

Open static Chaplygin universe

I.M. Khalatnikov^{1,2,3} and A.Yu. Kamenshchik^{1,2}

¹L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics of Russian Academy of Sciences, Kosygin str. 2, 117334, Moscow, Russia

²Landau Network - Centro Volta, Villa Olmo, via Cantoni 1, 22100 Como, Italy

³Tel Aviv University, Raymond and Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, School of Physics and Astronomy, Ramat Aviv, 69978, Israel

Abstract

We apply the qualitative theory of dynamical systems to the study of the Chaplygin gas cosmological model. In difference to earlier works devoted to this model we give up sign restrictions on the choice of the sign of the energy density and on the parameters characterising initial conditions for a cosmological evolution. It appears that exists a static stable open universe filled with the Chaplygin gas. Besides exist universes where the acoustic waves have a velocity exceeding that of light in the vacuum.

PACS: 04.20-q; 04.20.Dw

Keywords: Qualitative cosmology, Chaplygin gas, static universe

1 Introduction

The Einstein equations represent a very complicated system of partial derivatives non-linear differential equations. Fortunately, the majority of interesting cosmological models belong to the class of the so called homogeneous ones, for which the dynamical equations can be reduced to systems of ordinary differential equations. Hence to the cosmology could be applied the qualitative theory of dynamical systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

In this note we apply the qualitative cosmology analysis to the Chaplygin gas cosmological model [6] which has become rather a popular during last two years due to some of its particular features. We show that the application of qualitative methods allows to reveal some other unexpected properties of this model, which have remained unnoticed until now. The main of these features is the existence of a stable static open universe filled with the Chaplygin gas.

Under the Chaplygin gas one means a perfect fluid satisfying the following equation of state:

$$p = -\frac{A}{\varepsilon}, \quad (1)$$

where p is pressure, ε is energy density and A is a positive constant. This equation of state, introduced in a aerodynamical context [7] has raised recently a renewed interest due to its unexpected connections with the string and brane theories [8] (for a thorough review see also Ref. [9]).

Considering the Friedmann universe with the metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - R^2(t)dl^2, \quad (2)$$

and resolving the energy conservation equation

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -3\frac{\dot{R}}{R}(\varepsilon + p) \quad (3)$$

for this universe filled with the Chaplygin gas with the equation of state (1) one comes to the following expression for the energy density ε as a function of the cosmological radius R [6]:

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{A + \frac{B}{R^6}}. \quad (4)$$

Here B is an integration constant, which was chosen to be positive. Such a choice of the sign of the constant B was motivated by the fact [6] that the energy density (4) at small values of R behaves as a dust like matter while at large values of R it mimics a cosmological constant. Thus, the evolution of the Friedmann universe filled with the Chaplygin gas manifests a transition from a decelerated expansion of the Universe to the phase of accelerated expansion discovered recently due to observations of luminosity of type Ia supernovae [10].

Exactly this property of the Chaplygin gas cosmological model and of its simple generalisations was basic for theoretical works dedicated to its possible

role as a candidate for a dark energy [6, 11, 12, 13]. The works devoted to comparison of Chaplygin gas cosmological models with observations [14] also were carried out inside of this scheme.

Here we not only give up the suggestion about the positivity of the constant B in Eq. (4), but also consider both the positive and negative values for the energy density ε . Indeed, it is easy to understand that the negative sign before the square root in Eq. (4) is compatible with the Chaplygin gas state equation (1) and the energy conservation law (3). In the second section of this letter we consider the qualitative cosmology of universes filled with the Chaplygin gas and in the last section we discuss some possible physical interpretations of obtained results.

2 Qualitative cosmology of universes filled with the Chaplygin gas

It is convenient to use such a normalisation of the Einstein equations that the Friedmann equation for isotropic universe has the form

$$\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{k}{R^2} = \varepsilon, \quad (5)$$

where k can take values $-1, 0$ and $+1$ which correspond to open, flat and closed universes respectively. We shall consider a dynamical system including two variables: the density energy ε and the Hubble variable h defined as usual as

$$h \equiv \frac{\dot{R}}{R}. \quad (6)$$

The dynamical system now has the following form:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -3h(\varepsilon + p), \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{h} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{3p}{2} - h^2. \quad (8)$$

These equations first studied by Khalatnikov in Ref. [1, 2, 5] can be easily generalised for the case of $d + 1$ dimensional isotropic cosmological model

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -dh(\varepsilon + p), \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{h} = -\frac{(d-2)\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{dp}{2} - h^2. \quad (10)$$

Substituting the pressure p from Eq. (1) into Eqs. (7), (8) one has

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -3h \left(\varepsilon - \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$\dot{h} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{3A}{2\varepsilon} - h^2. \quad (12)$$

One can easily check that a first integral of this system is

$$h^2 - \varepsilon = C(\varepsilon^2 - A)^{1/3}, \quad (13)$$

where C is an arbitrary constant. The form of equations (11), (12) does not depend on the geometry of the universe. The same is true also for the first integral (13). This first integral is equivalent to the Friedmann equation (5) and there is a simple relation between the constants C , B and the curvature signature k :

$$C = -kB^{-1/3}. \quad (14)$$

Thus, the positive values of the constant C correspond to the open universes with positive B (that means that the energy density ε cannot be less than \sqrt{A}) or to the closed universes with negative B (i.e. with the energy density that cannot be greater than \sqrt{A}). Similarly, the negative values of the constant C correspond to the closed universes with positive B or to the open universes with negative B . The value $C = 0$ correspond to the flat universes.

The results of the qualitative analysis of the dynamical system (11), (12) are presented in Fig. 1. We consider a phase plane (ε, h) where coordinate ε and h can accept all real values. All the solutions corresponding to the flat universe are located on a parabolic curve

$$h^2 = \varepsilon. \quad (15)$$

The trajectories going inside the curve (15) correspond to closed universes while those moving outside of this curve correspond to open universes. The vertical line

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{A} \quad (16)$$

separate the solutions with positive value of the parameter B from those with negative value. The same role plays the vertical line

$$\varepsilon = -\sqrt{A} \quad (17)$$




Figure 1: Phase portrait of the isotropic cosmological model of a universe filled with the Chaplygin gas.

for the half-plane corresponding to negative values of the variable ε . Infinitely remote points of the plane (ε, p) correspond to a standard cosmological singularity (cf. Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4]).

A vertical line

$$\varepsilon = 0 \tag{18}$$

also corresponds to a cosmological singularity because as one can see from Eq. (12) the derivative of the Hubble variable \dot{h} and hence the curvature tensor of the universe become singular despite the fact that the Hubble variable h and the cosmological radius R have finite values.

Now, let us find the singular points of the dynamical system (11), (12). The right-hand side of Eq. (11) can be equal to zero either at $h = 0$ or at $\varepsilon^2 = A$. Let us consider first the case when $\varepsilon = \sqrt{A}$. Substituting this

relation into Eq. (12) one can find the values of the Hubble parameter h providing the vanishing of its right-hand side, which are equal to $\pm A^{1/4}$. Thus, singular points denoted in Fig. 1 by the letters N_1 and N_2 have the following coordinates:

$$N_1 : \quad \varepsilon = \sqrt{A}, \quad h = A^{1/4}, \quad (19)$$

$$N_2 : \quad \varepsilon = \sqrt{A}, \quad h = -A^{1/4}. \quad (20)$$

A linearised system of equations (11), (12) in the neighbourhood of the point N_1 can be presented as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}} &= -6A^{1/4}\tilde{\varepsilon}, \\ \dot{\tilde{h}} &= -2\tilde{\varepsilon} - 2A^{1/4}\tilde{h}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ and \tilde{h} are the deviations of the variables ε and h from their values at the point N_1 . Both the eigenvalues of the linear system (21) are negative ($-6A^{1/4}$, $-2A^{1/4}$) and hence, the point N_1 is a stable (attractive) node. This point lies on the parabolic curve (15) corresponding to the flat universe but it plays a role of a limit point also for open and closed universes. Indeed, the relation (5) can be satisfied in the point N_1 for $k = \pm 1$ provided $R = \infty$. As a matter of fact this point represents expanding de Sitter universe with the cosmological constant equal to \sqrt{A} . Quite analogously one can show that the point N_2 is an unstable (repulsive) node describing contracting de Sitter universe.

Choosing the value $\varepsilon = -\sqrt{A}$ which also makes the right-hand side of Eq. (11) equal to zero one can see that there are no real values of h which make the right-hand side of Eq. (12) equal to zero.

At $h = 0$ the right-hand side of Eq. (11) is equal to zero and to cancel the right-hand side of Eq. (12) one should choose $\varepsilon = \pm\sqrt{3A}$. The point S (see Fig. 1) has the following coordinates :

$$S : \quad \varepsilon = \sqrt{3A}, \quad h = 0. \quad (22)$$

The linearised system of equations in the vicinity of this point is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}} &= -2\sqrt{3A}\tilde{h}, \\ \dot{\tilde{h}} &= -\tilde{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The eigenvalues of this system have opposite signs ($\pm(12A)^{1/4}$) and this point is a saddle. It describes stationary unstable universe which is quite similar to

the Einstein static universe [33] filled with dust and cosmological constant. The static closed universe filled with Chaplygin gas was described in paper [6], where also its radius was found:

$$R_0 = (3A)^{-1/4}. \quad (24)$$

The most interesting is in a certain sense the singular point F whose coordinates are

$$F : \quad \varepsilon = -\sqrt{3A}, \quad h = 0. \quad (25)$$

The linearised system of equations in the vicinity of this point looks like

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}} &= 2\sqrt{3A}\tilde{h}, \\ \dot{\tilde{h}} &= -\tilde{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The eigenvalues of this system are purely imaginary ($\pm i(12A)^{1/4}$) and to classify the nature of the special point F , we should take into account the terms of the next order of the perturbation theory in the neighbourhood of this point:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}} &= 2\sqrt{3A}\tilde{h} - 4\tilde{h}\tilde{\varepsilon}, \\ \dot{\tilde{h}} &= -\tilde{\varepsilon} - \tilde{h}^2 - \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}^2}{2\sqrt{3A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The negative sign of the second-order corrections to the system (26) points out that the point F is a stable focus. Thus, the point F describes a *stable* static open universe. Its curvature radius again is given by Eq. (24).

Now we are in a position to describe a behaviour of trajectories in all the plane (ε, h) (see Fig. 1). The part of the parabolic curve (15) with $h > 0, \varepsilon \geq \sqrt{A}$ corresponds to the flat universes expanding towards the stable de Sitter node N_1 , while the part of the same curve with $h < 0, \varepsilon \geq \sqrt{A}$ describes the flat universes contracting from the unstable de Sitter node towards the singularity. The trajectories moving in the region I confined by the separatrices N_2N_1, N_2S and SN_1 correspond to the closed universes contracting from the unstable de Sitter node N_2 towards the stable de Sitter node N_1 .

The trajectories situated in the region II confined by the upper branch of the parabolic curve (15), by the separatrix SN_1 and by the separatrix going from the cosmological singularity to the saddle point S , correspond to the

closed universes expanding from the cosmological singularity to the stable de Sitter node N_1 . Quite similarly the trajectories located in the region *III* correspond to the closed universes contracting from the unstable de Sitter node towards the singularity. The region *IV*, whose boundaries are the separatrix coming out from the saddle S and going to the singularity and other one coming out the singularity and approaching the saddle, is covered by the trajectories describing the closed universes which begin its expansion from the cosmological singularity, reach a point of maximal expansion and then collapse. Notice also that the separatrix N_2N_1 describes the closed universe with the value of the parameter $B = 0$, that is the de Sitter universe which begin contraction from the unstable de Sitter node N_2 reaches the point of minimal contraction with the radius $R = A^{1/4}$ and then re-expands towards the stable de Sitter node N_1 .

The trajectories of the region *V* which lies over the upper branch of the curve (15) and to the right from the vertical line (16), describe the open universes expanding towards the stable de Sitter node N_1 . Similarly the trajectories of the region *VI* represent the open universes contracting from the node N_2 towards the cosmological singularity.

Now, we turn to the “exotic” part of the phase plane which is located to the left from the vertical line (16). All the trajectories situated in the strip between two verticals (16) and (17) correspond to the choice of the negative sign for the parameter B in Eq. (4). No trajectory can intersect these verticals. First of all let us consider the region *VII*, whose boundaries are the separatrix N_2N_1 and the part of the curve (15) with $\varepsilon \leq \sqrt{A}$. The trajectories running in this region describe the closed universes which begin its contraction from the unstable node N_2 reach a point of minimal contraction, or, in other words undergo a bounce and then begin re-expansion towards the stable node N_1 . These universes are different from those from the region *I* because their energy is growing during the expansion and diminishing during the contraction.

The trajectories running in the region *IX* confined between the vertical lines (16) and (18) and the lower branch of the parabolic curve (15) describe the open universes which begin their motion at the unstable de Sitter node N_2 and end in the singularity $\varepsilon = 0$. As have already been explained above the zero value of the energy density corresponds to the singularity because in this case the time derivative of the Hubble variable h and hence the components of the curvature tensor become infinite. Let us describe the fate of the universe reaching the singularity in more detail. A trajectory characterised by some

negative value of the parameter B reaches the line (18) having the value of the cosmological radius $R_B = (-B/A)^{1/6}$ and the value of the Hubble variable $h_B = -(-A/B)^{1/6}$. Both the right-hand sides of Eqs. (11) and (12) are infinite at this point but their ratio is finite and equal to

$$\frac{\dot{h}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2h_B}. \quad (28)$$

Thus, the trajectory enters into the singularity under a finite angle, but it cannot cross the line (18), because the components of a vector field $(\dot{\varepsilon}, \dot{h})$ change their signs when ε changes its sign. In the region *VIII* the picture is quite symmetric. The trajectories go out the cosmological singularity and then expands towards the stable de Sitter node N_1 .

Notice that no trajectory describing open universe going towards the singularity in the region *IX* or born at the singularity of the region *VIII* cannot achieve the point O with the coordinates $O : \varepsilon = 0, h = 0$, because it would require infinite value of the parameter B . The trajectories going along the curve (15) describe flat universes contracting from the unstable DeSitter node N_2 towards the point O belonging to the singularity line (18). The particularity of the evolution of this trajectories consists in the fact that the sign of the vector field $(\dot{\varepsilon}, \dot{h})$ is not changed at the crossing of this point. That means that the flat universe passes through the singularity at the point O , which plays for it the role of the point of minimal contraction and then begin expansion towards the stable de Sitter node N_1 .

The region *X* located between the vertical lines (18) and (17) contains the trajectories corresponding to the open universes which have rather a simple kind of evolution: they go out of the singularity at some finite value of the cosmological radius and of the Hubble variable, expand reaching some point of maximal expansion and begin contraction which ends in the cosmological singularity at the same finite value of the cosmological radius, which they had at the beginning of their evolution.

Finally, the region *XI*, which corresponds to positive values of the parameter B and to the choice of the negative sign for the energy density, contains the unique singular point F , which is a stable focus. All the trajectories running in this region tends to this focus. As we have already noticed, this focus describes a stable static open universe.

3 Discussion

The qualitative behaviour of the universes whose evolution is described by trajectories running in the regions *I, II, III, IV, V* and *VI*, i.e. by trajectories which are determined by a choice of the positive sign for the energy density ε and for the parameter B , was described in somewhat different terms in paper [6]. This behaviour basically coincides with the behaviour of the universes filled by a cosmological constant and by dust or by other type of a perfect fluid with a barotropic equation of state $p = \gamma\varepsilon$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ which was described in papers [1, 2, 5]. The new features arise in the regions *VII, VIII, IX, X* and *XI*, where one or both ε and B becomes negative. As was already emphasised before the vertical lines (16), (17) and (18), which separate the areas with different signs of ε and B cannot be crossed by the trajectories and these areas correspond to different “worlds” or to different physical situations. While the interpretation of the traditional area with universes which have a positive energy density and a positive value of the parameter B is clear, it is more complicated for other areas.

Indeed, in the regions situated between two verticals (16) and (17) the velocity of sound defined as usually as

$$v_s^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{A}{A + \frac{B}{R^6}} \quad (29)$$

is greater than the velocity of light at $B < 0$. Notice, that an opportunity to define a real velocity of sound was one of attractive features of the Chaplygin gas model in comparison with the pure cosmological constant, whose equation of state is $p = -\varepsilon$. The physics of acoustic waves in a universe filled with a Chaplygin gas with $B < 0$ is, in such a way a very particular one and it is not clear if it can have some cosmological applications.

However, one cannot say that phenomena of superluminal propagation in general and the acoustic waves, which have velocity exceeding that of light, in particular, are unknown in the modern physics, both theoretical and experimental. Moreover, their study has rather a long history and undergoes the period of intensive development just now (see, recent reviews [15, 16, 17]). Notice, that the opportunity of existence of superluminal effects in some dispersive media was considered already by Zommerfeld and Brillouin [18]. The five types of velocities (phase velocity, group velocity, energy-transfer velocity, signal velocity and wavefront velocity) were introduced [18] and it was shown that in a dispersive medium both the group velocity and phase velocity

can exceed the velocity of light in vacuum. At the same time the wavefront velocity in examples considered in [18] is exactly equal to the velocity of light in the vacuum. Consideration of effects of quantum polarization in the presence of gravitational field transforms also the QED vacuum into a dispersive medium [19, 20, 17]. An explicit example of the photon propagation in the Bondi-Sachs metrics, where the wavefront velocity of light becomes superluminal was considered in [20]. Another important superluminal phenomenon is the Sharnhorst effect [21], which predicts the faster-than- c photon propagation in the Casimir vacuum (i.e. in a cavity between two perfectly conducting boundaries) due to higher order QED corrections. It is important that also in this case the signal velocity is higher than that of light in a vacuum. It is necessary to add also that predicted many years ago propagation of light pulses with a group velocity higher than c was recently observed experimentally [22].

As far as concerned sound waves with velocity exceeding that of light in vacuum its study also has a long history. In old papers by Bludman and Ruderman [23] the possibility of existence of speed of sound exceeding the speed of light in ultradense matter was considered. Some examples of classical theories (many-particle model with Yukawa-type repulsion and non-linear scalar field theories) were presented. The conditions of conservation of causality in these models were analysed. Notice that the ultradense matter considered in [23] was not only superluminal in the sense that the speed of sound exceeded that of light $\partial p/\partial \varepsilon > 1$ but also ultrabaric, i.e. satisfying the condition $p > \varepsilon$, where both pressure and energy density were positive. This clearly distinguishes the situation of ultradense matter from that of the universe filled with the Chaplygin gas, where pressure and energy density have opposite signs.

The situation when the sound velocity exceeds the speed of light can be realized also in media where light moves very slowly. The experimental observation of “light brought to standstill” in laboratory [24] has attracted a significant interest. For example, in Ref. [25] it was supposed that the description of effects of slow light requires a development of a “theory of quantum catastrophes” and some experiments having a direct relation to a phenomenon of Hawking radiation [26] were suggested.

The most interesting from our point of view are investigations connected with superluminal behaviour in unstable media. The first example of such medium - a one-dimensional lattice of pendulums connected with springs - was considered by Aharonov, Komar and Susskind [27]. This system is superluminal for modes oscillating about the point of unstable equilib-

rium. Recently has appeared a number of papers devoted to the superluminal propagation of wave packets in media with inverted atomic populations [28, 29, 30, 31]. One of the most thoroughly studied systems of nonlinear optics, a metastable system of inverted two-level atoms was considered and it was shown that in the case of steady state inverted media (i.e. in the media with constant pumping) [28] and in unstable media without pumping [30] exists some kind of tachyonlike excitations or superluminal propagation of wave packets. The effect of superluminal propagation in media with inverted populations can be explained in the following way [29]: when a medium is in a ground state it is necessary to have an initial transfer of energy from the wave to the medium to change its characteristics (for example, to polarize it), providing in such a way the passage of the wave packet which will be retarded. However, when the medium possesses an inverted population, energy is contained in the medium, thus allowing an initial transfer of energy from it to the wave. The transmitted wave packet is now advanced instead of to be retarded and that leads to the possibility of superluminal propagation.

Now, we shall try to trace a curious analogy between superluminal propagation of wave packets in media with inverted atomic populations and superluminal acoustic waves existing in a Chaplygin universe, described by regions *VII*, *VIII*, *IX*, *X* in our figure. Indeed, a medium with inverted atomic populations could be considered as a thermodynamical system with a negative temperature. Below we give some arguments in favour of statement that a universe filled with the Chaplygin gas with the negative constant B can be treated as one having a negative temperature too. To come to this conclusion let us combine the energy conservation condition (3) with the second law of thermodynamics, i.e. with the statement that exists the integrating factor $1/T$ which transforms the expression $d(\varepsilon R^3) + pd(R^3)$ into the differential of the function which is called entropy (see, e.g. [32])

$$dS = \frac{1}{T}(d(\varepsilon R^3) + pd(R^3)), \quad (30)$$

where T is nothing else but the temperature. It is easy to show that to satisfy the condition (30) it is necessary to require that

$$\frac{dT}{T} = \frac{dp}{\varepsilon + p}. \quad (31)$$

For the Chaplygin gas model this equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dT}{T} = \frac{Ad\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon + p)}. \quad (32)$$

A naive integration of this equation gives

$$T = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{B + AR^6}}. \quad (33)$$

One sees that the temperature (33) tends to zero when the cosmological radius $R \rightarrow \infty$ and it seems to be reasonable. We add another plausible suggestion: the temperature should grow when the energy density is growing. In this case one should chose the positive sign in the right-hand side of Eq. (33) for the positive values of the constant B and the negative sign for the case when $B < 0$. The negativity of the temperature of the Chaplygin gas for the case of negative values of B reflects thermodynamical instability of the corresponding models of the universe and in such a way makes the existence of the superluminal acoustic waves more understandable. Naturally, the notion of the temperature for the Chaplygin universe discussed above, seems to be somewhat speculative, however, it can appear rather stimulating being considered in a wider context of the study of superluminal phenomena.

As far as concerned the region XI with its only singular point - a stable focus F , the situation looks more clear. Indeed, the traditional Einstein model [33] and its modifications treat the static model which has three essential features: it is closed, it is unstable and for its realisation its necessary to have both - some kind of a dust-like matter (or other barotropic fluid) and a positive cosmological constant. The universe corresponding to the point F is different in all three aspects: it is open, it is stable and to realise it is enough to have the only fluid - the Chaplygin gas. The price which one has to pay for creating such a model of a stable static universe is the negativity of the energy density ε accompanied by the positivity of the pressure. However, it is not disappointing because such a situation is under study in modern cosmology, where one can consider negative cosmological constant, when negative energy and positive pressure arise [34]. Moreover, a negative cosmological constant is connected directly with anti-de Sitter universes, which have a special role in modern quantum field theory and string theories [35]. One can add also that in the framework of superstring theories or M theory it is more natural to have a negative cosmological constant while observational data [10] testify that an effective cosmological constant or other type of dark energy in a real universe is positive. There are exist different approaches to the resolution of this contradiction. One can for example reconcile the existence of a negative cosmological constant with observation provided that other type of matter is responsible for the present cosmic acceleration (see, e.g. [36]).

One can consider another opportunity: one can suppose that a fundamental multidimensional spacetime has anti-de Sitter geometry while an effective four-dimensional spacetime is Minkowski- or de Sitter- type universe. Indeed, it is well known that one can have a multidimensional anti-de Sitter universe whose four-dimensional projections could represent Minkowski or de Sitter or anti-de Sitter spacetimes. Moreover, the idea of five-dimensional anti-de Sitter space with four-dimensional spacetime foliations whose geometry depends on the fifth coordinate (so called “warped” geometry) [37] has convincingly manifested its fruitfulness.

Now, let us notice, that all qualitative analysis of the Chaplygin gas cosmology developed in this letter can be easily generalised for the case of multidimensional universes and the structure of the phase space presented in Fig. 1 with its singular points and trajectories is not changed. Indeed, as was already noticed in the preceding section, Khalatnikov’s equations (7) and (8) can be generalised for the case of a $(d + 1)$ - dimensional spacetime giving Eqs. (9) and (10). Then the system of equations for the $(d + 1)$ - dimensional Chaplygin universe has the form

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -dh \left(\varepsilon - \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (34)$$

$$\dot{h} = -\frac{(d-2)\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{dA}{2\varepsilon} - h^2. \quad (35)$$

The stationary point F , which we are interested in, has the coordinates:

$$F : \quad \varepsilon = -\sqrt{\frac{dA}{d-2}}, \quad h = 0. \quad (36)$$

In the neighbourhood of the point F the dynamical system (34), (35) should be again written down up to the quadratic terms and looks as follows:

$$\dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}} = 2d\sqrt{\frac{A}{d(d-2)}}\tilde{h} - (d-2)\tilde{h}\tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad (37)$$

$$\dot{\tilde{h}} = -(d-2)\tilde{\varepsilon} - \frac{(d-2)^{3/2}}{2(dA)^{1/2}}\tilde{\varepsilon}^2 - \tilde{h}^2. \quad (38)$$

Analysing the system (37) and (38) it is easy to show that the point F with the coordinates (36) is again a stable focus.

Thus, we have seen that the stable open Chaplygin universe exists in the spacetime of arbitrary dimensionality. To our mind, the idea of the stable

static open multidimensional universe with a negative energy density and with a geometry a little bit different from the well-studied anti-de Sitter geometry can be promising and its properties are worth of further studying.

This work was supported by RFBR via grants No 02-02-16817 and 00-15-96699 and by the International Scientific Program "Relativistic Astrophysics" by the Ministry of Industry, Science and Technology of the Russian Federation. The authors are grateful to A.A. Starobinsky for useful discussions.

References

- [1] I.M. Khalatnikov and V.A. Belinsky, Qualitative Cosmology, in *Problems of Modern Experimental and Theoretical Physics, dedicated to Yu.B. Khariton*, (Ed. A.P. Alexandrov, Nauka, Leningrad, 1984, in Russian), 299-322.
- [2] I.M. Khalatnikov, Qualitative Cosmology, in *Current topics in Astrofundamental Physics, 1 course, Erice, Italy, 1-8 Sept. 1991*, (Eds. N. Sanchez and A. Zichichi, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992), 47-66.
- [3] V.A. Belinsky, L.P. Grishchuk, Ya. B. Zeldovich and I.M. Khalatnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 62 (1985) 195.
- [4] V.A. Belinsky and I.M. Khalatnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 66 (1987) 441.
- [5] V.A. Belinsky and I.M. Khalatnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 42 (1976) 205; E.S. Nikomarov and I.M. Khalatnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 48 (1978) 592; V.A. Belinsky, E.S. Nikomarov and I.M. Khalatnikov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 50 (1979) 213.
- [6] A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, *Phys. Lett. B* 511 (2001) 265.
- [7] S. Chaplygin, *Sci. Mem. Moscow Univ. Math. Phys.* 21 (1904) 1.
- [8] J. Goldstone, unpublished; M. Bordemann and J. Hoppe, *Phys. Lett. B* 317 (1993) 315; J. Hoppe, hep-th/9311059.
- [9] R. Jackiw, A particle field theorist's lectures on supersymmetric, non-abelian fluid mechanics and D-branes, physics/0010042.

- [10] S.J. Perlmutter et al, *Astroph. J.* 517 (1999) 565; A. Riess et al, *Astron. J.* 116 (1998) 1009; N.A. Bahcall, J.P. Ostriker, S. Perlmutter and P.J. Steinhardt, *Science* 284 (1999) 1481.
- [11] N.Bilic, G.B. Tupper and R. Viollier, *Phys. Lett. B* 535 (2002) 17.
- [12] J.S. Fabris, S.V. Goncalves and P.E. de Souza, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 34 (2002) 53.
- [13] M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami and A.A. Sen, *Phys. Rev. D* 66 (2002) 043507.
- [14] J.S. Fabris, S.V. Goncalves and P.E. de Souza, Fitting the Supernova type Ia data with the Chaplygin gas, astro-ph/0207430; P.P. Avelino, L.M.G. Beca, J.P.M. de Carvalho, C.J.A.P. Martins and P. Pinto, *Phys. Rev.D* 67 (2003) 023511; A. Dev, J.S. Alcaniz and D. Jain, *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 023515; V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik and U. Moschella, Can the Chaplygin gas be a plausible model for dark energy? , astro-ph/0209395; M. Makler, S. Quinet de Oliveira and I. Waga, Constraints on the generalized Chaplygin gas from supernovae observations, astro-ph/0209486; M.C. Bento, O. Bertolami and A.A. Sen, Generalized Chaplygin gas and CMBR constraints, astro-ph/0210468; J.S. Alcaniz, D. Jain and A. Dev, High-redshift objects and the generalized Chaplygin gas, astro-ph/0210476; D. Carturan and F. Finelli, Cosmological effects of a class of fluid dark energy models, astro-ph/0211626; U. Alam, V. Sahni, T.D. Saini and A.A. Starobinsky, Exploring the expanding universe and dark energy using the statefinder diagnostic, astro-ph/0303009.
- [15] E. Recami, *Found.Phys.*31 (2001) 1119.
- [16] S. Liberati, S. Sonego and M. Visser, *Annals Phys.* 298 (2002) 167.
- [17] G.M. Shore, Causality and superluminal light, gr-qc/0302116.
- [18] L. Brillouin, *Wave Propagation and Group Velocity* (Academic, New York, 1960).
- [19] I.T. Drummond and S. Hathrell, *Phys. Rev. D* 22 (1980) 343.
- [20] G.M. Shore, *Nucl. Phys. B* 605 (2001) 455.

- [21] K. Sharnhorst, Phys. Lett. B 236 (1990) 354; G. Barton, Phys. Lett. B 237 (1990) 559; G. Barton and K. Sharnhorst, J. Phys. A 26 (1993) 2037.
- [22] D. Mugnai, A. Ranfagni and R. Ruggeri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 4830; L.J. Wang, A. Kuzmich and A. Dogarlu, Nature 406 (2000) 277.
- [23] S.A. Bludman and M.A. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. 170 (1968) 1176; M. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. 172 (1968) 1286; S.A. Bludman and M.A. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. D 1 (1979) 3243.
- [24] Ch. Liu, Z. Dutton, C.H. Behroozi and L.V. Hau, Nature 409 (2001) 490; D.F. Phillips, A. Fleischhauer, A. Mair, R.L. Walsworth and M.D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 783; Z. Dutton, M. Budde, C. Slowe and L.V. Hau, Science 293 (2001) 663.
- [25] U. Leonhardt, Nature 415 (2002) 406.
- [26] S.W. Hawking, Nature 248 (1974) 30.
- [27] Y. Aharonov, A. Komar and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. 182 (1969) 1400.
- [28] R.Y. Chiao, Phys. Rev. A 48 (1993) R34.
- [29] R.Y. Chiao and J. Boyce, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 3383.
- [30] R. Chiao, A.E. Kozhokin and G. Kurizki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1254.
- [31] Y. Aharonov, B. Reznik and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 2190.
- [32] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology (Wiley, New York , 1972).
- [33] A. Einstein, Sitz. Preuss. Acad. Wiss. (1917) 142.
- [34] A.Yu.Kamenshchik, I.M.Khalatnikov and A.V.Toporensky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 7 (1998) 129; A.Yu. Kamenshchik, I.M. Khalatnikov, S.V. Savchenko and A.V.Toporensky, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 123516; G. Felder, A. Frolov, L. Kofman and A. Linde, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 023507.

- [35] J. M. Maldacena, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* 2 (1998) 231; E. Witten, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.*2 (1998) 253; S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett. B* 428 (1998) 105.
- [36] G. Gibbons, Thoughts on tachyon cosmology, hep-th/0301117; R. Kallosh, A. Linde, S. Prokushkin and M. Shmakova, *Phys. Rev. D*66 (2002) 123503; R. Kallosh and A. Linde, *JCAP*02(2003)002; U. Alam, V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, Is dark energy decaying?, astro-ph/0302302.
- [37] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 3370; 4922.