Oskar Klein, the sixth dimension and the strength of a magnetic pole

A. Sandoval-Villalbazo^a, L.S. García-Colín^{b, c} and A.L. García-Perciante^d

^a Departamento de Física y Matemáticas,

Universidad Iberoamericana, México D.F. , 01210, México.

 b Departamento de Física, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana

México D.F., 09340 México

 c El Colegio Nacional, Centro Histórico 06020 México D.F., Mé
 xico E-Mail: lgcs@xanum.uam.mx

^d Center for plasma theory and computation, University of Wisconsin-Madison 1500 Engineering Drive, Madison, WI 53706 USAE-Mail: garciape@cae.wisc.edu

May 22, 2019

Abstract

This work extends to six dimensions the idea first proposed by Klein regarding a closed space in the context of a fifth dimension and its link to quantum theory. The main result is a formula that expresses the value of the characteristic length of the sixth dimension in terms of the strength of a magnetic monopole g. Possible consequences of the idea are discussed.

It is well known that the world lines of charged particles in the presence of gravitational and electromagnetic fields can be viewed as geodesics in the 5D space-time first proposed by T. Kaluza [1]. Kaluza's treatment was later improved by Klein in 1926 [2], in a quantum theoretical approach, giving rise to the so-called Kaluza-Klein theorety [4]. This formalism also makes possible to propose, based on plausible grounds, a simple formula that gives the value of the characteristic length of the fifth dimension in terms of fundamental constants of nature. The original Kaluza-Klein theories have, since the 1920's been the subject of further analysis that include generalizations to a larger number of dimensions [5], cosmological implications [6] and magnetic monopoles [7], most of them treated *a-la* Dirac [8].

This last subject deserves more attention. Recently [9], the authors have observed that a simple 6D generalization of Kaluza's 5D metric leads naturally to the geodesics of particles possessing (hypothetical) fundamental magnetic charges. Further, this 6D space-time reproduces Maxwell's equations in the presence of magnetic monopoles and allows the establishment of a wave equation for the vector potential, in the presence of point sources without using any singularity arguments [10]. In view of these facts, we have reanalyzed Klein's formalism in order to establish a link between the eigenvalue of the sixth dimensional quantum operator and the discrete nature of the hypothetical point charges. We also examine other physical features of the sixth dimension, such as its characteristic length.

We start this section observing that the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2x^{\alpha}}{dt^2} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\lambda}\frac{dx^{\beta}}{dt}\frac{dx^{\lambda}}{dt} = 0$$
(1)

contains the equation of motion of an electric-magnetic charged particle in the presence of a *static* electromagnetic field given that:

$$x^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} x^{1} \\ x^{2} \\ x^{3} \\ ct \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{o}G}} \frac{g}{m} ct \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{o}G}} \frac{g}{m} t \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)
$$\dot{x}^{\alpha} = \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{dt}$$
(3)

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -c\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}G\varphi & -\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}G\eta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -c\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}G\varphi & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}G & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

In Eq. (1), the indices α , β and γ run from 1 to 6 and the factors including ε_o and G are introduced strictly for dimensional reasons. Also, in Eqs. (2-4),

q is measured in Coulombs (C), $\varepsilon_o = 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \frac{c^2}{Nm^2}$, $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \frac{Nm^2}{kg^2}$ and $c = 3 \times 10^8 \frac{m}{s}$. Dimensional consistency requires a magnetic charge g measured $C\frac{m}{s}$. The metric (4) can easily be extended to include non-static fields [1]-[9], nevertheless, for the ideas here presented, the static field metric will be enough.

Following Klein [4], we write for the fifth and six dimensional momentums the equations:

$$p^5 = m\dot{x}^5 = \frac{qc}{m}\sqrt{\varepsilon_o G} \tag{5}$$

and

$$p^6 = m\dot{x}^6 = \frac{g}{m}\sqrt{\varepsilon_o G} \tag{6}$$

If we now apply the standard quantization rules to the quantities defined in Eqs. (5-6), then:

$$\frac{qc}{m}\sqrt{\varepsilon_o G} = \frac{N_q h}{l_5} \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{g}{m}\sqrt{\varepsilon_o G} = \frac{N_g h}{l_6} \tag{8}$$

where N_q and N_m are integer numbers, as required by the quantization of charge, and l_5 , l_6 represent the characteristic length of the compact, periodic fifth and six dimensions, respectively. Use has been made of Eq. (3).

If we now substitute the known electron charge value into Eq. (7), we find that $l_5 \cong 8 \times 10^{-33} \ (meters)$, in accordance with Klein [4]. The consequences of this idea later gave rise to the supersymmetric Kaluza-Klein theories [3]. Eq. (8) is, on the other hand, a natural extension of the Klein's arguments to the sixth dimension and expresses the idea that the characteristic length of the sixth dimension is inversely proportional to the value of the magnetic monopole strength g.

It is interesting to notice that, if we make the further assumption that both compact dimensions posses the same characteristic length, then g would be finite and simply given by g = qc. If otherwise, we assume a vanishing value for g, then l_6 would become to infinite. This idea seems consistent with the existence non-compact extra dimensions. On the other hand, if compactness is a property sought for dimensional spaces of d > 4, the existence of the still undetected magnetic monopole would favor the idea. Most work regarding Kaluza-Klein theory in the context of magnetic monopoles is based on Dirac's pioneering work [8]. It is important to notice that the present paper represents an independent approach to magnetic monopole physics that is not necessarily in conflict with Dirac's monopole.

It is the opinion of the authors that physical ideas leading to simple measurable properties of spaces of more than four dimensions must be pursued. Part of this work has already been introduced in the form of a Kaluza-Klein magnetohydrodynamical framework [11]. We believe that the present work is another step in this direction.

References

- [1] Th. Kaluza, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. **K1** (1921) 966
- [2] O. Klein; Z. Phys. **37**, 895 (1926)
- [3] L.O'Reifeartaigh and N. Straumann; Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 1 (2000).
- [4] O. Klein; Nature. **118**, 516 (1926)
- [5] See, for example, E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk; Phys. Lett. B. 76, 409 (1978)
- [6] See, for example, S. Randjbar-Daemi, A. Salam and J. Srtathdee; Phys. Lett. B. 13, 388 (1984)
- [7] See, for example, R. Sorkin; Phys. Rev. Lett. B. **51**, 87 (1983)
- [8] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. A133, 60(1931)
 P.A.M. Dirac; Phys. Rev. 74, 817 (1948)
- [9] A.L. García-Perciante, A. Sandoval-Villalbazo and L.S. García-Colín; J. Math. Phys. 42, 5785 (2001)
- [10] A. Sandoval-Villalbazo, A.L. García-Perciante and L.S. García-Colín; (2002) [astro-ph 0202030]
- [11] A. Sandoval-Villalbazo and L.S. García-Colín; Phys. of Plasmas 7, 4823 (2000)