

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Tidal effects cannot be absent in a vacuum

Norbert Van den Bergh

Faculty of Applied Sciences TW16, Gent University, Galglaan 2, 9000 Gent, Belgium

Abstract. It is shown that there are no vacuum space-times (with or without cosmological constant) for which the Weyl-tensor is purely gravito-magnetic with respect to a congruence of freely falling observers.

PACS numbers: 0420

1. Introduction

Non-conformally flat space-times for which the metric is an exact solution of the Einstein field equations

$$G_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = T_{ab} \quad (1)$$

and in which there exists a family of observers with 4-velocity u^a ($u_a u^a = -1$) such that the gravito-electric (or tidal) part of the Weyl-tensor vanishes,

$$E_{ac} \equiv C_{abcd}u^b u^d = 0, \quad (2)$$

are called purely gravito-magnetic space-times. They are remarkable as the remaining gravito-magnetic part of the Weyl-tensor,

$$H_{ac} \equiv C_{abcd}^* u^b u^d, \quad (3)$$

does not appear in the equation of geodesic deviation, which implies that in a purely gravito-magnetic vacuum a congruence of observers would exist for which the geodesic deviation would be identically zero:

$$\frac{D^2 \xi}{d\tau^2} \equiv \mathbf{E} \cdot \xi = 0 \quad (4)$$

It has been conjectured that purely gravito-magnetic vacuum space-times simply do not exist [1, 2], but so far a complete proof has not been given. A partial proof exists for the special cases where the Petrov type is D [1], or where the timelike congruence \mathbf{u} is shear-free [3] or normal [4]. The latter results extend earlier work on normal and shear-free congruences [5] and were generalised recently also to space-times in which there are less stringent restrictions on the shear and vorticity tensors [6]. A clear indication that the field equations for a purely gravito-magnetic vacuum probably are not consistent when the congruence is geodesic, was given in [2], where it was shown that for a dust

filled universe (hence $\dot{\mathbf{u}} = 0$) a complicated chain of integrability conditions has to be satisfied: although the analysis was done for vanishing vorticity only, the reasoning suggested a possible way of attack. It is the purpose of the present paper to demonstrate explicitly that the equations are indeed inconsistent, at least for vacuum, with or without cosmological constant.

2. Relevant equations

I present below the relevant dynamical equations for a purely gravito-magnetic vacuum space-time in which the timelike congruence u^a is geodesic. As in [4] I will follow the notations and conventions of the orthonormal tetrad formalism [7], with the coefficients n_{aa} being redefined as follows:

$$n_{11} = (n_2 + n_3)/2, \quad n_{22} = (n_3 + n_1)/2, \quad n_{33} = (n_1 + n_2)/2 \quad (5)$$

and with the tetrad being specified as an eigenframe of H_{ab} . The system of equations being SO(3)-invariant, each triplet of equations will be represented by a single equation. The vanishing of the gravito-electric part of the Weyl-tensor can then be expressed by the 9 equations

$$E_{11} \equiv -\partial_0\theta_1 - \theta_1^2 - \sigma_{12}^2 - \sigma_{13}^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + 2\sigma_{12}\Omega_3 - 2\sigma_{13}\Omega_2 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$E_{12} \equiv -\partial_0(\sigma_{12} + \omega_3) - (\theta_1 + \theta_2)(\sigma_{12} + \omega_3) - (\sigma_{13} - \omega_2)(\sigma_{23} - \omega_1) \\ + \Omega_1(\sigma_{13} - \omega_2) - \Omega_2(\sigma_{23} - \omega_1) + \Omega_3(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$E_{21} \equiv -\partial_0(\sigma_{12} - \omega_3) - (\theta_1 + \theta_2)(\sigma_{12} - \omega_3) - (\sigma_{23} + \omega_1)(\sigma_{13} + \omega_2) \\ + \Omega_1(\sigma_{13} + \omega_2) - \Omega_2(\sigma_{23} + \omega_1) + \Omega_3(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = 0 \quad (8)$$

The vanishing of the off-diagonal components of H_{ab} on the other hand leads to

$$H_{12} \equiv -\partial_0(n_{12} + a_3) - \partial_1\Omega_2 - \theta_1(n_{12} + a_3) - (n_{23} - a_1)(\sigma_{13} + \omega_2) + \frac{1}{2}n_2(\sigma_{12} - \omega_3) \\ + \Omega_1(n_{13} - a_2) - \Omega_2(n_{23} - a_1) + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_3(n_1 - n_2) = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$H_{21} \equiv -\partial_0(n_{12} - a_3) - \partial_2\Omega_1 - \theta_2(n_{12} - a_3) - (n_{13} + a_2)(\sigma_{23} - \omega_1) + \frac{1}{2}n_1(\sigma_{12} + \omega_3) \\ + \Omega_1(n_{13} + a_2) - \Omega_2(n_{23} + a_1) + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_3(n_1 - n_2) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Together with the Jacobi-identities (which guarantee the symmetry of E_{ab} and H_{ab}), we obtain from these equations the evolution for θ_a , σ_{ab} , ω_a , a_a , n_{ab} and n_a :

$$\partial_0 n_1 = 2\partial_1(\omega_1 + \Omega_1) + 2\partial_2\sigma_{13} - 2\partial_3\sigma_{12} + 4(\omega_2 + \Omega_2)n_{13} - 4(\omega_3 + \Omega_3)n_{12} \\ - n_1\theta_1 - n_2(\theta_1 - \theta_3) - n_3(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + 4n_{23}\sigma_{23} \quad (11)$$

From (11) one can eliminate the curl of the shear by using the diagonal components of H_{ab} :

$$H_{11} = \partial_2\sigma_{13} - \partial_3\sigma_{12} + \partial_1\omega_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1(n_2 + n_3) - \theta_2n_3 - \theta_3n_2) + 2n_{23}\sigma_{23} \\ - n_{12}(\sigma_{12} + \omega_3) - a_2(\sigma_{13} + \omega_2) - n_{13}(\sigma_{13} - \omega_2) + a_3(\sigma_{12} - \omega_3) \quad (12)$$

The remaining Jacobi identities contain spatial gradients of the kinematical scalars only and can be used to simplify the integrability conditions which result by considering the following commutators:

$$[\partial_0, \partial_1]\theta_2 - [\partial_0, \partial_2](\sigma_{12} - \omega_3) \quad \text{and} \quad [\partial_0, \partial_1]\theta_3 - [\partial_0, \partial_3](\sigma_{13} + \omega_2) \quad (13)$$

One obtains then three pairs of equations,

$$(\sigma_{23} - \omega_1 + \Omega_1)H_{11} - (\sigma_{23} - \omega_1 - 2\Omega_1)H_{22} = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$(2\sigma_{23} + 2\omega_1 + \Omega_1)H_{11} + (\sigma_{23} + \omega_1 + 2\Omega_1)H_{22} = 0 \quad (15)$$

in which one recognizes the familiar relation [8, 3, 6] between σ , ω and \mathbf{H} ,

$$\sigma \times \mathbf{H} = 3\omega \cdot \mathbf{H}, \quad (16)$$

together with a relation between σ , ω and the rotation rate $\mathbf{\Omega}$ of the \mathbf{H} -eigenframe with respect to a Fermi-propagated triad:

$$\omega_1^2 + 2\omega_1\Omega_1 = \sigma_{23}^2 \quad (17)$$

(+ cyclic permutations). In fact the latter equations hold also in the general vacuum case, when the acceleration is non-zero! The last bit of information we need is the time evolution of the curvature, in the form

$$\partial_0 H_{11} = \theta_2(H_{33} - H_{11}) + \theta_3(H_{22} - H_{11}), \quad (18)$$

which can be obtained directly by considering the $[\partial_0, \partial_2](\sigma_{13} - \omega_2) - [\partial_0, \partial_3](\sigma_{12} + \omega_3)$ commutators. Using these one can simplify the equations which result by substituting the expressions obtained from (16, 17) for σ and $\mathbf{\Omega}$, namely

$$\sigma_{12} = 3\omega_3 \frac{H_{11} + H_{22}}{H_{11} - H_{22}} \quad (19)$$

$$\Omega_1 = 2\omega_1 \frac{(H_{11} - H_{22})(H_{11} - H_{33})}{(H_{22} - H_{33})^2} \quad (20)$$

in the evolution equations for the shear. Note that \mathbf{H} is not allowed to have equal eigenvalues [1]. One finds then the following algebraic relations between ω and \mathbf{H} :

$$2\omega_3(H_{22} - H_{33})(H_{11} - H_{33})[\theta_1(H_{22} - H_{33}) + \theta_2(H_{11} - H_{33}) + 3\theta_3(H_{11} - H_{22})] \\ + 3\omega_1\omega_2(H_{11} - H_{22})(5H_{11}^2 + 8H_{11}H_{22} + 5H_{22}^2) = 0 \quad (21)$$

Eliminating θ_a from the latter equation and its cyclic permutations results in

$$\left(2H_{11}^2 + 2H_{11}H_{22} + 5H_{22}^2\right)(H_{11} - H_{33})^4\omega_1^2\omega_3^2 \\ + \left(2H_{22}^2 + 2H_{22}H_{33} + 5H_{33}^2\right)(H_{22} - H_{11})^4\omega_2^2\omega_1^2 \\ + \left(2H_{33}^2 + 2H_{11}H_{33} + 5H_{11}^2\right)(H_{22} - H_{33})^4\omega_3^2\omega_2^2 = 0 \quad (22)$$

All the coefficients in the above expression are strictly positive (as a degenerate \mathbf{H} is not allowed), finishing the proof that a purely magnetic vacuum is inconsistent with the assumption of a geodesic congruence.

Note that the above analysis breaks down when one or two components of the vorticity vanish. Taking into account however the evolution equations for the vorticity, it follows

that at least two components must vanish, say $\omega_2 = \omega_3 = 0$, such that instead of (19) and its cyclic permutations one obtains only a single relation (19). Substituting this in the evolution for the shear leads then to a single algebraic relation between the H_{ab} and θ_a , a further time derivative of which is needed to obtain an inconsistency between the signs of the involved ω_1^2 and curvature terms.

References

- [1] McIntosh C B G, Arianrhod R, Wade ST and Hoenselaers C 1994 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11**, 1555
- [2] Maartens R, Lesame W M and Ellis G F R 1998 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 1005
- [3] Haddow B M *Purely Magnetic Spacetimes* gr-qc/9502041
- [4] Van den Bergh N 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, L1
- [5] Trümper M 1965 *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 584
- [6] Ferrando J J and Sáez J A *Gravito-magnetic vacuum spacetimes: kinematic restrictions* gr-qc/0303049
- [7] MacCallum M A H 1971 *Cosmological Models from a Geometric Point of View (Cargèse)* Vol 6 (New York: Gordon and Breach) p 61
- [8] Maartens R, Ellis G F R and Siklos S T 1997 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 1927