On the possible effects of spacetime non-metricity on neutrino oscillations

M. Adak

Department of Physics, Pamukkale University, 20100 Denizli, Turkey madak@pamukkale.edu.tr

T. Dereli

College of Arts and Sciences, Koç University, 80910 Sarıyer, İstanbul, Turkey tdereli@ku.edu.tr

L. H. Ryder Department of Physics, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF, UK l.h.ryder@ukc.ac.uk

Abstract

The gravitational neutrino oscillation problem is studied by considering the Dirac Hamiltonian in a non-Riemann spacetime with torsion and nonmetricity and calculating the dynamical phase. We show that the nonmetricity contributes only implicitly to the oscillations.

1 Introduction

Neutrinos always attracted a lot of attention in high energy physics [1]. A major problem of interest at present is the solar neutrino problem. The Sun is a strong source of electron neutrinos ν_e because of the thermonuclear reactions taking place in its core. According to the standard solar model, the number of ν_e to be emitted from the Sun can be predicted. At the same time, the flux of electron neutrinos coming from the Sun can be measured on earth. The measured amount of ν_e is approximately one third of the predicted amount. Essentially, this is the so-called *solar neutrino problem*.

One well known solution to this problem is provided by the assumption of neutrino oscillations [1, 2]. Briefly stated, the neutrino oscillations imply that the electron neutrinos coming out of the Sun may be converted to other neutrino species, muon ν_{μ} and tau ν_{τ} during their journey towards the earth, assuming neutrinos to have a mass whereas the standard electroweak model asserts zero mass for them. It should also be noted that all the above arguments have been cast in Minkowski spacetime. However, we know that we live in a curved spacetime – perhaps even in a curved spacetime with torsion and non-metricity. Therefore, in more recent years, physicists have turned their attention to specifically gravitational contributions to neutrino oscillations – see [3],[4],[5],[6] and references therein. We recently investigated the effects of spacetime torsion on neutrino oscillations [7]- and see also [8][9]. The essence of this work is to calculate the dynamical phase of neutrinos, by finding the form of the Hamiltonian, H, from the Dirac equation in a non-Riemannian spacetime. The phase then follows from the formula

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H\psi \implies \psi(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int Hdt}\psi(0)$$
 (1)

where ψ is a Dirac 4-spinor and H is a 4×4 matrix. The Hamiltonian H will depend, for example, on momentum \vec{p} , and this is expressed not as a differential operator but simply as a vector. In this note we investigate within the same approach the possible effects of spacetime non-metricity on neutrino oscillations.

2 Dirac equation in spacetimes with torsion and non-metricity

A four dimensional spacetime consists of a differentiable manifold M equipped with a Lorentzian metric g and a linear connection ∇ that defines parallel transport of vectors and more generally spinors. Given an orthonormal basis $\{X_a\}$, the metric

$$g = \eta_{ab}e^a \otimes e^b \qquad a, b, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3 \tag{2}$$

where η_{ab} is a diagonal matrix with elements -1, 1, 1, 1 and $\{e^a\}$ is the orthonormal co-frame such that

$$i_a e^b = e^b(X_a) = \delta^b_a . aga{3}$$

We set the spacetime orientation by the choice $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$. The non-metricity 1forms, torsion 2-forms and curvature 2-forms are defined by the Cartan structure equations

$$2Q_{ab} := -D\eta_{ab} = \Lambda_{ab} + \Lambda_{ba} , \qquad (4)$$

$$T^a := De^a = de^a + \Lambda^a{}_b \wedge e^b , \qquad (5)$$

$$R^{a}{}_{b} := D\Lambda^{a}{}_{b} := d\Lambda^{a}{}_{b} + \Lambda^{a}{}_{c} \wedge \Lambda^{c}{}_{b} .$$

$$(6)$$

d, D, ι_a , * denote the exterior derivative, the covariant exterior derivative, the interior derivative and the Hodge star operator, respectively. The linear connection 1-forms can be decomposed in a unique way according to [10]:

$$\Lambda^{a}{}_{b} = \omega^{a}{}_{b} + K^{a}{}_{b} + q^{a}{}_{b} + Q^{a}{}_{b} \tag{7}$$

where $\omega^a{}_b$ are the Levi-Civita connection 1-forms, $K^a{}_b$ are the contortion 1-forms and $q^a{}_b$ are the anti-symmetric tensor 1-forms defined by

$$q_{ab} = -(i_a Q_{bc})e^c + (i_b Q_{ac})e^c .$$
(8)

The Dirac equation in a non-Riemannain spacetime with torsion and nonmetricity is written as [11],[12],[13]

$$^*\gamma \wedge D\psi + \mu^* 1\psi = 0 \tag{9}$$

in terms of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{3,1}$ -valued 1-forms $\gamma = \gamma^a e_a$ and $\mu = \frac{mc}{\hbar}$. We use the following Dirac matrices

$$\gamma^{0} = i \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{1} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{1} \\ -\sigma^{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\gamma^{2} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{2} \\ -\sigma^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{3} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{3} \\ -\sigma^{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

where σ^i are the Pauli matrices. ψ is a 4-component complex valued Dirac spinor whose covariant exterior derivative is given explicitly by

$$D\psi = d\psi + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{[ab]}\sigma_{ab}\psi + \frac{1}{4}Q\psi$$
(11)

where

$$\sigma_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b] \tag{12}$$

are the spin generators of the Lorentz group and the Weyl 1-form $Q=Q^a{}_a$.

Next we construct the Hamiltonian of a Dirac particle (i.e. a massive neutrino) of mass m in the background spacetime geometry of a heavy, static body of mass M such as the Sun that is described by the exterior Schwarzschild metric and small, constant torsion and non-metricity fields. In standard coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) the Schwarzschild metric is given by

$$g = -f^2 dt \otimes dt + \frac{1}{f^2} dr \otimes dr + r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi) .$$
 (13)

where $f^2 = 1 - \frac{2MG}{rc^2}$. With the choice of the orthonormal co-frame

$$e^0 = fcdt$$
 , $e^1 = \frac{1}{f}dr$, $e^2 = rd\theta$, $e^3 = r\sin\theta d\varphi$, (14)

we write the covariant exterior derivative

$$D\psi = \frac{e^{0}}{fc}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + e^{1}f\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r} + \frac{e^{2}}{r}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\theta} + \frac{e^{3}}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} - e^{0}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\sigma^{01}\psi - e^{2}\frac{f}{r}\sigma^{12}\psi - e^{3}\frac{f}{r}\sigma^{13}\psi - e^{3}\frac{\cot\theta}{r}\sigma^{23}\psi + \frac{e^{c}}{2}K_{c,ab}\sigma^{ab}\psi + \frac{e^{c}}{2}q_{c,ab}\sigma^{ab}\psi + \frac{e^{c}}{4}Q_{c}\psi$$
(15)

where $K_{ab} = K_{c,ab}e^c$, $q_{ab} = q_{c,ab}e^c$, $Q = Q_c e^c$. We calculate

$${}^{*}\gamma \wedge D\psi = -\frac{\gamma^{0}}{fc}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}{}^{*}1 - f\gamma^{1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r})\psi^{*}1$$
$$-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{r}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cot\theta}{2})\psi^{*}1 - \frac{\gamma^{3}}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi}{}^{*}1$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}K^{a,}{}_{ab}\gamma^{b}\psi^{*}1 + \frac{1}{4}K_{c,ab}\epsilon^{cabd}\gamma_{5}\gamma_{d}\psi^{*}1$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}q^{a,}{}_{ab}\gamma^{b}\psi^{*}1 - \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{a}Q_{a}\psi^{*}1 \qquad (16)$$

with $\gamma_5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$. In terms of the Lorentz irreducible components of the torsion tensor [13],[14] we can write contortion components

$$K^{a,}{}_{ab} = t^{a}{}_{b,a} + F_{b} , \qquad (17)$$

$$K_{[c,ab]} = \epsilon_{abcf} S^f . aga{18}$$

Similarly the relevant components of the non-metricity tensor can be written as

$$q^{a,}{}_{ab} = \frac{3}{4}Q_b - \Lambda_b \;. \tag{19}$$

After substituting (17)-(19) into (16) we write explicitly the Dirac equation with all the constants included:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = i\hbar c f^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 (\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r})\psi + i\hbar c f \frac{\gamma^0 \gamma^2}{r} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cot\theta}{2})\psi + i\hbar c f \frac{\gamma^0 \gamma^3}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\varphi} + i\hbar c f N_0 \psi - i\hbar c f N_i \gamma^0 \gamma^i \psi + \frac{3}{2}i\hbar c f S^a \gamma^0 (\gamma_5 \gamma_a)\psi - imc^2 f \gamma^0 \psi$$
(20)

where

$$N_a = -\frac{1}{2}(t^b{}_{a,b} + F_a + \frac{5}{4}Q_a - \Lambda_a) .$$
(21)

Under the definitions $\gamma^0 \gamma^i := -\alpha^i$ and $i\gamma^0 := -\beta$ and the use of the fact that

$$\gamma^0(\gamma_5\gamma_a)S^a = -\gamma_5 S^0 - i\vec{\Sigma}.\vec{S}$$
⁽²²⁾

with notation $S^a = (S^0, \vec{S})$ and

$$\vec{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0\\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$
(23)

the above becomes

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = f^2 c\alpha^1 \pi_r \psi + f c\alpha^2 \pi_\theta \psi + f c\alpha^3 \pi_\varphi \psi + f m c^2 \beta \psi -\frac{3}{2} i\hbar c f \gamma_5 S^0 \psi + \frac{3}{2} \hbar c f \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{S} \psi + i\hbar c f N_0 \psi$$
(24)

where we defined the canonical momenta

$$\pi_r := -i\hbar(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} - \frac{N^1}{f})$$
(25)

$$\pi_{\theta} := -\frac{i\hbar}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cot\theta}{2} - rN^2\right)$$
(26)

$$\pi_{\varphi} := -\frac{i\hbar}{r\sin\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} - r\sin\theta N^3\right).$$
(27)

When we compare the above with the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = H\psi \tag{28}$$

we deduce our final expression for the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H = f^{2}\alpha^{1}\pi_{r}c + f\alpha^{2}\pi_{\theta}c + f\alpha^{3}\pi_{\varphi}c + fmc^{2}\beta + \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf\vec{\Sigma}.\vec{S} - \frac{3}{2}i\hbar cfS^{0}\gamma_{5} - i\hbar cfN^{0}.$$
(29)

Note that the contributions of non-metricity occur only in the last term and implicitly in the definition of canonical momenta.

3 Neutrino oscillations

The way we approach the solar neutrino problem starts by writing down the Dirac equation in a static, spherically symmetric background spacetime geometry and finding phases corresponding to neutrino mass eigenstates, then finally calculating the phase differences among them. There are two cases of special interest: the azimuthal motion and the radial motion. The analysis of the azimuthal motion with $\vec{\pi} = (\pi_r, \pi_\theta, \pi_\varphi) = (0, 0, p)$ yields for ultrarelativistic neutrinos for which $pc \simeq E$ and $cdt \simeq Rd\varphi$, the phase for the spin up state

$$\Phi^{\uparrow} = \left\{\frac{E}{\hbar c} + \frac{m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar} + \frac{3}{2}S - iN^0\right\} f R \Delta \varphi \tag{30}$$

and similarly for the phase of the spin down state

$$\Phi^{\downarrow} = \left\{\frac{E}{\hbar c} + \frac{m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar} - \frac{3}{2}S - iN^0\right\} f R \Delta \varphi \tag{31}$$

where

$$S = \sqrt{\left(S^0 - S^3\right)^2 + \left(S^1\right)^2 + \left(S^2\right)^2} \,. \tag{32}$$

These phases alone do not have an absolute meaning; the quantities relevant for the interference pattern at the observation point of the neutrinos are the phase differences $\Delta \Phi = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1$ where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are the absolute phases of the neutrino mass eigenstates ν_1 and ν_2 . It is thus seen from equations (30) and (31) that the phase differences can have no explicit dependence on non-metricity for the azimuthal motion. However, one should note that non-metricity contributes to the total energy E.

The Hamiltonian for the radial motion on the other hand is obtained by the assumption $\vec{\pi} = (p, 0, 0)$ as follows

$$H = f^{2}pc\alpha^{1} + fmc^{2}\beta - \frac{3}{2}i\hbar cfS^{0}\gamma_{5} + \frac{3}{2}\hbar cf\vec{\Sigma}.\vec{S} - i\hbar cfN^{0}.$$
 (33)

In this case with the further assumptions $pc \simeq E$, $cdt \simeq dr$, $f = (1 - \frac{2MG}{rc^2})^{1/2} \simeq 1 - \frac{MG}{rc^2}$, $\Delta r = r_B - r_A$, where A and B refer respectively to the points of production and detection; hence r_A is the radius of the Sun and r_B is the distance from the center of the Sun to the surface of the Earth; the phases appropriate to the spin up and spin down particles are, respectively,

$$\Phi^{\uparrow} = \frac{E}{c\hbar}\Delta r - \frac{2MGE}{\hbar c^3} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A} + \frac{m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar}\Delta r + (\frac{3}{2}S - iN^0)(\Delta r - \frac{MG}{c^2} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A})$$
(34)

$$\Phi^{\downarrow} = \frac{E}{c\hbar}\Delta r - \frac{2MGE}{\hbar c^3} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A} + \frac{m^2 c^3}{2E\hbar}\Delta r$$
$$-(\frac{3}{2}S + iN^0)(\Delta r - \frac{MG}{c^2} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A})$$
(35)

where

$$S = \sqrt{\left(S^0 - S^1\right)^2 + \left(S^2\right)^2 + \left(S^3\right)^2} \,. \tag{36}$$

Therefore, we see that the phase differences for the radial motion as well have no explicit dependence on non-metricity.

4 Conclusion

We have here extended our recent study of gravitationally induced neutrino oscillations [7] by including the effects of spacetime non-metricity and as well as components of torsion other than the axial ones. We have seen that while there is a contribution coming from the axial components of spacetime torsion depending on the polarizations of the spin states of the mass eigenstates, neither the nonmetricity nor the non-axial components of torsion contribute explicitly. Their contributions are implicit in equations (25) and (27) and in the assumption of $E \simeq pc$ in both the azimuthal and radial motions. Finally, we note that all these possible contributions discussed would be of the order of the Planck scales and wouldn't suffice to account for the observed solar neutrino deficit.

5 Acknowledgement

This work has been supported in part by TUBITAK (Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey).

References

- [1] W. C. Haxton, B. R. Holstein, Amer. J. Phys. 68, 15 (2000).
- [2] S. M. Bilenky, S. T. Petcov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 671 (1987).
- [3] D. V. Ahluwalia, C. Burgard, Gen. Rel. Grav. 28, 1161 (1996).
- [4] D. Piriz, M. Roy, J. Wudka, *Phys. Rev.* **D54**, 1587 (1996).
- [5] Y. Grossman, H. J. Lipkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D55**, 2760 (1997).
- [6] C. Y. Cardall, G. M. Fuller, *Phys. Rev.* **D55**, 7960 (1997).
- [7] M. Adak, T. Dereli, L. H. Ryder, *Class. Q. Grav.* 18, 1503 (2001).
- [8] V. DeSabbata, M. Gasperini, *Nuo. Cim.* **65A**, 479 (1981).
- [9] M. Alimohammadi, A. Shariati, Mod. Phys. Lett. A14, 267 (1999).
- [10] T. Dereli, M. Onder, J. Schray, R. W. Tucker, C. Wang, Class. Q. Grav. 13, L103 (1996).
- [11] Y. Kosmann, C.R. Acad. Sci. 262 289, 394 (1966).
- [12] D. J. Hurley, M. A. Vandyck, Geometry, Spinors, and Applications, (Praxis-Springer, 2000).
- [13] M. Adak, T. Dereli, L. H. Ryder, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D12**, 21 (2003).
- [14] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, Y. Ne'eman Phys. Rep. 258, 1 (1995).