

Classical Electrodynamics in Quasi-Metric Space-Time

Dag Østvang

*Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU)
N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

Abstract

The quasi-metric manifold \mathcal{N} is equipped with two one-parameter families of metric tensors $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ and \mathbf{g}_t , each parametrized by the global time function t . Moreover, in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$, one must define two different electromagnetic field tensor families corresponding to the active field tensor family $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ and the passive field tensor family $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$, respectively. The active electromagnetic field tensor family $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ couples to gravity. By construction, the norm of the passive electromagnetic field tensor family $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ experiences a secular decrease, defining a global cosmic attenuation (not noticeable locally) of the electromagnetic field. Local conservation laws for passive electromagnetism imply that $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{F}}_t = 0$ in electrovacuum, ensuring that photons move on null geodesics of $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. From $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$, one may construct the passive electromagnetic field tensor family \mathbf{F}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ in the same way as \mathbf{g}_t is constructed from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. This ensures that photons move on null geodesics of $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well. As a simple example, the (exact) quasi-metric counterpart to the Reissner-Nordström solution in General Relativity is calculated. Besides, it is found that a classical charged test particle electromagnetically bound to a central charge will participate in the cosmic expansion. But since quantum-mechanical states should be unaffected by the expansion, this classic calculation is hardly relevant for quantum-mechanical systems such as atoms, so there is no reason to think that the cosmic expansion should apply to them. Finally, it is shown that the main results of geometric optics hold in quasi-metric space-time.

1 Introduction

Recently, the so-called quasi-metric framework (QMF) as a geometric basis for relativistic gravity [1] was introduced as a possible alternative to the usual metric framework (MF) underlying metric theories of gravity. The QMF is similar to the MF in some respects (e.g., both are based on the Einstein equivalence principle), but one aspect of the QMF having no counterpart in the MF is the existence of a non-metric sector. Another characteristic property of the QMF is that it necessarily exists two fundamentally different types of dimensional scales (i.e., length scales, time scales, mass scales) in the quasi-metric universe; one gravitational and one atomic. The atomic scale can be constructed

operationally from physical systems where gravitational interactions are insignificant. In practice, this means that local measurement devices based on non-gravitational physics operationally define “atomic units” and that both gravitational and non-gravitational scales are expressed in terms of such units. Now the QMF predicts that expressed in atomic units, there should exist systematic scale changes between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. Moreover, one manifestation of said non-metric sector is the *global* part of these scale changes, which is identified with the global cosmic expansion. Thus, within the QMF, the cosmic expansion is interpreted differently and has a different mathematical description than within the MF. And as a consequence, the cosmic expansion is predicted to affect gravitational systems regardless of scale. This prediction has a number of observable consequences, some of which have been detected in the solar system; see refs. [2, 3] for details. Furthermore, how matter fields couple to gravity cannot be independent of said systematic scale changes. Therefore, the QMF does not fulfil the strong equivalence principle since it is necessary to separate between *active mass-energy* as a source of gravitation and *passive mass-energy* entering the equations of motion.

No exceptions from these considerations are made for the electromagnetic field; in particular it is necessary to separate between the active and passive aspects of electromagnetic mass-energy. This means that a description of classical electrodynamics within the QMF must differ from its counterpart within the MF. It turns out that there are three crucial differences. Firstly, global scale changes between electromagnetism and gravitation should be present explicitly as a general time dependence in the norm of the electromagnetic field tensor. By construction, this general time dependence takes the form of a global cosmic “attenuation” of the electromagnetic field. Secondly, the necessity to separate between active and passive aspects of electromagnetism involves the introduction of *two* fundamentally different electromagnetic field tensors. That is, one must separate between *the active electromagnetic field tensor* which is coupled to gravitation, and *the passive electromagnetic field tensor* which is not coupled to gravity but is relevant for the equations of motion via the Lorentz force law. Thirdly, from dimensional analysis, the coupling between charge (and thus the active electromagnetic field tensor) and gravitation must in general be distinct from the coupling between gravitation and material matter fields. This means that *electromagnetism necessarily couples to space-time geometry via a separate coupling parameter*. See refs. [1, 2] for details. These three features are characteristic for a formulation of classical electrodynamics in quasi-metric space-time.

The main goal of this paper is to formulate classical electrodynamics coupled to gravity in a way consistent with the QMF. In other words, the question is how the general

features of the QMF dictate the behaviour of classical electrodynamics (i.e., Maxwell’s equations) in quasi-metric space-time. To answer this question, it is shown in section 3 that it is possible to derive the usual Maxwell equations in electrovacuum for the passive electromagnetic field in curved space-time from local conservation laws. This motivates the general definitions of Maxwell’s equations within the QMF. Using these definitions, the relationship between the passive and active electromagnetic field tensors yields an initial-value formulation of electrodynamics coupled to gravity. A specific example of this is given in section 4, where exact solutions are found for the gravitational and electric fields in the electrovacuum outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static charged source. Finally, in section 5 it is shown that the main results of geometric optics are valid in quasi-metric space-time. That is, it is shown how to derive the main results of geometric optics (e.g., that light rays are null geodesics) from Maxwell’s equations in curved space-time the way it is done in General Relativity (GR).

At the present time it is not known whether or not quasi-metric gravity is viable according to observational criteria (the current observational status of the QMF is discussed thoroughly elsewhere [1-3]), and a discussion of that is outside the scope of this paper. Besides, just as for GR, fact is that the active aspects of the electromagnetic field do not have any consequences which are not immeasurably small in practice (but one exception could be the dependence on source composition of local gravitational experiments measuring the gravitational “constant”). However, to be viable according to theoretical criteria, it is necessary that the QMF accommodates electromagnetism in a consistent and natural way; that is what is shown in this paper.

2 Some relevant aspects of the QMF

Quasi-metric theory and some of its predictions are described in detail elsewhere [1, 2]; here we merely repeat the basics and the relevant formulae.

The geometrical basis of the QMF consists of a five-dimensional differentiable manifold with topology $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathbf{R}_2$ is a four-dimensional Lorentzian space-time manifold, \mathbf{R}_1 and \mathbf{R}_2 are two copies of the real line and \mathcal{S} is a three-dimensional compact manifold (without boundaries). This geometrical structure implies that there exists one extra (degenerate) time dimension represented by the *global time function* t as a global coordinate on \mathbf{R}_1 in addition to a “preferred” ordinary global time coordinate x^0 on \mathbf{R}_2 with the property that x^0 scales like ct . That is, when t is given, so is the “preferred” time coordinate x^0 . Using this “preferred” global time coordinate, the four-dimensional quasi-metric space-time manifold \mathcal{N} is constructed by slicing the submanifold determined

by the equation $x^0 = ct$ out of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$.

Moreover, by construction \mathcal{N} is equipped with a one-parameter family of Lorentzian 4-metrics \mathbf{g}_t . One may alternatively regard \mathbf{g}_t as a degenerate five-dimensional metric on a subset of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$. A special set of coordinate systems especially well adapted to the structure of quasi-metric space-time is the set of *global time coordinate systems (GTCSs)* defined by the condition that x^0 is a “preferred” time coordinate in \mathcal{N} . The set of spatial submanifolds \mathcal{S} taken at the set of constant t -values is called *the fundamental hypersurfaces (FHSs)* and represents a “preferred” notion of space. Observers always moving orthogonally to the FHSs are called *fundamental observers (FOs)*.

The main physical role of the degenerate dimension represented by t is to describe global scale changes between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. The reason one needs a degenerate dimension to describe this, is that such global scale changes should not have anything to do with space-time’s causal structure, which is why the evolution of quantities with t is called “non-kinematical”. In particular this yields an alternative, non-kinematical description of the global cosmic expansion.

Now a particular property of the QMF is that the metric family \mathbf{g}_t does not represent solutions of gravitational field equations. Rather, \mathbf{g}_t is constructed from a second metric family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. The transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ takes the form of a deformation of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ along a 3-vector field $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ [1]. In fact the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ is just a special case of a more general transformation of tensor field families. Moreover, this general transformation applies to any tensor field which norm is required to be preserved under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$. Here we list the transformation formulae $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_t$ valid for a covector family $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_t$. Expressed in a GTCS, we have that (in component notation, using Einstein’s summation convention where Latin indices take integer values in the interval 1-3) [1, 2]

$$Z_{(t)0} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \bar{Z}_{(t)0}, \quad Z_{(t)j} = \bar{Z}_{(t)j} + \frac{2\frac{v}{c}}{1 - \frac{v}{c}} (\bar{e}_{\mathcal{F}}^i \bar{Z}_{(t)i}) \bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}j}, \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{e}_{\mathcal{F}}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is the unit vector field family (with corresponding covector field family $\bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}i} dx^i$) along $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and v is a scalar field. For the general form of v , see [1, 2]. For completeness, we also list the transformation formulae $\bar{\mathbf{W}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{W}_t$ valid for a rank 2 tensor family $\bar{\mathbf{W}}_t$. These formulae read [1, 2]

$$W_{(t)00} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^2 \bar{W}_{(t)00}, \quad (2)$$

$$W_{(t)0j} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \left[\bar{W}_{(t)0j} + \frac{2\frac{v}{c}}{1 - \frac{v}{c}} (\bar{e}_{\mathcal{F}}^i \bar{W}_{(t)0i}) \bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}j} \right], \quad (3)$$

$$W_{(t)ij} = \bar{W}_{(t)ij} + \frac{2\frac{v}{c}}{(1-\frac{v}{c})^2} \bar{e}_{\mathcal{F}}^k (\bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}i} \bar{W}_{(t)kj} + \bar{W}_{(t)ik} \bar{\omega}_{\mathcal{F}j}). \quad (4)$$

The transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ may be found from equations (2)-(4) as a special case.

To make matters as simple as possible, the topology of the FHSs is required to be simply connected in addition to being compact. Besides, the geometry of the FHSs in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ is postulated to represent a direct measure of gravitational length scales as measured in atomic units via a scale factor family \bar{F}_t . To avoid introducing any extra arbitrary scale or parameter, \bar{F}_t should be proportional to ct . This is the global part of \bar{F}_t describing the cosmic expansion, but there is also a local part due to gravitation, so we may define $\bar{F}_t \equiv ct \bar{N}_t$, where \bar{N}_t is the lapse function field family of the FOs in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. This means that, expressed in an arbitrary GTCS, $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ can be written in a form where the explicit t -dependence is included via \bar{F}_t . This form is [1] (using the signature $(-+++)$)

$$\bar{ds}_t^2 = \bar{N}_t^2 \left\{ [\bar{N}_{(t)}^k \bar{N}_{(t)}^s \tilde{h}_{(t)ks} - 1] (dx^0)^2 + 2 \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_{(t)}^k \tilde{h}_{(t)ks} dx^s dx^0 + \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \tilde{h}_{(t)ks} dx^k dx^s \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{t_0}{t} \bar{N}_{(t)}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is the family of shift vectors in the chosen GTCS and where t_0 denotes some constant epoch, usually taken to be the present one. Also, $\tilde{h}_{(t)ks} \equiv \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \bar{N}_t^2 \tilde{h}_{(t)ks} dx^k dx^s$ is the metric family intrinsic to the FHSs. A complement to equation (5) is the general form for the family \mathbf{g}_t , given by the family of line elements (using a GTCS)

$$ds_t^2 = [N_{(t)}^k N_{(t)}^s \hat{h}_{(t)ks} - N^2] (dx^0)^2 + 2 \frac{t}{t_0} N_{(t)}^k \hat{h}_{(t)ks} dx^s dx^0 + \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \hat{h}_{(t)ks} dx^k dx^s, \quad (6)$$

where the symbols have similar meanings to their (barred) counterparts in equation (5) (the counterpart to $\tilde{h}_{(t)ks}$ is $h_{(t)ks} \equiv \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \hat{h}_{(t)ks}$). Note that the lapse function N is required not to depend on t .

Furthermore, the family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ is required to be a solution of the field equations (in component notation using a GTCS)

$$2\bar{R}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}} = \kappa^{\text{B}} (T_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}}^{(\text{EM})} + \hat{T}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}}^{(\text{EM})i}) + \kappa^{\text{S}} (T_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}}^{(\text{MA})} + \hat{T}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}}^{(\text{MA})i}), \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{R}_{(t)\bar{\perp}j} = \bar{G}_{(t)\bar{\perp}j} = \kappa^{\text{B}} T_{(t)\bar{\perp}j}^{(\text{EM})} + \kappa^{\text{S}} T_{(t)\bar{\perp}j}^{(\text{MA})}, \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{T}_t is the active stress-energy tensor field family (in which active mass-energy is treated as a scalar field) split up into one electromagnetic part $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ and one part $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{MA})}$ representing material sources. Also, $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t$ are, respectively, the Ricci and the Einstein tensor field families calculated from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. Moreover, $\kappa^{\text{B}} \equiv \frac{8\pi G^{\text{B}}}{c^4}$ and $\kappa^{\text{S}} \equiv \frac{8\pi G^{\text{S}}}{c^4}$, where the “bare” gravitational “constant” G^{B} couples to $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ and the “screened” gravitational

“constant” G^S couples to $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{MA})}$. These “constants” are by convention set to the values they would have had in an empty Universe at the arbitrary epoch t_0 (as measured in local gravitational experiments). Finally, the symbol ‘ $\bar{\perp}$ ’ denotes a projection with the negative normal unit vector field family $-\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$ of the FHSs. (A “hat” above an object denotes an object projected into the FHSs.) The field equations are completed by requiring that the traceless quantity $\bar{Q}_{(t)ij}$ defined from the relationship

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G}_{(t)ij} \equiv & -\bar{Q}_{(t)ij} - 2c^{-2}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}i|j} - 2c^{-4}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}i}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}j} \\ & + \frac{1}{3} \left[2\bar{R}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}} - \bar{G}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}} + 2c^{-2}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}|s}^s + 2c^{-4}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}}^s\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}s} \right] \bar{h}_{(t)ij}, \quad c^{-2}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}i} \equiv \frac{\bar{N}_{t,i}}{\bar{N}_t}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

should vanish (here, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the 4-acceleration field of the FOs, the symbol ‘|’ denotes a spatial covariant derivative and a comma denotes a partial derivative). Equation (9), in addition to general expressions [1, 2] valid for the projections $\bar{R}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}}$, $\bar{G}_{(t)\bar{\perp}\bar{\perp}}$ and $\bar{G}_{(t)ij}$, then yield the remainder quasi-metric field equation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_{(t)ij} \equiv & \frac{1}{\bar{N}_t} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{N}_t\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t} \bar{K}_{(t)ij} + \frac{1}{3} (\bar{K}_t^2 - \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t} \bar{K}_t) \bar{h}_{(t)ij} - \bar{K}_t \bar{K}_{(t)ij} + 2\bar{K}_{(t)i} \bar{K}_{(t)j}^s \\ & - c^{-2}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}i|j} - c^{-4}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}i}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}j} + (c^{-2}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}|s}^s - \frac{1}{(ct\bar{N}_t)^2}) \bar{h}_{(t)ij} - \bar{H}_{(t)ij} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the requirement on the spatial Ricci curvature scalar family \bar{P}_t ,

$$\bar{P}_t = -4c^{-2}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}|s}^s + 2c^{-4}\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}}^s\bar{a}_{\mathcal{F}s} + \frac{6}{(ct\bar{N}_t)^2}, \quad (11)$$

ensures that equation (10) is indeed manifestly traceless. In equation (10), $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_t$ denotes the spatial Einstein tensor family intrinsic to the FHSs. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t}$ denotes a projected Lie derivative in the direction normal to the FHSs and $\bar{\mathbf{K}}_t$ denotes the extrinsic curvature tensor family (with trace \bar{K}_t) of the FHSs. We notice that the contracted Bianchi identities yield extra, secondary constraints which must be fulfilled in addition to the above field equations [1, 2]. These secondary constraints plus equation (11) eliminate any possible gauge freedom in determining lapse and shift for the FOs, ensuring that the time evolution of the FHSs cannot be ambiguous (given suitable initial conditions). Since said constraints yield a rather bulky formula, and since they are automatically satisfied for the cases explicitly calculated in this paper, we do not include these constraints here.

Next, local conservation laws for \mathbf{T}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ read (using Einstein’s summation convention where Greek indices take integer values in the interval 0-3)

$$T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha = 2\frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t} T_{(t)\mu}^\nu, \quad T_{(t)\mu^*t}^0 = -\frac{2}{\bar{N}_t} \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) T_{(t)\bar{\perp}\mu}, \quad (12)$$

where the symbol ‘ $\bar{*}$ ’ denotes a covariant derivative found from the five-dimensional connection $\bar{\nabla}^*$ compatible with $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$, and a semicolon denotes a metric covariant derivative found from the family of Levi-Civita connections $\bar{\nabla}$ compatible with single members of the family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. Notice that, unlike its counterpart in GR, $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{T}_t$ does not vanish in general. Finally, the equations of motion in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ take the form (in a GTCS)

$$\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} + \left(\bar{\Gamma}_{t\beta}^\alpha \frac{dt}{d\lambda} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\sigma\beta}^\alpha \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\lambda} \right) \frac{dx^\beta}{d\lambda} = \left(\frac{d\tau_t}{d\lambda} \right)^2 a_{(t)}^\alpha, \quad (13)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{t\beta}^\alpha \equiv \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta t}^\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{t} \delta_i^\alpha \delta_\beta^i + \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^\alpha \delta_\beta^j \hat{h}_{(t)}^{is} \hat{h}_{(t)sj;t}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{\sigma\beta}^\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{(t)}^{\alpha\rho} \left(g_{(t)\rho\beta,\sigma} + g_{(t)\sigma\rho,\beta} - g_{(t)\sigma\beta,\rho} \right), \quad (14)$$

where τ_t is the proper time measured along a time-like curve and λ is a general affine parameter. (Here, the $a_{(t)}^\alpha$ are the components of the family of 4-accelerations \mathbf{a}_t .)

3 Active and passive electromagnetic field tensors

Within the QMF, the active representation of some non-gravitational field (i.e., the representation describing its coupling to gravity) will not be equal to the passive representation. That is, the form non-gravitational fields take in non-gravitational force laws will not be identical to the form non-gravitational fields take when contributing to the active stress-energy tensor. For electromagnetism in particular, we will illustrate below how these representations must be different to be consistent with the equations of motion in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ (and in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$).

We proceed to define two different representations of the electromagnetic field in quasi-metric space-time. First, we define *the passive electromagnetic field tensor family* $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ via a vector potential family $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. That is, for reasons given below, we define $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ via its components expressed in a GTCS

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}_{(t)0j} &= \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{A}_{(t)j;0} - \bar{A}_{(t)0;j} = \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{A}_{(t)j,0} - \bar{A}_{(t)0,j} = -\bar{F}_{(t)j0}, \\ \bar{F}_{(t)ij} &= \bar{A}_{(t)j;i} - \bar{A}_{(t)i;j} = \bar{A}_{(t)j,i} - \bar{A}_{(t)i,j} = -\bar{F}_{(t)ji}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now we define the t -dependence of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ from the requirement $\bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^* \bar{\mathbf{A}}_t = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right) \bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$, so that

$$\bar{A}_{(t)0,t} = -\frac{1}{t} \bar{A}_{(t)0}, \quad \bar{A}_{(t)j,t} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{A}_{(t)s} \tilde{h}_{(t)}^{sk} \tilde{h}_{(t)kj;t}, \quad |\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t|_{,t} = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right) |\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t|. \quad (16)$$

Here, $|\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t| \equiv \sqrt{|\bar{A}_{(t)\mu} \bar{A}_{(t)}^\mu|}$ denotes the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$. The reason for the specific dependences on t of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is that within the QMF, the passive electromagnetic field by construction experiences a cosmic attenuation due to the global cosmic expansion. This cosmic

attenuation represents global scale changes between electromagnetism and gravitation. Moreover, these global scale changes are physically realized via the explicit t -dependence of the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$; we see from equations (15) and (16) that its norm attenuates according to the formula $|\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t|_{,t} \equiv \sqrt{|\bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho}\bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho}|_{,t}} = -2(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t})|\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t| + f(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{t,t})$, where f is a complicated function irrelevant to said global cosmic attenuation.

To predict the passive aspects of electromagnetism according to the QMF, we must also construct the ‘‘physical’’ passive electromagnetic field tensor family \mathbf{F}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ in exactly the same way as \mathbf{g}_t is constructed from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ using equations (2)-(4). The construction of \mathbf{F}_t is necessary since it is \mathbf{F}_t which enters into the Lorentz force law

$$ma_{(t)}^\alpha = \frac{q}{c} F_{(t)\nu}^\alpha u_t^\nu, \quad (17)$$

in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$, where \mathbf{u}_t is the 4-velocity field of the charged matter and where q is the passive charge and m the passive mass of a test particle.

Second, we define *the active electromagnetic field tensor family* $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ directly from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \bar{\mathbf{F}}_t, \quad \tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta}. \quad (18)$$

The t -dependence of $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ differs from that of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ since the active electromagnetic field will experience a global cosmic increase of active mass-energy which will partly cancel the effects of the global cosmic attenuation (i.e., $|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t|_{,t} = -(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t})|\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t| +$ irrelevant terms).

The point now is that $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is coupled dynamically to gravity whereas $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is not. That is, $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ determines the active electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ via the familiar formula (in component notation)

$$\mathbf{T}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu \tilde{F}_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (19)$$

Similarly $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ defines the passive electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$:

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (20)$$

To find electromagnetic field equations for $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$, we first consider the special case of an electrovacuum. In general, the relationship between the active electromagnetic stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ given in equation (19) and the corresponding passive electromagnetic stress-energy tensor $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ defined in equation (20) is given by

$$\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \bar{N}_t^2 \bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(\text{EM})}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(\text{EM})} = 0, \quad (\text{electrovacuum}) \quad (21)$$

where the implication follows from equation (12) for electrovacuum. Notice that the relationship (21) takes the same form as for a perfect fluid of null particles [2]. Using equations (20) and (21), we may now perform a standard derivation of the usual electrovacuum Maxwell equations $\bar{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = 0$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. Just as in GR, this derivation acts as a motivation for the general definition of the usual Maxwell equations in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$, namely

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta;\mu} + \bar{F}_{(t)\mu\alpha;\beta} + \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\mu;\alpha} = \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta,\mu} + \bar{F}_{(t)\mu\alpha,\beta} + \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\mu,\alpha} = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{c}\bar{J}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \bar{J}_{(t)\mu} \equiv \varrho_c \bar{u}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{J}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}_t}}(\sqrt{-\bar{g}_t}\bar{J}_{(t)}^\mu)_{;\mu} = 0, \quad (23)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_t$ is the 4-current of passive charge in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$, \bar{g}_t is the determinant of the metric family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ and ϱ_c is the density of passive charge q as measured in the local inertial rest frame of the fluid. Note that only the metric part of the connection enters into equation (23). This means that equation (23) has the same properties as its counterpart in metric gravity. In particular, it means that equation (23) ensures that passive charge is conserved in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and that we in general have

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\alpha}^{(\text{EM})\beta}{}_{;\beta} = -\frac{1}{c}\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta}\bar{J}_{(t)}^\beta, \quad (\text{general case}) \quad (24)$$

rather than the special case shown in equation (21). Now one important point is that no counterparts to equations (22), (23) exist in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$. However, it is still possible to define the 4-current of passive charge \mathbf{J}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$:

$$\mathbf{J}_t \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}}\varrho_c \mathbf{u}_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{h_t} = \bar{J}_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t}, \quad (25)$$

where $J_{(t)\perp} \equiv -n_{(t)\mu}J_{(t)}^\mu$ (and \mathbf{n}_t is the unit normal vector field family to the FHSs in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$). Moreover, \bar{h}_t and h_t are the determinants of the spatial metric families $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_t$ and \mathbf{h}_t , respectively. The square root factor is necessary in the definition of \mathbf{J}_t to ensure that the amount of passive charge is identical in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$. The implication in equation (25) follows because the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t$ (and of $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$) must be invariant under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$. This means that $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_t$, i.e., that $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$ transform according to equation (1). Using Gauss' theorem within the 4-dimensional coordinate volume bounded by two different FHSs and chosen such that $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_t$ vanishes on lateral boundaries, it is now straightforward to show that equation (23) ensures that passive charge is conserved for said volume in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. But then equation (25) implies that passive charge is conserved for said volume in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well.

For weak electromagnetic fields, it is a convenient approximation to neglect the effects of electromagnetism on space-time geometry. This is equivalent to considering passive electromagnetism only in an independent quasi-metric curved space-time background. For this case, initial-value calculations may be done as follows: given ϱ_c and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_1}$ (found from \mathbf{u}_{t_1}) for some initial value $t = t_1$, it is possible to calculate $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{t_1}$ from equations (22) and (23). Then \mathbf{F}_{t_1} can be found from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{t_1}$ just as \mathbf{g}_{t_1} can be found from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{t_1}$, using equations (2)-(4). Moreover, the motion of the source charges can be found from the geodesic equation (13) in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ (using equation (17)). This again yields ϱ_c and $\mathbf{u}_{(t_1+\Delta t)}$ (from which $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{(t_1+\Delta t)}$ can be calculated) for some later time $t = t_1 + \Delta t$. The procedure can then be repeated to find the evolution of the electromagnetic field at progressively later times. We thus have a well-defined initial value problem for passive electromagnetism in quasi-metric space-time. Notice that the transformations (2)-(4) preserve norm by construction, so if, e.g., $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is null, so is \mathbf{F}_t . This means that passive electrodynamics in quasi-metric space-time respects the local light cone.

However, in general one must also take into account the active aspects of electromagnetism. To do that, we may use the definition (18) together with equation (23) to find field equations for the active electromagnetic field:

$$\tilde{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{c}\tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t}\tilde{F}_{(t)\mu}^\nu, \quad \tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} \equiv \tilde{\varrho}_c \bar{u}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{J}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t}\tilde{J}_{(t)}^\nu. \quad (26)$$

Here, the 4-current of active charge $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_t \equiv \tilde{\varrho}_c \bar{\mathbf{u}}_t = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \varrho_c \bar{\mathbf{u}}_t, \quad (27)$$

where the last step follows from equation (28) below, and where $\tilde{\varrho}_c$ is the density of active charge q_t . Analogous to active mass, active charge is a scalar field and it describes how charge couples to gravity. How active charge varies in quasi-metric space-time is found from dimensional analysis [2]. That is, just as one may infer the variability of the active mass m_t from the gravitational quantity $G^S m_t / c^2$ (which has the dimension of length), one may infer the variability of the active charge from the gravitational quantity $G^B q_t^2 / c^4$ which has the dimension of length squared. The result is

$$q_{t,\mu} = \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\mu}}{\bar{N}_t} q_t, \quad q_{t,t} = \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) q_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad q_t = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t q. \quad (28)$$

We see from equation (26) that active charge is not necessarily conserved, nor is there any reason that it should be.

Finally, we notice that the exterior derivative of $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ will not vanish in general, so there is no counterpart to equation (22) valid for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$. Also notice that equations (18), (19),

(20), (24) and (27) yield that

$$T_{(t)\alpha}^{(\text{EM})\beta}{}_{;\beta} = 2 \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\beta}}{\bar{N}_t} T_{(t)\alpha}^{(\text{EM})\beta} - \frac{1}{c} \tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \tilde{J}_{(t)}^\beta. \quad (29)$$

When the active stress-energy tensor of the charged matter source is added to equation (29), we get back equation (12) for the total active stress-energy tensor.

The description of how electromagnetism couples to gravity in quasi-metric space-time is now given from the definition (18) and the usual Maxwell equations (22) and (23) in curved space-time. These equations are coupled to the gravitational field equations (7), (8) and (10) and should be solved simultaneously. Given suitable initial conditions, it is thus the coupled system of equations (7), (8), (10), (13), (17), (22) and (23) which must be solved to find the fields $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ for each time step. Besides, to find these fields at progressively later times, it is also necessary to calculate \mathbf{g}_t and \mathbf{F}_t for each time step by the method described in [1, 2] using equations (2)-(4). It is thus possible to set up a well-defined initial value problem for electromagnetism coupled to gravitation in quasi-metric space-time. Notice that active electromagnetism in quasi-metric space-time is also guaranteed to respect the local light cone since $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is null if and only if $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is null (this follows from equation (18)).

4 The spherically symmetric, metrically static case

4.1 Electrovacuum outside a charged source

In this section, we set up the equations valid for the gravitational and electromagnetic fields outside a spherically symmetric, charged source. It is required that the system is “metrically static”, i.e., that the only time dependence is via the effect on the spatial geometry of the global cosmic expansion. We then find exact solutions of these equations.

To begin with, we notice that the extrinsic curvature tensor family $\bar{\mathbf{K}}_t$ vanishes identically for metrically static systems [1, 2], so we find from equations (10) and (11) that equation (5) takes a special form for these cases. That is, introducing a spherical GTCS $\{x^0, \rho, \theta, \phi\}$, where ρ is the radial coordinate; a metrically static, spherically symmetric metric family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ may, without loss of generality, be expressed in the form (as a special case of equation (5))

$$\bar{ds}_t^2 = \bar{B}(\rho) \left[- (dx^0)^2 + \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \left(\frac{d\rho^2}{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \rho^2 d\Omega^2 \right) \right], \quad (30)$$

where $\bar{B} \equiv \bar{N}_t^2$, $d\Omega^2 \equiv d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$, $\Xi_0 \equiv ct_0$ and t_0 is some arbitrary reference epoch. The unknown function $\bar{B}(\rho)$ shall be determined by solving the field equation (7).

Next, we proceed by finding an expression for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$. Due to symmetry, its only components in the above defined GTCS are given in terms of the active electric field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t$ as seen by the FOs. That is, $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t$ is defined by its radial component

$$\tilde{E}_{(t)\rho} \equiv \tilde{F}_{(t)\perp\rho} \equiv -\tilde{n}_{(t)}^\mu \tilde{F}_{(t)\mu\rho} = -\frac{1}{\bar{N}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)0\rho} = \tilde{E}_{(t_0)\rho}. \quad (31)$$

Since the components of the active magnetic field vanish in the chosen GTCS, equation (26) yields

$$\left(\bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{0\rho}\right)_{;\rho} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{J}_{(t)}^0, \quad (32)$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \left(\bar{N}_t^{-1} \sqrt{-\bar{g}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{0\rho}\right) = 4\pi \frac{t}{t_0} \sqrt{-\bar{g}_t} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \varrho_c. \quad (33)$$

We now integrate equation (33) from the origin to some radial coordinate $\rho > \rho_{\text{sf}}$, where ρ_{sf} is the coordinate radius of the source. Assuming that all fields are continuous and well-behaved, we get

$$\rho^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} \tilde{E}_{(t_0)\rho} = 4\pi \frac{t^3}{t_0^3} \int_0^{\rho_{\text{sf}}} \frac{\varrho_c \bar{B}^{3/2} \rho^2 d\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}} = \int \int \int \varrho_c \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3x \equiv Q, \quad (34)$$

where the triple integration is taken over the volume of the source. We see that, since we have integrated the passive charge density over the source volume, it is natural to interpret Q as the passive charge of the source, which is obviously a constant (since the left hand side of equation (34) has no time dependence). From equations (31) and (34) we thus have that

$$\tilde{E}_{(t)\rho} = \frac{Q}{\rho^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}. \quad (35)$$

Next we must find expressions for projections of $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ to be put into the field equations. Using equations (19), (32) and (35) and doing the projections we find

$$T_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \hat{F}_{(t)\perp}^\rho \tilde{F}_{(t)\rho\perp} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \tilde{E}_{(t)\rho} \hat{E}_{(t)}^\rho = \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi \bar{B} \rho^4} \equiv \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{B}^{-1} \bar{\varrho}_m^{(\text{EM})} c^2, \quad (36)$$

$$T_{(t)\rho}^{(\text{EM})} = -T_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(\text{EM})} \equiv -\frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{B}^{-1} \bar{p}^{(\text{EM})} = -\frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{B}^{-1} \bar{\varrho}_m^{(\text{EM})} c^2, \quad (37)$$

$$T_{(t)\theta}^{(\text{EM})} = T_{(t)\phi}^{(\text{EM})} = -T_{(t)\rho}^{(\text{EM})}, \quad T_{(t)\perp\rho}^{(\text{EM})} = T_{(t)\perp\theta}^{(\text{EM})} = T_{(t)\perp\phi}^{(\text{EM})} = 0. \quad (38)$$

Here, $\bar{\varrho}_m^{(\text{EM})}$ and $\bar{p}^{(\text{EM})}$ are, respectively, the so-called ‘‘properly scaled coordinate volume density’’ and the corresponding pressure of active electromagnetic mass-energy. The point of introducing these quantities, is that the formal dependence of $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ on the scale factor \bar{F}_t has been factored out (there is such a dependence since $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ has the formal dimension of inverse length squared).

We may now insert equations (36), (37) and (38) into the field equation (7). The result is similar to the case of a spherically symmetric, metrically static perfect fluid [3], the only difference being that the pressure is not isotropic for the electromagnetic field. Using the equation derived in [3], we find (with $r_{\text{Q0}} \equiv \sqrt{2G^{\text{B}}}|Q|/c^2$)

$$\left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho\rho}}{\bar{B}} + \frac{2}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{3\rho^2}{2\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho}}{\bar{B}} = \frac{r_{\text{Q0}}^2}{\rho^4}. \quad (39)$$

An (unique) exact solution of equation (39), given the correspondence with the uncharged case [3], yields

$$\bar{B}(\rho) = \cosh\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right] - \frac{r_{\text{s0}}}{r_{\text{Q0}}} \sinh\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right], \quad \rho_{\text{sf}} \leq \rho < \Xi_0, \quad (40)$$

where the constant r_{s0} is found by integrating equation (7) once and then comparing with $\bar{B}_{,\rho}$ obtained from equation (40). The result is

$$r_{\text{s0}} \equiv \left(\frac{2M_{t_0}^{(\text{MA})} G^{\text{S}}}{c^2} + \frac{2M_{t_0}^{(\text{EM})} G^{\text{B}}}{c^2}\right) \text{sech}\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho_{\text{sf}}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{sf}}^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right] + r_{\text{Q0}} \tanh\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho_{\text{sf}}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{sf}}^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right], \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t_0}^{(\text{MA})} &\equiv c^{-2} \int \int \int \bar{N}_{t_0} \left[T_{(t_0)\perp\perp}^{(\text{MA})} + \hat{T}_{(t_0)i}^{(\text{MA})i} \right] d\bar{V}_{t_0}, \\ M_{t_0}^{(\text{EM})} &\equiv c^{-2} \int \int \int \bar{N}_{t_0} \left[T_{(t_0)\perp\perp}^{(\text{EM})} + \hat{T}_{(t_0)i}^{(\text{EM})i} \right] d\bar{V}_{t_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Here, the integration is taken over the central source (i.e., $\rho \leq \rho_{\text{sf}}$) and $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{MA})} + \mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ is the total active stress-energy tensor of the source. The quantity r_{s0} is thus the generalized Schwarzschild radius of the source at epoch t_0 modified with terms representing the electrostatic field energy. Notice that, since isolated systems do not exist except as an approximation in quasi-metric gravity [3], the solution (40) is expected to be realistic only for $\rho \ll \Xi_0$.

We may also ask how $\bar{B}(\rho)$ behaves for small distances in the case of a point source, i.e., in the limit where $\rho_{\text{sf}} \rightarrow 0$. Requiring correspondence with the uncharged case [3], we

impose the condition $\bar{B}(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $\rho \rightarrow C$, where C is found from equation (40). The result is

$$C = \frac{r_{Q0}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{artanh}^2\left[\frac{r_{Q0}}{r_{s0}}\right] + \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}. \quad (43)$$

By taking the limit $r_{Q0} \rightarrow 0$ in equation (43) one finds the right correspondence with the uncharged case. This means that the qualitative behaviour of the gravitational field does not depend on whether or not the source has a net charge.

To construct the metric family \mathbf{g}_t from the family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ given in equation (30), one uses the method described in [1, 2]. (See also [3] for the case when r_{Q0} vanishes.) To do this construction, we need the quantity $v(\rho) = \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho}}{2\bar{B}} \bar{x}_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho c$. We can calculate $\bar{x}_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho$ by introducing the Schwarzschild radial coordinate $r \equiv \sqrt{\bar{B}}\rho$ since $\bar{x}_{\mathcal{F}} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ for all spherically symmetric cases [1, 2]. We then easily find

$$\bar{x}_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho = \rho \left[1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho}}{\bar{B}} \right]^{-1}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad v(\rho) = \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho}}{\bar{B}} \left[\frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho}}{\bar{B}} + \frac{2}{\rho} \right]^{-1} c, \quad (44)$$

and calculating the derivatives we find the exact expression

$$v(\rho) = \frac{\left(\frac{r_{s0}}{2\rho} \cosh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right] - \frac{r_{Q0}}{2\rho} \sinh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right] \right) c}{\left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \frac{r_{s0}}{2\rho} \right) \cosh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right] - \left(\frac{r_{s0}}{r_{Q0}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \frac{r_{Q0}}{2\rho} \right) \sinh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right]}. \quad (45)$$

Then, using equations (2), (4) and (30), we obtain

$$ds_t^2 = \bar{B}(\rho) \left\{ - \left(1 - \frac{v^2(\rho)}{c^2} \right)^2 (dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(\rho)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(\rho)}{c}} \right)^2 \frac{d\rho^2}{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \rho^2 d\Omega^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (46)$$

Substituting the above expressions for $\bar{B}(\rho)$ and $v(\rho)$ into equation (46) now yields an explicit, exact expression for the metric family \mathbf{g}_t . Note that the qualitative behaviour of the exact solution (46) is quite similar to that found for the uncharged case presented in [3].

To compare the line element family (46) to its counterpart in GR, it will be useful to find a weak-field approximation using the Schwarzschild radial coordinate introduced above. To do that, we first notice that one may find an implicit expression for $\bar{B}(r)$ by substituting $\rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt{\bar{B}}}$ into equation (40). From this we see that $\bar{B}(r)$ cannot be written in closed form. However, the implicit expression thus found may be used to find a series solution for weak fields, in terms of the small quantities $\frac{r_{s0}}{r}$, $\frac{r_{Q0}}{r}$ and $\frac{r}{\Xi_0}$. After some

straightforward work one may show that an approximative expression for $\bar{B}(r)$ is given by

$$\bar{B}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 + \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^2}{2r^2} + \frac{r_{s0}r}{2\xi_0^2} - \left(1 + \frac{22r_{Q0}^2}{3r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^3}{8r^3} + \dots \quad (47)$$

We also define (with $' \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$)

$$\bar{A}(r) \equiv \frac{\left[1 - \frac{r}{2} \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{\bar{B}(r)}\right]^2}{1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\xi_0^2}} = 1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 + 4\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^2}{4r^2} + \frac{r^2}{\xi_0^2} + \dots \quad (48)$$

The metric family \mathbf{g}_t may now be represented in the form

$$ds_t^2 = -B(r)(dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left(A(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2\right), \quad (49)$$

where

$$A(r) \equiv \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}}\right)^2 \bar{A}(r), \quad B(r) \equiv \left(1 - \frac{v^2(r)}{c^2}\right)^2 \bar{B}(r), \quad (50)$$

$$v(r) = \frac{cr}{2} \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{\bar{B}(r)} = c \left[\frac{r_{s0}}{2r} - \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{2r^2} + \dots\right]. \quad (51)$$

Inserting equations (47), (48) and (51) into equation (50) and equation (50) into equation (49), we finally find the wanted weak-field representation for \mathbf{g}_t , i.e.,

$$ds_t^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{2r^2} + \frac{r_{s0}r}{2\xi_0^2} + \left(1 + \frac{2r_{Q0}^2}{9r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{3r_{s0}^3}{8r^3} + \dots\right) (dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left(\left\{1 + \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 - 4\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^2}{4r^2} + \frac{r^2}{\xi_0^2} + \dots\right\} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\right). \quad (52)$$

This expression represents the gravitational field (to the relevant accuracy) outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static and charged source in quasi-metric gravity. Its correspondence with the Reissner-Nordström solution in GR may be found by setting $\frac{t}{t_0}$ equal to unity in equation (52) and then taking the limit $\xi_0 \rightarrow \infty$ (with $G^B = G^S = G$). Notice that an extension of the Reissner-Nordström solution, adapted to a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker background, was found in [4]. But of course equation (52) does not have any further correspondence with this extended solution, since the cosmic expansion as described in the QMF is fundamentally different from its counterpart in the MF. That

is, the way the cosmic expansion is fit into the extended Reissner-Nordström solution has no counterpart in equation (52).

To calculate the paths of charged test particles, one uses the quasi-metric equations of motion (13), (14). But to apply these equations, one needs to know the Lorentz force from equation (17). This means that we must first calculate $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ from equation (18) and then find \mathbf{F}_t from v and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$. That is, using equation (18) we first find the passive electric field $\bar{\mathbf{E}}_t$:

$$\bar{E}_{(t)\rho} \equiv \bar{F}_{(t)\perp\rho} = \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{F}_{(t)\perp\rho} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{Q}{\rho^2 \sqrt{\bar{B}(\rho) [1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}]}}, \quad \bar{E}_{(t)r} \equiv \bar{F}_{(t)\perp r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\sqrt{\bar{A}(r)} Q}{r^2}. \quad (53)$$

Notice that, since $\bar{A}(r)$ and $\bar{B}(r)$ are not inverse functions, $\bar{F}_{(t_0)r0} = \sqrt{\bar{B}(r)} \bar{E}_{(t_0)r}$ does not take the Euclidean form. On the other hand, for $\frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2} \ll 1$, $\bar{F}_{(t_0)\rho 0} = \sqrt{\bar{B}(\rho)} \bar{E}_{(t_0)\rho}$ approximately does. Second, we are able to find $F_{(t)0r} = (1 + \frac{v(r)}{c})^2 \bar{F}_{(t)0r}$ (and a similar formula for $F_{(t)0\rho}$) using equation (3), and we then get (using equation (53))

$$E_{(t)\rho} \equiv F_{(t)\perp\rho} = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(\rho)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(\rho)}{c}} \right) \bar{E}_{(t)\rho}, \quad E_{(t)r} \equiv F_{(t)\perp r} = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}} \right) \bar{F}_{(t)\perp r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\sqrt{\bar{A}(r)} Q}{r^2}. \quad (54)$$

We may now calculate the passive mass-energy density $\varrho_m^{(\text{EM})}$ and pressure $p^{(\text{EM})}$ of the electromagnetic field as measured in the metrically static frame. This yields

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(\text{EM})} = \varrho_m^{(\text{EM})} c^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \bar{E}_{(t)\rho} \hat{E}_{(t)}^\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \bar{E}_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r = \frac{1}{8\pi} E_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4}, \quad (55)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\theta}^{(\text{EM})\theta} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\phi}^{(\text{EM})\phi} = -\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)r}^{(\text{EM})r} = -\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\rho}^{(\text{EM})\rho} = p^{(\text{EM})} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(\text{EM})}. \quad (56)$$

On the other hand we can define the passive stress-energy tensor $\mathcal{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ of the electromagnetic field in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ from \mathbf{F}_t by

$$\mathcal{T}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(F_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu F_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{(t)\sigma\rho} F_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} g_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}}, \quad (57)$$

where the square root factor is necessary to ensure that the total amount of passive electromagnetic mass-energy is identical in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$. Using equation (57) we may then calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{1}{8\pi} E_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} = \varrho_m^{(\text{EM})} c^2 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}} \right), \quad (58)$$

and similar formulae for the other components of $\mathcal{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$.

4.2 The effects of cosmic expansion on electromagnetism

To see to what extent a classical, electromagnetically bound system is affected by the global cosmic expansion, we may calculate the path of a charged test particle with mass m and charge q in the electric field of an isolated spherical charge Q . That is, we may use the metric family (49) where it is assumed that the gravitational field of the source is negligible, i.e., $B(r) = 1$. We also set $A(r) = 1$ since isolated systems are realistic in the QMF only if $r \ll \Xi_0$ [3]. Using equation (54) and the coordinate expression for \mathbf{u}_t , i.e., $u_{(t)}^\alpha \equiv \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau_t}$ where τ_t is the proper time as measured along the path of the test particle, equation (17) then yields the components of the Lorentz force (neglecting radiative effects and taking care of the fact that $qQ < 0$ since we have a bound system)

$$ma_{(t)}^r = -\frac{t_0^3 |qQ|}{t^3} \frac{dx^0}{r^2} \frac{d\tau_t}{cd\tau_t}, \quad ma_{(t)}^0 = -\frac{t_0}{t} \frac{|qQ|}{r^2} \frac{dr}{cd\tau_t}. \quad (59)$$

Furthermore, we may insert this result into the equations of motion (13), (14). Confining the motion of the test particle to the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, the result is

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau_t^2} - r \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{ct} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t} = -\frac{t_0^3 |qQ|}{t^3} \frac{dx^0}{mr^2} \frac{d\tau_t}{cd\tau_t}, \quad (60)$$

$$\frac{d^2 x^0}{d\tau_t^2} = -\frac{t_0}{t} \frac{|qQ|}{mr^2} \frac{dr}{cd\tau_t}, \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\tau_t^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t} + \frac{1}{ct} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t} = 0. \quad (62)$$

Equation (62) yields a constant of motion J , namely [3]

$$J \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} r^2 \frac{d\phi}{cd\tau_t}. \quad (63)$$

Introducing the dimensionless variable $\xi \equiv \frac{t}{t_0}$ and neglecting terms of order $\frac{\xi^2}{\Xi_0^2}$, equations (59)-(63) may be combined and written in the form (since $dx^0 = cdt$ along the path of the charged particle)

$$\left(1 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}\right) \left[\frac{d^2 r}{d\xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{dr}{d\xi} \right] + \frac{|qQ|}{\xi m c r^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}} \left[\frac{\Xi_0^2}{\xi^2} - \left(\frac{dr}{d\xi} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{\Xi_0^2 J^2}{\xi^2 r^3} = 0, \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau_t} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}}, \quad (65)$$

where we have used equation (49) (with $B(r) = A(r) = 1$). Equation (64) is too complicated to have any hope of finding an exact solution. However, in the non-relativistic limit one may neglect the term quadratic in the radial velocity. Then, taking as an initial condition that $\frac{dr}{d\xi}$ should vanish, numerical calculations show that the solution lies close to (oscillates around) a straight line $r(\xi) \propto \xi$. This seems reasonable, since one expects that the Lorentz force term and the centrifugal term in equation (64) should on average scale similarly with respect to the factor $\frac{t}{t_0}$.

Since the solution of equation (64) yields that $r(t) \propto \frac{t}{t_0}$ on average, we have that according to the QMF, a classical system bound solely by electromagnetic forces will experience an even larger secular expansion than a gravitationally bound system of the same size. However, an important difference is that the norm of the (passive) electromagnetic field is constant at a given constant (proper) distance from the source (as seen from equation (54)), whereas the gravitational field at a given constant distance from the source gets stronger due to the secular increase of active mass-energy [3]. (This last point can also easily be seen from equation (52).)

This means that the effect of the cosmic expansion on the electromagnetic field is fundamentally different from its effect on the gravitational field. In particular it means that, except for a global cosmic attenuation not noticeable locally, the electromagnetic field is unaffected by the global cosmic expansion, unlike the gravitational field. And even if it is predicted that a classical, electromagnetically bound system should experience cosmic expansion, this expansion implies that the potential energy of the system increases and that its kinetic energy decreases. But suitable interactions with other systems should then allow the system to return to its initial state. On the other hand, it is impossible to null out the effects of the cosmic expansion on a gravitational system via interactions with other systems [3].

For “small” classical systems, radiative effects will dominate over the expansion effect by many orders of magnitude so the expansion would not be noticeable. Furthermore, the classical calculation is hardly relevant for quantum-mechanical systems since quantum-mechanical states should not be affected by the cosmic expansion. This is readily seen for the Bohr atom, where the radii of the “permitted” electron orbits are determined from the requirement that angular momenta take the discrete values $L_n = n\hbar$; i.e., a set of static values. But this is inconsistent with the above solution of the classical system yielding $L \propto \frac{t}{t_0}$, which is non-static. This means that there is no reason to expect that quantum-mechanical systems such as atoms should behave as purely classical systems and increase in size due to the global cosmic expansion. (Rather the expansion could perhaps induce spontaneous excitations. To explore this possibility a quantum-mechanical calculation

should be carried out.) For a comparison with GR, see references [5] and [6] for the estimated effects of the cosmic expansion on a classical “atom” according to GR. Note that the results found in these papers differ considerably from the results found here.

5 Geometric optics in quasi-metric space-time

In this section, we sketch how the fundamental laws of geometric optics are derived within the quasi-metric framework. Except for small changes, these derivations may be done exactly as for the metric framework, see, e.g., reference [7].

The main difference from the metric framework is that we are forced to include the effects of the cosmic expansion on the wavelength, the amplitude and the polarization of electromagnetic waves propagating through a source-free region of space-time. But the electromagnetic waves may still be taken to be locally monochromatic and plane-fronted.

Similarly to the metric case, we start with a vector potential family $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t$ of the form

$$\bar{A}_{(t)}^\mu = \Re[|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t| \exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t) \bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu], \quad \bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu \equiv |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^\mu, \quad (66)$$

where $|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|$ denotes the norm of the (possibly complex) vector amplitude $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t$ and where $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ is the polarization vector family. Moreover, the phase factor $\bar{\vartheta}_t$ is defined by (using a GTCS)

$$\bar{\vartheta}_t \equiv \bar{k}_{(t)0}(x^0 - x_1^0) + \bar{k}_{(t)i}x^i, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} = \bar{\vartheta}_{t,\mu}, \quad (67)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ is the wave vector family and $x_1^0 = ct_1$ is an arbitrary reference epoch. Notice that equations (66) and (67) are identical to those valid for the metric case except for the dependence on t . The t -dependence of $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ is determined by how it is affected by the global cosmic expansion. That is, in the QMF there is a general cosmological attenuation of the electromagnetic field, and for electromagnetic radiation this attenuation takes the form of a general redshift due to the global cosmic expansion. This means that we must have (using a GTCS)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{k}_{(t)0,t} &= -\frac{1}{t}\bar{k}_{(t)0}, & \bar{k}_{(t)j,t} &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{k}_{(t)s}\tilde{h}_{(t)}^{is}\tilde{h}_{(t)ij,t}, \\ \bar{k}_{(t)0}^0 &= -\left(\frac{1}{t} + 2\frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)\bar{k}_{(t)}^0, & \bar{k}_{(t),t}^j &= -2\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)\bar{k}_{(t)}^j - \frac{1}{2}\bar{k}_{(t)}^s\tilde{h}_{(t)}^{ij}\tilde{h}_{(t)is,t}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The t -dependence of $|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|$ follows from equations (16) and (66), i.e., $|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|_{,t} = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|$. Together with the t -dependences given in equation (68), this then implies that the covariant derivatives of $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ and of $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ in the t -direction are given by (see [1, 2] for the connection

coefficients)

$$\overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right) \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t, \quad \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t = -i \frac{\partial \bar{\vartheta}_t}{\partial t} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t, \quad \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \left(\frac{t^3}{t_0^3} \bar{N}_t^3 |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t\right) = 0. \quad (69)$$

We now put equation (66) into the Lorentz gauge condition $\bar{A}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = 0$ and then into Maxwell's equations (23) without sources. These calculations are done explicitly for the metric case in reference [7]. Since the derivations for the quasi-metric case are very similar we will not repeat them here. Rather we list the results, also very similar to those found for the metric case. Firstly, we find that

$$\bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu;\nu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\nu = 0. \quad (70)$$

Selecting a suitable affine parameter λ along a light ray, we also have that

$$\overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \equiv \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t + \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t. \quad (71)$$

Equations (69), (70) and (71) then yield (in component notation, using a GTCS)

$$\frac{d\bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu}{d\lambda} + \left(\overset{\star}{\Gamma}_{t\epsilon}^\mu \frac{dt}{d\lambda} + \overset{\star}{\Gamma}_{\nu\epsilon}^\mu \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda}\right) \bar{k}_{(t)}^\epsilon = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right) \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu. \quad (72)$$

Provided that we make the identification

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu, \quad (73)$$

between the tangent vector field along the light ray and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$, and if one makes a suitable change of parameter along the path for the case when \bar{N}_t depends on t , equation (72) is identical to the geodesic equation in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. That is, we have derived from Maxwell's equations that light rays are null geodesics in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. But since any ‘‘physical’’ null vector is required to remain a null vector under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$, we may write $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_t$, where $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ transforms as shown in equation (1). Similarly, since the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ is required to be constant under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$, we may write $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_t$, where $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ transforms in the same way as $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$. Furthermore, we may define a new phase factor ϑ_t by

$$\vartheta_t \equiv k_{(t)0}(x^0 - x_1^0) + k_{(t)i} x^i, \quad k_{(t)\mu} = \vartheta_{t,\mu}, \quad (74)$$

where the t -dependence of \mathbf{k}_t is given by (in a GTCS)

$$\begin{aligned} k_{(t)0,t} &= -\frac{1}{t} k_{(t)0}, & k_{(t)j,t} &= \frac{1}{2} k_{(t)s} \hat{h}_{(t)}^{is} \hat{h}_{(t)ij,t}, \\ k_{(t)0}^0 &= -\frac{1}{t} k_{(t)}^0, & k_{(t),t}^j &= -\frac{2}{t} k_{(t)}^j - \frac{1}{2} k_{(t)}^s \hat{h}_{(t)}^{ij} \hat{h}_{(t)is,t}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

From equations (74), (75) and the definitions of \mathbf{k}_t and \mathbf{f}_t , we get the counterpart in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ to equation (70), namely

$$k_{(t)\mu} f_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad k_{(t)\mu} k_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad k_{(t)\mu;\nu} k_{(t)}^\nu = 0. \quad (76)$$

Using the counterparts in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ to equations (69), (71) and (73) together with equations (75) and (76), it is now straightforward to derive the counterpart in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ to equation (72). Thus we have shown that light rays must be null geodesics in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well.

Secondly, we find that just as for the metric case, we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t);\nu}^{\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{k}_{(t);\alpha}^{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^{\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t \equiv c^{-1} \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t + \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t = -\frac{i}{c} \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 \frac{\partial \bar{\vartheta}_t}{\partial t} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t, \quad (77)$$

where we have used equation (69) and equation (78) below in the last step. That is, the polarization vector family is perpendicular to the light rays and parallel-transported along them. From equation (76) we see that the polarization vector family is perpendicular to the light rays in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well. Besides, since light rays are also null geodesics in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$, $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ is parallel-transported along them and it follows that $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ must be proportional to $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$.

Thirdly, also just as for the metric case, we have that

$$(|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\alpha})_{;\alpha} = 0, \quad (78)$$

or equivalently, that the volume integral $\int \int \int |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3x$ has a constant value when integrating over the 3-volume cut out of the FHSs by a tube formed of light rays (such that no rays cross the tube walls). This is the law of conservation of photon number in geometric optics. And since $\bar{k}_{(t)\perp} = k_{(t)\perp}$, the above integral may be written as $\int \int \int |\mathcal{A}_t|^2 k_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{h_t} d^3x$ where $|\mathcal{A}_t|^2 \sqrt{h_t} \equiv |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \sqrt{\bar{h}_t}$. So we see that the law of conservation of photon number holds for $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ also but with a different ‘‘density of light rays’’ $|\mathcal{A}_t|^2 k_{(t)\perp}$ on each FHS. However, the total number of light rays in the tube is the same.

The passive electromagnetic field tensor $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ for light rays has the same form as for the metric case, i.e.,

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\mu\nu} = \Re[i|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t| \exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t) (\bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{f}_{(t)\nu} - \bar{f}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)\nu})]. \quad (79)$$

We may find \mathbf{F}_t from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ just as \mathbf{g}_t is found from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ (see equations (2)-(4)). Moreover, we may also include the active aspects of light rays as long as we are not going beyond the geometric optics approximation. That is, we can construct $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ using equation (18). The passive electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ then yields the active electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ via equation (21). Thus, putting equation

(79) into the standard definition of $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(\text{EM})}$, we get the expressions (averaged over one wavelength)

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\mu\nu}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{1}{8\pi} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)\nu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad T_{(t)\mu\nu}^{(\text{EM})} = \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \bar{N}_t^2 \frac{1}{8\pi} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)\nu}. \quad (80)$$

This expression for $\mathbf{T}_t^{(\text{EM})}$ can then be used to find the necessary projections to be inserted into the gravitational field equations. So as long as the geometric optics approximation holds, it is thus possible to set up a well-defined initial value problem for light rays coupled to gravity within the QMF.

6 Conclusion

In metric theory, the nature of the cosmic expansion is kinematical (in the general sense of the word). That is, to which degree a given system is influenced by the cosmic expansion is determined by dynamical laws subject to cosmological initial conditions. This means that in metric theory, bound systems may in principle be influenced by the cosmic expansion regardless of the nature of the force holding the system together. However, calculations show that the effect of the cosmic expansion on realistic local systems should be totally negligible; see, e.g., [5] and references listed therein. On the other hand, in the QMF, the nature of the cosmic expansion is non-kinematical, i.e., the expansion is not part of space-time's causal structure and it is described as a secular global change of scale between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. That is, in the QMF, the effects of the global cosmic expansion on gravitationally bound objects should be fundamentally different from its effects on objects solely bound by non-gravitational forces, e.g., electromagnetism.

In this paper, we have shown how to formulate classical electrodynamics coupled to gravity in a way consistent with the QMF. This is possible provided that the main effect of the cosmic expansion on the electromagnetic field takes the form of a global cosmic attenuation not noticeable locally. Moreover, as an illustrative example, we have calculated the exact solutions for the electric and gravitational fields in the electrovacuum outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static, charged source. This example shows that the effect of the cosmic expansion on the electric field is fundamentally different from its effect on the gravitational field. Also calculated is the path of a charged test particle moving in the electric field of (and electromagnetically bound to) an isolated spherical charge with negligible gravity. This calculation shows that the cosmic expansion affects the electromagnetically bound system even more than a similar, but gravitationally bound system. However, since the cosmic expansion should not affect quantum states, there is

no reason to think that the calculated expansion of classical electromagnetically bound systems should apply to quantum-mechanical systems.

Furthermore we have shown that, just as for metric theory, within the QMF it is possible to derive the fact that light rays move along geodesics from Maxwell's equations in curved space-time. Also valid within the QMF are the other two main results of geometric optics in curved space-time. It thus seems that the QMF represents a self-consistent framework within which to do electromagnetism as well as relativistic gravitation.

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Dr. Kåre Olaussen for making a review of the manuscript.

References

- [1] D. Østvang, *Gravit. Cosmol.* **11**, 205 (2005) (gr-qc/0112025).
- [2] D. Østvang, *Doctoral Thesis* (2001) (gr-qc/0111110).
- [3] D. Østvang, *Gravit. Cosmol.* **13**, 1 (2007) (gr-qc/0201097).
- [4] C.J. Gao, S.N. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* **B 595**, 28 (2004).
- [5] W.B. Bonnor, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 1313 (1999).
- [6] R.H. Price, gr-qc/0508052 (2005).
- [7] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W.H. Freeman & Co. (1973).