

Localization transition of random copolymers at interfaces

Semjon Stepanow ^a, Jens-Uwe Sommer^b, and Igor Ya. Erukhimovich^c

^a *Universität Halle, Fachbereich Physik, D-06099 Halle/Saale, Germany*

^b *Universität Freiburg, Theoretische Polymerphysik, Rheinstraße
12, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany*

^c *Moscow State University, Physics Department, Moscow 117234, Russia
(August 8, 2018)*

We consider adsorption of random copolymer chains onto an interface within the model of Garel et al. *Europhysics Letters* **8**, 9 (1989). By using the replica method the adsorption of the copolymer at the interface is mapped onto the problem of finding the ground state of a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian. To study this ground state we introduce a novel variational principle for the Green's function, which generalizes the well-known Rayleigh-Ritz method of Quantum Mechanics to nonstationary states. Minimization with an appropriate trial Green's function enables us to find the phase diagram for the localization-delocalization transition for an ideal random copolymer at the interface.

PACS numbers: 61.41.+e, 05.40.+j, 03.65.-w

The presence of copolymers at interfaces between two immiscible fluids is crucial to such processes as emulsion stabilization, wetting, microemulsion formation and reinforcement of polymer-polymer interfaces [1]- [10]. For oil-water interfaces, the polymers that are used in these applications are amphiphilic in nature. While one component is soluble in the oil phase, the other component is soluble in water. The difference in solubilities drives the copolymers to adsorb at the interface between the two phases. The localized copolymers can stabilize the interface in the sense that they significantly reduce the surface tension. The study of random copolymers has been motivated in recent years by relevance of these materials for both biological and technological applications. Moreover, the properties of these simple random systems may be important in understanding of much more complex systems such as proteins [11]- [13].

It is well-known that adsorption of long polymer chains on surfaces or interfaces is related to the bound state problem of a Quantum Mechanical (QM) particle in a potential well [14]. The Green's function $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; s, s')$ of a chain in d spatial dimensions with the monomer s at position \mathbf{r} and the monomer s' at \mathbf{r}' is a fundamental quantity in the statistical mechanics of polymers. It obeys in the presence of an external potential $V(\mathbf{r}, s)$ the following equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G = \frac{l^2}{2d}\nabla^2G - \frac{V}{kT}G, \quad (1)$$

with the condition: $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', 0) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ and l being the statistical segment length of the polymer. Eq.(1) is related to the Schrödinger equation by using the replacement: $s \rightarrow it$, $l^2/(dkT) \rightarrow 1/m$, $kT \rightarrow \hbar$ [15], [14]. Considering an external potential independent of the monomer species along the chain and given by $V(x)/kT = -u\delta(x)$ in one spatial dimension, a localized part of the solution exist and can be written as $G_{loc}(x, x', N) = k \exp(l^2 N k^2 / 2) \exp(-k|x| - k|x'|)$. It becomes the only relevant contribution for $N = |s - s'| \rightarrow \infty$. Here the localization length $\xi = 1/k$ is given by $\xi = 1/u$.

However, random copolymers utilized in order to reinforce polymer-polymer interfaces do not adsorb according to the above scenario. The external potential in that case has an arc-length dependence, which in QM picture corresponds to a time dependent potential, so that an appearance of a bound state is not so obvious. In contrast to the example considered above, the individual monomers belonging to a random copolymer are *not a priori* attracted by the interface. The localization effect appears to be due to the feed-back of the interaction of larger parts of the chain with the interface. Garel et al. [16] introduced a simple model describing the behavior of an ideal copolymer chain in the presence of an interface between two phases by the following Edwards functional integral

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}[x(s)] \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2l^2} \int_0^N ds (\partial x / \partial s)^2 + w \int_0^N ds \zeta(s) \text{sgn}(x(s))\right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is a random Gaussian variable describing the heterogeneity of the copolymer. Due to the fact that there are no interactions between the monomers, the coordinates $y(s)$ and $z(s)$ along the interface separate, so that the problem becomes one-dimensional. In the model of Garel et al. [16] the distribution function of the random variable $\zeta(s)$ reads for the discrete version of the polymer chain ($x(s) \rightarrow x_i$, $\zeta(s) \rightarrow \zeta_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ with N being the degree of polymerization of the chain) $P(\zeta_i) = (2\pi\Delta_0)^{-1/2} \exp(-(\zeta_i - \zeta_0)^2 / 2\Delta_0)$, where ζ_0 describes the asymmetry in the

composition of the copolymer. The average over ζ_i within the replica method [16] leads to the following Replica-Hamiltonian

$$H_n = -D \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \nabla_x^2 - w\zeta_0 \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \text{sgn}(x_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_0 w^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \text{sgn}(x_\alpha) \text{sgn}(x_\beta), \quad (3)$$

where n is the number of replicas and D is given by $D = l^2/2$. So far, besides Imry-Ma type arguments [16,5] there is no analytical treatment enabling one to find the ground state of Hamiltonian H_n , which is related to the localization of the random copolymer. The Hartree method applied in Ref. [16] fails to describe the localized state. The problem at hand is also of general interest since it is a further example where frozen-in disorder (here chemical disorder) induces localization of the chain.

In this Letter we present a novel variational principle in order to study the ground state of the Hamiltonian H_n given in Eq.(3). In contrast to the well-known stationary Rayleigh-Ritz (RR) method [20], where the function of interest is the wave function of the problem, we are interested in a variational principle for the full Green's function (GF). While the GF is a dynamic quantity (in the QM picture), the latter is a generalization of RR extremal principle to nonstationary states. We note that our variational principle for the Green's function is not restricted to treat the Hamiltonian H_n , Eq.(3), but it is in fact a general method of quantum mechanics and in particular is a promising method for treating polymer problems.

In order to introduce the variational principle we start with the definition of the GF written in the form

$$-G^{-1}(z) + G_0^{-1}(z) + H_i = 0, \quad (4)$$

where G_0 is the Greens function without interaction, $G_0^{-1}(z) = z + H_0$, H_0 is the unperturbed part of the Hamiltonian, z is Laplace conjugate to the chain's length (time in QM), and H_i is the interaction part of the Hamiltonian. Considering Eq.(4) as a stationarity condition, $\delta\mathcal{F}(G)/\delta G = 0$, of a functional $\mathcal{F}(G)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(G) = -\text{tr} \ln(G) + \text{tr} G_0^{-1} G + \text{tr} H_i G. \quad (5)$$

Eq.(5) defines an extremum functional, the stationarity condition of which yields the exact Green's function. We now present a refined version of this principle. Iterating Eq.(4) we arrive at

$$-(1 - H_i G_0) G^{-1} + G_0^{-1} - H_i G_0 H_i = 0. \quad (6)$$

Considering the latter as a stationarity condition for a functional $\mathcal{F}'(G)$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}'(G) = -\text{tr}(1 - H_i G_0) \ln(G) + \text{tr} G_0^{-1} G - \text{tr} H_i G_0 H_i G. \quad (7)$$

For practical purpose it may be useful to start with \mathcal{F}' instead of \mathcal{F} . We remark that $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and especially $\mathcal{F}'(G)$ are similar to the generating functional of the 2nd Legendre transform in statistical physics and in field theory [17]- [19]. It is amazing that the method based on (5, 7) has been discovered only now.

We now will apply the above method to find the ground state of an asymmetric localization potential given by $U(x) = -u\delta(x) + \chi\theta(x)$, where $\theta(x)$ is the step function. Assuming ground state dominance (GSD) [14] we choose the trial Green's function as

$$G(k_1, k_2; x, x', t) = \frac{2k_1 k_2}{k_1 + k_2} \exp(-\varepsilon_k t) (\exp(-k_1 x) \theta(x) + \exp(k_2 x) \theta(-x)) \\ (\exp(-k_1 x') \theta(x') + \exp(k_2 x') \theta(-x')), \quad (8)$$

where $\varepsilon_k = -Dk_2^2$, and k_1 and k_2 are fit parameters. Inserting G into $\mathcal{F}(G)$ yields

$$\mathcal{F}(k_1, k_2) = \ln(z + \varepsilon_k) + \frac{-\varepsilon_k + Dk_1 k_2}{z + \varepsilon_k} - \frac{u}{z + \varepsilon_k} \frac{2k_1 k_2}{k_1 + k_2} + \frac{\chi}{z + \varepsilon_k} \frac{k_2}{k_1 + k_2}. \quad (9)$$

Solving equations associated with stationarity conditions

$$\partial\mathcal{F}/\partial k_1 = \partial\mathcal{F}/\partial k_2 = 0 \quad (10)$$

we get the fit parameters k_1 and k_2 as

$$k_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{D} + \frac{\chi}{u}\right), \quad k_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{D} - \frac{\chi}{u}\right). \quad (11)$$

The latter coincide with those obtained from the exact solution of the Schrödinger equation in the asymmetric potential. For disappearing asymmetry ($\chi = 0$) the ground state will become symmetric ($k_1 = k_2$). The delocalization transition is defined by the condition $k_2 = 0$. We note that the minimization procedure based on (5) gives the same result as the Rayleigh-Ritz approach. We can also consider ε_k in Eq.(9) as a free parameter and minimize $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, k_1, k_2)$ given by Eq.(9) with respect to ε , k_1 and k_2 . The stationarity condition with respect to ε fixes ε as the expectation value of the Hamiltonian associated with (9). The stationarity conditions with respect to k_1 and k_2 coincide exactly with those obtained by using the Rayleigh-Ritz method.

We now turn to the adsorption of a random copolymer chain, or what is equivalent to the study of the ground state of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_n , Eq.(3). In the framework of the variational principle based on the functional \mathcal{F} , which is given by Eq.(5), the simplest n -replica trial Green's function is

$$G(k_1, k_2; x, x', t) = \left(\frac{2k_1 k_2}{k_1 + k_2}\right)^n \exp(-n\varepsilon_k t) \prod_{\alpha=1}^n ((\exp(-k_1 x_\alpha)\theta(x_\alpha) + \exp(k_2 x_\alpha)\theta(-x_\alpha)) (\exp(-k_1 x'_\alpha)\theta(x'_\alpha) + \exp(k_2 x'_\alpha)\theta(-x'_\alpha))) \quad (12)$$

with $\varepsilon_k = -Dk_2^2$ in the case $\zeta_0 > 0$. The energy ε_k is negative due to the same reason as in the case of the localization of a QM particle. Notice that the trial function in Eq.(12) is a product over the one replica Green's functions. This is due to the circumstance that the main effect of the randomness here is expected to result in an attraction of the copolymer to the interface. In the case when the randomness would result in self-interactions between the monomers, a product over replica pairs would be more appropriate. Eq.(12) can be considered as the first term in the expansion of the n -replica Green's function over one-replica, two-replica, ... Green's functions. The evaluation of \mathcal{F} gives

$$\mathcal{F}(k_1, k_2) = \ln(z + n\varepsilon_k) + \frac{-n\varepsilon_k + nDk_1 k_2}{z + n\varepsilon_k} - \frac{w\zeta_0 n}{z + n\varepsilon_k} \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_1 + k_2} - \frac{n}{2} \Delta_0 w^2 \frac{1}{z + n\varepsilon_k} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Delta_0 w^2 \frac{1}{z + n\varepsilon_k} \left(\frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_1 + k_2}\right)^2. \quad (13)$$

After some algebra the stationarity conditions (10) associated with (13) simplify in the limit $n = 0$ to

$$k_2^3 + 3k_2^2 k_1 + 3k_2 k_1^2 + k_1^3 + 2\zeta k_2 + 2\zeta k_1 - 2\Delta k_2 + 2\Delta k_1 = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$k_2^4 + 3k_2^3 k_1 - k_2^2 \zeta + 3k_2^2 k_1^2 - 2\Delta k_1 k_2 + k_2 k_1^3 + \zeta k_1^2 = 0, \quad (15)$$

where the quantities $\Delta = \Delta_0 w^2 / D$ and $\zeta = \zeta_0 w / D$ are introduced. The solution for k_1 and k_2 is obtained as $k_2 = ak_1$, where a is given by $a = (\zeta + 2\Delta + S) / (2\Delta - 3\zeta)$ with $S = \sqrt{4\zeta^2 + 2\Delta\zeta + 4\Delta^2}$. The quantities k_1 and k_2 are obtained from Eqs.(14-15) for $\zeta > 0$ as

$$k_1 = \sqrt{2}(2\Delta - 3\zeta) \frac{(2\zeta^2 - \zeta S + \Delta S)^{1/2}}{(-2\zeta + 4\Delta + S)^{3/2}} \quad (16)$$

$$k_2 = \sqrt{2}(\zeta + 2\Delta + S) \frac{(2\zeta^2 - \zeta S + \Delta S)^{1/2}}{(-2\zeta + 4\Delta + S)^{3/2}} \quad (17)$$

The localization-delocalization transition occurs at the condition $2\Delta - 3\zeta = 0$, where $k_1 = 0$, i.e. the polymer delocalizes in the right half-plane. The localization length $\xi = 1/k_1$ becomes infinite at the transition. Notice that k_2 (related to the chain's penetration length into the unfavorable solvent) stays finite at the transition. Using the relation for the energy per replica, $\varepsilon_k = -Dk_2^2$, with k_2 given by Eq.(17) we see that the energy at the localization-delocalization transition is finite and is equal to $-2/3\Delta$. This is due to the circumstance that in contrast to the localization of a QM particle in a potential well, where the energy at the transition is zero, the interaction of the stochastic copolymer with the interface is in fact nonlocal. The solution for $\zeta < 0$ can be obtained by using Eq.(13) with $\varepsilon_k = -Dk_1^2$.

Considering now the symmetric situation ($\zeta_0 = 0$). From (16-17) we get

$$k_1 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9} \sqrt{\Delta}, \quad k_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{9} \sqrt{\Delta}. \quad (18)$$

Eq.(18) tells us that the ground state breaks down the symmetry of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_n with respect to the reflection $x \leftrightarrow -x$. An explanation can be given as follows: The condition $\zeta_0 = 0$ means that the fraction of the different types of monomers is on average balanced. However, the symmetry of the composition is true only for an ensemble of copolymers in the space of the quenched variable $\zeta(s)$. The typical copolymer in such an ensemble contains an excess of species of one type, which causes the asymmetry of the localized state. It is remarkable that the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_n contains information on this asymmetry and that our variational approach is able to reflect this as an asymmetry of the ground state.

Let us now compare the extremal principle based on the functional $\mathcal{F}(G)$ given by Eq.(5) with that of Rayleigh-Ritz. The latter is based on the minimization of the expectation value of the Hamiltonian H_n , $\langle k | H_n | k \rangle$, computed by using the trial function associated with (12). As in the case of localization of a particle in an asymmetric potential well considered above, the minimization of (13) with respect to ε , k_1 and k_2 completely coincides in the limit of large t with the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure. The difference between both methods appears to be due to the condition $\varepsilon_k = -Dk_2^2$. A restriction in the search of the bound state, which is imposed by this condition, results apparently in selecting the states breaking the reflection symmetry of the Hamiltonian H_n . This explains why in contrast to the Rayleigh-Ritz method our approach is successful in finding the localized state of the problem under consideration. While Eq.(14) still coincides with the stationarity condition of $\langle k | H_n | k \rangle$ with respect to k_1 , the left-hand side of Eq.(15) differs from the derivative of $\langle k | H_n | k \rangle$ with respect to k_2 . The inspection of the condition $\partial\mathcal{F}/\partial k_2 = 0$ shows that the latter provides the equality $\varepsilon_k = \langle k | H_n | k \rangle / n$. This shows that the minimization machinery in both variational principle based on $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and that of Rayleigh-Ritz is in general different, so that even in the stationary case the both extremal principles are not completely equivalent with each other. In the example of the localization of a QM particle in a potential well the both methods give, however, the same result. The equivalence of Eq.(14) with $\partial \langle k | H_n | k \rangle / \partial k_1 = 0$ guarantees that the energy ε_k is extremal with respect to k_1 .

We have found that the energy associated with the asymmetric solution (18) is lower than the energy obtained from the minimization of Eq.(13) in the symmetric case $k_1 = k_2$. The computation of the inverse Laplace transform of (13) with respect to z gives the functional \mathcal{F} at the extremum as: $\mathcal{F}(t) = -\exp(Dnk_2^2 t)/t$. Thus, the asymmetric solution (18) gives for all nonzero n lower values for $\mathcal{F}(t)$ than the symmetric one.

To conclude, we have studied the adsorption of an ideal random copolymer chain at a selective interface. In terms of the replica method adsorption is related to the ground state of a replica Hamiltonian. To proceed we have introduced a novel variational principle for the Green's function. By using an appropriate trial Green's function as a possible candidate for the ground state we find the phase diagram of the localization-delocalization transition for a random copolymer chain on the interface. We predict that even in the case of a symmetric composition ($\zeta_0 = 0$) the ground state is obtained to be asymmetric. This means that the ground state breaks down the reflection symmetry of the Hamiltonian.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 418) and from the Fond der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) as well as stimulating discussions with H. Orland and A. Blumen are gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] R. I. Hancock, Surfactants; Th. F. Tadros, Ed. Academic Press, New York, 1984.
 - [2] H. R. Brown, V. R. Deline, and P. F. Green, Nature (London) **341**, 221 (1989).
 - [3] H. R. Brown, K. Char, V. R. Deline, and P. F. Green, Macromolecules **26**, 4155 (1993); K. Char, H. R. Brown, , V. R. Deline, Macromolecules **26**, 4164 (1993).
 - [4] C. Yeung, A. Balazs, D. Jasnow, Macromolecules **25**, 1357 (1992).
 - [5] J.-U. Sommer, G. Peng and A. Blumen, J. Chem. Phys. **105**, 8376 (1996).
 - [6] C.-A. Dai, B. J. Dair, K. H. Dai, C. K. Ober, E. J. Kramer, C.-Y. Hui, L. W. Jelinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2472 (1994).
 - [7] J. Noolandi and A.-C. Shi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2836 (1995).
 - [8] C.-A. Dai, B. J. Dair, K. H. Dai, C. K. Ober, E. J. Kramer, C.-Y. Hui, L. W. Jelinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2837 (1995).
 - [9] J.-U. Sommer and M. Daoud, Europhysics Letters **32**, 407 (1995).
 - [10] S. Milner and G. H. Fredrickson, Macromolecules **28**, 7953 (1995).
 - [11] M. Karplus and E. I. Shakhnovich, in *Protein Folding*, ed. by T. E. Creighton (Freeman, New York, 1995).

- [12] J. Bryngelson and P. G. Wolynes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **84**, 7524 (1987).
- [13] A. Sali et al., Nature (London) **369**, 248 (1994).
- [14] P.G. de Gennes, Rep. Prog. Phys. **32**, 187, 1969.
- [15] S. F. Edwards, Proc. Phys. Soc. London **85**, 613 (1965).
- [16] T. Garel, D. A. Huse, S. Leibler, H. Orland, Europhysics Letters **8**, 9 (1989) .
- [17] C. de Dominicis and P. C. Martin, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 14 (1964); **5**, 31 (1964).
- [18] J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw, and E. Tomboulis, Physical Review D **10**, 2428 (1974).
- [19] A. V. Dobrynin and I. Ya. Erukhimovich, J. Phys. II France **1**, 1387 (1991).
- [20] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Quantum Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1974).