

Non-Linear Relativity in Position Space

Dagny Kinkerly, João Magueijó and João Medeiros

The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine

We propose two methods for obtaining the dual of non-linear relativity as previously formulated in momentum space. In the first we allow for the (dual) position space to acquire a non-linear representation of the Lorentz group independently of the chosen representation in momentum space. This requires a non-linear definition for the invariant contraction between momentum and position spaces. The second approach, instead, respects the linearity of the invariant contraction. This fully fixes the dual of momentum space and dictates a set of energy-dependent space-time Lorentz transformations. We discuss a variety of physical implications that would distinguish these two strategies. We also show how they point to two rather distinct formulations of theories of gravity with an invariant energy and/or length scale.

I. INTRODUCTION

A number of problems and paradoxes in cosmology (e.g. [1]) and in quantum gravity (e.g. [2]) suggest that it may be desirable to relax the postulate of the constancy of the speed of light. The question then arises as to what the fate of the other basic idea behind special relativity { the relativity of inertial motion { is. Perhaps a preferred frame is to be introduced in these theories. But, it is also possible that the principle of relativity is honoured even if the speed of light is no longer a constant (see [3] for a discussion of all options).

An example of the latter view point is the work of [4, 6], who have derived a group of transformations in position space (sometimes called the Fock-Lorentz transformations) which incorporate the principle of relativity into a theory that does not assume a constant c . Later it was shown in [2] that such a construction is a non-linear representation of the Lorentz group, and a similar set up was found in momentum space. Given that deformed dispersion relations (i.e. departures from $E^2 - p^2 = m^2$) provide for observational input into these theories, a momentum space formulation seems preferable. It was shown in [7] that it is possible to set up non-linear realizations of the Lorentz group in implementing a general deformed dispersion relation. Thus for any energy dependence of c it is possible to fully preserve the Lorentz algebra.

However, a major drawback of the momentum space approach is that recovering a position space description is highly non-trivial. With loss of linearity, duals no longer mimic one another. That is, vectors no longer transform according to the inverse linear transformation of co-vectors.

This is not merely a formal annoyance, as the physical interpretation of many results obtained in momentum space can only be fully assessed when the relation to position space is clarified. For instance, as recently discussed [8, 10], one may have trouble defining physical velocity in these theories. The primary definition of velocity should

be the "space-time" velocity:

$$v = \frac{dx}{dt}; \quad (1)$$

which is the relevant quantity in gamma-ray timing experiments [11]. It has been argued that the Hamiltonian expression for velocity,

$$v = \frac{dE}{dp} \quad (2)$$

should be preserved, as this is the group velocity in normal circumstances. However, this has never been generally proved (with [8] even claiming that this leads to contradictions; see also [10]). Clearly this matter can only be settled when one rediscovers position space from the usual momentum space formulation, and the answer will depend on the particular construction of position space employed.

In this paper we propose two possible approaches to formulating a position space for non-linear relativity. Although they may be mutually exclusive and lead to different physical effects, they are viable realizations within the current experimental bounds.

In the first approach we propose a particular transformation map, V , which acts directly on space-time coordinates, generating a non-linear representation of the Lorentz Group in position space. Physical implications come not only from the analysis of this non-linear representation, but also from comparing and combining it with an analogous representation in momentum space derived previously [2]. The crucial idea in this approach is that position and momentum spaces are essentially independent and may realize whichever non-linear representation of the Lorentz group one chooses to impose. To accommodate this freedom, whilst preserving invariance, the contraction between position and momenta coordinates must assume a non-linear form.

The second approach imposes a strong physical requirement capable of fully fixing position space (X) from

momentum space (P), namely that field theories should have plane wave solutions with momenta satisfying a given set of deformed dispersion relations (such as the ones proposed in [2]). This is equivalent to requiring that the contraction between momenta and positions remains linear. It is found that this requirement leads to Lorentz transformations in position space that are energy and momentum dependent. That is, the transformations cannot be realized purely in space-time, but rather in 8-dimensional phase space (X, P).

The simplicity of the first approach is attractive and less of a mental hurdle, since it keeps the dimensionality of our physical space to four and maintains that either position or momentum coordinates can be used to describe it. However, the 8-dimensional phase space realization seems more elegant, as it is dressed with the familiar linear scalar product, and in all circumstances reduces, at low energies and large distances (as compared to the Planck scale), to the comfort of Einstein's Special Theory of Relativity. It is only near the tumultuous Planck scale that a 'mixing' of coordinates, due to the energy dependent space-time Lorentz transformations, occurs. This should hardly be surprising since at these scales our physical theories have proved inadequate.

II. CONSTRUCTING NON-LINEAR SPECIAL RELATIVITY IN POSITION SPACE

It was shown in [7] how to introduce a general non-linear representation of the action of the Lorentz group in momentum space, corresponding to given deformed dispersion relations. A formally identical procedure can be applied in position space. The non-linearity that arises in doing so destroys translational invariance. Thus, all considerations in this section are to be seen as statements made in reference to small displacements about any point, in the same sense that free falling frames in general relativity correspond to local neighbourhoods. The position space in this section is thus comprised of a set of coordinates dx^a rather than global coordinates x^a .

The fact that in our construction lengths or durations smaller than l_p or t_p (the Planck length and time) will be removed (thus leading to a quantized space and time) makes a further qualification necessary. The volume immediately around the origin may not exist, so that conventional concepts of differentiability are lost; yet, all coordinates are to be seen as distances referring to a generic point of origin. In order to make this clear the coordinates x^a will at times be written as x^a (instead of dx^a) as they refer to small but not infinitesimal distances with respect to the origin.

In the ensuing discussion we shall set $\frac{v}{c}$ (the low-energy/ long-distance limit of the speed of light) equal to one and the metric signature $(-; +; +; +)$ is used.

A. The Non-linear Lorentz Transformations

In analogy with [7] we may adapt non-linear realizations of the Lorentz group to position space by means of a transformation V such that the new generators of the Lorentz group with respect to space-time coordinates are

$$K^i = V^{-1} L_0^i V; \quad (3)$$

where

$$L_{ab} = x_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} - x_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \quad (4)$$

are the standard Lorentz generators. Exponentiation of these generators reveals a non-linear realization of the group.

An application of this procedure is demonstrated in the transformations proposed by Fock, Maniá, and Stepanov [4][6]. They may be obtained from

$$V(x^a) = x^a \frac{1}{t + R = c_0}; \quad (5)$$

leading to a non-linear representation of the Lorentz group given by

$$t^0 = \frac{t \frac{v}{c_0}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{v_0 t}{R} + \frac{v}{R c_0} \right)} \quad (6)$$

$$r_{jj}^0 = \frac{r_{jj} \frac{v}{c_0} t}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{v_0 t}{R} + \frac{v}{R c_0} \right)} \quad (7)$$

$$r_?^0 = \frac{r_?}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{v_0 t}{R} + \frac{v}{R c_0} \right)}; \quad (8)$$

Given that the invariant length R is large instead of small these transformations don't seem to play a role in quantum gravity. We now discuss conditions upon V leading to more interesting transformations.

Whereas the pure momentum space formulation of Non-Linear Special Relativity treats, in addition to the speed of light, the Planck energy, E_p , as an invariant, we now require that the Planck time, t_p , be an invariant instead. The only invariant times in linear relativity occur at zero and infinity, which implies for the non-linear case that $V(t_p) = 0$ and $V(\infty) = 1$ should hold. However, we want the range of the transformed time coordinates, $V(t)$, to cover the domain of the boost transformations for all values of the boost parameter v (with $v < 1$, in accordance with the existence of the speed of light as the maximal velocity). That is, the range of $V(t)$ should be $[0; 1]$. In addition we need $V \rightarrow 1$ in the $t \rightarrow t_p$ limit such that the ordinary Lorentz transformations are recovered for large t . Combining these conditions implies that $V(t_p) = 0$ is the correct condition. Note that in momentum space, on the other hand, the appropriate condition was $U(E_p) = 1$.

The simplest theory we found with these properties is generated by the transformation

$$V(x^a) = \bar{x}^a = x^a - 1 \frac{t_p}{t}; \quad (9)$$

which can be written as the exponential operator

$$V = e^{-\frac{t_p}{t} D}; \quad (10)$$

where $D = x^a @_a$ is a dilatation. The boost generators then take the form

$$K^i = L_0^i - \frac{t_p x^i}{t^2} D; \quad (11)$$

which, in turn, induce a non-linear representation of a Lorentz boost in the x^i -direction given by

$$t^0 = (t - vx^i) - 1 \frac{t_p}{t} + t_p \quad (12)$$

$$x^{i0} = (x^i - vt) - 1 \frac{t_p}{t} + \frac{t_p}{(t - vx^i)}; \quad (13)$$

Note that the deformation of the Lorentz boosts has caused the loss of CPT-symmetry. If, however, we wish to enforce time reversal symmetry ($t \rightarrow -t$), we may replace $t_p = -t$ by $t_p = t$, without affecting the arguments posited in this paper. In either case we see that durations smaller than t_p have been removed from the theory.

Recalling that these coordinates are to be seen as small but finite differences referred to the origin (so that t is really a t), one may now set $x^i = x^i - 1$ (some fixed distance from the origin) in (12), to find the time dilation formula:

$$t^0 = (t - \frac{x^i}{t_p}) + t_p; \quad (14)$$

It follows directly that the Planck time is an invariant duration (for all l). Time durations smaller than t_p are non-physical, hence the concept of exact simultaneity has become meaningless. Concomitantly, lengths (which are spatial separations evaluated at the same time) depend on how we define simultaneity. Thus, instead of deriving the Lorentz contraction formula with respect to exact simultaneity, we let t represent a fixed course-grained duration T , to which we refer length measures. The resulting Lorentz contraction formula, obtained from (12) and (13) with this prescription, does not have a simple analytic form, but is straightforward to implement numerically. Naturally $T \ll t_p$, so that lengths smaller than l_p also become unphysical in this theory.

Finally note that the finite space-time invariant is now

$$s^2 = (t - \frac{x^i}{t_p})^2 - x^i^2 - 1 \frac{t_p^2}{t}; \quad (15)$$

so that Planck durations become null.

B. The contraction between Position and Momentum

In constructing this space-time realization we have not made any connection, beyond analogy, between

the V -transformation acting in space-time and the U -transformation acting on energy and momentum [2]. Indeed, in this approach, we assume that U and V are independent non-linear transformations. Naturally this requires that the contraction between infinitesimal displacements (position) and momentum be non-linear, for it to remain invariant. The contraction should be defined as

$$\langle p; x \rangle = U(p_a) V(x^a); \quad (16)$$

for a non-linear action in momentum space generated by

$$K^i = U^{-1} [p_0] L_0^i U [p_0]; \quad (17)$$

where $L_{ab} = p_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p^b} - p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a}$ are the standard Lorentz generators. The invariance of the contraction (16) can then be derived from (3) and (17).

We illustrate this point with a particular choice of U , previously proposed in [2]:

$$U(p_a) = \frac{p_a}{1 - \frac{p_0}{l_p E}}; \quad (18)$$

where l_p is the Planck length, the inverse of the Planck energy E_p . We will use l_p and E_p^{-1} interchangeably throughout the paper. Note that U can be written as the exponential operator $U = e^{l_p p_0 D}$ for the dilatation $D = p^a @_a$. With this choice of U and the proposed V the invariant contraction is:

$$\langle p_a; x^a \rangle = \frac{1 - \frac{t_p}{t}}{1 - \frac{p_0}{l_p E}} (E - t p - x) \quad (19)$$

C. Some physical implications

We start by deriving the counterpart of the usual relation

$$p^a = m \frac{dx^a}{d} \quad (20)$$

starting from:

$$U(p^a) = m \frac{dV(x^a)}{d}; \quad (21)$$

where m is the invariant mass defined by $m^2 = {}^{ab}U(p_a)U(p_b)$, and

$$d^2 = {}^{ab}V(x^a)V(x^b) \quad (22)$$

is the space-time invariant given explicitly in the previous section. From this relation we find

$$U(E) = \frac{m}{1 - \frac{v}{c}} \quad (23)$$

$$U(p) = \frac{m v}{1 - \frac{v}{c}} \quad (24)$$

with

$$\mathbf{v}^i = \frac{dV(x^i)}{dV(x^0)}; \quad (25)$$

For the particular V transformation above this gives

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^i \left(1 - \frac{t_p}{t} + x^i \frac{t_p}{t^2} \right); \quad (26)$$

Close to the origin (which is a general assumption) we have

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^i \left(1 - \frac{t_p}{t} \right); \quad (27)$$

which is equivalent to replacing c in the usual formulae of linear special relativity by

$$c = \frac{c_0}{1 - \frac{t_p}{t}}; \quad (28)$$

For $t = t_p$ we have an infinite speed of light, consistent with the Galilean invariance of time.

However, this is a formal speed of light (i.e. the " c " to be put in the usual dynamical equations in order to reproduce the new ones). The space-time speed of light should be obtained by setting $d^2 = 0$ in (22). This only depends on the V transformation and therefore can never depend on the energy. In particular for the choices (9) or (5) the speed of light is always a constant. In general the speed of light can only depend on the length or time scale, but not on the energy or momentum. Consequently in this approach the Hamiltonian relation (2) can never be true except in the trivial case of constant c .

Note that the results in this subsection confirm and generalize previous work. By setting V to (5) one obtains the formulae in [5]. By setting $V = 1$ and U to (18) we get $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, so that

$$E = \frac{m_v}{1 + \frac{m_v}{E_p}}; \quad (29)$$

$$p = \frac{m_v v}{1 + \frac{m_v}{E_p}}; \quad (30)$$

with $m_v = m$, as proposed in [2].

III. CONSTRUCTING NON-LINEAR POSITION SPACE UNIQUELY FROM MOMENTUM SPACE

As an alternative to the above treatment, we can use the momentum space formulation to uniquely fix the transformation laws in position space by imposing appropriately strong physical requirements.

One such physical criterion is that there be plane wave solutions to field theories based upon non-linear relativity. That is, solutions of the form

$$= A e^{i p_a x^a} \quad (31)$$

where the energy and momentum p_a satisfy deformed dispersion relations. This is equivalent to requiring that the field theory be set up by stating that the dynamical field equations may be obtained by the replacement

$$p_a \rightarrow i \partial_a \quad (32)$$

applied to the dispersion relations. For example, if the momentum space formulation is based upon the invariant:

$$\frac{E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2}{(1 - \mathbf{p} p_0)^2} = m^2 \quad (33)$$

we would have for the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\partial_a \frac{\partial_a}{1 - i \partial_p \partial_0} + m^2 = 0; \quad (34)$$

which is linear in ∂_a , and has plane wave solutions $= A e^{i p_a x^a}$ with p_a satisfying the dispersion relations (33). This can be obtained from the Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \frac{\partial_a}{1 + i \partial_p \partial_0} + m^2 x^2; \quad (35)$$

but in this formulation it is not always easy to identify the Lagrangian of the theory.

Another example is the U chosen in [2]. In this case the Klein-Gordon equation is

$$\partial_a \frac{\partial_a}{1 - i \partial_p \partial_0} + m^2 = 0; \quad (36)$$

Again there are plane wave solutions with p_a satisfying

$$\frac{\partial_a p_a p_b}{(1 - \mathbf{p} p_0)^2} = m^2; \quad (37)$$

Thus, this field theory realizes the deformed dispersion relations proposed for relativistic particles.

A. Energy dependent Lorentz transformations for space-time

This criterion is sufficient to fix the transformation laws of space-time from those of momentum space because for this construction to be invariant the contraction between momenta p_a and displacements around the origin dx^a must remain linear, such that $p_a dx^a$ remains a scalar. Indeed, if there are plane wave solutions for scalar fields then the linear contraction must be an invariant.

The fact that the contraction remains linear fully fixes the form of the space-time Lorentz transformations. But now the space-time Lorentz transformations are energy dependent. Let us consider the general dispersion relation [7]:

$$E^2 f^2(E) - \mathbf{p}^2 g^2(E) = m^2; \quad (38)$$

from which we obtain the U map

$$U(E, \mathbf{p}) = (E f(E); \mathbf{p}_i g(E)) : \quad (39)$$

The linearizing map acting on position coordinates must therefore be

$$U(dt; dx) = \left(\frac{dt}{f(E)}; \frac{dx^i}{g(E)} \right) : \quad (40)$$

Then we obtain

$$U(p_a)U(dx^a) = p_a dx^a \quad (41)$$

and the linear contraction remains invariant, as required.

Once we have the linear map U acting in position space (as in (40)), we know that the deformed Lorentz transformations acting upon dx can be obtained from linear transformations acting upon $U(dx)$. Thus we find:

$$dt^0 = dt \sqrt{\frac{f(E)}{g(E)}} \frac{f(E^0)}{f(E)} \quad (42)$$

$$dx^0 = dx \sqrt{\frac{g(E)}{f(E)}} \frac{g(E^0)}{g(E)} \quad (43)$$

$$dy^0 = dy \frac{g(E^0)}{g(E)} \quad (44)$$

$$dz^0 = dz \frac{g(E^0)}{g(E)}; \quad (45)$$

where E^0 is the Lorentz boosted energy. Hence the space-time Lorentz transformations now depend upon the energy, and space-time has become intrinsically mixed with energy-momentum.

These transformations have the invariant:

$$ds^2 = g_{ab} U(dx^a)U(dx^b) = \frac{dt^2}{f^2} + \frac{(dx^i)^2}{g^2}; \quad (46)$$

so that the metric is energy dependent, with components:

$$g_{ab}(E) = \text{diag}[f^2(E); g^2(E); g^2(E); g^2(E)] \quad (47)$$

In order to preserve $g_{ab}g^{bc} = \delta_a^c$ we should also have the inverse metric:

$$g^{ab}(E) = \text{diag}[f^2(E); g^2(E); g^2(E); g^2(E)] \quad (48)$$

Notice that in the low energy limit ($E \rightarrow 1$) we have $g_{ab} = g^{ab}$.

B. Some physical implications

We now consider some physical implications that unambiguously distinguish this approach from the one in the previous Section. Eqn. (21) (with $V = 1$) still leads to (23) and (24) but now the "velocity" to be used is

$$\mathbf{v}^i = \frac{dU(x^i)}{dU(x^0)} = v^i \frac{f(E)}{g(E)} \quad (49)$$

This is energy-dependent, in contrast with the result of the previous section. However when $f = g$, we have $\mathbf{v}^i = v^i$, so that once again expressions (29) and (30) are correct for the U proposed in [2].

We next note that for light rays we have in general an energy-dependent speed (in contrast with the previous section). We can set $ds^2 = 0$ in Eq. (46) to find that the coordinate speed of light is

$$c(E) = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{g(E)}{f(E)} : \quad (50)$$

From the deformed dispersion relation (with $m = 0$) this gives

$$c(E) = \frac{E}{p} \quad (51)$$

in contradiction with the usual Hamiltonian expression

$$c = \frac{dE}{dp} : \quad (52)$$

Thus, in this formalism we have an energy-dependent speed of light, but its speed is given by $E = p$, not $dE = dp$.

Finally from (42) one may easily read off a formula for the time dilation:

$$t^0 = \frac{f(E^0)}{f(E)} \quad (53)$$

and another for the Lorentz contraction:

$$x^0 = \frac{x g(E^0)}{g(E)} \quad (54)$$

These are quite distinct from the formulae derived in the previous section. Obviously no length or time period is invariant in this formulation.

C. An example

As an application of this formalism we now consider the form of U chosen in [2]. In this case it was found that energy and momentum boosts in the x -direction assume the form

$$E^0 = \frac{(E - v p_x)}{(1 + (v/c)^2 E^2 - v^2 p_x^2)} \quad (55)$$

$$p_x^0 = \frac{(p_x - v E)}{(1 + (v/c)^2 E^2 - v^2 p_x^2)} \quad (56)$$

$$p_y^0 = \frac{p_y}{(1 + (v/c)^2 E^2 - v^2 p_x^2)} \quad (57)$$

$$p_z^0 = \frac{p_z}{(1 + (v/c)^2 E^2 - v^2 p_x^2)} \quad (58)$$

and the energy-momentum invariant is (37), from which one can read off the forms of the functions f and g . Thus we find that

$$dt^0 = (dt - v dx) [1 + (1) \frac{E}{p} \frac{v p_x}{c^2}] \quad (59)$$

$$dx^0 = (dx - v dt) [1 + (1) \frac{E}{p} \frac{v p_x}{c^2}] \quad (60)$$

$$dy^0 = dy [1 + (1) \frac{E}{p} \frac{v p_x}{c^2}] \quad (61)$$

$$dz^0 = dz [1 + (1) \frac{E}{p} \frac{v p_x}{c^2}] \quad (62)$$

For the physically extreme case of a Planck energy particle ($p_0/c = 1$) at rest we have $p_a = (E_p; 0; 0; 0)$, so that

$$dt^0 = \gamma^2 (dt - v dx) \quad (63)$$

$$dx^0 = \gamma^2 (dx - v dt) \quad (64)$$

$$dy^0 = dy \quad (65)$$

$$dz^0 = dz \quad (66)$$

which approach infinity faster than regular Lorentz boosts by a factor of γ as $v \rightarrow c$. Note also the presence of a transverse effect, absent in the usual theory, and that we don't see the emergence of an invariant time scale in this formalism, even though the energy E_p is indeed an invariant. This is to be contrasted with the approach in the previous section.

The formula for time dilation in this example is:

$$t^0 = t [1 + (1) \frac{E}{p} \frac{v p_x}{c^2}]; \quad (67)$$

and the expression for Lorentz contraction is:

$$l^0 = \frac{l}{\gamma} [1 + (1) \frac{E}{p} \frac{v p_x}{c^2}]; \quad (68)$$

IV. WEIGHING THE OPTIONS

We presented two distinct ways to define position space in non-linear special relativity. They contradict each other, and are by no means exhaustive. The first construction allows for any non-linear representation of the Lorentz group to be introduced independently in position and momentum space. A non-linear contraction then carries the burden of enforcing invariance. In the second construction, on the contrary, we let the non-linear realization of the Lorentz group in momentum space fully fix space-time by requiring that the contraction remain linear. The result is an energy-dependent space-time.

More general constructions can also be used. For instance we could set up non-linear transformations using a position and momentum dependent generating function $U(x;p)$ acting on the full eight-dimensional phase space. We feel that it is up to experiment to decide which, if any, of these constructions has been chosen by nature. To this end, we have derived distinguishing physical implications for our constructions, namely the effect upon time dilation and speed of light (defined as a space-time quantity). In a future paper [15] we shall explore further physical implications of these opposite approaches, namely the law of addition of velocities and the issue of the existence of a maximal acceleration.

In closing we remark that the second approach proposed in this paper simplifies enormously the definition of a theory of gravity based on non-linear special relativity. None of the "doubly special" theories have so far been formulated to incorporate space-time curvature, and thus gravitation. A related issue is the fate of the metric structure of space-time in these theories, and by extension, in quantum gravity.

It has been suggested [2] that non-linear realizations of the Lorentz group (in real space) have no quadratic invariant, and thus no metric. At high energy (or at small distances) the concept of metric simply disintegrates. However, given that the structure constants of the symmetry algebra are left unchanged (we are merely changing representation), it could be that much of the usual connection-based construction of general relativity could still be salvaged. This program is the first of our constructions, but it has not, so far, been accomplished.

Curiously, a much simpler program emerges if we adopt the second of our constructions. In that case, the space-time transformations remain linear, albeit energy-dependent, and so retain a quadratic invariant. An energy-dependent metric can still be defined, such that in some sense, the metric "runs" with the energy. This approach leads to a very simple extension of general relativity, capable of accommodating doubly special relativity, and is explored further in [14].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Chris Isham for having made us take the plunge leading to the second approach described in this paper. JM is grateful to Giovanni Amelino-Camelia, Jurk Kowalski-Gilman and Lee Smolin, for many interesting conversations and also to Max Tegmark for a comment which inspired the field theory formulation in the second part of this paper.

-
- [1] A. A. Ibriecht and J. Magueijb, Phys. Rev. D 59, 043516 (1999).
 - [2] J. Magueijb and L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (190403) 2002.
 - [3] G. Amelino-Camelia, Phys. Lett. B 510 255-263, 2001; also gr-qc/0012051.
 - [4] V. Fock, The theory of space-time and gravitation, Pergamon Press, 1964.
 - [5] S. N. Anida, gr-qc/9905046.
 - [6] S. S. Stepanov, physics/9909009, astro-ph/9909311.
 - [7] J. Magueijb and L. Smolin, gr-qc/0207085.
 - [8] J. Rembielinski and K. Smolinski, hep-th/0207031.
 - [9] P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka, hep-th/0211057.
 - [10] S. Mignemi, hep-th/0302065.

- [1] G. Amelino-Camelia, et al, Nature 393:763-765,1998; G. Amelino-Camelia and S. Majid, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15, 4301-4324, 2000; G. Amelino-Camelia, gr-qc/0212002.
- [2] N. R. Bruno, G. Amelino-Camelia, J. Kowalski-Glikman, Phys.Lett.B 522:133-138,2001.
- [3] G. Amelino-Camelia, hep-th/0201245.
- [4] J. Magueij and L. Smolin, "Gravity's rainbow", preprint.
- [5] D. Kimberly, J. Magueij and J. Medeiros, in preparation.