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#### Abstract

Clairin's method of obtaining Bäcklund transformations is applied to Einstein's field equations for the interior of a uniformly rotating stationary axisymmetric perfect fluid. It is shown that for arbitrary pressure $p$ and mass density $\mu$ the method does not give non-trivial Bäcklund transformations, while if $\mu+3 p=0$ it gives the transformation of Ehlers.


PACS number(s): 04.20.Jb, 04.20.Ex

## 1 Introduction

To get solutions of a non-linear second order partial differential equation or a system of such equations one may use Bäcklund Transformations (BTs), provided that these equations do have such transformations [1].A BT consists of a set of first order partial differential equations relating a solution of the given equations to another solution of the given equations (or to a solution of another set of equations.) A method of getting BTs has been introduced by Clairin [2], [3] and it has been shown that by this method we get all known BTs of the Ernst's equation [4] i.e. the transformations of Ehlers [5] of Neugenbauer [6] and of Harrison [7]. Also by this method a BT of the Einstein-Maxwell equations has been found [8].

BTs of Einstein's equations for the interior of a uniformly rotating, stationary, axisymmetric perfect fluid are not known and this is one of the reasons the number of solutions of these equations is limited. In this work we shall try to find BTs of these equations by Clairin's method. We use a form of Einstein's equations which is very convenient for the application of
the method [9]. In Sect 2 we apply the method in the general case i.e. in the case in which we don't impose any specific relation between the pressure $p$ and the energy density $\mu$ of the fluid i.e. for arbitrary equation of state. We show that in this case the method does not give no-trivial BTs. In Sect. 3 we assume for the fluid an equation of state of the form $p+3 \mu=0$ and we get non-trivial BTs. The general solution of the system of first order partial differential equations of the BTs is found, and it is shown that this leads to the transformation of Ehlers [5].

## 2 Bäcklund Transformations in the General Case

We shall give some definitions and briefly state some results of Ref [9]. The metric for stationary axially symmetric space times admitting 2 -spaces orthogonal to the Killing vectors $\vec{\xi}=\partial_{t}$ and $\vec{\eta}=\partial_{\phi}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=e^{-2 U}\left\{e^{2 K}\left(d \rho^{2}+d z^{2}\right)+F^{2} d \phi^{2}\right\}-e^{2 U}(d t+A d \phi)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=U(\rho, z), K=K(\rho, z), F=F(\rho, z)$ and $A=A(\rho, z)$. For a perfect fluid source we have the energy-momentum tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b}=(\mu+p) u_{a} u_{b}+p g_{a b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{a}$ are the components of the 4 -velocity and $\mu$ and $p$ are the mass density and the pressure of the fluid respectively.

We shall introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=-\omega, e^{2 U}=T, \xi=\rho+i z, \eta=\rho-i z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the potentials $\phi$ and $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\rho}=\frac{F}{T^{2}} \phi_{z}, \omega_{z}=-\frac{F}{T^{2}} \phi_{\rho}, E=T+i \phi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a letter as an index in a function means differentiation with respect to the corresponding variable e.g. $\omega_{\rho}=\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \rho}$. Also we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln F=G, 2 K-G=M  \tag{5}\\
& \Lambda=\frac{1}{2}(E+\bar{E})\left(\nabla^{2} E+\frac{1}{F} \vec{\nabla} F \cdot \vec{\nabla} E\right)-\vec{\nabla} E \cdot \vec{\nabla} E=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2}=\partial_{\rho \rho}^{2}+\partial_{z z}^{2}, \vec{\nabla}=\hat{\rho} \partial_{\rho}+\hat{z} \partial_{z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{z}$ unit vectors in the direction of the $\rho$ and $z$ axis respectively. Then Einstein's field equations take the form [9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta \eta}-M_{\eta} G_{\eta}+\frac{1}{2 T^{2}}\left(T_{\eta}^{2}+\phi_{\eta}^{2}\right)=0  \tag{8}\\
& M_{\eta \xi}-G_{\eta} G_{\xi}+\frac{1}{2 T^{2}}\left(T_{\eta} T_{\xi}+\phi_{\eta} \phi_{\xi}\right)=0  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{Im} \Lambda=\phi_{\eta \xi}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\eta} \phi_{\xi}+G_{\xi} \phi_{\eta}\right)-\frac{1}{T}\left(T_{\eta} \phi_{\xi}+T_{\xi} \phi_{\eta}\right)=0  \tag{10}\\
& p=2 T e^{-G-M}\left(G_{\eta \xi}+G_{\eta} G_{\xi}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \mu=-3 p+\frac{1}{T} R e \Lambda \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the expressions $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda$ and $R e \Lambda$ are the imaginary and the real parts of $\Lambda$ respectively.

We shall try to find BTs in the general case i.e. if we don't impose any relation between $p$ and $\mu$. We shall apply the method of Clairin [2], [3] to Eqs (8) - (10). Having found such a transformation we shall use Eqs (11) and (12) to determine $p^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ of the new solution. The most general forms of BTs are :

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} G_{\eta}+\alpha_{2} M_{\eta}+\alpha_{3} T_{\eta}+\alpha_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\alpha_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\alpha_{6} M_{\xi}+\alpha_{7} T_{\xi}+\alpha_{8} \phi_{\xi}  \tag{13}\\
& M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} G_{\eta}+\beta_{2} M_{\eta}+\beta_{3} T_{\eta}+\beta_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\beta_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\beta_{6} M_{\xi}+\beta_{7} T_{\xi}+\beta_{8} \phi_{\xi}  \tag{14}\\
& T_{\eta}^{\prime}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} G_{\eta}+\gamma_{2} M_{\eta}+\gamma_{3} T_{\eta}+\gamma_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\gamma_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\gamma_{6} M_{\xi}+\gamma_{7} T_{\xi}+\gamma_{8} \phi_{\xi}  \tag{15}\\
& \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}=\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} G_{\eta}+\delta_{2} M_{\eta}+\delta_{3} T_{\eta}+\delta_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\delta_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\delta_{6} M_{\xi}+\delta_{7} T_{\xi}+\delta_{8} \phi_{\xi}  \tag{16}\\
& G_{\xi}^{\prime}=\overline{G_{\eta}^{\prime}}, M_{\xi}^{\prime}=\overline{M_{\eta}^{\prime}}, T_{\xi}^{\prime}=\overline{T_{\eta}^{\prime}}, \phi_{\xi}=\overline{\phi_{\eta}^{\prime}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R=R\left(G, M, T, \phi, G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}, T^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \xi, \eta\right), R=\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{8}, \beta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{8}$. If we substitute the above expressions into Eqs (8)-(10) for the quantities with prime and into the integrability conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-G_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=M_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-M_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=T_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-T_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=\phi_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-\phi_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the relations we shall get should hold for any solution. Therefore the coefficients of all linearly independent quantities should vanish. Such quantities are : $G_{\eta \xi}, M_{\eta \eta}, M_{\xi \xi}, T_{\eta \xi}, T_{\eta \eta}, T_{\xi \xi}, \phi_{\eta \eta}, \phi_{\xi \xi}, S_{i} S_{j}, S_{i}$, where $S=G, M, T, \phi$, and $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=\xi, \eta$.

We get

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta \eta}^{\prime}-M_{\eta}^{\prime} G_{\eta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\left(T_{\eta}^{\prime 2}+\phi_{\eta}^{\prime 2}\right)=\alpha_{2} M_{\eta \eta}+\alpha_{3} T_{\eta \eta} \\
& +\alpha_{4} \phi_{\eta \eta}+\alpha_{5} G_{\xi \eta}+\alpha_{7} T_{\xi \eta}+\ldots=0  \tag{19}\\
& G_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-G_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{1}-\overline{\alpha_{1}}\right) G_{\eta \xi}+\alpha_{6} M_{\xi \xi}+\alpha_{8} \phi_{\xi \xi}+\ldots=0  \tag{20}\\
& M_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-G_{\eta}^{\prime} G_{\xi}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\left(T_{\xi}^{\prime} T_{\eta}^{\prime}+\phi_{\xi}^{\prime} \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1} G_{\eta \xi}+\beta_{3} T_{\eta \xi} \\
& +\beta_{6} M_{\xi \xi}+\beta_{7} T_{\xi \xi}+\beta_{8} \phi_{\xi \xi}+\ldots=0  \tag{21}\\
& T_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-T_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1}-\overline{\gamma_{1}}\right) G_{\eta \xi}+\left(\gamma_{3}-\overline{\gamma_{3}}\right) T_{\eta \xi}+\gamma_{6} M_{\xi \xi} \\
& +\gamma_{7} T_{\xi \xi}+\gamma_{8} \phi_{\xi \xi}+\ldots=0  \tag{22}\\
& \phi_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\eta}^{\prime} \phi_{\xi}^{\prime}+G_{\xi}^{\prime} \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{T^{\prime}}\left(T_{\xi}^{\prime} \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}+T_{\eta}^{\prime} \phi_{\xi}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\delta_{1} G_{\eta \xi}+\delta_{3} T_{\eta \xi}+\delta_{6} M_{\xi \xi}+\delta_{7} T_{\xi \xi}+\delta_{8} \phi_{\xi \xi}+\ldots=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where only some of the linearly independent terms with their coefficients are shown. Therefore we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}=\alpha_{7}=\alpha_{8}=0  \tag{24}\\
& \overline{\alpha_{1}}=\alpha_{1}  \tag{25}\\
& \beta_{1}=\beta_{3}=\beta_{6}=\beta_{7}=\beta_{8}=0  \tag{26}\\
& \gamma_{6}=\gamma_{7}=\gamma_{8}=0  \tag{27}\\
& \overline{\gamma_{1}}=\gamma_{1}, \overline{\gamma_{3}}=\gamma_{3}  \tag{28}\\
& \delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{6}=\delta_{7}=\delta_{8}=0 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and the BTs become

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} G_{\eta}+\alpha_{0}  \tag{30}\\
& M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\beta_{2} M_{\eta}+\beta_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\beta_{5} G_{\xi}+\beta_{0}  \tag{31}\\
& T_{\eta}^{\prime}=\gamma_{1} G_{\eta}+\gamma_{2} M_{\eta}+\gamma_{3} T_{\eta}+\gamma_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\gamma_{5} G_{\xi}+\gamma_{0}  \tag{32}\\
& \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}=\delta_{2} M_{\eta}+\delta_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\delta_{5} G_{\xi}+\delta_{0} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Also using expressions (30)-(33) and the equations which the functions G,

M , and $\phi$ satisfy we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta \eta}^{\prime}-M_{\eta}^{\prime} G_{\eta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\left(T_{\eta}^{\prime 2}+\phi_{\eta}^{\prime 2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\left(\gamma_{2}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}\right) M_{\eta}^{2}+\ldots=0  \tag{34}\\
& M_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-M_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{2}-\overline{\beta_{2}}\right) G_{\eta} G_{\xi}-\frac{\beta_{5}}{2 T^{2}}\left(T_{\xi}^{2}+\phi_{\xi}^{2}\right)+\ldots=0  \tag{35}\\
& T_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-T_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=-\frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 T^{2}}\left(T_{\xi}^{2}+\phi_{\xi}^{2}\right)+\ldots=0  \tag{36}\\
& \phi_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\eta}^{\prime} \phi_{\xi}^{\prime}+G_{\xi}^{\prime} \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{T^{\prime}}\left(T_{\xi}^{\prime} \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}+T_{\eta}^{\prime} \phi_{\xi}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left\{\delta_{2}-\frac{1}{T^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{5} \overline{\delta_{5}}+\overline{\gamma_{5}} \delta_{5}\right)\right\} G_{\xi} G_{\eta}-\frac{\delta_{5}}{2 T^{2}}\left(T_{\xi}^{2}+\phi_{\xi}^{2}\right)+\ldots=0 \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we must have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{5}=\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{5}=\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=0  \tag{38}\\
& \overline{\beta_{2}}=\beta_{2} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the BTs become

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} G_{\eta}+\alpha_{0}  \tag{40}\\
& M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\beta_{2} M_{\eta}+\beta_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\beta_{0}  \tag{41}\\
& T_{\eta}^{\prime}=\gamma_{1} G_{\eta}+\gamma_{3} T_{\eta}+\gamma_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\gamma_{0}  \tag{42}\\
& \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}=\delta_{4} \phi_{\eta}+\delta_{0} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ are real functions.
To proceed further we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{, G} \equiv \Delta_{G}+\alpha_{1} \Delta_{G^{\prime}}+\gamma_{1} \Delta_{T^{\prime}} \\
& \Delta_{, M} \equiv \Delta_{M}+\beta_{2} \Delta_{M^{\prime}} \\
& \Delta_{, T} \equiv \Delta_{T}+\gamma_{3} \Delta_{T^{\prime}}  \tag{44}\\
& \Delta_{, \phi} \equiv \Delta_{\phi}+\beta_{4} \Delta_{M^{\prime}}+\gamma_{4} \Delta_{T^{\prime}}+\delta_{4} \Delta_{\phi^{\prime}} \\
& \Delta_{, \bar{\phi}} \equiv \Delta_{\phi}+\overline{\beta_{4}} \Delta_{M^{\prime}}+\overline{\gamma_{4}} \Delta_{T^{\prime}}+\overline{\delta_{4}} \Delta_{\phi^{\prime}} \\
& \Delta_{, \eta} \equiv \Delta_{\eta}+\alpha_{0} \Delta_{G^{\prime}}+\beta_{0} \Delta_{M^{\prime}}+\gamma_{0} \Delta_{T^{\prime}}+\delta_{0} \Delta_{\phi^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta=\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{2}, \ldots \delta_{0}$. Then using Eqs (40) - (43) we get from the equations the functions $G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ must satisfy and from the integrability
conditions a big number of equations. We give some of them. From (8) for the new solution we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{T^{2}}+\frac{\gamma_{3}^{2}}{T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{45}\\
& \alpha_{1, \eta}+\alpha_{0, G}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{0}+\frac{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{0}}{T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{46}\\
& \alpha_{1, G}+\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{2 T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{47}\\
& \gamma_{3} \gamma_{4}=0  \tag{48}\\
& -\frac{\alpha_{1}}{T^{2}}+\frac{\gamma_{4}^{2}+\delta_{4}^{2}}{T^{\prime 2}}=0 \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Also from Eq (9) we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}+\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{2 T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{50}\\
& \gamma_{1} \gamma_{3}=0  \tag{51}\\
& -\frac{\beta_{4}}{2}+\frac{\gamma_{1} \overline{\gamma_{4}}}{2 T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{52}\\
& -\alpha_{1} \overline{\alpha_{0}}+\frac{\gamma_{1} \overline{\gamma_{0}}}{T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{53}\\
& \beta_{2, \xi}=0  \tag{54}\\
& -\frac{\beta_{2}}{T^{2}}+\frac{\gamma_{3}^{2}}{T^{\prime 2}}=0  \tag{55}\\
& \gamma_{3} \overline{\gamma_{0}}=0 \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and from Eq (10) we get :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\delta_{4}}{T}-\frac{\gamma_{3} \overline{\delta_{4}}}{T^{\prime}}=0  \tag{57}\\
& \gamma_{3} \overline{\delta_{0}}=0 \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs (45)-(58) imply that most of the coefficients in the BTs (40)-(43) vanish. To show that we shall consider two cases.

Case 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3} \neq 0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eqs (48), (51), (52), (56) and (58) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{4}=\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{4}=\gamma_{0}=\delta_{0}=0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

But if $\gamma_{0}=0$ Eq (53) implies the relation $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{0}=0$. However if $\alpha_{1}=0$ (50) gives $\beta_{2}=0$ in which case Eq (55) implies the relation $\gamma_{3}=0$, contrary to Eq (59). Therefore we must take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case $\mathrm{Eq}(46)$ gives $\alpha_{1, \eta}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{0}=0$. From Eqs (25) and (50) we get $\beta_{2}=\alpha_{1}^{2}$ : real. Then Eq (54) gives $\beta_{2, \eta}=0$ and since $\beta_{2, \eta}=2 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{1, \eta}$ we find that $\alpha_{1, \eta}=0$ since $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we get the BT

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} G_{\eta}, M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\beta_{2} M_{\eta}, T_{\eta}^{\prime}=\gamma_{3} T_{\eta}, \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}=\delta_{4} \phi_{\eta} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ are real functions. Substituting expressions (63) to equations which $G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ satisfy and to the integrability conditions and solving the resulting equations we get the trivial relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=G+c_{1}, M^{\prime}=M+c_{2}, T^{\prime}=c_{3} T, \phi^{\prime}= \pm c_{3} \phi+c_{4} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ are arbitrary real constants.
Case 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}=0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from Eqs (45), (47), (49), (50), (52) and (57) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta_{4}=\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{4}=\delta_{4}=0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we obtain the non-interesting relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\alpha_{0}, M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\beta_{0}, T_{\eta}^{\prime}=\gamma_{0}, \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}=\delta_{0} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our conclusion is that Clairin's method, which in the vacuum case gives all known BTs [4], does not give interesting BTs in the general case.

## 3 Bäcklund Transformations if $\mu+3 p=0$

If $\mu+3 p=0 \mathrm{Eq} \mathrm{(12)} \mathrm{gives} \operatorname{Re} \Lambda=0$, which combined with (10) gives $\Lambda=0$. Therefore the system of Eqs (8) - (11) in the variables $G, M, E$, and $\bar{E}$ ( for
simplicity we write also $E+\bar{E}=2 T$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta \eta}-M_{\eta} G_{\eta}+\frac{1}{2 T^{2}} E_{\eta} \bar{E}_{\eta}=0  \tag{68}\\
& M_{\eta \xi}-G_{\eta} G_{\xi}+\frac{1}{4 T^{2}}\left(E_{\xi} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\bar{E}_{\xi} E_{\eta}\right)=0  \tag{69}\\
& \frac{1}{T} \Lambda=E_{\eta \xi}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\eta} E_{\xi}+G_{\xi} E_{\eta}\right)-\frac{1}{T} E_{\xi} E_{\eta}=0  \tag{70}\\
& p=2 T e^{-G-M}\left(G_{\eta \xi}+G_{\eta} G_{\xi}\right) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

We want to find BTs for the system of Eqs (68) - (70) following Clairin's method. The most general BTs are given by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1} G_{\eta}+\zeta_{2} M_{\eta}+\zeta_{3} E_{\eta}+\zeta_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\zeta_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\zeta_{6} M_{\xi}+\zeta_{7} E_{\xi}+\zeta_{8} \bar{E}_{\xi}  \tag{72}\\
& M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1} G_{\eta}+\lambda_{2} M_{\eta}+\lambda_{3} E_{\eta}+\lambda_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\lambda_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\lambda_{6} M_{\xi}+\lambda_{7} E_{\xi}+\lambda_{8} E_{\xi}  \tag{73}\\
& E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\mu_{0}+\mu_{1} G_{\eta}+\mu_{2} M_{\eta}+\mu_{3} E_{\eta}+\mu_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\mu_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\mu_{6} M_{\xi}+\mu_{7} E_{\xi}+\mu_{8} \bar{E}_{\xi}  \tag{74}\\
& \overline{E_{\eta}^{\prime}}=\nu_{0}+\nu_{1} G_{\eta}+\nu_{2} M_{\eta}+\nu_{3} E_{\eta}+\nu_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\nu_{5} G_{\xi} \\
& +\nu_{6} M_{\xi}+\nu_{7} E_{\xi}+\nu_{8} \bar{E}_{\xi}  \tag{75}\\
& G_{\xi}^{\prime}=\overline{G_{\eta}^{\prime}}, M_{\xi}^{\prime}=\overline{M_{\eta}^{\prime}}, E_{\xi}^{\prime}=\overline{\overline{E^{\prime}}}, \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\xi}=\overline{E_{\eta}^{\prime}} \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

while $p^{\prime}$ is given by $\mathrm{Eq}(\boxed{17})$ in terms of $T^{\prime}, G^{\prime}$, and $M^{\prime}$. Proceeding as in the previous case we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta_{2}=\zeta_{3}=\zeta_{4}=\zeta_{5}=\zeta_{6}=\zeta_{7}=\zeta_{8}=0, \overline{\zeta_{1}}=\zeta_{1}  \tag{77}\\
\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{5}=\kappa_{6}=\kappa_{7}=\kappa_{8}=0, \kappa=\lambda, \mu, \nu \tag{78}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore our BTs are reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1} G_{\eta}, \overline{\zeta_{1}}=\zeta_{1}  \tag{79}\\
& M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{2} M_{\eta}+\lambda_{3} E_{\eta}+\lambda_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}  \tag{80}\\
& E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\mu_{0}+\mu_{2} M_{\eta}+\mu_{3} E_{\eta}+\mu_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}  \tag{81}\\
& \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\eta}=\nu_{0}+\nu_{2} M_{\eta}+\nu_{3} E_{\eta}+\nu_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta \eta}^{\prime}-M_{\eta}^{\prime} G_{\eta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}} E_{\eta}^{\prime} \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\eta}=\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\left\{\mu_{2} \nu_{2} M_{\eta}^{2}+\left(\mu_{2} \nu_{3}+\mu_{3} \nu_{2}\right) M_{\eta} E_{\eta}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\mu_{2} \nu_{4}+\mu_{4} \nu_{2}\right) M_{\eta} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\mu_{3} \nu_{3} E_{\eta}^{2}+\mu_{4} \nu_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}^{2}\right\}+\left\{-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2 T^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\mu_{3} \nu_{4}+\mu_{4} \nu_{3}}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\right\} E_{\eta} \bar{E}_{\eta}+\left\{\zeta_{0 G}+\zeta_{0 G^{\prime}} \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{1 \eta}+\zeta_{1 G^{\prime}} \zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1 M^{\prime}} \lambda_{0}+\zeta_{1 E^{\prime}} \mu_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+\zeta_{1 \overline{E^{\prime}}} \nu_{0}-\lambda_{0} \zeta_{1}\right\} G_{\eta}+\ldots=0  \tag{83}\\
& \quad M_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-G_{\eta}^{\prime} G_{\xi}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4 T^{\prime 2}}\left(E_{\xi}^{\prime} \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\eta}+\overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\xi} E_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\lambda_{2}-\zeta_{1}^{2}\right) G_{\xi} G_{\eta}-\zeta_{1} \overline{\zeta_{0}} G_{\eta} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 T^{\prime 2}}\left\{\left(\mu_{3} \overline{\mu_{0}}+\nu_{3} \overline{\nu_{0}}\right) E_{\eta}+\left(\mu_{4} \overline{\mu_{0}}+\nu_{4} \overline{\nu_{0}}\right) \bar{E}_{\eta}\right\}-\frac{\lambda_{3}}{2} G_{\eta} E_{\xi} \\
& -\frac{\lambda_{4}}{2} G_{\eta} \bar{E}_{\xi}+\ldots=0  \tag{84}\\
& \quad E_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\eta}^{\prime} E_{\xi}^{\prime}+G_{\xi}^{\prime} E_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{T^{\prime}} E_{\xi}^{\prime} E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\mu_{2} G_{\eta} G_{\xi}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} \overline{\nu_{0}} G_{\eta} \\
& \quad+\left(\mu_{3 \xi}+\frac{\zeta_{0} \mu_{3}}{2}-\frac{\mu_{3} \overline{\nu_{0}}}{T^{\prime}}\right) E_{\eta}+\left(\mu_{4 \xi}+\frac{\overline{\zeta_{0}} \mu_{4}}{2}-\frac{\mu_{4} \overline{\nu_{0}}}{T^{\prime}}\right) \bar{E}_{\eta}+\left(-\frac{\mu_{3}}{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\zeta_{1} \overline{\nu_{4}}}{2}\right) G_{\eta} E_{\xi}+\left(-\frac{\mu_{4}}{2}+\frac{\zeta_{1} \overline{\nu_{3}}}{2}\right) G_{\eta} \overline{E_{\xi}}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} \overline{\nu_{2}} G_{\eta} M_{\xi}+\ldots=0  \tag{85}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{E_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\eta}^{\prime} E_{\xi}^{\prime}+G_{\xi}^{\prime} E_{\eta}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{T^{\prime}} E_{\xi}^{\prime} E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\overline{\nu_{2}} G_{\eta} G_{\xi}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} \mu_{0} G_{\xi}}{2}\right) G_{\xi} E_{\eta}+\left(-\frac{\bar{\nu}_{3}}{2}+\frac{\zeta_{1} \mu_{4}}{2}\right) G_{\xi} \overline{E_{\eta}}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} \mu_{2} G_{\xi} M_{\eta}+\ldots=0 \\
& \quad+\left(\overline{\nu_{4}}+\frac{\zeta_{0} \overline{\nu_{4}}}{2}-\frac{\mu_{0} \overline{\nu_{4}}}{T^{\prime}}\right) E_{\xi}+\left(\overline{\nu_{3 \eta}}+\frac{\zeta_{0} \overline{\nu_{3}}}{2}-\frac{\mu_{0} \overline{\nu_{3}}}{T^{\prime}}\right) \bar{E}_{\xi}+\left(-\frac{\bar{\nu}_{4}}{2}\right. \\
& \quad \zeta_{1} \mu_{3} \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

We equate to zero the coefficients of the linearly independent terms $M_{\eta}^{2}$, $M_{\eta} E_{\eta}, M_{\eta} \overline{E_{\eta}}, \ldots$ and solve the resulting equations. Then we get :

Case 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}=\nu_{2}=\nu_{3}=\nu_{4}=0 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{0}=\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}=\mu_{0}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{4}=\nu_{0}=\nu_{2}=\nu_{3}=0  \tag{88}\\
& \zeta_{1}= \pm 1, \lambda_{2}=1, \nu_{4}= \pm \overline{\mu_{3}}, \mu_{3 \xi}=\mu_{3 \eta}=0  \tag{89}\\
& \mu_{3} \overline{\mu_{3}}=\frac{T^{\prime 2}}{T^{2}} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{0}=\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}=\mu_{0}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\nu_{0}=\nu_{2}=\nu_{4}=0  \tag{91}\\
& \zeta_{1}= \pm 1, \lambda_{2}=1, \nu_{3}= \pm \overline{\mu_{4}}, \mu_{4 \xi}=\mu_{4 \eta}=0  \tag{92}\\
& \mu_{4} \overline{\mu_{4}}=\frac{T^{\prime 2}}{T^{2}} \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

We can further show that the expressions with the minus sign in Eqs (89) and (92) should be dropped. Indeed we get for this sign and Case 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}-E_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}=\left(-\mu_{3}+\mu_{3 G}\right) G_{\eta} E_{\xi}+\ldots=0 \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above implies the relation $\mu_{3 G}-\mu_{3}=0$, which is not consistent with Eq (90). Similarly we proceed in Case 3 . Therefore we find the following BTs : Case 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\eta}^{\prime}=\zeta_{0}, M_{\eta}^{\prime}=\lambda_{0}, E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\mu_{0}, \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\eta}=\nu_{0} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

This case is not interesting.
Case 2

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=G_{\eta}, M_{\eta}^{\prime}=M_{\eta}, E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\mu_{3} E_{\eta}, \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\eta}=\overline{\mu_{3}} \bar{E}_{\eta}  \tag{96}\\
& \mu_{3 \xi}=\mu_{3 \eta}=0, \mu_{3} \overline{\mu_{3}}=\frac{T^{\prime 2}}{T^{2}} \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\eta}^{\prime}=G_{\eta}, M_{\eta}^{\prime}=M_{\eta}, E_{\eta}^{\prime}=\mu_{4} \bar{E}_{\eta}, \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\eta}=\overline{\mu_{4}} E_{\eta}  \tag{98}\\
& \mu_{4 \xi}=\mu_{4 \eta}=0, \mu_{4} \overline{\mu_{4}}=\frac{T^{\prime 2}}{T^{2}} \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall consider Cases 2 and 3 only.
We can easily check that the BTs of Eqs (96) - (97) and also the BTs of Eqs (98) - (99) satisfy Eqs (68) and (69). Therefore we shall determine
$\mu_{3}$ and $\mu_{4}$ by requiring Eq (70) to be satisfied. Substituting expressions (96) into $\mathrm{Eq}(70)$, using $\mathrm{Eq}(70)$ to eliminate $E_{\xi \eta}$ from the resulting equation and equating to zero the coefficients of the linearly independent terms we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{3 G}=\mu_{3 M}=0  \tag{100}\\
& \mu_{3 E}+\mu_{3} \mu_{3 E^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{T} \mu_{3}-\frac{1}{T^{\prime}} \mu_{3}^{2}=0  \tag{101}\\
& \mu_{3 \bar{E}}+\overline{\mu_{3}} \mu_{3 \overline{E^{\prime}}}=0 \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

If we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{3}=\frac{T^{\prime}}{T} v, \mu_{4}=\frac{T^{\prime}}{T} w \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get from Eqs (97) and (99)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \bar{v}=1, w \bar{w}=1 \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting expression (103) for $\mu_{3}$ into Eqs (97) and (100) - (102) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\xi}=v_{\eta}=v_{G}=v_{M}=0  \tag{105}\\
& T v_{E}+T^{\prime} v v_{E^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(v-v^{2}\right)=0  \tag{106}\\
& T v_{\bar{E}}-T^{\prime} v \bar{v}_{\bar{E}^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2}(1-v)=0 \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

Any complex number $v$ satisfying the first of (104) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{x+i}{x-i}, x: \text { real } \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting expression (108) into Eqs (105) - (107) we get in real variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\xi}=x_{\eta}=x_{G}=x_{M}=0  \tag{109}\\
& T x x_{T}-T x_{\phi}+T^{\prime} x x_{T^{\prime}}+T^{\prime} x_{\phi^{\prime}}+x^{2}+1=0  \tag{110}\\
& T x_{T}+T x_{\phi}-T^{\prime} x_{T^{\prime}}+T^{\prime} x x_{\phi^{\prime}}=0  \tag{111}\\
& T\left(x^{2}+1\right) x_{T}+T^{\prime}\left(x^{2}-1\right) x_{T^{\prime}}+2 T^{\prime} x x_{\phi^{\prime}}+x\left(x^{2}+1\right)=0  \tag{112}\\
& T\left(x^{2}+1\right) x_{\phi}-2 T^{\prime} x x_{T^{\prime}}+T^{\prime}\left(x^{2}-1\right) x_{\phi^{\prime}}-x^{2}-1=0 \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

But multiplying (110) by $x$ and adding to it Eq (111) we get Eq (112), while multiplying (111) by $x$ and subtracting from it Eq (110) we get Eq (113). Therefore if Eqs (110) and (111) are satisfied Eqs (112) and (113 are also
satisfied and can be omitted. Also the integrability condition $E_{\xi \eta}^{\prime}-E_{\eta \xi}^{\prime}=0$ leads to Eq (102). Therefore the only relations $x$ should satisfy are Eqs (109) - (111).

If we start from the BTs of Eqs (98) - (99), write

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{y+i}{y-i}, y: \text { real } \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceed as before we find that $y$ must satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{\xi}=y_{\eta}=y_{G}=y_{M}=0  \tag{115}\\
& T y y_{T}+T y_{\phi}+T^{\prime} y y_{T^{\prime}}+T^{\prime} y_{\phi^{\prime}}+y^{2}+1=0  \tag{116}\\
& T y_{T}-T y y_{\phi}-T^{\prime} y_{T^{\prime}}+T^{\prime} y y_{\phi^{\prime}}=0 \tag{117}
\end{align*}
$$

which are obtained from Eqs (109) - (111) if we make the replacement

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \rightarrow y, \phi \rightarrow-\phi \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall find the common solution of Eqs (110) and (111). To do that we find separately the general solution of (110) and of (111) and then the most general common solution. To solve (110) we find first the solution of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T}{T x}=-\frac{d \phi}{T}=\frac{d T^{\prime}}{T^{\prime} x}=\frac{d \phi^{\prime}}{T^{\prime}}=-\frac{d x}{x^{2}+1} \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this system we find that the general solution of (110) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}\left\{\frac{T^{\prime}}{T}, T \sqrt{x^{2}+1}, T x-\phi, T^{\prime} x+\phi^{\prime}\right\}=0 \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{1}$ is an arbitrary function of its arguments. Similarly we find that the general solution of (111) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}\left\{T T^{\prime}, x, T x-\phi, T^{\prime} x+\phi^{\prime}\right\}=0 \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is again an arbitrary function of its arguments. The common solution of (110) and (111) can be expressed by an arbitrary function $H$ whose arguments can be constructed from the arguments of $H_{1}$ and also from the arguments of $H_{2}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left\{T T^{\prime}\left(x^{2}+1\right), T x-\phi, T^{\prime} x+\phi^{\prime}\right\}=0 \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above expression gives the general solution of the system in the sense that no arbitrary function of four arguments can express its solution. Indeed the general solution of Eqs (110) and (111) are given by the arbitrary functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}^{\prime}\left\{\frac{T^{\prime}}{T}, T T^{\prime}\left(x^{2}+1\right), T x-\phi, T^{\prime} x+\phi^{\prime}\right\}=0  \tag{123}\\
& H_{2}^{\prime}\left\{T T^{\prime}, T T^{\prime}\left(x^{2}+1\right), T x-\phi, T^{\prime} x+\phi^{\prime}\right\}=0 \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

The first arguments $\frac{T^{\prime}}{T}$ and $T T^{\prime}$ of $H_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H_{2}^{\prime}$ cannot be replaced by a common expression which is constructed from the four arguments of $H_{1}^{\prime}$ and independently from the four arguments of $H_{2}^{\prime}$. We can also say that if this was possible then (110) and (111), which are different equations, would have the same general solution. But this is impossible and the proof is completed.

Using Eq (108) we can eliminate $x$ from the arguments of $H$. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \equiv-i(x T-\phi)=E+\frac{E+\bar{E}}{v-1}  \tag{125}\\
& B \equiv-i\left(x T^{\prime}+\phi^{\prime}\right)=\overline{E^{\prime}}+\frac{E^{\prime}+\overline{E^{\prime}}}{v-1}  \tag{126}\\
& D \equiv T T^{\prime}\left(x^{2}+1\right)-(x T-\phi)\left(x T^{\prime}+\phi^{\prime}\right)=E \overline{E^{\prime}}+\frac{E \overline{E^{\prime}}-\bar{E} E^{\prime}}{v-1} \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$

and expression (122) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(A, B, D)=H\left\{E+\frac{E+\bar{E}}{v-1}, \overline{E^{\prime}}+\frac{E^{\prime}+\overline{E^{\prime}}}{v-1}, E \overline{E^{\prime}}+\frac{E \overline{E^{\prime}}-\bar{E} E^{\prime}}{v-1}\right\}=0 \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the BTs of Eqs (96) - (97) for the variables $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\frac{E^{\prime}+\overline{E^{\prime}}}{E+\bar{E}} v E_{\alpha}, \overline{E^{\prime}}{ }_{\alpha}=\frac{E^{\prime}+\overline{E^{\prime}}}{E+E^{\prime}} \frac{1}{v} \bar{E}_{\alpha}, \alpha=\xi, \eta \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressions (129) with $v$ given by Eq (128) are the BTs of Case 2.
To solve Eqs (129) we proceed as follows [10]: We get if we use these equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\alpha} A=-\frac{E+E^{\prime}}{(v-1)^{2}}\left\{v_{\alpha}-\frac{v-1}{E+\bar{E}}\left(v E_{\alpha}+\bar{E}_{\alpha}\right)\right\}  \tag{130}\\
& \partial_{\alpha} B=-\frac{E^{\prime}+\overline{E^{\prime}}}{(v-1)^{2}}\left\{v_{\alpha}-\frac{v-1}{E+\bar{E}}\left(v E_{\alpha}+\bar{E}_{\alpha}\right)\right\}  \tag{131}\\
& \partial_{\alpha} D=-\frac{E \overline{E^{\prime}}-\bar{E} E^{\prime}}{(v-1)^{2}}\left\{v_{\alpha}-\frac{v-1}{E+\bar{E}}\left(v E_{\alpha}+\bar{E}_{\alpha}\right)\right\} \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\alpha} H(A, B, D)=-\frac{1}{(v-1)^{2}} & \left\{(E+\bar{E}) \partial_{A} H+\left(E^{\prime}+\overline{E^{\prime}}\right) \partial_{B} H+\left(E \overline{E^{\prime}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\bar{E} E^{\prime}\right) \partial_{D} H\right\}\left\{v_{\alpha}-\frac{v-1}{E+\bar{E}}\left(v E_{\alpha}+\bar{E}_{\alpha}\right)\right\} \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus at least one of the following relations should hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& (A-E) \partial_{A} H+\left(B-\overline{E^{\prime}}\right) \partial_{B} H+\left(D-E \overline{E^{\prime}}\right) \partial_{D} H=0  \tag{134}\\
& v_{\alpha}-\frac{v-1}{E+\bar{E}}\left(v E_{\alpha}+\bar{E}_{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{135}
\end{align*}
$$

where in writing (134) we have used Eqs (125) - (127).
Assume that Eq (134) holds, which means that $v$ coming from it satisfies Eqs (110) and (111). Since $E, \overline{E^{\prime}}$ and $E \overline{E^{\prime}}$ cannot be obtained from $A, B$ and $D$ this can happen only if $H$ is of the form $H=H(A)$ or $H=H(B)$ or $H=H(D)$. But then solving these equations for $A, B$ or $D$ we get $A=-i c_{1}$, $B=-i c_{2}$ or $D=c_{3}$ respectively ( $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are constants), which give the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{c_{1}+i \bar{E}}{c_{1}-i E}, v=\frac{c_{2}+i E^{\prime}}{c_{2}-i \bar{E}^{\prime}}, v=\frac{c_{3}-\bar{E} E^{\prime}}{c_{3}-E \bar{E}^{\prime}} \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v \bar{v}=1$ the constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ must be real. However if $v$ is given by anyone of (136) and $E^{\prime}$ and $\bar{E}^{\prime}$ satisfy Eqs (129) the quantity $v$ satisfies Eq (135). Therefore Eq (135) is always satisfied which means that we have found the BTs (129) where the pseudopotential [11] $v$ is a solution of Eq (135). In this formalism the pseudopotential was obtained from the arbitrary function $H$.

The general solution of $\mathrm{Eq}(135)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{c+i \bar{E}}{c-i E} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is an arbitrary real constant. Substituting (137) into (129) and solving the resulting relation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}=\frac{E+i c_{1}}{i c_{2} E+c_{3}} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are arbitrary real constants. The above relation is the transformation of Ehlers (5]

To obtain the BTs of Case 3 we have to solve Eqs (115) - (117). The general solution of these equations, which comes from the expression (122) if we make the substitution of Eqs (118), is given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left\{T T^{\prime}\left(y^{2}+1\right), T y+\phi, T^{\prime} y+\phi^{\prime}\right\}=0 \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an arbitrary function of its arguments. Proceeding as in the previous case we find that expression (139) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left\{\bar{E}+\frac{E+\bar{E}}{w-1}, \bar{E}^{\prime}+\frac{E^{\prime}+\bar{E}^{\prime}}{w-1}, \bar{E} \bar{E}^{\prime}+\frac{\bar{E} \bar{E}^{\prime}-E E^{\prime}}{w-1}\right\}=0 \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the BTs of Eqs (98) and (99) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha} E^{\prime}=\frac{E^{\prime}+\bar{E}^{\prime}}{E+\bar{E}} w \partial_{\alpha} \bar{E}, \partial_{\alpha} \bar{E}^{\prime}=\frac{E^{\prime}+\bar{E}^{\prime}}{E+\bar{E}} \frac{1}{w} \partial_{\alpha} E, \alpha=\xi, \eta \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above expressions with $w$ given by Eq (140) are the BTs of Case 3.
Proceeding as before we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=\frac{c+i E}{c-i \bar{E}}  \tag{142}\\
E^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{E}+i c_{1}}{i c_{2} \bar{E}+c_{3}} \tag{143}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are arbitrary real constants. The BT (143) is essentially a trivial modification of the $\mathrm{BT}(138)$ since if $E=T+i \phi$ is a solution of the system of Eqs (68) - (70) then $E=T-i \phi$ is again a solution of the same system.

To find $p^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ of the new solution we have to use the relation $\mu+3 p=0$ and Eqs (71), (96), (98), (138) and (143). We find for Case 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}=\frac{c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}}{c_{2}^{2}} \frac{p}{T^{2}+\left(\phi-\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}}\right)^{2}}, \mu^{\prime}=\frac{c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}}{c_{2}^{2}} \frac{\mu}{T^{2}+\left(\phi-\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $p^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ for Case 3 come from the above expressions if we make the substitution $\phi \rightarrow-\phi$.

The fact that $G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ of Eqs (96) and (138) give a new solution of Eqs (68) - ( 70 ) can be seen as follows: Under these transformations Eqs (68) and (69) are invariant, while Eq (70) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(E^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}}{c_{2}^{2}}\left(E-i \frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}}\right)^{-3}\left(E+i \frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}}\right)^{-1} \Lambda(E, G)=0 \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. it is also satisfied. Similarly we find that $G^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ of Eqs (98) and (143) give a solution of Eqs (68) - (70).
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