

# New definition of quasilocal mass and its positivity

Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu\* and Shing-Tung Yau†

Harvard University, Department of Mathematics,  
One Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

(Dated: April 9, 2019)

We propose definitions of quasilocal energy and momentum surface energy of a spacelike 2-surface with positive intrinsic curvature in a spacetime. Our definitions do not depend on the compact spacelike hypersurface it bounds. We show that the quasilocal energy of the boundary of a compact spacelike hypersurface which satisfies the local energy condition is strictly positive unless the spacetime is flat along the spacelike hypersurface.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv

## I. INTRODUCTION

There have been many attempts to define quasilocal energy in general relativity (see [2] for a historical survey and a sample of relevant literature). In [1, 2], J.D. Brown and J.W. York obtained definitions of surface stress-energy-momentum tensor, quasilocal energy and conserved charges from a Hamiltonian-Jacobi analysis of the gravitational action. S.W. Hawking and G.T. Horowitz gave a similar derivation in [5].

The Brown-York quasilocal energy and angular momentum are defined for a spacelike 2-surface which bounds a compact spacelike hypersurface in a time orientable spacetime. They have desirable properties such as specializing to ADM energy momentum and Bondi-Sachs energy momentum in suitable limits [2, 3], but their definitions depend on the spacelike hypersurface.

Motivated by Brown and York's definitions of energy surface density and momentum surface density, we propose definitions which depend only on the spacetime along the 2-surface. Our definition of quasilocal energy also arises naturally from calculations in the second author's recent work [10] on black holes as a candidate for positivity, which is an essential property for any definition of mass.

## II. BROWN AND YORK'S DEFINITIONS

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact spacelike hypersurface in a time orientable spacetime  $M$ . We do not assume the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is connected. Let  $g_{ij}$  denote the positive definite metric on  $\Omega$ , and let  $K_{ij}$  denote the extrinsic curvature of  $\Omega$  in  $M$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a connected component of  $\partial\Omega$ , and let  $k$  be the trace of the extrinsic curvature  $k_{ab}$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $\Omega$  with respect to the outward unit normal  $v^i$ . This definition of  $k$  is the negative of the definition in [1, 2].

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1 &= -\frac{1}{8\pi G}k, \\ j_1^i &= -\frac{1}{8\pi G}v_j(Kg^{ij} - K^{ij}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $G$  is Newton's constant, and  $K = g^{ij}K_{ij}$  is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of  $\Omega$  in  $M$ . The three vector field  $j_1^i$  along  $\Sigma$  can be decomposed into components tangential and normal to  $\Sigma$ . The tangential component can be viewed as a vector field  $j_1^a$  on  $\Sigma$ , and the normal component is given by  $-(p/8\pi G)v^i$ , where  $p = K - K^{ij}v_i v_j$ .

Suppose that  $\Sigma$  has positive intrinsic curvature so that  $\Sigma$  is topologically a 2-sphere. By Weyl's embedding theorem,  $\Sigma$  can be isometrically embedded into the Euclidean three space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that the extrinsic curvature  $(k_0)_{ab}$  is positive definite. Let  $\bar{\Omega}$  be the region in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  enclosed by  $\Sigma$ . The embedding  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  gives  $\epsilon_0 = -k_0/8\pi G$  and  $j_0^i = 0$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  is the Minkowski spacetime. The isometric embedding  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is unique up to isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , so  $k_0$  is determined by the metric of  $\Sigma$ .

The energy surface density  $\epsilon$  and the momentum surface density  $j^a$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0 = -\frac{1}{8\pi G}(k - k_0), \\ j^a &= j_1^a - j_0^a = -\frac{1}{8\pi G}\sigma_i^a v_j(Kg^{ij} - K^{ij}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_i^a$  is the projection to the tangent space of  $\Sigma$ . The quasilocal energy is defined by

$$E = \int_{\Sigma} \epsilon = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} (k_0 - k).$$

The angular momentum with respect to a Killing vector field  $\phi^a$  on  $\Sigma$  is defined by

$$J = \int_{\Sigma} j^a \phi_a = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} \phi_a \sigma_i^a v_j (Kg^{ij} - K^{ij}).$$

The above quasilocal energy  $E$  and angular momentum  $J$  depend on the spacelike hypersurface  $\Omega$ . Let us denote them by  $E(\Sigma, \Omega)$  and  $J(\Sigma, \Omega, \phi^a)$ . We will modify the above definitions to obtain quantities which depend only the metric of  $\Sigma$  and the extrinsic curvature of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ .

\*Electronic address: ccliu@math.harvard.edu

†Electronic address: yau@math.harvard.edu

### III. DEFINITION OF QUASILOCAL ENERGY

Let  $u^\nu$  denote the future timelike unit normal of  $\Omega$  in the time orientable spacetime  $M$ , and view  $v^i$  as a four vector field  $v^\nu$  defined along  $\Sigma$ . Then  $ku^\nu - pv^\nu$  is a four vector field defined along and normal to  $\Sigma$ . The vector  $ku^\nu - pv^\nu$  can be expressed in terms of null normals. We use the notation in [7]. Let  $l^\nu, n^\nu$  be outward and inward null normals in the sense that  $l_\nu v^\nu > 0$  and  $n_\nu v^\nu < 0$ . Let  $2\rho$  and  $-2\mu$  denote the traces of the extrinsic curvature of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $l^\nu$  and  $n^\nu$ , respectively. The product  $\rho\mu$  depends only on  $l_\nu n^\nu$ , which we fix to be  $-1$ . Then

$$ku^\nu - pv^\nu = 2(\rho n^\nu + \mu l^\nu). \quad (1)$$

We assume  $k > |p|$  so that  $ku^\nu - pv^\nu$  is future timelike. We have  $8\rho\mu = k^2 - p^2 > 0$ . It is clear from (1) that if  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is another spacelike hypersurface such that  $\Sigma$  is a connected component of  $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$  and the corresponding four vector field  $\tilde{k}\tilde{u}^\nu - \tilde{p}\tilde{v}^\nu$  is future timelike, then  $\tilde{k}\tilde{u}^\nu - \tilde{p}\tilde{v}^\nu = ku^\nu - pv^\nu$ .

The embedding  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  gives similarly defined quantities  $\rho_0$  and  $\mu_0$ . We have  $8\rho_0\mu_0 = k_0^2 > 0$ . We define the quasilocal energy of  $\Sigma$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Sigma) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}G} \int_\Sigma (\sqrt{\rho_0\mu_0} - \sqrt{\rho\mu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_\Sigma (k_0 - \sqrt{8\rho\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $E(\Sigma, \Omega) \leq E(\Sigma)$ .

### IV. DEFINITIONS OF MOMENTUM SURFACE DENSITY AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM

Let  $j^a$  be the momentum surface density of  $\Sigma$  defined by Brown and York. There exists functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $\Sigma$ , unique up to addition of a constant, such that

$$j^a = \epsilon^{ab} f_b + g^a.$$

In the above decomposition,  $g^a$  depends on the spacelike hypersurface  $\Omega$ , but  $\epsilon^{ab} f_b$  does not. We define  $\epsilon^{ab} f_b$  to be the momentum surface density of  $\Sigma$ .

Embed  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as before. Let  $\phi^i$  be a Killing vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which generates a rotation. We define the angular momentum with respect to  $\phi^i$  to be

$$J(\Sigma, \phi^i) = \int_\Sigma \epsilon_{ab} f^b (\sigma_0)_i^a \phi^i,$$

where  $(\sigma_0)_i^a$  is the projection of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to the tangent space of  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

### V. POSITIVITY OF QUASILOCAL ENERGY

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact spacelike hypersurface in a time orientable spacetime  $M$ . Let  $g_{ij}$  denote the metric of

$\Omega$ , and let  $K_{ij}$  denote the extrinsic curvature of  $\Omega$  in  $M$ , as before. The local mass density  $\mu$  and the local current density  $J^i$  on  $\Omega$  are related to  $g_{ij}$  and  $K_{ij}$  by the constraint equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{2}(R - K^{ij}K_{ij} + K^2) \\ J^i &= D_j(K^{ij} - Kg^{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = g^{ij}K_{ij}$  is the trace of the extrinsic curvature, and  $R$  is the scalar curvature of the metric  $g_{ij}$ . We assume that  $\mu$  and  $J^i$  satisfy the local energy condition

$$\mu \geq \sqrt{J^i J_i}.$$

We assume that the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  has finitely many connected components  $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_l$ , each of which has positive intrinsic curvature. We define the quasilocal energy of  $\partial\Omega$  by

$$E(\partial\Omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^l E(\Sigma_\alpha).$$

We claim that  $E(\partial\Omega)$  is strictly positive unless  $M$  is a flat spacetime along  $\Omega$ . In this case,  $\partial\Omega$  is connected and will be embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  by Weyl's embedding theorem.

When the extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij}$  of  $\Omega$  in  $M$  vanishes, the local energy condition reduces to  $R \geq 0$ , and we have  $E(\Sigma_\alpha, \Omega) = E(\Sigma_\alpha)$ . In this case, Y. Shi and L.-F. Tam proved in [8] that  $E(\Sigma_\alpha) \geq 0$  for each  $\alpha$ , and  $E(\Sigma_\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\partial\Omega$  is connected and  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

We shall reduce our claim to Shi and Tam's result by a procedure used by R. Schoen and the second author in [9] and also by the second author in [10].

As in [9], we consider the following equation proposed by Jang [6]

$$\left(g^{ij} - \frac{f^i f^j}{1 + |\nabla f|^2}\right) \left(\frac{f_{ij}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} - K_{ij}\right) = 0.$$

Let  $f$  be a solution to Jang's equation on  $\Omega$  such that  $f|_{\partial\Omega} \equiv 0$ . The induced metric of the graph  $\Omega_f \cong \Omega$  of  $f$  in  $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, g_{ij}dx^i dx^j + dt^2)$  is  $\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} + f_i f_j$ . Let  $\bar{R}$  be the scalar curvature of the metric  $\bar{g}_{ij}$ , and let  $h_{ij}$  be the extrinsic curvature of  $\Omega_f$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ . Then

$$\bar{R} \geq \sum (h_{ij} - K_{ij})^2 + 2 \sum_i (h_{i4} - K_{i4})^2 - 2 \sum_i \bar{D}_i (h_{i4} - K_{i4}), \quad (2)$$

where the index 4 corresponds to the downward unit normal to  $\Omega_f$ ,  $\bar{D}_i$  is the covariant derivative of  $\bar{g}_{ij}$ . The above inequality implies that there is a unique solution to

$$\bar{\Delta}u - \frac{1}{8}\bar{R}u = 0 \quad (3)$$

on  $\Omega$  such that  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 1$ . The solution is everywhere positive, so  $\hat{g}_{ij} = u^4 \bar{g}_{ij}$  is a metric with zero scalar curvature and coincides with  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $\bar{k}$  and  $\hat{k}$  denote the traces of the extrinsic curvatures  $\bar{k}_{ab}$  and  $\hat{k}_{ab}$  of  $\partial\Omega$  with respect to  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and  $\hat{g}_{ij}$ , respectively. Then  $\hat{k} = \bar{k} + 4u_i \bar{v}^i$ , where  $\bar{v}^i$  is the outward unit normal of  $\partial\Omega$  in  $(\Omega, \bar{g}_{ij})$ . Applying Stokes' theorem and using (3), (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{k} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{k} + 4 \int_{\Omega} \left( u_i u^i + \frac{\bar{R}}{8} u^2 \right) \\ &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\bar{k} - (h_{i4} - K_{i4}) \bar{v}^i), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality holds if and only if  $\bar{R} = 0$ ,  $\hat{g}_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij}$ , and  $h_{ij} = K_{ij}$ .

Let  $\bar{u}^\mu$  be the downward unit normal of  $\Omega_f$  in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $w^i$  and  $\bar{v}^i$  be the unit outward normals of  $\partial\Omega$  in  $\Omega_0$  (the graph of the zero function) and  $\Omega_f$ , respectively. We view  $w^i$  and  $\bar{v}^i$  as four vector fields  $w^\mu$  and  $\bar{v}^\mu$  along  $\partial\Omega$ . It was computed in [10, Section 5] that

$$\bar{k} - (h_{i4} - K_{i4}) \bar{v}^i = -\frac{\bar{u}_\nu w^\nu}{\bar{v}_\nu w^\nu} p + \frac{1}{\bar{v}_\nu w^\nu} k. \quad (4)$$

Using  $(\bar{u}_\nu w^\nu)^2 + (\bar{v}_\nu w^\nu)^2 = w_\nu w^\nu = 1$ , one can check that the right hand side of (4) is greater or equal to  $\sqrt{k^2 - p^2}$ . Hence

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\bar{k} - (h_{i4} - K_{i4}) \bar{v}^i) \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{k^2 - p^2} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{8\rho\mu}.$$

By Shi and Tam's result,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{k} \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} k_0,$$

where the equality holds if and only if  $\partial\Omega$  is connected and  $(\Omega, \hat{g}_{ij})$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We conclude that

$$E(\partial\Omega) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial\Omega} (k_0 - \sqrt{8\rho\mu}) \geq 0,$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is diffeomorphic to a domain  $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and can be isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  as a graph  $\{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in \Omega_0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  with extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij}$ , where  $f$  is a smooth function on  $\Omega_0$  which vanishes on  $\partial\Omega_0$ .

## VI. REMARK

After we completed this note, we noticed that R.J. Epp has proposed in [4] an invariant quasilocal energy (IQE) similar to our definition of quasilocal energy, with a different choice of the reference background.

## Acknowledgments

We wish to thank R. Bousso, L. Motl, S.F. Ross, and A. Strominger for helpful conversations. The first author is supported by Junior Fellowship of Society of Fellows at Harvard University. The second author is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-9803347.

- 
- [1] J.D. Brown and J.W. York, in *Mathematical aspects of classical field theory (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, 129–142, Contemp. Math., 132, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
  - [2] J.D. Brown and J.W. York, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1407–1419 (1993).
  - [3] J.D. Brown, S.R. Lau, and J.W. York, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1977–1984 (1997).
  - [4] R.J. Epp, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 124018 (2000).
  - [5] S.W. Hawking and G.T. Horowitz, Classical Quantum

- Gravity **13**, 1487–1498 (1996).
- [6] P.S. Jang, J. Math. Phys. **19**, 1152–1155 (1978).
- [7] E. Newman and R. Penrose, J. Mathematical Phys. **3**, 566–578 (1962).
- [8] Y. Shi and L.-F. Tam, J. Differential Geom. (to be published).
- [9] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, Comm. Math. Phys. **79**, 231–260 (1981).
- [10] S.-T. Yau, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5**, 755–767 (2001).