

# Classical Electrodynamics in Quasi-Metric Space-Time

by

Dag Østvang

*Institutt for Fysikk, Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet, NTNU  
N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

## Abstract

In quasi-metric relativity the electromagnetic field plays two different roles; firstly as an active mass-energy source in the gravitational field equations, and secondly as giving rise to the Lorentz force acting on charged matter. This means that within the quasi-metric manifold  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ , one must define two different electromagnetic field tensors corresponding to the active field tensor  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  and the passive field tensor  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ , respectively. The active electromagnetic field tensor  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  couples to gravity, but local conservation laws impose certain consistency conditions. On the other hand, local conservation laws for passive electromagnetism imply that  $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{F}}_t = 0$  in electrovacuum, ensuring that photons move on null geodesics of  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . From  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  one may construct the passive electrodynamic field tensor  $\mathbf{F}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  in the same way as  $\mathbf{g}_t$  is constructed from  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . This ensures that photons move on geodesics of  $\mathbf{g}_t$  as well. As a simple example the quasi-metric counterpart to the Reissner-Nordström solution in General Relativity is calculated. It is shown that the effect of the global cosmic expansion on the electric field is a general cosmic redshift, but such that no effect can be noticed locally. That is, unlike the gravitational field the electric field does not expand. On the other hand, if radiative effects can be neglected it is found that a classical charged test particle electromagnetically bound to a central charge will participate in the cosmic expansion. But this effect is in principle different from the effect of the cosmic expansion on gravitationally bound systems, so there is no reason to think that the global cosmic expansion should apply to quantum-mechanical systems such as atoms. Finally it is shown that the main results of geometric optics hold in quasi-metric space-time.

## 1 Introduction

Recently the so-called quasi-metric framework (QMF) as a geometric basis for relativistic gravity [1] was introduced as a possible alternative to the usual metric framework (MF) underlying metric theories of gravity. The QMF is similar to the MF in some respects (e.g. both are based on the Einstein equivalence principle), but one aspect of the QMF having

no counterpart in the MF is the existence of a non-metric sector. One manifestation of this non-metric sector is that it necessarily exists two fundamentally different scales in the Universe, one gravitational and one atomic. The atomic scale can be constructed operationally from physical systems where gravitational interactions are insignificant. In practice this means that local measurement devices based on nongravitational physics operationally define “atomic units” and that both gravitational and nongravitational scales are expressed in terms of such units. Now the QMF predicts that expressed in atomic units, there should exist measurable global scale changes between gravitational and nongravitational systems. And in particular, since the nature of the electromagnetic field is definitely nongravitational, these global scale differences should be present explicitly as a general time dependence in the norm of the electromagnetic field tensor. In this paper it is shown how to define the various aspects of the electromagnetic field in a way being consistent with the geometrical structure of the QMF.

Furthermore the QMF does not fulfil the strong equivalence principle since it is necessary to separate between *active mass-energy* as a source of gravitation and *passive mass-energy* entering the equations of motion. The question now is how the difference in active and passive aspects of electromagnetic mass-energy dictates the behaviour of classical electrodynamics (i.e., Maxwell’s equations) in quasi-metric space-time. It is particularly interesting to see if it is possible to derive the main results of geometric optics (e.g. that light rays are null geodesics) from Maxwell’s equations in curved space-time the way it is done in General Relativity (GR).

In this paper we show that it is possible to separate between the active and passive aspects of the electromagnetic field such that the main results of geometric optics are valid in quasi-metric space-time as well. But this is only possible if one introduces two fundamentally different electromagnetic field tensors. That is, one must separate between *the active electromagnetic field tensor* which is coupled to gravitation, and *the passive electromagnetic field tensor* which is not coupled to gravity but is relevant for the equations of motion via the Lorentz force law. One may then show that it is possible to derive the usual Maxwell equations for the passive electromagnetic field in curved space-time from local conservation laws. This ensures that light rays move on null geodesics of quasi-metric space-time.

## 2 Some relevant aspects of the QMF

Quasi-metric theory and some of its predictions are described in detail elsewhere [1], [2]; here we merely repeat the basics and the relevant formulae.

The geometrical basis of the QMF consists of a five-dimensional differentiable manifold with topology  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathbf{R}_2$  is a four-dimensional Lorentzian space-time manifold,  $\mathbf{R}_1$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2$  are two copies of the real line and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a three-dimensional compact manifold (without boundaries). This geometrical structure implies that there exists one extra degenerate time dimension represented by the *global time function*  $t$  as a global coordinate on  $\mathbf{R}_1$  in addition to a “preferred” ordinary global time coordinate  $x^0$  on  $\mathbf{R}_2$  defined by identifying  $x^0$  with  $ct$ . The four-dimensional quasi-metric space-time manifold  $\mathcal{N}$  is constructed by slicing the submanifold determined by the equation  $x^0 = ct$  out of  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$ .

Furthermore, by construction  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{R}_1$  is equipped with a degenerate five-dimensional metric  $\mathbf{g}_t$ . One may regard  $\mathbf{g}_t$  as a one-parameter family of Lorentzian 4-metrics on  $\mathcal{N}$ . A special set of coordinate systems especially well adapted to the structure of quasi-metric space-time is the set of *global time coordinate systems (GTCSs)* defined by the condition that  $x^0 = ct$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ . The set of spatial submanifolds  $\mathcal{S}$  taken at the set of constant  $t$ -values is called *the fundamental hypersurfaces (FHSs)* and represents a “preferred” notion of space. Observers always moving orthogonal to the FHSs are called *fundamental observers (FOs)*.

The physical role of the degenerate dimension represented by  $t$  is to describe global scale changes between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. The reason one needs a degenerate dimension to describe this is that such global scale changes should not have anything to do with “motion”, which is why the evolution of quantities with  $t$  is called “non-kinematical”. In particular this yields an alternative, non-kinematical description of the global cosmic expansion.

Now a particular property of the QMF is that the metric family  $\mathbf{g}_t$  does not represent solutions of gravitational field equations. Rather  $\mathbf{g}_t$  is constructed from a second metric family  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  in a way described in [1]. In particular, using a GTCS with spherical polar coordinates the transformation  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$  may be written in the form (using component notation)

$$g_{(t)\alpha\beta} = \xi_{(\alpha)} \xi_{(\beta)} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta}, \quad g_{(t)}^{\alpha\beta} = \xi^{(\alpha)} \xi^{(\beta)} \bar{g}_{(t)}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \xi^{(\alpha)} = \xi_{(\alpha)}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where each  $\xi_{(\alpha)}$  is a scalar function associated with the corresponding coordinate 1-form  $dx^\alpha$ . See [1] for the exact form of these functions. Note that some geometrical quantities are required to preserve their norm under the transformation shown in equation (1). Such quantities will transform similar to  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  (but note that these transformations take the form shown in equation (1) only in a spherical GTCS).

Moreover, expressed in an arbitrary GTCS  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  takes a restricted form; with the explicit

$t$ -dependence of  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  included this form is (using the signature  $(-+++)$ )

$$\bar{d}s_t^2 = -(\bar{N}_t^2 - N_s N^s)(dx^0)^2 + 2\frac{t}{t_0}\bar{N}_i dx^i dx^0 + \frac{t^2}{t_0^2}\bar{N}_t^2 S_{ik} dx^i dx^k, \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{N}_t$  is the lapse function field family of the FOs in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ ,  $\frac{t}{t_0}\bar{N}_i$  is the family of shift covectors in the chosen GTCS and  $S_{ik} dx^i dx^k$  is the metric of the 3-sphere  $\mathbf{S}^3$  (with radius equal to  $ct_0$ , where  $t_0$  is constant but arbitrary). Moreover the family  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  is required to be a solution of the field equations (in component notation using a GTCS)

$$2\bar{R}_{(t)\perp\perp} = \kappa(T_{(t)\perp\perp} + \hat{T}_{(t)i}^i), \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{R}_{(t)\perp j} = \kappa T_{(t)\perp j}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{T}_t$  is the active stress-energy tensor field family (in which active mass-energy is treated as a scalar field) and  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_t$  is the Ricci tensor field family calculated from  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . Moreover  $\kappa \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$ , where the gravitational ‘‘constant’’  $G$  is measured in a local gravitational experiment far from matter at the arbitrary epoch  $t_0$ , and the symbol  $\perp$  denotes a projection with the normal unit vector field family  $-\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$  of the FHSs. (A ‘‘hat’’ above an object denotes an object projected into the FHSs.)

Local conservation laws of  $\mathbf{T}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  read (a comma denotes partial derivation)

$$T_{(t)\mu\bar{*}\alpha}^\alpha \equiv c^{-1}T_{(t)\mu\bar{*}t}^0 + T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha = T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha - \frac{2}{c\bar{N}_t} \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) T_{(t)\perp\mu}, \quad (5)$$

where the symbol  $\bar{*}$  denotes covariant derivation found from the five-dimensional connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  compatible with  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  and a semicolon denotes metric covariant derivation found from the family of metric connections  $\bar{\nabla}$  compatible with single members of the family  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . Furthermore the covariant divergence  $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{T}_t$  takes the form

$$T_{(t)\mu;\alpha}^\alpha = 2\frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t} T_{(t)\mu}^\nu. \quad (6)$$

Note that unlike its counterpart in GR,  $\bar{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{T}_t$  does not vanish in general. Finally, the equations of motion in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  take the form (in a GTCS)

$$\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} + \left( \bar{\Gamma}_{t\beta}^\alpha \frac{dt}{d\lambda} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\sigma\beta}^\alpha \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\lambda} \right) \frac{dx^\beta}{d\lambda} = \left( \frac{d\tau_t}{d\lambda} \right)^2 a_{(t)}^\alpha, \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{t\beta}^\alpha \equiv \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta t}^\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{t} \delta_i^\alpha \delta_\beta^i, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{\sigma\beta}^\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{(t)}^{\alpha\rho} \left( g_{(t)\rho\beta,\sigma} + g_{(t)\sigma\rho,\beta} - g_{(t)\sigma\beta,\rho} \right), \quad (8)$$

where  $\tau_t$  is the proper time measured along a time-like curve and  $\lambda$  is a general affine parameter.

### 3 Active and passive electromagnetic field tensors

Within the QMF the active representation of some non-gravitational field (i.e., the representation describing its coupling to gravity) will not be equal to the passive representation. That is, the form non-gravitational fields take in non-gravitational force laws will not be identical to the form non-gravitational fields take when contributing to the active stress-energy tensor. For electromagnetism in particular, we will illustrate below how these representations must be different to be consistent with the equations of motion in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  (and in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ ).

We proceed to define two different representations of the electromagnetic field in quasi-metric space-time. Firstly, we define *the passive electromagnetic field tensor family*  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  via a vector potential family  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ . That is, we define  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  via its components expressed in a GTCS

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}_{(t)0j} &= \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{F}_{(t_0)0j} \equiv \frac{t_0}{t} \left( \bar{A}_{(t_0)j;0} - \bar{A}_{(t_0)0;j} \right) = \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{A}_{(t)j,0} - \bar{A}_{(t)0,j}, \\ \bar{F}_{(t)ij} &= \bar{F}_{(t_0)ij} \equiv \bar{A}_{(t_0)j;i} - \bar{A}_{(t_0)i;j} = \bar{A}_{(t)j,i} - \bar{A}_{(t)i,j},\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where the  $t$ -dependence of  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$  is determined by the requirement that  $\frac{t}{t_0} \frac{\bar{N}_t}{\bar{N}_{t_0}} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|$  must be independent of  $t$  ( $\bar{N}_{t_0}$  is obtained from  $\bar{N}_t$  by setting  $t = t_0$ ). Here  $|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|$  denotes the norm of the (possibly complex) amplitude  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t$  of  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ . The reason for the specific dependence on  $t$  of  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$  is that the passive electromagnetic field should experience a cosmic redshift due to the global cosmic expansion. This cosmic redshift represents global scale changes between electromagnetism and gravitation. Moreover, these scale changes are also defined via the  $t$ -dependence of  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ . That is, from equation (9) we see that  $\frac{t^4}{t_0^4} \frac{\bar{N}_t^4}{\bar{N}_{t_0}^4} \bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho}$  must be independent of  $t$  as well. For illustrative purposes we may express  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$  by its components in a GTCS:

$$\bar{A}_{(t)}^\mu = \Re[\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^\mu \exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t)], \quad \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^0 = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\bar{N}_{t_0}^2}{\bar{N}_t^2} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t_0)}^0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^j = \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \frac{\bar{N}_{t_0}^2}{\bar{N}_t^2} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t_0)}^j,\tag{10}$$

where  $\bar{\vartheta}_t$  is a real phase describing possible radiation contributions to  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ . From  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  we may then construct the “physical” passive electromagnetic field tensor family  $\mathbf{F}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  exactly in the same way as  $\mathbf{g}_t$  is constructed from  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  [1] (see equation (1)). Note that it is  $\mathbf{F}_t$  which enters into the Lorentz force law

$$ma_{(t)}^\alpha = q F_{(t)\nu}^\alpha u_{(t)}^\nu,\tag{11}$$

in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_t$  is the 4-velocity field of the charged matter and  $q$  is the passive charge of a test particle.

Secondly, we define *the active electromagnetic field tensor family*  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  directly from  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \bar{\mathbf{F}}_t, \quad \tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta}. \quad (12)$$

The  $t$ -dependence of  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  differs from that of  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  since the active electromagnetic field will experience a global cosmic increase of active mass-energy which will exactly cancel the effects of the global cosmic redshift.

The point now is that  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  is coupled dynamically to gravity whereas  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  is not. That is,  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  determines the active electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor  $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$  via the familiar formula (in component notation)

$$T_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu \tilde{F}_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (13)$$

Similarly,  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  defines the passive electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ :

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} \bar{g}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (14)$$

To find electromagnetic field equations for  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$ , we notice that the relationship between the active electromagnetic stress-energy tensor  $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$  given in equation (13) and the corresponding passive electromagnetic stress-energy tensor  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$  in equation (14) is given by

$$\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)} = \frac{t^2}{t_0^2} \bar{N}_t^2 \bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)} = 0, \quad (15)$$

where the implication follows from equation (6). We note that the relationship (15) takes the same form as for a perfect fluid of null particles [2]. Using equations (14) and (15) we may now perform a standard derivation of the usual Maxwell equations in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ . We may then calculate  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  from those. That is, we find

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta;\mu} + \bar{F}_{(t)\mu\alpha;\beta} + \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\mu;\alpha} = \bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta,\mu} + \bar{F}_{(t)\mu\alpha,\beta} + \bar{F}_{(t)\beta\mu,\alpha} = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \bar{J}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \bar{J}_{(t)\mu} \equiv \rho_c \bar{u}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{J}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = 0, \quad (17)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_t$  is the 4-current of passive charge in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  and  $\rho_c$  is the density of passive charge  $q$  as measured in the local inertial rest frame of the fluid. Note that equation (17) ensures that passive charge is conserved in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ . Now one important point is that no

counterparts to equations (16), (17) exist in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ . However, it is still possible to define the 4-current of passive charge  $\mathbf{J}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ :

$$\mathbf{J}_t \equiv \hat{\rho}_c \mathbf{u}_t \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} \rho_c \mathbf{u}_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad J_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{h_t} = \bar{J}_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t}, \quad (18)$$

where  $J_{(t)\perp} \equiv -n_{(t)\mu} J_{(t)}^\mu$  (and  $\mathbf{n}_t$  is the unit normal vector field family to the FHSs in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ ). Moreover  $\bar{h}_t$  and  $h_t$  are the determinants of the spatial metric families  $\bar{\mathbf{h}}_t$  and  $\mathbf{h}_t$ , respectively. The square root factor is necessary in the definition of  $\mathbf{J}_t$  to ensure that the amount of passive charge is identical in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  and in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ . The implication in equation (18) follows because the norm of  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t$  (and of  $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$ ) must be invariant under the transformation  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ . That is,  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_t$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$  transform similarly to  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . Expressed in a spherical GTCS this means that  $u_{(t)}^\alpha = \xi^{(\alpha)} \bar{u}_{(t)}^\alpha$  and similarly for  $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_t$  (compare to equation (1)). It is now straightforward to show that equation (17) together with equation (18) imply that passive charge is conserved in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  as well as in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ .

For weak electromagnetic fields it is a convenient approximation to neglect the effects of electromagnetism on space-time geometry. This is equivalent to considering passive electromagnetism only in an independent curved space-time background and the calculations may be done as follows: Given  $\rho_c$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t_1}$  (found from  $\mathbf{u}_{t_1}$ ) for some initial value  $t = t_1$  it is possible to calculate  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{t_1}$  from equations (16) and (17). Then  $\mathbf{F}_{t_1}$  can be found from  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{t_1}$  just as  $\mathbf{g}_{t_1}$  can be found from  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{t_1}$ . Moreover, the motion of the source charges can be found from the geodesic equation (7) in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  (using equation (11)). This again yields  $\rho_c$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{(t_1+\Delta t)}$  (from which  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{(t_1+\Delta t)}$  can be calculated) for some later time  $t = t_1 + \Delta t$ . The procedure can then be repeated to find the evolution of the electromagnetic field at progressively later times. We thus have a well-defined initial value problem for passive electromagnetism in curved space-time.

However, in general one must also take into account the active aspects of electromagnetism. To do that we may use the definition (12) together with equation (17) to find field equations for the active electromagnetic field:

$$\tilde{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^\nu = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)\mu}^\nu, \quad \tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} \equiv \tilde{\rho}_c \bar{u}_{(t)\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{J}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t} \tilde{J}_{(t)}^\nu. \quad (19)$$

Here the 4-current of active charge  $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_t$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_t \equiv \tilde{\rho}_c \bar{\mathbf{u}}_t = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t \rho_c \bar{\mathbf{u}}_t, \quad (20)$$

where the last step follows from equation (21) below. Moreover,  $\tilde{\rho}_c$  is the density of active charge  $q_t$ . We see from equation (19) that active charge is not necessarily conserved, nor

is there any reason that it should be. Note that the exterior derivative of  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  will not vanish in general.

Analogous to active mass, active charge is a scalar field and it describes how charge couples to gravity. That is, just as one may infer the variability of the active mass  $m_t$  from the gravitational quantity  $Gm_t/c^2$  (which has the dimension of length) [2], one may infer the variability of the active charge from the gravitational quantity  $Gq_t^2/c^4$  which has the dimension of length squared. The result is

$$q_{t,\mu} = \frac{\bar{N}_{t,\mu}}{\bar{N}_t} q_t, \quad q_{t,t} = \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) q_t, \quad \Rightarrow \quad q_t = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{N}_t q. \quad (21)$$

Next, using the local conservation laws (6) in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  together with equation (13) we get a set of constraint equations for the active electromagnetic field:

$$\tilde{F}_{(t)\alpha}{}^{\mu} \left( \tilde{F}_{(t)\mu;\nu}^{\nu} - \frac{2\bar{N}_{t,\nu}}{\bar{N}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)\mu}^{\nu} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \tilde{J}_{(t)\mu} \right) = -\frac{\bar{N}_{t,\alpha}}{2\bar{N}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho}, \quad (22)$$

which leads to a set of conditions on how the electromagnetic field should couple to the gravitational field, namely

$$2\bar{F}_{(t)\alpha\beta} \bar{F}_{(t)}^{\nu\beta} \bar{N}_{t,\nu} = \bar{N}_{t,\alpha} \bar{F}_{(t)\sigma\rho} \bar{F}_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho}. \quad (23)$$

These consistency conditions should be used together with the field equations (17). The description of how electromagnetism couples to gravity in quasi-metric spacetime is now given from the definition (12) and the usual Maxwell equations (16) and (17) in curved space-time together with the consistency equations (23). These equations are coupled to the gravitational field equations (3) and (4) and should be solved simultaneously. Given suitable initial conditions it is thus the coupled system of equations (3), (4), (7), (11), (16), (17) and (23) which must be solved to find the fields  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  for each time step. Besides, to find these fields at progressively later times, it is also necessary to calculate  $\mathbf{g}_t$  and  $\mathbf{F}_t$  for each time step by the method described in [1], [2] (see equation (1)). It is thus possible to set up a well-defined initial value problem for electromagnetism coupled to gravitation.

## 4 The spherically symmetric, metrically static case

### 4.1 Electrovacuum outside a charged source

In this section we set up the equations valid for the gravitational and electromagnetic fields outside a spherically symmetric, charged source. It is required that the system is



“metrically static”, i.e., that the only time dependence is via the effect on the spatial geometry of the global cosmic expansion. We then solve these equations approximately as a series expansion.

Introducing a spherical GTCS  $\{x^0, r, \theta, \phi\}$ , where  $r$  is a Schwarzschild radial coordinate, a metrically static, spherically symmetric metric family  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  may be expressed in the form ( $' \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ )

$$\bar{d}s_t^2 = -\bar{B}(r)(dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left( \bar{A}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right), \quad (24)$$

$$\bar{A}(r) \equiv \frac{\left[ 1 - r \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{2\bar{B}(r)} \right]^2}{1 - \frac{r^2}{c^2 t_0^2 \bar{B}(r)}}, \quad (25)$$

where  $d\Omega^2 \equiv d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$  and  $t_0$  is some arbitrary reference epoch. The function  $\bar{A}(r)$  should not be confused with components of the vector potential  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$ . Also note that  $\bar{B}(r) \equiv \bar{N}_t^2(r)$ , as can be seen from equation (2).

We will now find an expression for  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_t$ . Due to symmetry its only components in the abovely defined GTCS are given in terms of the active electric field  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t$  as seen by the FOs. That is,  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t$  is defined by its radial component

$$\tilde{E}_{(t)r} \equiv \tilde{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} \equiv -\tilde{n}_{(t)}^\mu \tilde{F}_{(t)\mu r} = -\frac{1}{\bar{N}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)0r} = \tilde{E}_{(t_0)r}. \quad (26)$$

Since the components of the active magnetic field vanish in the chosen GTCS equation (19) yields

$$\left( \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{0r} \right)_{;r} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{J}_{(t)}^0, \quad (27)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \bar{N}_t^{-1} \sqrt{-\bar{g}_t} \tilde{F}_{(t)}^{0r} \right) = 4\pi \frac{t}{t_0} \sqrt{-\bar{g}_t} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \rho_c, \quad (28)$$

where  $\bar{g}_t$  is the determinant of  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . We now integrate equation (28) from the origin to some radial coordinate  $r > \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the coordinate radius of the source. Assuming that all fields are continuous and well-behaved we get

$$r^2 \bar{N}_t^{-1} \bar{A}^{-1/2} \tilde{E}_{(t_0)r} = 4\pi \frac{t^3}{t_0^3} \int_0^{\mathcal{R}} \bar{A}^{1/2} \rho_c r^2 dr = \int \int \int \rho_c \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3x \equiv Q, \quad (29)$$

where the triple integration is taken over the volume of the source. Furthermore  $\frac{t}{t_0}\bar{N}_t Q$  is the active charge of the source and  $Q$  is the passive charge of the source, which is obviously a constant. We thus have

$$\tilde{E}_{(t)r} = \frac{\bar{N}_t \sqrt{\bar{A}(r)} Q}{r^2}. \quad (30)$$

Next we must find expressions for projections of  $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$  to put into the field equations. Using equations (13), (26) and (30) and doing the projections we find

$$T_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \hat{F}_{(t)\perp}^r \tilde{F}_{(t)r\perp} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \tilde{E}_{(t)r} \hat{E}_{(t)}^r = \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \frac{\bar{N}_t^2 Q^2}{8\pi r^4} \equiv \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{N}_t^{-2} \bar{\rho}_m^{(EM)} c^2, \quad (31)$$

$$T_{(t)r}^{(EM)r} = -T_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} \equiv -\frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{N}_t^{-2} \bar{p}^{(EM)} = -\frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \bar{N}_t^{-2} \bar{\rho}_m^{(EM)} c^2, \quad (32)$$

$$T_{(t)\theta}^{(EM)\theta} = T_{(t)\phi}^{(EM)\phi} = -T_{(t)r}^{(EM)r}, \quad T_{(t)\perp r}^{(EM)} = T_{(t)\perp\theta}^{(EM)} = T_{(t)\perp\phi}^{(EM)} = 0, \quad (33)$$

where  $\bar{\rho}_m^{(EM)}$  and  $\bar{p}^{(EM)}$  are the so-called coordinate density and coordinate pressure of active electromagnetic mass-energy.

We may now insert equations (31), (32) and (33) into the field equation (3) (equation (4) becomes vacuum). The result is similar to the case of a perfect fluid [3], the only difference being that the pressure is not isotropic. Using the equation derived in [3] we find (with  $\Xi_0 \equiv ct_0$  and  $r_{Q0} \equiv \sqrt{G}Q/c^2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}''(r)}{\bar{B}(r)} - \left(1 + \frac{3r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{2r^2} - \frac{2r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}'^2(r)}{\bar{B}^2(r)} \\ & + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{3r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{2r^2} - \frac{3r^2}{2\bar{B}(r)\Xi_0^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}'(r)}{\bar{B}(r)} - \frac{r}{4} \left(1 - \frac{r_{Q0}^2 \bar{B}(r)}{r^2}\right) \frac{\bar{B}^3(r)}{\bar{B}^3(r)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

We now seek a series solution of this equation in the region  $\mathcal{R} < r \ll \Xi_0$  since isolated systems do not exist except as an approximation in quasi-metric gravity [3]. Similar to the case where  $r_{Q0}$  vanishes [3], we seek a series solution in terms of the small quantities  $\frac{r_{s0}}{r}$ ,  $\frac{r_{Q0}}{r}$  and  $\frac{r}{\Xi_0}$ , where

$$r_{s0} \equiv \frac{2M_{t_0} G}{c^2}, \quad M_{t_0} \equiv c^{-2} \int \int \int \left[ T_{(t_0)\perp\perp} + \hat{T}_{(t_0)i}^i \right] d\bar{V}_{t_0}. \quad (35)$$

Here the integration is taken over the central source (i.e.,  $r \leq \mathcal{R}$ ) and  $\mathbf{T}_t$  is the total active stress-energy tensor of the source. The quantity  $r_{s0}$  is thus the Schwarzschild radius of the source at epoch  $t_0$ .

After some tedious, but straightforward work one may show that an approximative solution of equation (34) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{B}(r) &= 1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 + 2\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right)\frac{r_{s0}^2}{2r^2} + \frac{r_{s0}r}{2\xi_0^2} - \left(1 + \frac{44r_{Q0}^2}{3r_{s0}^2}\right)\frac{r_{s0}^3}{8r^3} + \dots, \\ \bar{A}(r) &= 1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 + 8\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right)\frac{r_{s0}^2}{4r^2} + \frac{r^2}{\xi_0^2} + \dots.\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

To construct the metric family  $\mathbf{g}_t$  which has the form

$$ds_t^2 = -B(r)(dx^0)^2 + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left(A(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2\right), \quad (37)$$

from the family  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  given in equation (24), one uses the method described in [1], [2]. (See also [3] for the case when  $r_{Q0}$  vanishes.) To construct  $\mathbf{g}_t$  we need the quantity

$$v(r) = \frac{cr\bar{B}'(r)}{2\bar{B}(r)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\bar{B}(r)\xi_0^2}} = c\left[\frac{r_{s0}}{2r} - \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r^2} + O(3)\right], \quad (38)$$

and  $A(r)$  and  $B(r)$  may then be found from the formulae [3] (see equation (1))

$$A(r) = \xi_{(r)}^2 \bar{A}(r) = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}}\right)^2 \bar{A}(r), \quad B(r) = \xi_{(0)}^2 \bar{B}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{v^2(r)}{c^2}\right)^2 \bar{B}(r). \quad (39)$$

Inserting equation (38) into equation (39) and equation (39) into equation (37) we find

$$\begin{aligned}ds_t^2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r^2} + \frac{r_{s0}r}{2\xi_0^2} + \left(1 + \frac{4r_{Q0}^2}{9r_{s0}^2}\right)\frac{3r_{s0}^3}{8r^3} + \dots\right)(dx^0)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^2 \left(\left\{1 + \frac{r_{s0}}{r} + \left(1 - 8\frac{r_{Q0}^2}{r_{s0}^2}\right)\frac{r_{s0}^2}{4r^2} + \frac{r^2}{\xi_0^2} + \dots\right\}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2\right).\end{aligned}\quad (40)$$

This expression represents the gravitational field (to the relevant accuracy) outside a spherically symmetric, metrically static and charged source in quasi-metric gravity. Its correspondance with the Reissner-Nordström solution in GR may be found by setting  $\frac{t}{t_0}$  equal to unity in equation (40) and then taking the limit  $\xi_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

To calculate the paths of charged test particles one uses the quasi-metric equations of motion (7), (8). But to apply these equations one needs to know the Lorentz force from equation (11). This means that we must first calculate  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  from equation (12) and then find  $\mathbf{F}_t$  from  $v$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  in a similar way that  $\mathbf{g}_t$  was found from  $v$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$ . Using equation (12) we find the passive electric field  $\bar{\mathbf{E}}_t$ :

$$\bar{E}_{(t)r} \equiv \bar{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} \equiv -\bar{n}_{(t)}^\mu \bar{F}_{(t)\mu r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{N}_t^{-1} \tilde{F}_{(t)\bar{1}r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\sqrt{A(r)}Q}{r^2}. \quad (41)$$

Note that since  $\bar{A}(r)$  and  $\bar{B}(r)$  are not inverse functions,  $\bar{F}_{(t_0)r0} = \sqrt{\bar{B}(r)}\bar{E}_{(t_0)r}$  does not take the Euclidean form. Besides, since from the above arguments we must have  $F_{(t)0r} = \xi_{(0)}\xi_{(r)}\bar{F}_{(t)0r} = (1 + \frac{v(r)}{c})^2\bar{F}_{(t)0r}$  [1], we get (using equation (39))

$$E_{(t)r} \equiv F_{(t)\perp r} \equiv -n_{(t)}^\mu F_{(t)\mu r} = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}}\right)\bar{F}_{(t)\perp r} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\sqrt{A(r)}Q}{r^2}. \quad (42)$$

We may now calculate the passive mass-energy density  $\rho_m^{(EM)}$  and pressure  $p^{(EM)}$  of the electromagnetic field as measured in the local inertial static frame. This yields

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} = \rho_m^{(EM)}c^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi}\bar{E}_{(t)r}\hat{E}_{(t)}^r = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4}, \quad (43)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\theta}^{(EM)\theta} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\phi}^{(EM)\phi} = -\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)r}^{(EM)r} = p^{(EM)} = \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)}. \quad (44)$$

On the other hand we can define the passive stress-energy tensor  $\mathcal{T}_t^{(EM)}$  of the electromagnetic field in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  from  $\mathbf{F}_t$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_{(t)\alpha\beta}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( F_{(t)\alpha}{}^\nu F_{(t)\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{(t)\sigma\rho} F_{(t)}^{\sigma\rho} g_{(t)\alpha\beta} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}}, \quad (45)$$

where the square root factor is necessary to ensure that the total amount of passive electromagnetic mass-energy is identical in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ . Using equation (45) we may then calculate

$$\mathcal{T}_{(t)\perp\perp}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} E_{(t)r} E_{(t)}^r \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} = \rho_m^{(EM)} c^2 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{h}_t}{h_t}} = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi r^4} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{v(r)}{c}}{1 + \frac{v(r)}{c}} \right), \quad (46)$$

and similar formulae for the other components of  $\mathcal{T}_t^{(EM)}$ .

## 4.2 The effects of cosmic expansion on electromagnetism

To see to what extent a classical, electromagnetically bound system is affected by the global cosmic expansion we may calculate the path of a charged test particle with mass  $m$  and charge  $q$  in the electric field of an isolated spherical charge  $Q$ . That is, we may use the metric family (37) where it is assumed that the gravitational field of the source is negligible, i.e.,  $B(r) = \bar{B}(r) = 1$ . We also set  $A(r) = \bar{A}(r) = 1$  since isolated systems make sense in the QMF only if  $r \ll ct_0$  [3]. Using equation (42) and the coordinate expression for  $\mathbf{u}_t$ , i.e.,  $u_{(t)}^\alpha \equiv \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau_t}$  where  $\tau_t$  is the proper time as measured along the path

of the test particle, equation (11) yields the components of the Lorentz force (neglecting radiative effects)

$$ma_{(t)}^r = \frac{t_0^3}{t^3} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t}, \quad ma_{(t)}^0 = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t}. \quad (47)$$

Furthermore, we may insert this result into the equations of motion (7). Confining the motion of the test particle to the equatorial plane  $\theta = \pi/2$  the result is

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau_t^2} - r \left( \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{ct} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t} = \frac{t_0^3}{t^3} \frac{qQ}{mr^2} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t}, \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{d^2 x^0}{d\tau_t^2} = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{qQ}{mr^2} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t}, \quad (49)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\tau_t^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \frac{dr}{d\tau_t} + \frac{1}{ct} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau_t} \frac{dx^0}{d\tau_t} = 0. \quad (50)$$

Equation (50) yields a constant of motion  $J$ , namely [3]

$$J \equiv \frac{t}{t_0} r^2 \frac{d\phi}{cd\tau_t}. \quad (51)$$

Now the Lorentz force term and the centrifugal term in equation (48) should scale similarly with respect to the factor  $\frac{t}{t_0}$ . This means that a solution of equations (48) and (49) should be of the form (neglecting terms of order  $\frac{r^2}{(ct_0)^2}$  and using the fact that  $x^0 = ct$  in a GTCS)

$$r(t) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{t_0}} \ell, \quad \frac{dx^0}{cd\tau_t} = 1 - \left( \frac{t_0}{t} \right)^{3/2} \frac{qQ}{2mcl} + \frac{t_0^3}{t^3} \frac{(qQ)^2}{8(mcl)^2} + \dots, \quad (52)$$

where  $\ell$  is a constant (note that  $qQ < 0$  since we have a bound system). We see from equation (52) that according to the QMF, a classical system bound solely by electromagnetic forces will experience an even larger secular expansion than a gravitationally bound system of the same size. However, an important difference is that the norm of the (passive) electromagnetic field is constant at a given distance from the source (as seen from equation (42)), whereas the gravitational field at a given distance from the source gets stronger due to the secular increase of active mass-energy [3].

This means that the effect of the cosmic expansion on the electromagnetic field is fundamentally different from its effect on the gravitational field. In particular it means that except for a global cosmic redshift not noticeable locally, the electromagnetic field is

unaffected by the global cosmic expansion, unlike the gravitational field. And even if it is predicted that a classical, electromagnetically bound system should experience cosmic expansion, this expansion implies that the potential energy of the system increases and that its kinetic energy decreases. But suitable interactions with other systems should then allow the system to return to its initial state. Moreover, for “small” systems radiative effects will dominate over the expansion effect by many orders of magnitude. This means that there is no reason to expect that quantum-mechanical systems such as atoms should increase their sizes due to the global cosmic expansion; rather one may expect that the expansion could perhaps induce spontaneous excitations. (To explore this possibility a quantum-mechanical calculation should be carried out.) For comparison, see reference [4] for the estimated effects of the cosmic expansion on an atom according to GR. On the other hand it is impossible to null out the effects of the cosmic expansion on a gravitational system via interactions with other systems [3].

## 5 Geometric optics in quasi-metric space-time

In this section we sketch how the fundamental laws of geometric optics are derived within the quasi-metric framework. Except from small changes these derivations may be done exactly as for the metric framework, see e.g. reference [5].

The main difference from the metric framework is that we are forced to include the effects of the cosmic expansion on the wavelength, the amplitude and the polarization of electromagnetic waves propagating through a source-free region of space-time. But the electromagnetic waves may still be taken to be locally monochromatic and plane-fronted.

Similarly to the metric case we start with a vector potential  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_t$  of the form shown in equation (10), i.e.

$$\bar{A}_t^\mu = \Re[|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t| \exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t) \bar{f}_t^\mu], \quad \bar{f}_t^\mu \equiv |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_t^\mu, \quad (53)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$  is the polarization vector family. Moreover the phase factor  $\bar{\vartheta}_t$  is defined by

$$\bar{\vartheta}_t \equiv \bar{k}_{(t)\alpha} x^\alpha, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} = \bar{\vartheta}_{t,\mu}, \quad (54)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$  is the wave vector family. Note that equations (53) and (54) are identical to those valid for the metric case except for the dependence on  $t$ . The  $t$ -dependence of  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$  is determined by how it is affected by the global cosmic expansion. That is, in the QMF there is a general cosmological redshift of electromagnetic radiation and this means that we must have (using a GTCS)

$$\bar{k}_{(t)0} = \frac{t_0}{t} \bar{k}_{(t_0)0}, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)j} = \bar{k}_{(t_0)j}, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 = \frac{t_0}{t} \frac{\bar{N}_{t_0}^2}{\bar{N}_t^2} \bar{k}_{(t_0)}^0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)}^j = \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} \frac{\bar{N}_{t_0}^2}{\bar{N}_t^2} \bar{k}_{(t_0)}^j. \quad (55)$$

The  $t$ -dependencies given in equations (10) and (55) imply that the covariant derivatives of  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$  and of  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$  in the  $t$ -direction are given by

$$\overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t = -\left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t}\right) \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t, \quad \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t = 0. \quad (56)$$

We now put equation (53) into the Lorentz gauge condition  $\bar{A}_{(t);\mu}^\mu = 0$  and then into Maxwell's equations (17) without sources. These calculations are done explicitly for the metric case in reference [5]. Since the derivations for the quasi-metric case are very similar we will not repeat them here. Rather we list the results, also very similar to those found for the metric case. Firstly, we find that

$$\bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{f}_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad \bar{k}_{(t)\mu;\nu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\nu = 0. \quad (57)$$

Selecting a suitable affine parameter  $\lambda$  along a light ray we have

$$\overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \equiv \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t + \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t. \quad (58)$$

Provided that we make the identification

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = \frac{t}{t_0} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu, \quad (59)$$

between the tangent vector along the light ray and  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ , equations (56), (57) and (58) yield (in component notation, using a GTCS)

$$\frac{d\bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu}{d\lambda} + \left( \overset{\star}{\Gamma}_{t\epsilon}^\mu \frac{dt}{d\lambda} + \overset{\star}{\Gamma}_{\nu\epsilon}^\mu \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right) \bar{k}_{(t)}^\epsilon = -\left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{\bar{N}_{t,t}}{\bar{N}_t} \right) \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \bar{k}_{(t)}^\mu. \quad (60)$$

Given equation (59), and if one makes a suitable change of parameter along the path for the case when  $\bar{N}_t$  depends on  $t$ , equation (60) is identical to the geodesic equation in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ . That is, we have derived from Maxwell's equations that light rays are null geodesics in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\mathbf{g}}_t)$ . But since any ‘‘physical’’ null vector is required to remain a null vector under the transformation  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ , we may write  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_t$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$  transforms in the same way as  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  (see equation (1)). Similarly, since the norm of  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$  is required to be constant under the transformation  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ , we may write  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_t$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_t$  transforms in the same way as  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t$ . Furthermore we may define a new phase factor  $\vartheta_t$  by

$$\vartheta_t \equiv k_{(t)\alpha} x^\alpha, \quad k_{(t)\mu} = \vartheta_{t,\mu}, \quad (61)$$

where the  $t$ -dependence of  $\mathbf{k}_t$  is given by (in a GTCS)

$$k_{(t)0} = \frac{t_0}{t} k_{(t_0)0}, \quad k_{(t)j} = k_{(t_0)j}, \quad k_{(t)}^0 = \frac{t_0}{t} k_{(t_0)}^0, \quad k_{(t)}^j = \frac{t_0^2}{t^2} k_{(t_0)}^j. \quad (62)$$

From equations (61), (62) and the definitions of  $\mathbf{k}_t$  and  $\mathbf{f}_t$  we get the counterparts to equation (57) in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$ , namely

$$k_{(t)\mu} f_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad k_{(t)\mu} k_{(t)}^\mu = 0, \quad k_{(t)\mu;\nu} k_{(t)}^\nu = 0. \quad (63)$$

Using the counterparts in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  to equations (56), (58) and (59) together with equations (62) and (63) it is now straightforward to derive the counterpart in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  to equation (60). Thus we have shown that light rays must be null geodesics in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  as well.

Secondly, we find that just as for the metric case we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t);\nu}^{\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{k}_{(t);\alpha}^{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{(t)}^{\mu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_t}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t \equiv c^{-1} \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t + \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}}^* \bar{\mathbf{f}}_t = 0, \quad (64)$$

where we have used equation (56) in the last step. That is, the polarization vector family is perpendicular to the light rays and parallel-transported along them. From equation (63) we see that the polarization vector family is perpendicular to the light rays in  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  as well. On the other hand there is no guarantee that  $\nabla_{\mathbf{k}_t} \mathbf{f}_t$  vanishes.

Thirdly, also just as for the metric case we have that

$$(|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\alpha})_{;\alpha} = 0, \quad \bar{\nabla} \cdot \left( \frac{t^3}{t_0^3} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{\mathbf{k}}_t \right) \equiv c^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^* \left( \frac{t^3}{t_0^3} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^0 \right) + \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}}^* \left( \frac{t^3}{t_0^3} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)}^{\alpha} \right) = 0, \quad (65)$$

or equivalently, that the volume integral  $\int \int \int |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3x$  has a constant value when integrating over the 3-volume cut out of the FHSs by a tube formed of light rays (such that no rays cross the tube walls). This is the law of conservation of photon number in geometric optics. And since  $\bar{k}_{(t)\perp} = k_{(t)\perp}$  the above integral may be written as  $\int \int \int |\mathcal{A}_t|^2 k_{(t)\perp} \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} d^3x$  where  $|\mathcal{A}_t|^2 \sqrt{\bar{h}_t} \equiv |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \sqrt{\bar{h}_t}$ . So we see that the law of conservation of photon number holds for  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{g}_t)$  also but with a different ‘‘density of light rays’’  $|\mathcal{A}_t|^2 k_{(t)\perp}$  on each FHS. However, the total number of light rays in the tube is the same.

The passive electromagnetic field tensor  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  has the same form as for the metric case, i.e.

$$\bar{F}_{(t)\mu\nu} = \Re[i|\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t| \exp(i\bar{\vartheta}_t) (\bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{f}_{(t)\nu} - \bar{f}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)\nu})]. \quad (66)$$

We may also find  $\mathbf{F}_t$  from  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_t$  just as  $\mathbf{g}_t$  is found from  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}_t$  (see equation (1)). Note that equation (23) is not necessary fulfilled since we are only dealing with passive aspects of light rays; including active aspects would imply going beyond the geometric optics approximation. That is, we have neglected the backreaction of light rays on the space-time geometry. This means that it is meaningful to set up the passive electromagnetic field stress-energy tensor  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$  but not its active counterpart. Thus, putting equation



(66) into the standard definition of  $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_t^{(EM)}$  we get the expressions (averaged over one wavelength)

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\mu\nu}^{(EM)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_t|^2 \bar{k}_{(t)\mu} \bar{k}_{(t)\nu}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{(t)\bar{1}\bar{1}}^{(EM)} = \frac{t_0^4}{t^4} \frac{1}{8\pi} |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{t_0}|^2 \bar{k}_{(t_0)\bar{1}}^2, \quad (67)$$

and similar expressions for the other projections. Note that we may also set up  $\mathcal{T}_t^{(EM)}$  using equation (45). However, as mentioned it is no point in setting up  $\mathbf{T}_t^{(EM)}$  since it will not be consistent with equation (23) in general.

## 6 Conclusion

In metric theory the nature of the cosmic expansion is kinematical. That is, to which degree a given system is influenced by the cosmic expansion is determined by dynamical laws subject to cosmological initial conditions. This means that in metric theory, bound systems may in principle be influenced by the cosmic expansion regardless of the nature of the force holding the system together. However, calculations show that the effect of the cosmic expansion on realistic local systems is totally negligible; see e.g. [4] and references listed therein. On the other hand, in the QMF the nature of the cosmic expansion is non-kinematical, meaning that the expansion is described as a secular global change of scale between gravitational and non-gravitational systems. That is, in the QMF the effects of the global cosmic expansion on gravitationally bound objects should be fundamentally different from its effects on objects solely bound by non-gravitational forces, e.g. electromagnetism.

In this paper we have shown that it is possible to formulate classical electrodynamics in a way consistent with the QMF and such that the nature of the cosmic expansion is directly seen in particular solutions of equations for the electromagnetic field in quasi-metric space-time. That is, we have shown that the effect of the cosmic expansion on an electromagnetically bound system is fundamentally different from its effect on a gravitationally bound system. This is in accordance with the assertion that classical systems bound solely by electromagnetic forces are in principle affected by the global cosmic expansion but such that these effects can be nulled out locally. Thus quasi-metric theory is consistent in this respect.

Furthermore we have shown that just as for metric theory, within the QMF it is possible to derive the fact that light rays move along geodesics from Maxwell's equations in curved space-time. Also valid within the QMF are the other two main results of geometric optics in curved space-time. It thus seems that the QMF represents a selfconsistent

framework within to do electromagnetism as well as relativistic gravity.

### **Acknowledgment**

I wish to thank Dr. Kåre Olaussen for making a review of the manuscript.

### **References**

- [1] D. Østvang, gr-qc/0112025 (2001).
- [2] D. Østvang, *Doctoral Thesis* (2001) (gr-qc/0111110).
- [3] D. Østvang, gr-qc/0201097 (2002).
- [4] W.B. Bonnor, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 1313 (1999).
- [5] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W.H. Freeman & Co. (1973).