

Properties of excitations in systems with a spinor Bose-Einstein condensate

Péter Szépfalussy^(1,2) and Gergely Szirmai⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Roland Eötvös University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, H-1117,

⁽²⁾Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P.O.Box 49, H-1525

(December 4, 2017)

General theory in case of homogenous Bose-Einstein condensed systems with spinor condensate is presented for the correlation functions of density and spin fluctuations and for the one-particle propagators as well. The random phase approximation is investigated and the damping of the modes is given in the intermediate temperature region. It is shown that the collective and the one-particle excitation spectra do not coincide fully.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 67.40.Db, 05.30.Jp

Since the realization of Bose-Einstein condensate in vapours of alkali-metal atoms, there has been a tremendous development of the field concerning experimental and theoretical aspects as well. Most of the works have considered the gases in magnetic traps, where the spin degrees of freedom are frozen and the particles behave as scalar ones. The confinement of the ^{23}Na gas in an optical trap [1] has opened a new avenue of investigations where the spinor nature of the particle field plays a decisive role [2–10]. The present paper is devoted also to this problem considering a homogenous system.

Two states of systems with spinor condensates have been discovered [2–4], namely the polar and the ferromagnetic ones and the conditions of their appearance have been determined. It has also been shown that the collective excitations possess different features in the two states influenced also by a possible external magnetic field [3,4,7]. The Bogoliubov approximation, which has been applied until now, does not take into account the interaction between the particles in the condensate and the thermal cloud, leading to undamped modes.

In this paper we start from the thermal Green's function formalism [11–13]. First general relationships are derived for the density and spin correlation functions by expressing them in terms of their proper parts. Below T_C the proper parts can be separated into singular and regular contributions such that the diagrams contributing to former ones split by cutting a single one-particle propagator. In lowest order the singular diagrams alone lead to the Bogoliubov approximation for the modes. Treating the regular part also in leading order damping of the modes and other qualitatively new features emerge. The one-particle propagators are also investigated and it is pointed out that the one-particle spectra coincide with a part of the collective ones in the symmetry breaking phase. Finally the damping of the modes are determined in the intermediate temperature region.

The model used here is the usual one for particles with spin 1. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (e_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu) a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_r(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = \\ = \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4}} a_{r'}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_1) a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_2) V_{rs}^{r's'} a_s(\mathbf{k}_3) a_{s'}(\mathbf{k}_4), \quad (1)$$

where $e_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2M)$ (M is the mass of the atom), μ stands for the chemical potential and the two particle interaction is given by

$$V_{rs}^{r's'} = c_n \delta_{rs} \delta_{r's'} + c_s(\mathbf{F})_{rs}(\mathbf{F})_{r's'}. \quad (2)$$

Here the constants c_n and c_s are related to the s-wave scattering lengths a_0 and a_2 in the total spin zero and two channel, respectively, by $3c_n = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_n / M$ and $2c_s = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_s / M$, where $a_n = a_0 + 2a_2$ and $a_s = 2(a_2 - a_0) / 3$ [2–4]. We assume that the system has unit volume. $a_r(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ are annihilation and creation operators, respectively, for particles with momentum \mathbf{k} and spin component r . The representation $r = 1, 0, -1$ will be used, where the spin operators are $F_z = \text{diag}(1, 0, -1)$, $(F_{\pm})_{rs} = (F_x)_{rs} \pm i(F_y)_{rs} = \sqrt{2}(\delta_{\pm 1, r} \delta_{0, s} + \delta_{0, r} \delta_{\pm 1, s})$. In Eq. (1) and from now on summation over repeated indices is understood.

To take into account the condensation the canonical transformation

$$a_r(\mathbf{k}) = b_r(\mathbf{k}) + \delta_{k,0} \sqrt{N_0} \zeta_r \quad (3)$$

is introduced, where N_0 denotes the number of particles in the zero momentum state of spin projection r , forming the condensate and ζ is a normalized spinor. N_0 is to be determined self-consistently.

As mentioned before there are two states according to the sign of the constant c_s : The *polar state* ($c_s > 0$), where $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle = 0$, $(\zeta^P)_r = \delta_{r,0}$, and the *ferromagnetic state* ($c_s < 0$), where $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle^2 = 1$, $(\zeta^F)_r = \delta_{r,1}$.

We will deal with the following correlation functions

$$D_{nn}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \left\langle T_\tau [n(\mathbf{k}, \tau) n(-\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$D_{zz}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \left\langle T_\tau [\mathcal{F}_z(\mathbf{k}, \tau) \mathcal{F}_z(-\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$D_{nz}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \left\langle T_\tau [n(\mathbf{k}, \tau) \mathcal{F}_z(-\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle, \quad (6)$$

$$D_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \left\langle T_\tau [\mathcal{F}_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) \mathcal{F}_{\mp}(-\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle, \quad (7)$$

$$D_{QQ}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \left\langle T_\tau [\mathcal{F}_Q(\mathbf{k}, \tau) \mathcal{F}_Q^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Here $n(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) a_r(\mathbf{q})$ is the density operator, $\mathcal{F}_z(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) (F_z)_{rs} a_s(\mathbf{q})$, $\mathcal{F}_\pm(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) (F_\pm)_{rs} a_s(\mathbf{q})$, $\mathcal{F}_Q(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) (F_Q^2)_{rs} a_s(\mathbf{q})$ are the appropriate spin-density operators. The averaging is made over the grand canonical ensemble, τ is the imaginary time and T_τ is the τ ordering operator [11].

We introduce a propagator from what the above ones can be easily evaluated as

$$D_{r's'}^{sr}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \left\langle T_\tau [\sigma_{rs}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) \sigma_{s'r'}(-\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle, \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma_{rs}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) a_s(\mathbf{q})$.

The propagators are periodic in τ with period $\beta\hbar$, in their Fourier-series the occurring frequencies are the Bose discrete Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = \frac{2\pi n}{\beta\hbar}$ [11]. The propagators $D_{r's'}^{sr}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ can be written in terms of their proper parts $\Pi_{r's'}^{sr}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ (which do not split by cutting a single interaction line):

$$D_{r's'}^{sr}(k, i\omega_n) = \Pi_{r's'}^{sr}(k, i\omega_n) + \Pi_{ab}^{sr}(k, i\omega_n) V_{cd}^{ba} D_{r's'}^{dc}(k, i\omega_n). \quad (10)$$

Space reflection and time inversion symmetry yields $D_{r's'}^{sr} = D_{sr}^{r's'}$. Spin conservation means that $r - s = r' - s'$. The same symmetry relations hold for the proper parts. As a result Eq. (10) separates into independent equations for specified spin transfers.

The first one (corresponding to zero spin transfer) reads as ${}^0\underline{D} = {}^0\underline{\Pi} + {}^0\underline{\Pi} \cdot {}^0\underline{C} \cdot {}^0\underline{D}$, where the following notation is introduced

$${}^0\underline{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{++}^{+++} & D_{00}^{00+} & D_{--}^{--+} \\ D_{++}^{000} & D_{00}^{000} & D_{--}^{000} \\ D_{++}^{---} & D_{00}^{00-} & D_{--}^{---} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and equivalently for ${}^0\underline{\Pi}$. Here and in the following $+, 0, -$ is used instead of $+1, 0, -1$ for the spin components. The interaction matrix is ${}^0\underline{C} = c_n \cdot \underline{\xi}_1 \circ \underline{\xi}_1 + c_s \cdot \underline{\xi}_2 \circ \underline{\xi}_2$, where $\underline{\xi}_1 = (1, 1, 1)^T$ and $\underline{\xi}_2 = (1, 0, -1)^T$. One can easily verify that after solving the matrix equation for ${}^0\underline{D}$ the correlation functions defined in (4)–(6) can be written in the following form: $D_{nn} = \underline{\xi}_1^T \cdot {}^0\underline{D} \cdot \underline{\xi}_1$, $D_{zz} = \underline{\xi}_2^T \cdot {}^0\underline{D} \cdot \underline{\xi}_2$ and $D_{nz} = \underline{\xi}_1^T \cdot {}^0\underline{D} \cdot \underline{\xi}_2$. Since ${}^0\underline{D}$ is a symmetric matrix (due to the above discussed symmetry relations) $D_{nz} = D_{zn}$.

The second equation (corresponding to plus one spin transfer) is ${}^+\underline{D} = {}^+\underline{\Pi} + {}^+\underline{\Pi} \cdot {}^+\underline{C} \cdot {}^+\underline{D}$, where

$${}^+\underline{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{+0}^{0+} & D_{-0}^{0+} \\ D_{+0}^{-0} & D_{-0}^{-0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

and its proper part matrix ${}^+\underline{\Pi}$ is similarly defined. The interaction here can be written in the form ${}^+\underline{C} = c_s \cdot \underline{\chi} \circ \underline{\chi}$, where $\underline{\chi} = (1, 1)^T$. Furthermore $D_{++} = \underline{\chi}^T \cdot {}^+\underline{D} \cdot \underline{\chi}$.

The equation for the negative spin transfer (for ${}^-\underline{D}$) can be calculated in the same way. The equation corresponding to the plus two spin transfer is trivial, since

the interaction matrix becomes zero, consequently this propagator is already proper: $D_{++}^{-+} = \Pi_{++}^{-+}$.

The excitation energies and their widths can be found by analytic continuation on the omega plane in the usual way [11] and are determined by the condition $\det(\underline{I} - {}^0\underline{\Pi} \cdot {}^0\underline{C}) = 0$, where \underline{I} is the unit matrix of rank three and two in the case of zero and plus one spin transfer, respectively. The simplest possible approximation is to keep only the leading singular contributions for the proper parts. Such an approach is equivalent to the Bogoliubov approximation. The next simplest calculation is to keep also the leading regular diagrams as well, giving the contribution $\Pi_0 \cdot \underline{I}$. Here Π_0 is the contribution of the bubble diagram

$$\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n^0(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) - n^0(\mathbf{k})}{\hbar i\omega_n - (e_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - e_{\mathbf{k}})}, \quad (13)$$

where $n^0(\mathbf{k})$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution function for particles of energy $e_{\mathbf{k}}$.

The calculation in this random phase approximation can be carried out separately for the two states.

In the *polar state* the leading singular contributions are

$${}^0\underline{\Pi}_B = \Pi_S \cdot \underline{\zeta} \circ \underline{\zeta}, \quad (14a)$$

$${}^+\underline{\Pi}_B^P = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_-^P & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_+^P \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14b)$$

In Eq. (14) $\Pi_S = 2N_0\hbar^{-2}e_{\mathbf{k}}/[(i\omega_n)^2 - \hbar^{-2}e_{\mathbf{k}}^2]$, $\Pi_\pm = N_0\hbar^{-1}/(\pm i\omega_n - \hbar^{-1}e_{\mathbf{k}})$.

Using ${}^0\underline{\Pi} = \Pi_0 \cdot {}^0\underline{I} + {}^0\underline{\Pi}_B$ the determinant $\det(\underline{I} - {}^0\underline{\Pi} \cdot {}^0\underline{C}) = (1 - 2c_s\Pi_0)(1 - 3c_n\Pi_0 - c_n\Pi_S)$. These spectra separate into two parts, corresponding to the density and the spin density mode described by

$$D_{nn} = \frac{3\Pi_0 + \Pi_S}{1 - 3c_n\Pi_0 - c_n\Pi_S} \quad (15)$$

and

$$D_{zz} = \frac{2\Pi_0}{1 - 2c_s\Pi_0}, \quad (16)$$

respectively.

The density and the spin fluctuations are not coupled in this state ($D_{nz} = 0$) and the spin fluctuations are created only by the thermal cloud.

For the spin wave mode $\det(\underline{I} - {}^+\underline{\Pi} \cdot {}^+\underline{C}) = 1 - 2c_s\Pi_0 - c_s\Pi_S$ and $D_{++} = \underline{\chi}^T \cdot {}^+\underline{D} \cdot \underline{\chi}$ results in

$$D_{++} = \frac{2\Pi_0 + \Pi_S}{1 - 2c_s\Pi_0 - c_s\Pi_S}. \quad (17)$$

The same result holds for the D_{--} spin-wave mode. The quadrupolar spin-density fluctuation propagator is simple since $D_{QQ} = 4D_{++}^{-+} = 4\Pi_0$, which means that this belongs also only to the non-condensate. Keeping only the singular contributions ${}^0\underline{\Pi}_B$ the poles of D_{nn}

and D_{++} are at frequencies $\omega_{nn}^P = \hbar^{-1}\sqrt{e_{\mathbf{k}}(e_{\mathbf{k}} + 2N_0c_n)}$, $\omega_{++}^P = \hbar^{-1}\sqrt{e_{\mathbf{k}}(e_{\mathbf{k}} + 2N_0c_s)}$, respectively, in agreement with the modes known from previous calculations [3,4].

We do not go into any detail concerning the *ferromagnetic state* and give the result only for the density fluctuations. The determinant $\Delta \equiv \det(\underline{I} - {}^0\Pi \cdot {}^0\underline{C}) = (1 - 3c_n\Pi_0 - c_n\Pi_S)(1 - 2c_s\Pi_0 - c_s\Pi_S) - c_n c_s \Pi_S^2$ does not factorize into two parts, which means that the density and the spin density autocorrelation functions (defined in Eqs. (4) and (5)) are coupled, so the cross correlation function (defined in Eq. (6)) is not zero either. One can find

$$D_{nn} = \frac{3\Pi_0(1 - 2c_s\Pi_0) + \Pi_S(1 - 5c_s\Pi_0)}{\Delta}, \quad (18a)$$

$$D_{zz} = \frac{2\Pi_0(1 - 3c_n\Pi_0) + \Pi_S(1 - 5c_n\Pi_0)}{\Delta}, \quad (18b)$$

$$D_{nz} = D_{zn} = \frac{\Pi_S}{\Delta}, \quad (18c)$$

where Δ is the above given determinant.

We note that the results of the Bogoliubov approximation can be simply regained here as well by setting Π_0 to zero, leading to the eigenfrequency $\omega_n^F = \hbar^{-1}\sqrt{e_{\mathbf{k}}[e_{\mathbf{k}} + 2N_0(c_n + c_s)]}$ in agreement with [3], [4].

Before further discussing the spectra of the correlation functions (4)–(8) we present the structure of the thermal Green's functions defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{rs}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = -\left\langle T_{\tau} [b_r^{\beta}(\mathbf{k}, \tau) b_s^{\alpha\dagger}(\mathbf{k}, 0)] \right\rangle. \quad (19)$$

The Roman indices are standing for the spin component, while the Greek ones (taken as +1, -1) are for distinguishing between the normal and anomalous Green's functions [11–14], using the notation $b_r^+(\mathbf{k}) = b_r(\mathbf{k})$, $b_r^-(\mathbf{k}) = b_r^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k})$.

The generalized Dyson-Beliaev equation for the Green's function reads as $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{rs} = \mathcal{G}_{(0)\alpha\beta}^{rs} + \mathcal{G}_{(0)\alpha\gamma}^{rs'} \Sigma_{\gamma\delta}^{s's''} \mathcal{G}_{\delta\beta}^{s''s}$, where $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{rs}$ is the self energy, the sum of those diagrams with two external points which can not be split by cutting a single particle line. The free propagator in our case is $\mathcal{G}_{(0)\alpha\beta}^{rs}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \delta_{rs} \delta_{\alpha\beta} / (\alpha i\omega_n - \hbar^{-1}e_{\mathbf{k}})$.

Time reversal and space reflection invariance mean that $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{rs}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \mathcal{G}_{\beta\alpha}^{sr}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \mathcal{G}_{-\alpha, -\beta}^{rs}(\mathbf{k}, -i\omega_n)$. Spin preservation requires for $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^{rs}$ that $\alpha r - \beta s = (\alpha - \beta)\sigma$, where σ is the spin of a condensate particle. This structure can be symbolized for the polar state by

$$\mathcal{G}_{11}^{rs} : \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \blacksquare & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{-11}^{rs} : \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & \blacksquare \\ \hline 0 & \blacksquare & 0 \\ \hline \blacksquare & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

and for the ferromagnetic state by

$$\mathcal{G}_{11}^{rs} : \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \blacksquare & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{-11}^{rs} : \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

where a black box refers to a nonzero element. The same structure holds for the self energies as well.

The dielectric formalism worked out for scalar Bose particles [13–19] can be generalized for the present case. In this framework the expressions for the correlation functions D_{nn} , D_{++} in the polar state and D_{nn} (D_{zz} , D_{nz}) in the ferromagnetic state can be rearranged so that they have the same denominator as the propagators \mathcal{G}_{11}^{00} (\mathcal{G}_{1-1}^{00}), \mathcal{G}_{11}^{11} (\mathcal{G}_{1-1}^{1-1}) in the polar state and \mathcal{G}_{11}^{11} (\mathcal{G}_{1-1}^{1-1}) in the ferromagnetic state, respectively. (We listed only those relevant to our considerations here.) Consequently the above pairs share the same excitation spectra.

We are not going to present the general theory here, instead we demonstrate this property in the RPA. The interaction potential defined in Eq. (2) is renormalized to

$$W_{rs}^{r's'}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \frac{c_n}{1 - 3c_n\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)} \delta_{rs} \delta_{r's'} + \frac{c_s}{1 - 2c_s\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)} (\mathbf{F})_{rs} (\mathbf{F})_{r's'}. \quad (20)$$

The diagrams contributing to the self-energies are drawn in Fig 1.

For the polar state the resulting Green's functions are

$$\mathcal{G}_{11}^{00} = \frac{(1 - c\Pi)(i\omega_n + \hbar^{-1}e_{\mathbf{k}}) + \hbar^{-1}N_0c}{[(i\omega_n)^2 - \hbar^{-2}e_{\mathbf{k}}^2](1 - c\Pi) - 2\hbar^{-1}e_{\mathbf{k}}N_0c}, \quad (21)$$

where $c = c_n$, $\Pi = 3\Pi_0$ and $c = c_s$, $\Pi = 2\Pi_0$ for \mathcal{G}_{11}^{00} and \mathcal{G}_{11}^{++} , respectively.

For the discussed mode in the ferromagnetic state the Green's function is

$$\mathcal{G}_{11}^{++} = \frac{\hbar(i\hbar\omega_n + e_{\mathbf{k}})(1 - 3c_n\Pi_0)(1 - 2c_s\Pi_0) + \hbar N_0\rho}{[(i\hbar\omega_n)^2 + e_{\mathbf{k}}^2](1 - 3c_n\Pi_0)(1 - 2c_s\Pi_0) + 2N_0e_{\mathbf{k}}\rho}. \quad (22)$$

Here the abbreviation $\rho = c_n + c_s - 5c_n c_s \Pi_0$ is introduced to simplify the equation.

Comparison of Eq. (21) with (15) and (17) and furthermore (22) with (18) illustrate the statement above. Note that D_{zz}^P and D_{QQ}^P do not have counterparts among the Green's functions, which means that the collective and one-particle spectra do not coincide completely.

Now we turn our attention evaluating the spectra. It is useful to introduce characteristic lengths, namely the thermal wavelength $\lambda = \hbar/(2Mk_B T)^{1/2}$, the correlation length $\xi' = Mk_B T/(4\pi\hbar^2 N_0)$ governing the critical fluctuations and the mean field (Bogoliubov) coherence lengths $\xi_{n,s}^B = \hbar/(4MN_0c_{n,s})^{1/2}$.

We consider the intermediate temperature region, where $\xi^B \gg \lambda, \xi'$ and the contribution of the bubble graph can be treated as a perturbation. To leading order shift in the energy can be neglected and the main effect is the damping of the eigenmodes due to their interaction with the thermal cloud represented by the bubble graph. This is a Landau-type damping which is the dominating process at such temperatures. Π_0 has been

analyzed in detail in [14]. In the temperature region considered and for linear dispersion Π_0 can be approximated by $i[M/(4\pi\hbar^2k\lambda^2)] \cdot \ln[(\hbar\omega - e_{\mathbf{k}})/(\hbar\omega + e_{\mathbf{k}})]$. The spectra can be written in the form $\omega - i\gamma$, where ω is the frequency in the Bogoliubov approximation for small k limit and γ represents the damping if ω is not zero. In the *polar state* $(\gamma_{nn}^P/\omega_{nn}^P) = (3\xi'/2\xi_n^B)$ and $(\gamma_{++}^P/\omega_{++}^P) = (2\xi'/2\xi_s^B)$. Furthermore D_{zz} has a pole at $\omega_{zz}^P = 0$, $\gamma_{zz}^P = 2\gamma_{++}^P$. In the *ferromagnetic state* $\gamma_{nn}^F = \gamma_{zz}^F = \gamma_{nz}^F$ and $\gamma_{nn}^F/\omega_{nn}^F = \xi'[3(\xi_s^B)^2 + 2(\xi_n^B)^2]/[2\xi_n^B \xi_s^B \sqrt{(\xi_s^B)^2 + (\xi_n^B)^2}]$.

In the experiments made with sodium atoms [1], the scattering lengths are $a_0 = (46 \pm 5)a_B$, $a_2 = (52 \pm 5)a_B$ (see e.g. [3]), where a_B is the Bohr radius, which means that $c_n > 0$ i.e. the polar state is realized in equilibrium and in the absence of an external magnetic field. For an estimate the temperature can be taken to be of the order of $10^{-7}K$ and the condensate density is about of $3 \cdot 10^{-20}m^{-3}$. With these parameters $\gamma_n^P/\omega_n^P \approx 1, 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and $\gamma_+^P/\omega_+^P \approx 1, 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$, showing that the spin wave is much less damped than the density fluctuations.

Finally some remarks are appropriate about the relevance of calculations for homogenous system to the Bose gas in a trap, beyond the obvious usefulness namely that they can serve as a guide. As far as the damping of the modes is concerned one can refer to the fact that the simple expression obtained in RPA [12,14] has proved to be quantitatively a good approximation [19–22] in case of gases in magnetic traps, even when compared to the experimental findings [23]. One may expect a similar situation here. Moreover, the results obtained for the homogenous system are relevant in the local density approximation for the gas in a trap. Namely by exciting density perturbations much smaller than the size of the condensate the local speed of the quasiparticle (zeroth sound) has been measured and its density dependence has been found in agreement with the bulk result [24]. One can hope that such experiments can be extended to spin waves.

The present work has been partially supported by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences under Grant No. AKP 9820 2,2 and by the Hungarian Ministry of Education under Grant No. FKFP 0159/1997.

- (1999).
- [7] W.-J. Huang and S.-C. Gou, cond-mat/9905435.
 - [8] T.L. Ho and S.K. Yip, cond-mat/9905339.
 - [9] T.L. Ho and L. Yin, cond-mat/9908307.
 - [10] M. Coashi and M. Ueda, cond-mat/9906313.
 - [11] A.L. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).
 - [12] H. Shi and A.Griffin, Finite-Temperature Excitations in a Dilute Bose-Condensed Gas, Phys.Rep. **304** (1998) 1.
 - [13] A.Griffin, Excitations in a Bose-Condensed Liquid (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
 - [14] P.Szépfaľusy and I.Kondor, Ann. Phys. **82** (19 74) 1.
 - [15] Shang-Keng Ma and Chia-Wei Woo, Phys.Rev. **159**, 165 (1967).
 - [16] I.Kondor and P.Szépfaľusy, Acta Phys. Hung. **2 4**, 81 (1968).
 - [17] S.H.Payne and A.Griffin, Phys.Rev.B **32**, 7199 (1985).
 - [18] V.K.Wong and H.Gould, Annals of Physics, **83**, 252 (1974).
 - [19] Gy. Bene and P. Szépfaľusy, Phys.Rev.A. **58**, 3391 (1998).
 - [20] W. V. Liu, Phys.Rev.Lett. **79**, 4056 (1997).
 - [21] L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Phys.Lett **A 235** (1997).
 - [22] P.O. Fedichev, G.V.Shylyapnikov and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys.Rev.Lett. **80**, 2269 (1998).
 - [23] D.S. Jin, M.R. Matthews, J.R. Enscher, C.E. Wieman, and E.A. Cornell, Phys.Rev.Lett. **78**, 764 (1997).
 - [24] M.R. Andrews, D.M. Kurn, H.-J. Miesner, D.S. Durfee, C.G. Townsend, S. Inouye, and W. Ketterle, Phys.Rev.Lett. **79**, 553 (1997.)

-
- [1] D.M. Stamper-Kurn, M.R. Andrews, A.P. Chikkatur, S. Inouye, H.-J. Miesner, J. Stenger and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2027 (1998).
 - [2] J.Stenger, et al., Nature **396**, 345 (1998).
 - [3] T.L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 742 (1998).
 - [4] T. Ohmi and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 1822 (1998).
 - [5] C.K.Law, H. Pu, and N.P. Bigelow, Phys.Rev.Lett. **81**, 5257 (1998).
 - [6] W.-J. Huang and S.-C. Gou, Phys.Rev, A **59**, 4608

FIG. 1. Self energy contributions in RPA, the solid line denotes a one-particle free propagator, a double-dashed-line denotes the renormalized interaction and the circle is representing a condensate particle.

$$\Sigma_{11}^{rs} - \mu : \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \text{r} \\ \circ \\ \vdots \\ \circ \\ \text{s} \rightarrow \end{array} \quad , \quad \Sigma_{1-1}^{rs} : \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \text{s} \\ \circ \\ \vdots \\ \circ \\ \text{r} \rightarrow \end{array}$$