a timelike worldline that satisfies the *Lorentz force equation* (cf. [8])

$$D_s \left(\frac{dx}{ds} \right) = \frac{q}{mc^2} \hat{F}(x) \left[\frac{dx}{ds} \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here $x = x(s)$ is the world line of the particle parameterized with proper time, $\frac{dx}{ds}$ is the four-velocity, $D_s \left(\frac{dx}{ds} \right)$ is the covariant derivative of $\frac{dx}{ds}$ along $x(s)$ associated to the Levi-Civita connection of g , and $\hat{F}(x)[\cdot]$ is the linear map on $T_x\Lambda$ defined by

$$g(x)[v, \hat{F}(x)[w]] = F(x)[v, w],$$

for any $v, w \in T_x\Lambda$.

Let x_0 and x_1 be two chronologically related events, $x_1 \in I^+(x_0)$. If the manifold Λ is globally hyperbolic, the Avez-Seifert theorem [1, 2, 3] assures the existence of at least a timelike connecting solution of the Lorentz force equation in the $q = 0$ case. We are looking for a suitable generalization to $q/m \neq 0$ cases.

Works in this direction [5, 4] have shown that in a globally hyperbolic manifold Λ , and for an exact electromagnetic field $F = d\omega$ (i.e. in absence of monopoles), connecting solutions exist for any ratio q/m in a suitable neighborhood $[-R, R]$. R is a gauge invariant quantity that depends on the extremals x_0 and x_1 and on the potential one-form. That result was satisfying from the physical point of view since for sufficiently weak field, compatible with the absence of quantum pair creation effects, the electron's charge-to-mass ratio is less than R .

From a mathematical point of view, however, the problem in the strong field case was still open. Here we prove that under the same conditions as above $R = +\infty$ provided there is no null connecting geodesic.

Like in previous papers on the subject [4, 5], the strategy is to introduce a Kaluza-Klein spacetime [9, 7] and to regard the solutions of the Lorentz force equation as projections of null geodesics of a higher dimensional manifold. In this way one can take advantage of causal techniques. Here the reference text for most notations and results on causal techniques is [6].

So assume that F is an exact two-form and let ω be a potential one-form for F . Let us consider a trivial bundle $P = \Lambda \times \mathbb{R}$, $\pi : P \rightarrow \Lambda$, with the structure group $T_1 : b \in T_1$, $p = (x, y)$, $p' = pb = (x, y + b)$, and $\tilde{\omega}$ the connection one-form on P :

$$\tilde{\omega} = i(dy + \frac{e}{\hbar c}\omega).$$

Here y is a dimensionless coordinate on the fibre, $-e$ ($e > 0$) is the electron charge and $\hbar = h/2\pi$, with h the Planck constant. Henceforth we will denote by $\bar{\omega}$ and \bar{F} , respectively the one-form $\frac{e}{\hbar c}\omega$ and the two-form $\frac{e}{\hbar c}F$. Let us endow P with the Kaluza-Klein metric

$$g^{\text{kk}} = g + a^2\tilde{\omega}^2 \quad (2)$$

or equivalently, using the notation z for the points in P and the identification $z = (x, y) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$g^{\text{kk}}(z)[w, w] = g^{\text{kk}}(x, y)[(v, u), (v, u)] = g(x)[v, v] - a^2(u + \bar{\omega}(x)[v])^2,$$

for every $w = (v, u) \in T_x\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}$. The positive constant a has the dimension of a length and has been introduced for dimensional consistency of definition (2).

Let x_1 be an event in the chronological future of x_0 . The set \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} , includes the C^1 future-pointing non-spacelike connecting curves. With *connecting curve* we mean a map x from an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ to Λ such that $x(a) = x_0$ and $x(b) = x_1$ and any other map w such that $w = x \circ \lambda$ with λ a C^1 function from an interval $[c, d]$ to the interval $[a, b]$, having positive derivative.

The functional $I[\gamma]$ defined on the space \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} is

$$I[\gamma](x_0, x_1) = \int_{\gamma} (ds + \frac{q}{mc^2}\omega).$$

The timelike solutions of the Lorentz force equation (1), if they exists, are critical points of this functional as it follows from a computation of the Euler-Lagrange equation.

Let us now consider the geodesics over P . They are C^1 curves $z(\lambda) = (x(\lambda), y(\lambda))$ that are critical points of the functional

$$S = S(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} g^{\text{kk}}(z(\lambda)) [\dot{z}(\lambda), \dot{z}(\lambda)] d\lambda.$$

Taking into account that g^{kk} is independent of y we find that the following quantity is conserved

$$p_z = -a^2(\dot{y} + \bar{\omega}(x)[\dot{x}]).$$

Moreover taking variations with respect to the variable x we obtain

$$D_{\lambda}\dot{x} = p_z \hat{F}(x)[\dot{x}]. \quad (3)$$

If x is non-spacelike we define

$$g(x)[\dot{x}, \dot{x}] = C^2.$$

Moreover, since z is a geodesic, $g^{\text{kk}}(z)[\dot{z}, \dot{z}]$ is conserved too and

$$g^{\text{kk}}(z)[\dot{z}, \dot{z}] = C^2 - \frac{p_z^2}{a^2}. \quad (4)$$

From this formula it follows that if z is timelike (non-spacelike) then x is timelike (non-spacelike). If z is a null geodesic then $C^2 = p_z^2/a^2$ and x is timelike iff $p_z \neq 0$.

In case x is timelike its proper time is given by

$$ds = Cd\lambda,$$

and parameterizing with respect to proper time Eq. (3) becomes

$$D_s \left(\frac{dx}{ds} \right) = \frac{p_z}{C} \hat{F}(x) \left[\frac{dx}{ds} \right] = \frac{p_z}{C} \frac{e}{\hbar c} \hat{F}(x) \left[\frac{dx}{ds} \right].$$

This is exactly the Lorentz force equation for a charge-to-mass ratio

$$\frac{q}{m} = \frac{p_z}{C} \frac{ec}{\hbar}.$$

Notice that, a solution of Eq. (3) must be timelike ($p_z \neq 0$) in order to represent a charged particle. Only in this case it can be parameterized with respect to proper time.

Our strategy is to search a future-directed null geodesic in P that projects on a connecting timelike curve on Λ . To this end we have to choose the following value for a

$$a = \left| \frac{p_z}{C} \right| = \frac{\hbar}{ec} \left| \frac{q}{m} \right|. \quad (5)$$

2. THE THEOREM

We state the theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (Λ, g) be a time-oriented Lorentzian manifold. Let ω be a one-form (C^2) on Λ and $F = d\omega$. Assume that (Λ, g) is a globally hyperbolic manifold. Let x_1 be an event in the chronological future of x_0 and q/m any charge-to-mass ratio. There exists at least one future-directed non-spacelike C^1 curve $x(\lambda)$ connecting x_0 and x_1 that maximizes the functional $I[\gamma](x_0, x_1)$ on the space \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} . If x is timelike, once parameterized with respect to proper time, it becomes a solution of the Lorentz force equation (1); if it is null, it is a null geodesic.*

We need some lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *The manifold $P = \Lambda \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the metric (2) is a time-oriented globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold.*

Proof. See [5] or [4]. □

Remark 2.3. Let $E^+(p_0) = J^+(p_0) - I^+(p_0)$, $p_0 \in P$. It is well known (see [6, p. 112, 184]) that if $q \in E^+(p_0)$ there exists a null geodesic connecting p_0 and q .

Lemma 2.4. *Any globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold Λ is causally simple, i.e. for every compact subset K of Λ , $\dot{J}^+(K) = E^+(K)$, where $\dot{J}^+(K)$ denotes the boundary of $J^+(K)$.*

Proof. See [6, p. 188, 207]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let P be the Kaluza-Klein principal bundle constructed in the introduction having a given by Eq. (5). Given a parameterized curve $\sigma(\lambda) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Lambda$ belonging to \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} define its lifts $\tilde{\sigma}^+(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^-(\lambda)$ of starting point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ by requiring $p_{\tilde{\sigma}^\pm} = \pm a \int_\sigma ds$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^\pm(0) = p_0$. In other words $\tilde{\sigma}^\pm(\lambda) = (\sigma(\lambda), y^\pm(\lambda))$ satisfies the condition

$$\dot{y}^\pm + \bar{\omega}[\dot{\sigma}] = -\frac{p_{\tilde{\sigma}^\pm}}{a^2}. \quad (6)$$

$\tilde{\sigma}^\pm(\lambda)$ is a null curve that depends on both σ and its parameterization. Let $y_1^\pm(\sigma) = \tilde{\sigma}^\pm(1)$ and $\Delta y^\pm(\sigma) = y_1^\pm(\sigma) - y_0$. Integrating Eq. (6) over σ

$$p_{\tilde{\sigma}^\pm} = -a^2(\Delta y^\pm(\sigma) + \int_\sigma \bar{\omega}),$$

or

$$\Delta y^\pm(\sigma) = y_1^\pm(\sigma) - y_0 = \mp \frac{1}{a} \left(\int_\sigma ds + \frac{(\pm|q/m|)}{c^2} \int_\sigma \omega \right). \quad (7)$$

Notice that the final point $p_1 = (x_1, y_1^\pm)$ does not depend on the specific parameterization of σ . A maximization on \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} of the functional I relative to the ratio $+|q/m|$, corresponds to a minimization of $y_1^+(\sigma)$. Analogously, a maximization on \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} of the functional I relative to the ratio $-|q/m|$ corresponds to a maximization of y_1^- . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s} &= \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1}} y_1^-(\sigma), \\ \bar{s} &= \inf_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1}} y_1^+(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

we show that $\hat{s} > \bar{s}$. Indeed, for a given σ we have

$$y_1^-(\sigma) - y_1^+(\sigma) = \frac{2}{a} \int_\sigma ds \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

thus

$$\hat{s} - \bar{s} \geq \frac{2}{a} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1}} \int_\sigma ds = \frac{2l(x_0, x_1)}{a} \geq 0,$$

with $l(x_0, x_1)$ the Lorentzian distance function. Moreover, both $\int_\sigma ds$ and $\int_\sigma |\bar{\omega}|$ are bounded on \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} [5], therefore \hat{s} and \bar{s} are finite.

Let $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow P$ be a non-spacelike future-directed C^1 curve that starts in p_0 and ends in $p_1 : \pi(p_1) = x_1$. Let $p_1 = (x_1, y_1)$, and consider the projection $x(\lambda)$ of $\eta(\lambda)$. Since η is a non-spacelike curve

$$g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) - a^2(\dot{y} + \bar{\omega}(\dot{x}))^2 \geq 0.$$

Taking the square-root and integrating over $x(\lambda)$

$$|y_1 - y_0| \leq \frac{1}{a} \int_x ds + \int_x |\bar{\omega}| < M < +\infty,$$

where M is a suitable positive constant. Hence y_1 is finite. Now we consider the set $W = J^+(p_0) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}' &= \sup_{p \in W} y_1(p), \\ \bar{s}' &= \inf_{p \in W} y_1(p). \end{aligned}$$

where $y_1(p)$ is defined through $p = (x_1, y_1)$. Since for any non-spacelike curve y_1 is bounded, \hat{s}' and \bar{s}' are bounded too. Since P is globally hyperbolic $J^+(p_0)$ is closed and the set W , being limited and closed, is compact. The points $\hat{p}_1 = (x_1, \hat{s}')$ and $\bar{p}_1 = (x_1, \bar{s}')$, being accumulation points, belong to W . Moreover they can't be points of the open set $I^+(p_0)$. Thus, they belong to $E^+(p_0)$ and therefore (remark 2.3) there are two null geodesics $\hat{\eta}(\lambda) = (\hat{x}(\lambda), \hat{y}(\lambda))$, $\bar{\eta}(\lambda) = (\bar{x}(\lambda), \bar{y}(\lambda))$, that join p_0 with \hat{p}_1 and \bar{p}_1 respectively. Let λ be that affine parameter that has values 0 and 1 at the endpoints. For a null geodesic $\eta = (x, y)$ as those under consideration, p_η is conserved, hence for a suitable choice of sign $\eta = \tilde{x}^\pm$. Let $p_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ be its final point. For the definition of \hat{s} and \bar{s}

$$\bar{s} \leq y_1 \leq \hat{s}.$$

But in the case $\eta = \hat{\eta}$ it is $\hat{s}' \geq \hat{s}$, otherwise there would be a null curve β having final point $\beta(1)$ strictly above \hat{p}_1 on x_1 's fiber. This would be a contradiction since $\beta(1) \in W$ as β is a null curve. With an analogous reasoning for $\bar{\eta}$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}' &= \hat{s}, \\ \bar{s}' &= \bar{s}. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to show that the right choice of sign for $\hat{\eta}$ is $-$, that is

$$p_{\hat{\eta}} = -a \int_{\hat{x}} ds \leq 0,$$

and $\hat{\eta} = \tilde{x}^-$.

Assume that $\hat{\eta} = \tilde{x}^+$ then, from the definition of $\hat{s}' (= \hat{s})$

$$\hat{s}' = \tilde{x}^+(1) \geq \tilde{x}^-(1).$$

Equation (8) implies that $\tilde{x}^-(1) \geq \tilde{x}^+(1)$ where the equality holds if and only if \hat{x} is a null curve. From the hypothesis we find that \hat{x} is a null curve and, since in this case both lifts coincide with the horizontal lift, $\tilde{x}^-(\lambda) = \tilde{x}^+(\lambda)$. Thus $-$ is always the right sign whereas $+$ is right if and only if \hat{x} is a null curve, in which case $\tilde{x}^- = \tilde{x}^+$.

With an analogous reasoning for $\bar{\eta}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}(\lambda) &= \tilde{x}^-(\lambda), \\ \bar{\eta}(\lambda) &= \tilde{x}^+(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the functional $I[\gamma](x_0, x_1)$ is maximized in \mathcal{N}_{x_0, x_1} by \hat{x} if $q/m < 0$ or by \bar{x} if $q/m > 0$. The curve \hat{x} , being the projection of a null geodesic, is a connecting solution of Eq. (3), moreover if timelike it is a connecting solution of the Lorentz force equation with charge-to-mass ratio $-|q/m|$. If it is null, from $|p_{\hat{\eta}}| = a \int_{\hat{x}} ds = 0$ and Eq. (3) we conclude that it is a null geodesic. An analogous conclusion holds for \bar{x} . \square

In many cases the spacetime Λ has the property that no two chronologically related events are joined by a null geodesic. Minkowski spacetime is the most important example.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (M, η) be the Minkowski spacetime. Let F be an electromagnetic tensor field (closed two-form). Let x_1 be an event in the chronological future of x_0 and q/m a charge-to-mass ratio, then there exist at least one future-directed timelike solution to (1) connecting x_0 and x_1 .*

Proof. Since M is contractible F is exact. Moreover, in Minkowski spacetime, if $x_1 \in I^+(x_0)$ there is no null geodesic connecting x_0 with x_1 . \square

3. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that in Minkowski spacetime the existence of at least a timelike connecting solution to the Lorentz force equation is assured by corollary 2.5. Notice that theorem 2.1 holds more generally for any chronologically related pair x_0, x_1 , belonging to a globally hyperbolic set $N \subset M$. Thus in a generic spacetime the existence of a timelike connecting solution to the Lorentz force equation is assured whenever x_0 and x_1 belong to a globally hyperbolic set and there is no connecting null geodesic. Finally, we have proved the existence of at least one C^1 connecting curve that maximizes the functional $I[\gamma] = \int_\gamma ds + q/(mc^2)\omega$ over the set of C^1 future-directed non-spacelike connecting curves.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich, K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, second edition. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1996.
- [2] A. Avez, Essais edc géométrie Riemannienne hyperbolique globale. Application à la Relativité Générale, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **132** (1963) 105
- [3] H.J. Seifert, Global connectivity by timelike geodesics, Zs. f. Naturfor. **22a** (1967) 1356
- [4] E. Caponio, A. Masiello, The Avez-Seifert theorem for the relativistic Lorentz equation, preprint Rapporti DIM Università-Politecnico di Bari, 39/2001.
- [5] E. Caponio, E. Minguzzi, Solutions to the Lorentz force equation with fixed charge-to-mass ratio in globally hyperbolic spacetimes, preprint gr-qc/0211100.
- [6] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [7] R. Kerner, J. Martin, S. Mignemi, J.-W. van Holten, Geodesic Deviation in Kaluza-Klein theories, Phys. Rev. D, **63** (2001) 027502.
- [8] C. Misner, K. Thorne, J. Wheeler, *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
- [9] J. M. Overduin, P. S. Wesson, Kaluza-Klein Gravity, Phys.Rept. **283** (1997) 303

PREVIOUS ADDRESS: DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, PIAZZA DELLA SCIENZA 3, 20126 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: `minguzzi@mib.infn.it`