

Classical Electrodynamics in Quasi-Metric Space-Time

by

Dag Østvang

*Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU
N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

Abstract

The quasi-metric manifold \mathcal{N} is equipped with two one-parameter families of metric tensors $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ and \mathbf{g}_t , each parameterized by the global time function t . Moreover, in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ one must define two different electromagnetic field tensors corresponding to the active field tensor $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ and the passive field tensor \mathbf{F}_t , respectively. The active electromagnetic field tensor $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ couples to gravity. By construction the norm of the passive electromagnetic field tensor \mathbf{F}_t decreases secularly, defining a global cosmic attenuation (not noticeable locally) of the electromagnetic field. Local conservation laws for passive electromagnetism imply that $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{F}}_t = 0$ in electrovacuum, ensuring that photons move on null geodesics of $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. From $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ one may construct the passive electrodynamic field tensor \mathbf{F}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ in the same way as \mathbf{g}_t is constructed from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. This ensures that photons move on null geodesics of $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well. As a simple example the (exact) quasi-metric counterpart to the Reissner-Nordström solution in General Relativity is calculated. Besides it is found that a classical charged test particle electromagnetically bound to a central charge will participate in the cosmic expansion. But since quantum-mechanical states should be unaffected by the expansion, this classic calculation is hardly relevant for quantum-mechanical systems such as atoms, so there is no reason to think that the cosmic expansion applies to them. Finally it is shown that the main results of geometric optics hold in quasi-metric space-time.

1 Introduction

Recently the so-called quasi-metric framework (QMF) as a geometric basis for relativistic gravity [1] was introduced as a possible alternative to the usual metric framework (MF) underlying metric theories of gravity. The QMF is similar to the MF in some respects (e.g. both are based on the Einstein equivalence principle), but one aspect of the QMF having no counterpart in the MF is the existence of a non-metric sector. Another characteristic property of the QMF is that it necessarily exists two fundamentally different scales in the Universe, one gravitational and one atomic. The atomic scale can be constructed

operationally from physical systems where gravitational interactions are insignificant. In practice this means that local measurement devices based on non-gravitational physics operationally define “atomic units” and that both gravitational and non-gravitational scales are expressed in terms of such units. Now the QMF predicts that expressed in atomic units, there should exist systematic scale changes between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. Moreover, one manifestation of said non-metric sector is the *global* part of these scale changes, which is identified with the global cosmic expansion. Thus, within the QMF, the cosmic expansion is interpreted differently and has a different mathematical description than within the MF. And as a consequence, the cosmic expansion is predicted to affect gravitational systems regardless of scale. This prediction has a number of observable consequences, some of which have been detected in the solar system; see [2, 3] for details. Furthermore, how matter fields couple to gravity cannot be independent of said systematic scale changes. Therefore, the QMF does not fulfill the strong equivalence principle since it is necessary to separate between *active mass-energy* as a source of gravitation and *passive mass-energy* entering the equations of motion.

No exceptions from these rules are made for the electromagnetic field; in particular it is necessary to separate between the active and passive aspects of electromagnetic mass-energy. This means that a description of classical electrodynamics within the QMF must differ from its counterpart within the MF. It turns out that there are two crucial differences. Firstly, global scale changes between electromagnetism and gravitation should be present explicitly as a general time dependence in the norm of the electromagnetic field tensor. By construction, this general time dependence takes the form of a global cosmic “attenuation” of the electromagnetic field. Secondly, the necessity to separate between active and passive aspects of electromagnetism involves the introduction of *two* fundamentally different electromagnetic field tensors. That is, one must separate between *the active electromagnetic field tensor* which is coupled to gravitation, and *the passive electromagnetic field tensor* which is not coupled to gravity but is relevant for the equations of motion via the Lorentz force law. These features are characteristic for a formulation of classical electrodynamics in quasi-metric space-time.

The main goal of this paper is to formulate classical electrodynamics coupled to gravity in a way consistent with the QMF. In other words, the question is how the general features of the QMF dictates the behaviour of classical electrodynamics (i.e., Maxwell’s equations) in quasi-metric space-time. To answer this question, it is shown in section 3 that it is possible to derive the usual Maxwell equations in electro-vacuum for the passive electromagnetic field in curved space-time from local conservation laws. This motivates the general definitions of Maxwell’s equations within the QMF. Using these definitions,

the relationship between the passive and active electromagnetic field tensors yields an initial value formulation of electrodynamics coupled to gravity. A specific example of this is given in section 4, where exact solutions are found for the gravitational and electric fields in the electro-vacuum outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static charged source. Finally, in section 5 it is shown that the main results of geometric optics are valid in quasi-metric space-time. That is, it is shown how to derive the main results of geometric optics (e.g., that light rays are null geodesics) from Maxwell's equations in curved space-time the way it is done in General Relativity (GR).

At the present time it is not known whether or not quasi-metric gravity is viable according to observational criteria (the current observational status of the QMF is discussed thoroughly elsewhere [1-3]), and a discussion of that is outside the scope of this paper. Besides, just as for GR, fact is that the active aspects of the electromagnetic field do not have any consequences which are not immeasurably small in practice. However, to be viable according to theoretical criteria, it is necessary that the QMF accommodates electromagnetism in a consistent and natural way; that is what is shown in this paper.

2 Some relevant aspects of the QMF

Quasi-metric theory and some of its predictions are described in detail elsewhere [1, 2]; here we merely repeat the basics and the relevant formulae.

The geometrical basis of the QMF consists of a five-dimensional differentiable manifold with topology $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathbf{R}_2$ is a four-dimensional Lorentzian space-time manifold, \mathbf{R}_1 and \mathbf{R}_2 are two copies of the real line and \mathcal{S} is a three-dimensional compact manifold (without boundaries). This geometrical structure implies that there exists one extra (degenerate) time dimension represented by the *global time function* t as a global coordinate on \mathbf{R}_1 in addition to a “preferred” ordinary global time coordinate x^0 on \mathbf{R}_2 with the property that x^0 scales like ct . That is, when t is given, so is the “preferred” time coordinate x^0 . Using this “preferred” global time coordinate, the four-dimensional quasi-metric space-time manifold \mathcal{N} is constructed by slicing the submanifold determined by the equation $x^0 = ct$ out of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$.

Furthermore, by construction \mathcal{N} is equipped with a one-parameter family of Lorentzian 4-metrics \mathbf{g}_t . One may alternatively regard \mathbf{g}_t as a degenerate five-dimensional metric on a subset of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$. A special set of coordinate systems especially well adapted to the structure of quasi-metric space-time is the set of *global time coordinate systems (GTCSs)* defined by the condition that x^0 is a “preferred” time coordinate in \mathcal{N} . The set of spatial submanifolds \mathcal{S} taken at the set of constant t -values is called *the fundamental hyper-*

surfaces (FHSs) and represents a “preferred” notion of space. Observers always moving orthogonal to the FHSs are called *fundamental observers (FOs)*.

The main physical role of the degenerate dimension represented by t is to describe global scale changes between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. The reason one needs a degenerate dimension to describe this, is that such global scale changes should not have anything to do with “motion”, which is why the evolution of quantities with t is called “non-kinematical”. In particular this yields an alternative, non-kinematical description of the global cosmic expansion.

Now a particular property of the QMF is that the metric family \mathbf{g}_t does not represent solutions of gravitational field equations. Rather \mathbf{g}_t is constructed from a second metric family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. The transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ takes the form of a deformation of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ along a 3-vector field $\mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{F}}$ [1]. In fact the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ is just a special case of a more general transformation of tensor field families. Moreover, this general transformation applies to any tensor field which norm is required to be preserved under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$. Here we list the transformation formulae $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_t$ valid for a covector family $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_t$. We have (using a GTCS) [2]

$$Z_{(t)0} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \bar{Z}_{(t)0}, \quad Z_{(t)j} = \bar{Z}_{(t)j} + \frac{2\frac{v}{c}}{1 - \frac{v}{c}} (\bar{e}_b^i \bar{Z}_{(t)i}) \bar{e}_j^b, \quad (1)$$

where \bar{e}_b is the unit vector (with corresponding covector \bar{e}^b) along $\mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and v is a scalar field. For the general form of v , see [1, 2]. For completeness we also list the transformation formulae $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_t$ valid for a rank 2 tensor family $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_t$. These formulae read [1, 2]

$$Q_{(t)00} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^2 \bar{Q}_{(t)00}, \quad (2)$$

$$Q_{(t)0j} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \left[\bar{Q}_{(t)0j} + \frac{2\frac{v}{c}}{1 - \frac{v}{c}} (\bar{e}_b^i \bar{Q}_{(t)0i}) \bar{e}_j^b \right], \quad (3)$$

$$Q_{(t)ij} = \bar{Q}_{(t)ij} + \frac{2\frac{v}{c}}{(1 - \frac{v}{c})^2} \bar{e}_b^k (\bar{e}_i^b \bar{Q}_{(t)kj} + \bar{Q}_{(t)ik} \bar{e}_j^b). \quad (4)$$

The transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ may be found from equations (2)-(4) as a special case.

To make matters as simple as possible, the topology of the FHSs is required to be simply connected in addition to being compact. Besides, the geometry of the FHSs in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ is postulated to represent a direct measure of gravitational scales as measured in atomic units. Therefore, said geometry of the FHSs should be conformal to the 3-sphere \mathbf{S}^3 , and to avoid introducing any extra arbitrary scale or parameter, the scale factor

should be proportional to ct . This means that expressed in an arbitrary GTCS, $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ must take a restricted form. With the explicit t -dependence of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ included this form is [1] (using the signature $(-+++)$)

$$\bar{d}s_t^2 = -(\bar{N}_t^2 - \bar{N}_s \bar{N}^s)(dx^0)^2 + 2\frac{t}{t_0}\bar{N}_i dx^i dx^0 + \frac{t^2}{t_0^2}\bar{N}_t^2 S_{ik} dx^i dx^k, \quad (5)$$

where \bar{N}_t is the lapse function field family of the FOs in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$, $\frac{t}{t_0}\bar{N}_i dx^i$ is the family of shift covectors in the chosen GTCS and $S_{ik} dx^i dx^k$ is the metric of \mathbf{S}^3 (with radius equal to ct_0 , where t_0 is constant but arbitrary). Furthermore, the family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ is required to be a solution of the field equations (in component notation using a GTCS)

$$2\bar{R}_{(t)\perp\perp} = \kappa(T_{(t)\perp\perp} + \hat{T}_{(t)i}^i), \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{R}_{(t)\perp j} = \kappa T_{(t)\perp j}, \quad (7)$$

where \mathbf{T}_t is the active stress-energy tensor field family (in which active mass-energy is treated as a scalar field) and $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_t$ is the Ricci tensor field family calculated from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. Moreover $\kappa \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$, where the gravitational ‘‘constant’’ G by convention is set to the value it would have had in an empty Universe at the arbitrary epoch t_0 , and the symbol ‘ \perp ’ denotes a projection with the normal unit vector field family $-\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$ of the FHSs. (A ‘‘hat’’ above an object denotes an object projected into the FHSs.)

Local conservation laws of \mathbf{T}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ read (a comma denotes partial derivation)

$$T_{(t)\mu\bar{*}\alpha}^\alpha \equiv c^{-1}T_{(t)\mu\bar{*}t}^0 + T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha = T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha - \frac{2}{c\bar{N}_t} \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) T_{(t)\perp\mu}, \quad (8)$$

where the symbol ‘ $\bar{*}$ ’ denotes covariant derivation found from the five-dimensional connection $\bar{\nabla}$ compatible with $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ and a semicolon denotes metric covariant derivation found from the family of metric connections $\bar{\nabla}$ compatible with single members of the family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. Furthermore the covariant divergence $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{T}_t$ takes the form

$$T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha = 2\frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t} T_{(t)\mu}^\nu. \quad (9)$$

Note that unlike its counterpart in GR, $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{T}_t$ does not vanish in general. Finally, the equations of motion in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ take the form (in a GTCS)

$$\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} + \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{t\beta}^{\alpha} \frac{dt}{d\lambda} + \hat{\Gamma}_{\sigma\beta}^{\alpha} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\lambda} \right) \frac{dx^\beta}{d\lambda} = \left(\frac{d\tau_t}{d\lambda} \right)^2 a_{(t)}^\alpha, \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{t\beta}^{\alpha} \equiv \hat{\Gamma}_{\beta t}^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{t} \delta_i^\alpha \delta_\beta^i, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{\sigma\beta}^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{(t)}^{\alpha\rho} \left(g_{(t)\rho\beta,\sigma} + g_{(t)\sigma\rho,\beta} - g_{(t)\sigma\beta,\rho} \right), \quad (11)$$

where τ_t is the proper time measured along a time-like curve and λ is a general affine parameter. (Here $a_{(t)}^\alpha$ are the components of the family of 4-accelerations \mathbf{a}_t .)

3 Active and passive electromagnetic field tensors

Within the QMF the active representation of some non-gravitational field (i.e., the representation describing its coupling to gravity) will not be equal to the passive representation. That is, the form non-gravitational fields take in non-gravitational force laws will not be identical to the form non-gravitational fields take when contributing to the active stress-energy tensor. For electromagnetism in particular, we will illustrate below how these representations must be different to be consistent with the equations of motion in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ (and in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$).

We proceed to define two different representations of the electromagnetic field in quasi-metric space-time. Firstly, we define *the passive electromagnetic field tensor family* $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ via a vector potential family $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. That is, for reasons given below, we define $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ via its components expressed in a GTCS

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}_{(t)0j} &\equiv \frac{t_0}{t}\bar{F}_{0j} = \frac{t_0}{t}(\bar{A}_{j;0} - \bar{A}_{0;j}) = -\frac{t_0}{t}\bar{F}_{j0} \equiv -\bar{F}_{(t)j0}, \\ \bar{F}_{(t)ij} &\equiv \bar{F}_{ij} = \bar{A}_{j;i} - \bar{A}_{i;j} = -\bar{F}_{ji} \equiv -\bar{F}_{(t)ji},\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}$ and \bar{A}_μ do not depend on t . It remains to define the t -dependence of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$, i.e., to relate the components of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ to \bar{A}_μ (in a GTCS). For reasons given below we set

$$\bar{A}_{(t)0} = \frac{t_0}{t}\bar{A}_0, \quad \bar{A}_{(t)j} = \bar{A}_j, \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|_{,t} = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|.\tag{13}$$

Here $|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|$ denotes the norm of the (possibly complex) amplitude $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t$ of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$. The reason for the specific dependences on t of $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is that within the QMF, the passive electromagnetic field by construction experiences a cosmic attenuation due to the global cosmic expansion. This cosmic attenuation represents global scale changes between electromagnetism and gravitation. Moreover, these global scale changes are physically realized via the t -dependence of the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$; we see from equations (12) and (13) that its norm attenuates according to the formula $|\bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho}\bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho}|_{,t} = -2\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)|\bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho}\bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho}|$. We notice that we can define $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ directly from $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$. That is, inserting equation (13) into equation (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}_{(t)0j} &= \frac{t_0}{t}\bar{A}_{(t)j;0} - \bar{A}_{(t)0;j} = \frac{t_0}{t}\bar{A}_{(t)j,0} - \bar{A}_{(t)0,j}, \\ \bar{F}_{(t)ij} &= \bar{A}_{(t)j;i} - \bar{A}_{(t)i;j} = \bar{A}_{(t)j,i} - \bar{A}_{(t)i,j}.\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

To predict the passive aspects of electromagnetism according to the QMF, we must also construct the “physical” passive electromagnetic field tensor family \mathbf{F}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ from

$\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ in exactly the same way as \mathbf{g}_t is constructed from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ using equations (2)-(4). The construction of \mathbf{F}_t is necessary since it is \mathbf{F}_t which enters into the Lorentz force law

$$ma_{(t)}^\alpha = qF_{(t)\nu}^\alpha u_{(t)}^\nu, \quad (15)$$

in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$, where \mathbf{u}_t is the 4-velocity field of the charged matter and q is the passive charge and m the passive mass of a test particle.

Secondly, we define *the active electromagnetic field tensor family* $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ directly from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \bar{\mathbf{F}}_t, \quad \tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta}. \quad (16)$$

The t -dependence of $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ differs from that of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ since the active electromagnetic field will experience a global cosmic increase of active mass-energy which will exactly cancel the effects of the global cosmic attenuation.

The point now is that $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is coupled dynamically to gravity whereas $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is not. That is, $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ determines the active electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$ via the familiar formula (in component notation)

$$\mathbf{T}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu \tilde{F}_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (17)$$

Similarly, $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ defines the passive electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$:

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (18)$$

To find electromagnetic field equations for $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$, we first consider the special case of an electro-vacuum. In general, the relationship between the active electromagnetic stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$ given in equation (17) and the corresponding passive electromagnetic stress-energy tensor $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$ in equation (18) is given by

$$\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)} = \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \bar{N}_t^2 \bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)} = 0, \quad (\text{electro-vacuum}) \quad (19)$$

where the implication follows from equation (9) for electro-vacuum. Notice that the relationship (19) takes the same form as for a perfect fluid of null particles [2]. Using equations (18) and (19) we may now perform a standard derivation of the usual electro-vacuum Maxwell equations $\bar{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = 0$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. Just as in GR, this derivation acts as a motivation for the general definition of the usual Maxwell equations in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$, namely

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta;\mu} + \bar{F}_{(t)\mu\alpha;\beta} + \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\mu;\alpha} = \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta,\mu} + \bar{F}_{(t)\mu\alpha,\beta} + \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\mu,\alpha} = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{c}\bar{J}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \bar{J}_{(t)\mu} \equiv \rho_c \bar{u}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{J}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = 0, \quad (21)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_t$ is the 4-current of passive charge in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and ρ_c is the density of passive charge q as measured in the local inertial rest frame of the fluid. Note that only the metric part of the connection enters into equation (21). This means that equation (21) has the same properties as its counterpart in metric gravity. In particular it means that equation (21) ensures that passive charge is conserved in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and that we in general have

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\alpha}^{(EM)\beta}{}_{;\beta} = -\frac{1}{c}\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta}\bar{J}_{(t)}^\beta, \quad (\text{general case}) \quad (22)$$

rather than the special case shown in equation (19). Now one important point is that no counterparts to equations (20), (21) exist in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$. However, it is still possible to define the 4-current of passive charge \mathbf{J}_t in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$:

$$\mathbf{J}_t \equiv \hat{\rho}_c \mathbf{u}_t \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} \rho_c \mathbf{u}_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{h_t} = \bar{J}_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t}, \quad (23)$$

where $J_{(t)\perp} \equiv -n_{(t)\mu} J_{(t)}^\mu$ (and \mathbf{n}_t is the unit normal vector field family to the FHSs in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$). Moreover \bar{h}_t and h_t are the determinants of the spatial metric families $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_t$ and \mathbf{h}_t , respectively. The square root factor is necessary in the definition of \mathbf{J}_t to ensure that the amount of passive charge is identical in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$. The implication in equation (23) follows because the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t$ (and of $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$) must be invariant under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$. This means that $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_t$, i.e., that $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$ transform according to equation (1). It is now straightforward to show that equation (21) together with equation (23) imply that passive charge is conserved in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well as in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$.

For weak electromagnetic fields it is a convenient approximation to neglect the effects of electromagnetism on space-time geometry. This is equivalent to considering passive electromagnetism only in an independent quasi-metric curved space-time background and the calculations may be done as follows: given ρ_c and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_1}$ (found from \mathbf{u}_{t_1}) for some initial value $t = t_1$ it is possible to calculate $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{t_1}$ from equations (20) and (21). Then \mathbf{F}_{t_1} can be found from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{t_1}$ just as \mathbf{g}_{t_1} can be found from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{t_1}$, using equations (2)-(4). Moreover, the motion of the source charges can be found from the geodesic equation (10) in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ (using equation (15)). This again yields ρ_c and $\mathbf{u}_{(t_1+\Delta t)}$ (from which $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{(t_1+\Delta t)}$ can be calculated) for some later time $t = t_1 + \Delta t$. The procedure can then be repeated to find the evolution of the electromagnetic field at progressively later times. We thus have a well-defined initial value problem for passive electromagnetism in quasi-metric space-time. Notice that the transformations (2)-(4) preserve norm by construction, so if, e.g.,

$\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is null, so is \mathbf{F}_t . This means that passive electrodynamics in quasi-metric space-time respects the local light cone.

However, in general one must also take into account the active aspects of electromagnetism. To do that we may use the definition (16) together with equation (21) to find field equations for the active electromagnetic field:

$$\tilde{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{c}\tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t}\tilde{F}_{(t)\mu}^\nu, \quad \tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} \equiv \tilde{\rho}_c \bar{u}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{J}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t}\tilde{J}_{(t)}^\nu. \quad (24)$$

Here the 4-current of active charge $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_t$ in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_t \equiv \tilde{\rho}_c \bar{\mathbf{u}}_t = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \rho_c \bar{\mathbf{u}}_t, \quad (25)$$

where the last step follows from equation (26) below, and where $\tilde{\rho}_c$ is the density of active charge q_t . Analogous to active mass, active charge is a scalar field and it describes how charge couples to gravity. How active charge varies in quasi-metric space-time is found from dimensional analysis [2]. That is, just as one may infer the variability of the active mass m_t from the gravitational quantity Gm_t/c^2 (which has the dimension of length), one may infer the variability of the active charge from the gravitational quantity Gq_t^2/c^4 which has the dimension of length squared. The result is

$$q_{t;\mu} = \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\mu}}{\bar{N}_t} q_t, \quad q_{t,t} = \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) q_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad q_t = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t q. \quad (26)$$

We see from equation (24) that active charge is not necessarily conserved, nor is there any reason that it should be.

Finally we notice that the exterior derivative of $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ will not vanish in general, so there is no counterpart to equation (20) valid for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$. Also notice that equations (9), (24) and (25) yields that

$$T_{(t)\alpha}^{(EM)\beta}{}_{;\beta} = 2 \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\beta}}{\bar{N}_t} T_{(t)\alpha}^{(EM)\beta} - \frac{1}{c} \tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \tilde{J}_{(t)}^\beta. \quad (27)$$

When the active stress-energy tensor of the charged matter source is added to equation (27), we get back equation (9) for the total active stress-energy tensor.

The description of how electromagnetism couples to gravity in quasi-metric space-time is now given from the definition (16) and the usual Maxwell equations (20) and (21) in curved space-time. These equations are coupled to the gravitational field equations (6) and (7) and should be solved simultaneously. Given suitable initial conditions it is thus the coupled system of equations (6), (7), (10), (15), (20) and (21) which must be solved

to find the fields $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ and $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ for each time step. Besides, to find these fields at progressively later times, it is also necessary to calculate \mathbf{g}_t and \mathbf{F}_t for each time step by the method described in [1, 2] using equations (2)-(4). It is thus possible to set up a well-defined initial value problem for electromagnetism coupled to gravitation in quasi-metric space-time. Notice that active electromagnetism in quasi-metric space-time is also guaranteed to respect the local light cone since $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is null if and only if $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ is null (this follows from equation (16)).

4 The spherically symmetric, metrically static case

4.1 Electro-vacuum outside a charged source

In this section we set up the equations valid for the gravitational and electromagnetic fields outside a spherically symmetric, charged source. It is required that the system is “metrically static”, i.e., that the only time dependence is via the effect on the spatial geometry of the global cosmic expansion. We then find exact solutions of these equations.

Introducing a spherical GTCS $\{x^0, r, \theta, \phi\}$, where r is a Schwarzschild radial coordinate, a metrically static, spherically symmetric metric family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ may be expressed in the form ($t \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$)

$$\bar{d}s_t^2 = -\bar{B}(r)(dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left(\bar{A}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\right), \quad (28)$$

$$\bar{A}(r) \equiv \frac{\left[1 - r \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{2\bar{B}(r)}\right]^2}{1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}}, \quad (29)$$

where $d\Omega^2 \equiv d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$, $\Xi_0 \equiv ct_0$ and t_0 is some arbitrary reference epoch. The special form (29) of the function $\bar{A}(r)$ follows from equation (5) since $\bar{B}(r) \equiv \bar{N}_t^2(r)$. Moreover, $\bar{A}(r)$ should not be confused with components of the vector potential $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$.

We will now find an expression for $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$. Due to symmetry its only components in the above defined GTCS are given in terms of the active electric field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t$ as seen by the FOs. That is, $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t$ is defined by its radial component

$$\tilde{E}_{(t)r} \equiv \tilde{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} \equiv -\tilde{n}_{(t)}^\mu \tilde{F}_{(t)\mu r} = -\frac{1}{\bar{N}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)0r} = \tilde{E}_{(t_0)r}. \quad (30)$$

Since the components of the active magnetic field vanish in the chosen GTCS, equation (24) yields

$$\left(\bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{0r}\right)_{;r} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{j}_{(t)}^0, \quad (31)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\bar{N}_t^{-1} \sqrt{-\bar{g}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{0r} \right) = 4\pi \frac{t}{t_0} \sqrt{-\bar{g}_t} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \rho_c, \quad (32)$$

where \bar{g}_t is the determinant of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$. We now integrate equation (32) from the origin to some radial coordinate $r > \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the coordinate radius of the source. Assuming that all fields are continuous and well-behaved we get

$$r^2 \bar{N}_t^{-1} \bar{A}^{-1/2} \tilde{E}_{(t_0)r} = 4\pi \frac{t^3}{t_0^3} \int_0^{\mathcal{R}} \bar{A}^{1/2} \rho_c r^2 dr = \int \int \int \rho_c \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3x \equiv Q, \quad (33)$$

where the triple integration is taken over the volume of the source. We see that, since we have integrated the passive charge density over the source volume, it is natural to interpret Q as the passive charge of the source, which is obviously a constant (since the left hand side of equation (33) has no time dependence). From equation (33) we thus have that

$$\tilde{E}_{(t)r} = \frac{\bar{N}_t \sqrt{\bar{A}(r)} Q}{r^2}. \quad (34)$$

Next we must find expressions for projections of $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$ to put into the field equations. Using equations (17), (30) and (34) and doing the projections we find

$$T_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \hat{F}_{(t)\perp}^r \tilde{F}_{(t)r\perp} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \tilde{E}_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r = \frac{t_0^2 \bar{N}_t^2 Q^2}{t^2 8\pi r^4} \equiv \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{N}_t^{-2} \bar{\rho}_m^{(EM)} c^2, \quad (35)$$

$$T_{(t)r}^{(EM)} = -T_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} \equiv -\frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{N}_t^{-2} \bar{p}^{(EM)} = -\frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{N}_t^{-2} \bar{\rho}_m^{(EM)} c^2, \quad (36)$$

$$T_{(t)\theta}^{(EM)} = T_{(t)\phi}^{(EM)} = -T_{(t)r}^{(EM)}, \quad T_{(t)\perp r}^{(EM)} = T_{(t)\perp\theta}^{(EM)} = T_{(t)\perp\phi}^{(EM)} = 0, \quad (37)$$

where $\bar{\rho}_m^{(EM)}$ and $\bar{p}^{(EM)}$ are the so-called coordinate density and coordinate pressure of active electromagnetic mass-energy.

We may now insert equations (35), (36) and (37) into the field equation (6) (equation (7) becomes vacuum). The result is similar to the case of a perfect fluid [3], the only difference being that the pressure is not isotropic. Using the equation derived in [3] we find (with $r_{Q0} \equiv \sqrt{2G}Q/c^2$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}''(r)}{\bar{B}(r)} - \left(1 + \frac{3r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{4r^2} - \frac{2r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}'^2(r)}{\bar{B}^2(r)} \\ & + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{3r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{4r^2} - \frac{3r^2}{2\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{\bar{B}(r)} - \frac{r}{4} \left(1 - \frac{r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{2r^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}'^3(r)}{\bar{B}^3(r)} = \frac{r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{r^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

We now seek a solution of this equation in the region $\mathcal{R} < r < \Xi_0$. However, equation (38) is too complicated to have any hope of finding an *exact* solution. But it can be simplified if rewritten in terms of an isotropic radial coordinate ρ defined by $r \equiv \sqrt{\bar{B}}\rho$, so that the family of line elements takes the form given in equation (5). Equation (38) then transforms to

$$\left(1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho\rho}}{\bar{B}} + \frac{2}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{3\rho^2}{2\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho}}{\bar{B}} = \frac{r_{\text{Q0}}^2}{\rho^4}. \quad (39)$$

An (unique) exact solution of equation (39), given the correspondence with the uncharged case [3], yields

$$\bar{B}(\rho) = \cosh\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right] - \frac{r_{\text{s0}}}{r_{\text{Q0}}} \sinh\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right], \quad (40)$$

where the constant r_{s0} is found by integrating equation (6) once and then comparing with $\bar{B}_{,\rho}$ obtained from equation (40). The result is

$$r_{\text{s0}} \equiv \frac{2M_{t_0}G}{c^2} \operatorname{sech}\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho_s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho_s^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right] + r_{\text{Q0}} \tanh\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\rho_s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho_s^2}{\Xi_0^2}}\right], \quad (41)$$

$$\rho_s \equiv \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\sqrt{\bar{B}(\mathcal{R})}}, \quad M_{t_0} \equiv c^{-2} \int \int \int \bar{N}_{t_0} \left[T_{(t_0)\bar{1}\bar{1}} + \hat{T}_{(t_0)i}^i \right] d\bar{V}_{t_0}. \quad (42)$$

Here the integration is taken over the central source (i.e., $r \leq \mathcal{R}$) and \mathbf{T}_t is the total active stress-energy tensor of the source. The quantity r_{s0} is thus the Schwarzschild radius of the source at epoch t_0 modified with terms representing the electrostatic field energy.

Notice that, since isolated systems do not exist except as an approximation in quasi-metric gravity [3], the solution (40) is expected to be realistic only for $\rho \ll \Xi_0$. We may also ask how $\bar{B}(\rho)$ behaves for small distances in the case of a point source, i.e., in the limit where $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$. Requiring correspondence with the uncharged case [3], we impose the condition $\bar{B}(\rho) \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $\rho \rightarrow C$, where C is found from equation (40). The result is

$$C = \frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{artanh}^2\left[\frac{r_{\text{Q0}}}{r_{\text{s0}}}\right] + \frac{r_{\text{Q0}}^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}. \quad (43)$$

By taking the limit $r_{\text{Q0}} \rightarrow 0$ in equation (43) one finds the right correspondence with the uncharged case. This means that the qualitative behaviour of the gravitational field does not depend on whether or not the source has a net charge.

One may find an implicit expression for $\bar{B}(r)$ by substituting $\rho = \frac{r}{\sqrt{B}}$ into equation (40). From this we see that $\bar{B}(r)$ cannot be written in closed form. However, the implicit expression may be used to find a series solution for weak fields, in terms of the small quantities $\frac{r_{s0}}{r}$, $\frac{r_{Q0}}{r}$ and $\frac{r}{\Xi_0}$. After some straightforward work one may show that an approximative expression for $\bar{B}(r)$ is given by

$$\bar{B}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 + \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^2}{2r^2} + \frac{r_{s0}r}{2\Xi_0^2} - \left(1 + \frac{22r_{Q0}^2}{3r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^3}{8r^3} + \dots \quad (44)$$

Moreover, using equation (29) we may derive an expression of $\bar{A}(r)$ in terms of $\bar{B}(r)$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}(r) = \bar{B}^2(r) & \left\{ \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{B}(r)r_{s0}}}{2r} \right) \cosh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{\bar{B}(r) - \frac{r^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{r} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \left(\frac{r_{s0}}{r_{Q0}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{B}(r)r_{Q0}}}{2r} \right) \sinh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{\bar{B}(r) - \frac{r^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{r} \right] \right\}^{-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and using equation (44) this yields the weak field expansion

$$\bar{A}(r) = 1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 + 4\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right) \frac{r_{s0}^2}{4r^2} + \frac{r^2}{\Xi_0^2} + \dots \quad (46)$$

To construct the metric family \mathbf{g}_t represented in the form

$$ds_t^2 = -B(r)(dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left(A(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2 \right), \quad (47)$$

from the family $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ given in equation (28), one uses the method described in [1, 2]. (See also [3] for the case when r_{Q0} vanishes.) To construct \mathbf{g}_t we need the quantity

$$v(r) = \frac{cr}{2} \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{\bar{B}(r)} = c \left[\frac{r_{s0}}{2r} - \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{2r^2} + O(3) \right], \quad (48)$$

and $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ may then be found from equations (2) and (4) (since $\mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{F}} = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ for all spherically symmetric cases [1, 2])

$$A(r) = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}} \right)^2 \bar{A}(r), \quad B(r) = \left(1 - \frac{v^2(r)}{c^2} \right)^2 \bar{B}(r). \quad (49)$$

Inserting equation (48) into equation (49) and equation (49) into equation (47) we find

$$\begin{aligned} ds_t^2 = & - \left(1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{2r^2} + \frac{r_{s0}r}{2\Xi_0^2} + \left(1 + \frac{2r_{Q0}^2}{9r_{s0}^2} \right) \frac{3r_{s0}^3}{8r^3} + \dots \right) (dx^0)^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^2 \left(\left\{ 1 + \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 - 4\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2} \right) \frac{r_{s0}^2}{4r^2} + \frac{r^2}{\Xi_0^2} + \dots \right\} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

This expression represents the gravitational field (to the relevant accuracy) outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static and charged source in quasi-metric gravity. Its correspondence with the Reissner-Nordström solution in GR may be found by setting $\frac{t}{t_0}$ equal to unity in equation (50) and then taking the limit $\Xi_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that an extension of the Reissner-Nordström solution, adapted to a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker background, was found in [4]. But of course equation (50) does not have any further correspondence with this extended solution, since the cosmic expansion as described in the QMF is fundamentally different from its counterpart in the MF. That is, the way the cosmic expansion is fit into the extended Reissner-Nordström solution has no counterpart in equation (50).

It is also possible to construct an exact representation for \mathbf{g}_t by first calculating the quantity $v(\rho) = \frac{\bar{B}_{,\rho} b_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho}{\bar{B}} c$, the result being

$$v(\rho) = \frac{\left(\frac{r_{s0}}{2\rho} \cosh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right] - \frac{r_{Q0}}{2\rho} \sinh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right] \right) c}{\left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \frac{r_{s0}}{2\rho} \right) \cosh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right] - \left(\frac{r_{s0}}{r_{Q0}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \frac{r_{Q0}}{2\rho} \right) \sinh \left[\frac{r_{Q0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}}}{\rho} \right]}, \quad (51)$$

and then using equations (2), (4) and (5) to obtain

$$ds_t^2 = \bar{B}(\rho) \left\{ - \left(1 - \frac{v^2(\rho)}{c^2} \right)^2 (dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(\rho)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(\rho)}{c}} \right)^2 \frac{d\rho^2}{1 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Xi_0^2}} + \rho^2 d\Omega^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (52)$$

Substituting $\bar{B}(\rho)$ and $v(\rho)$ into equation (52) now yields an explicit, exact expression for the metric family \mathbf{g}_t . Moreover, a change of radial coordinates to $r \equiv \sqrt{\bar{B}}\rho$ yields another exact, but implicit expression. Note that the qualitative behaviour of the exact solution (52) is quite similar to that found for the uncharged case presented in [3].

To calculate the paths of charged test particles one uses the quasi-metric equations of motion (10), (11). But to apply these equations one needs to know the Lorentz force from equation (15). This means that we must first calculate $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ from equation (16) and then find \mathbf{F}_t from v and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$. That is, using equation (16) we first find the passive electric field $\bar{\mathbf{E}}_t$:

$$\bar{E}_{(t)r} \equiv \bar{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} \equiv -\bar{n}_{(t)}^\mu \bar{F}_{(t)\mu r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\sqrt{\bar{A}(r)} Q}{r^2}. \quad (53)$$

Note that since $\bar{A}(r)$ and $\bar{B}(r)$ are not inverse functions, $\bar{F}_{(t_0)r0} = \sqrt{\bar{B}(r)} \bar{E}_{(t_0)r}$ does not take the Euclidean form. Second, we are able to find $F_{(t)0r} = \left(1 + \frac{v(r)}{c} \right)^2 \bar{F}_{(t)0r}$ using equation (3), and we then get (using equation (49))

$$E_{(t)r} \equiv F_{(t)\bar{1}r} \equiv -n_{(t)}^\mu F_{(t)\mu r} = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}} \right) \bar{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\sqrt{\bar{A}(r)} Q}{r^2}. \quad (54)$$

We may now calculate the passive mass-energy density $\rho_m^{(EM)}$ and pressure $p^{(EM)}$ of the electromagnetic field as measured in the metrically static frame. This yields

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} = \rho_m^{(EM)} c^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \bar{E}_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4}, \quad (55)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\theta}^{(EM)\theta} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\phi}^{(EM)\phi} = -\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)r}^{(EM)r} = p^{(EM)} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)}. \quad (56)$$

On the other hand we can define the passive stress-energy tensor $\mathcal{T}_t^{(EM)}$ of the electromagnetic field in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ from \mathbf{F}_t by

$$\mathcal{T}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(F_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu F_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{(t)\sigma\rho} F_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} g_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}}, \quad (57)$$

where the square root factor is necessary to ensure that the total amount of passive electromagnetic mass-energy is identical in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$. Using equation (57) we may then calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} E_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} = \rho_m^{(EM)} c^2 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}} \right), \quad (58)$$

and similar formulae for the other components of $\mathcal{T}_t^{(EM)}$.

4.2 The effects of cosmic expansion on electromagnetism

To see to what extent a classical, electromagnetically bound system is affected by the global cosmic expansion we may calculate the path of a charged test particle with mass m and charge q in the electric field of an isolated spherical charge Q . That is, we may use the metric family (47) where it is assumed that the gravitational field of the source is negligible, i.e., $B(r) = \bar{B}(r) = 1$. We also set $A(r) = \bar{A}(r) = 1$ since isolated systems are realistic in the QMF only if $r \ll \Xi_0$ [3]. Using equation (54) and the coordinate expression for \mathbf{u}_t , i.e., $u_{(t)}^\alpha \equiv \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau_t}$ where τ_t is the proper time as measured along the path of the test particle, equation (15) yields the components of the Lorentz force (neglecting radiative effects and taking care of the fact that $qQ < 0$ since we have a bound system)

$$ma_{(t)}^r = -\frac{t_0^3}{t^3} \frac{|qQ|}{r^2} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t}, \quad ma_{(t)}^0 = -\frac{t_0}{t} \frac{|qQ|}{r^2} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t}. \quad (59)$$

Furthermore, we may insert this result into the equations of motion (10). Confining the motion of the test particle to the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$ the result is

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau_t^2} - r \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{ct} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t} = -\frac{t_0^3}{t^3} \frac{|qQ|}{mr^2} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t}, \quad (60)$$

$$\frac{d^2 x^0}{d\tau_t^2} = -\frac{t_0 |qQ|}{t m r^2} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t}, \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\tau_t^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t} + \frac{1}{ct} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t} = 0. \quad (62)$$

Equation (62) yields a constant of motion J , namely [3]

$$J \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} r^2 \frac{d\phi}{cd\tau_t}. \quad (63)$$

Introducing the dimensionless variable $\xi \equiv \frac{t}{t_0}$ and neglecting terms of order $\frac{\xi^2}{\Xi_0^2}$, equations (59)-(63) may be combined and written in the form (since $dx^0 = cdt$ along the path of the charged particle)

$$\left(1 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}\right) \left[\frac{d^2 r}{d\xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{dr}{d\xi}\right] + \frac{|qQ|}{\xi m c r^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}} \left[\frac{\Xi_0^2}{\xi^2} - \left(\frac{dr}{d\xi}\right)^2\right] - \frac{\Xi_0^2 J^2}{\xi^2 r^3} = 0, \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau_t} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{J^2}{r^2}}, \quad (65)$$

where we have used equation (47) (with $B(r) = A(r) = 1$). Equation (64) is too complicated to have any hope of finding an exact solution. However, in the non-relativistic limit one may neglect the term quadratic in the radial velocity. Then, taking as an initial condition that $\frac{dr}{d\xi}$ should vanish, numeric calculations show that the solution lies close to (oscillates around) a straight line $r(\xi) \propto \xi$. This seems reasonable since one expects that the Lorentz force term and the centrifugal term in equation (64) should on average scale similarly with respect to the factor $\frac{t}{t_0}$.

Since the solution of equation (64) yields that $r(t) \propto \frac{t}{t_0}$ on average, we have that according to the QMF, a classical system bound solely by electromagnetic forces will experience an even larger secular expansion than a gravitationally bound system of the same size. However, an important difference is that the norm of the (passive) electromagnetic field is constant at a given distance from the source (as seen from equation (54)), whereas the gravitational field at a given distance from the source gets stronger due to the secular increase of active mass-energy [3]. (This last point can also easily be seen from equation (50).)

This means that the effect of the cosmic expansion on the electromagnetic field is fundamentally different from its effect on the gravitational field. In particular it means that except for a global cosmic attenuation not noticeable locally, the electromagnetic field

is unaffected by the global cosmic expansion, unlike the gravitational field. And even if it is predicted that a classical, electromagnetically bound system should experience cosmic expansion, this expansion implies that the potential energy of the system increases and that its kinetic energy decreases. But suitable interactions with other systems should then allow the system to return to its initial state. On the other hand it is impossible to null out the effects of the cosmic expansion on a gravitational system via interactions with other systems [3].

For “small” classical systems radiative effects will dominate over the expansion effect by many orders of magnitude so the expansion would not be noticeable. Furthermore, the classical calculation is hardly relevant for quantum-mechanical systems since quantum-mechanical states should not be affected by the cosmic expansion. This is readily seen for the Bohr atom, where the radii of the “permitted” electron orbits are determined from the requirement that angular momenta take the discrete values $L_n = n\hbar$; i.e., a set of static values. But this is inconsistent with the above solution of the classical system yielding $L \propto \frac{t}{t_0}$, which is non-static. This means that there is no reason to expect that quantum-mechanical systems such as atoms should behave as purely classic systems and increase in size due to the global cosmic expansion. (Rather the expansion could perhaps induce spontaneous excitations. To explore this possibility a quantum-mechanical calculation should be carried out.) For a comparison with GR, see references [5] and [6] for the estimated effects of the cosmic expansion on a classical “atom” according to GR. Note that the results found in these papers differ considerably from the results found here.

5 Geometric optics in quasi-metric space-time

In this section we sketch how the fundamental laws of geometric optics are derived within the quasi-metric framework. Except from small changes these derivations may be done exactly as for the metric framework, see e.g. reference [7].

The main difference from the metric framework is that we are forced to include the effects of the cosmic expansion on the wavelength, the amplitude and the polarization of electromagnetic waves propagating through a source-free region of space-time. But the electromagnetic waves may still be taken to be locally monochromatic and plane-fronted.

Similarly to the metric case we start with a vector potential family $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ of the form

$$\bar{A}_{(t)}^\mu = \Re[|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t| \exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t) \bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu], \quad \bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu \equiv |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^\mu, \quad (66)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ is the polarization vector family. Moreover the phase factor $\bar{\vartheta}_t$ is defined by

$$\bar{\vartheta}_t \equiv \bar{k}_{(t)\alpha} x^\alpha, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} = \bar{\vartheta}_{t,\mu}, \quad (67)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ is the wave vector family. Note that equations (66) and (67) are identical to those valid for the metric case except for the dependence on t . The t -dependence of $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ is determined by how it is affected by the global cosmic expansion. That is, in the QMF there is a general cosmological attenuation of the electromagnetic field, and for electromagnetic radiation this attenuation takes the form of a general redshift due to the global cosmic expansion. This means that we must have (using a GTCS)

$$\bar{k}_{(t)0,t} = -\frac{1}{t}\bar{k}_{(t)0}, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)j,t} = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)0,t}^0 = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + 2\frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)\bar{k}_{(t)}^0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t),t}^j = -2\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)\bar{k}_{(t)}^j. \quad (68)$$

The t -dependencies given in equations (13) and (68) imply that the covariant derivatives of $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ and of $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ in the t -direction are given by (see [1, 2] for the connection coefficients)

$$\overset{*}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t, \quad \overset{*}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t = 0. \quad (69)$$

We now put equation (66) into the Lorentz gauge condition $\bar{A}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = 0$ and then into Maxwell's equations (21) without sources. These calculations are done explicitly for the metric case in reference [7]. Since the derivations for the quasi-metric case are very similar we will not repeat them here. Rather we list the results, also very similar to those found for the metric case. Firstly, we find that

$$\bar{k}_{(t)\mu}\bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu}\bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu;\nu}\bar{k}_{(t)}^\nu = 0. \quad (70)$$

Selecting a suitable affine parameter λ along a light ray we have

$$\overset{*}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \equiv \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \overset{*}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t + \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \overset{*}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t. \quad (71)$$

Provided that we make the identification

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = \frac{t}{t_0}\bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu, \quad (72)$$

between the tangent vector along the light ray and $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$, equations (69), (70) and (71) yield (in component notation, using a GTCS)

$$\frac{d\bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu}{d\lambda} + \left(\overset{*}{\Gamma}_{t\epsilon}^\mu \frac{dt}{d\lambda} + \overset{*}{\Gamma}_{\nu\epsilon}^\mu \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda}\right)\bar{k}_{(t)}^\epsilon = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right)\frac{dt}{d\lambda}\bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu. \quad (73)$$

Given equation (72), and if one makes a suitable change of parameter along the path for the case when \bar{N}_t depends on t , equation (73) is identical to the geodesic equation in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. That is, we have derived from Maxwell's equations that light rays are null

geodesics in $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$. But since any ‘‘physical’’ null vector is required to remain a null vector under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$, we may write $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_t$, where $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ transforms as shown in equation (1). Similarly, since the norm of $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ is required to be constant under the transformation $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$, we may write $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_t$, where $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ transforms in the same way as $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$. Furthermore we may define a new phase factor ϑ_t by

$$\vartheta_t \equiv k_{(t)\alpha} x^\alpha, \quad k_{(t)\mu} = \vartheta_{t,\mu}, \quad (74)$$

where the t -dependence of \mathbf{k}_t is given by (in a GTCS)

$$k_{(t)0;t} = -\frac{1}{t} k_{(t)0}, \quad k_{(t)j;t} = 0, \quad k_{(t)0}^0{}_{;t} = -\frac{1}{t} k_{(t)0}^0, \quad k_{(t)j}^j{}_{;t} = -\frac{2}{t} k_{(t)j}^j. \quad (75)$$

From equations (74), (75) and the definitions of \mathbf{k}_t and \mathbf{f}_t we get the counterparts to equation (70) in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$, namely

$$k_{(t)\mu} f_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad k_{(t)\mu} k_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad k_{(t)\mu;\nu} k_{(t)}^\nu = 0. \quad (76)$$

Using the counterparts in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ to equations (69), (71) and (72) together with equations (75) and (76) it is now straightforward to derive the counterpart in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ to equation (73). Thus we have shown that light rays must be null geodesics in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well.

Secondly, we find that just as for the metric case we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t);\nu}^{\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{k}_{(t);\alpha}^{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^{\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t}^{\star} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t \equiv c^{-1} \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^{\star} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t + \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}}^{\star} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t = 0, \quad (77)$$

where we have used equation (69) in the last step. That is, the polarization vector family is perpendicular to the light rays and parallel-transported along them. From equation (76) we see that the polarization vector family is perpendicular to the light rays in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ as well. Besides, since light rays are null geodesics in $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$, \mathbf{f}_t is parallel-transported along them and $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t}^{\star} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$ thus vanishes.

Thirdly, also just as for the metric case we have that

$$(|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\alpha})_{;\alpha} = 0, \quad \bar{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{t^3}{t_0^3} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \right) \equiv c^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^{\star} \left(\frac{t^3}{t_0^3} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 \right) + \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}}^{\star} \left(\frac{t^3}{t_0^3} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\alpha} \right) = 0, \quad (78)$$

or equivalently, that the volume integral $\int \int \int |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3 x$ has a constant value when integrating over the 3-volume cut out of the FHSs by a tube formed of light rays (such that no rays cross the tube walls). This is the law of conservation of photon number in geometric optics. And since $\bar{k}_{(t)\perp} = k_{(t)\perp}$ the above integral may be written as $\int \int \int |\mathcal{A}_t|^2 k_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{h_t} d^3 x$ where $|\mathcal{A}_t|^2 \sqrt{h_t} \equiv |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \sqrt{\bar{h}_t}$. So we see that the law of conservation of photon number holds for $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ also but with a different ‘‘density of light rays’’ $|\mathcal{A}_t|^2 k_{(t)\perp}$ on each FHS. However, the total number of light rays in the tube is the same.

The passive electromagnetic field tensor $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ has the same form as for the metric case, i.e.

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\mu\nu} = \Re[i|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|\exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t)(\bar{k}_{(t)\mu}\bar{f}_{(t)\nu} - \bar{f}_{(t)\mu}\bar{k}_{(t)\nu})]. \quad (79)$$

We may find \mathbf{F}_t from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ just as \mathbf{g}_t is found from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ (see equations (2)-(4)). Moreover, we may also include the active aspects of light rays as long as we are not going beyond the geometric optics approximation. That is, we can construct $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ from $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ using equation (16). The passive electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$ then yields the active electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$ via equation (19). Thus, putting equation (79) into the standard definition of $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$, we get the expressions (averaged over one wavelength)

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\mu\nu}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{8\pi}|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2\bar{k}_{(t)\mu}\bar{k}_{(t)\nu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad T_{(t)\mu\nu}^{(EM)} = \frac{t^2}{t_0^2}\bar{N}_t^2\frac{1}{8\pi}|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2\bar{k}_{(t)\mu}\bar{k}_{(t)\nu}. \quad (80)$$

This expression for $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$ can then be used to find the necessary projections to be inserted into the gravitational field equations (6) and (7). So as long as the geometric optics approximation holds, it is thus possible to set up a well-defined initial value problem for light rays coupled to gravity within the QMF.

6 Conclusion

In metric theory the nature of the cosmic expansion is kinematical. That is, to which degree a given system is influenced by the cosmic expansion is determined by dynamical laws subject to cosmological initial conditions. This means that in metric theory, bound systems may in principle be influenced by the cosmic expansion regardless of the nature of the force holding the system together. However, calculations show that the effect of the cosmic expansion on realistic local systems should be totally negligible; see e.g. [5] and references listed therein. On the other hand, in the QMF the nature of the cosmic expansion is non-kinematical, meaning that the expansion is described as a secular global change of scale between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. That is, in the QMF the effects of the global cosmic expansion on gravitationally bound objects should be fundamentally different from its effects on objects solely bound by non-gravitational forces, e.g. electromagnetism.

In this paper we have shown how to formulate classical electrodynamics coupled to gravity in a way consistent with the QMF. This is possible provided that the effect of the

cosmic expansion on the electromagnetic field takes the form of a global cosmic attenuation not noticeable locally. Moreover, as an illustrative example, we have calculated the exact solutions for the electric and gravitational fields in the electro-vacuum outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static charged source. This example shows that the effect of the cosmic expansion on the electric field is fundamentally different from its effect on the gravitational field. Also calculated is the path of a charged test particle moving in the electric field of (and electromagnetically bound to) an isolated spherical charge with negligible gravity. This calculation shows that the cosmic expansion affects the electromagnetically bound system even more than a similar, but gravitationally bound system. However, since the cosmic expansion should not affect quantum states, there is no reason to think that the calculated expansion of classical electromagnetically bound systems should apply to quantum-mechanical systems.

Furthermore we have shown that just as for metric theory, within the QMF it is possible to derive the fact that light rays move along geodesics from Maxwell's equations in curved space-time. Also valid within the QMF are the other two main results of geometric optics in curved space-time. It thus seems that the QMF represents a self-consistent framework within to do electromagnetism as well as relativistic gravity.

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Dr. Kåre Olaussen for making a review of the manuscript.

References

- [1] D. Østvang, *Gravit. Cosmol.* **11**, 205 (2005) (gr-qc/0112025).
- [2] D. Østvang, *Doctoral Thesis* (2001) (gr-qc/0111110).
- [3] D. Østvang, gr-qc/0201097 (2002).
- [4] C.J. Gao, S.N. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* **B 595**, 28 (2004).
- [5] W.B. Bonnor, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 1313 (1999).
- [6] R.H. Price, gr-qc/0508052 (2005).
- [7] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W.H. Freeman & Co. (1973).