

Magnetic Field Dependence of T_{AB} in Superfluid 3He

G.A. Baramidze and G.A. Kharadze

*Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Tamarashvili str. 6,
01777 Tbilisi, Georgia (e-mail: gogi@iphac.ge)*

September 7, 2017

Abstract

The magnetic field dependence of the $A \rightarrow B$ transition temperature T_{AB} in the superfluid 3He is reconsidered in order to take into account the linear-in-field contribution beyond the approximation used in Ref.[7]. In the high field region, where the quadratic-in-field contribution prevails, the well known answer is restored. On the other hand, it is pointed out that the Fermi liquid effects shift the observability of the linear-in-field region to rather low magnetic fields.

Soon after the discovery of the superfluidity of liquid 3He it was established that near critical temperature $T_c(P)$ the phase diagram of superfluid state experiences a profound modification under the action of even small external magnetic fields [1, 2, 3]. This modification shows up in elimination of the direct normal to B phase transition over entire phase diagram in favour of an anisotropic A phase.

The $A \rightarrow B$ transition temperature $T_{AB}(H) < T_c$ can be established by equating the free energies $F_A(H)$ and $F_B(H)$. Near the zero-field transition temperature $T_c(P)$ the free energy can be found by minimizing the Ginzburg-Landau functional

$$F_S = 3\alpha_{\mu\nu} \langle \Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu^* \rangle + F_S^{(4)}(\vec{\Delta}), \quad (1)$$

where the fourth order contribution in the order-parameter $\vec{\Delta}(\hat{k})$ reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_S^{(4)} = 9\{ & \beta_1 \langle \Delta_\mu \Delta_\mu \rangle \langle \Delta_\nu^* \Delta_\nu^* \rangle + \beta_2 \langle |\vec{\Delta}|^2 \rangle^2 + \beta_3 \langle \Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu \rangle \langle \Delta_\mu^* \Delta_\nu^* \rangle \\
& + \beta_4 \langle \Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu^* \rangle \langle \Delta_\mu^* \Delta_\nu \rangle + \beta_5 \langle \Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu^* \rangle \langle \Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu^* \rangle \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In Eqs. (1) and (2) the spin-vector $\vec{\Delta}$ is defined according to the general expression for the order-parameter $A_{\mu i}$ of the spin-triplet p -wave Cooper condensate: $\Delta_\mu(\hat{k}) = A_{\mu i} \hat{k}_i$, and the angular brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$ stand for an average over the momentum direction \hat{k} on the Fermi surface. In presence of a magnetic field \vec{H} the tensor coefficient $\alpha_{\mu\nu}$ of the second order term in Eq.(1) reads as

$$\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \alpha \delta_{\mu\nu} + i g_1 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} H_\lambda + g_2 H_\mu H_\nu, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3} N_F \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right), \tag{4a}$$

$$g_1 H = -\frac{1}{3} N_F \eta h, \tag{4b}$$

$$g_2 H^2 = \frac{1}{3} N_F \kappa h^2, \tag{4c}$$

with $h = \hbar\gamma H/(2k_B T_c) = H/H_o$. The dimensionless coefficients η and κ could be considered as the phenomenological quantities although their values can be estimated according to the microscopic calculations. In the weak-coupling approximation [4]

$$\eta_{wc} = \frac{N'_F}{N_F} k_B T_c \ln\left(\frac{2\gamma E \omega_c}{\pi T_c}\right) \tag{5}$$

where N'_F stands for the derivative of the quasiparticle DOS with respect to the energy at the Fermi level. A detailed calculations which take into account the linear-in-field corrections to the Fermi liquid parameters are performed in Ref. [5].

As to the κ -coefficient, it stems from the free energy part

$$\delta F_H^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \delta \chi_s H^2, \quad \delta \chi_s = \chi_s - \chi_N, \tag{6}$$

where $\chi_s(\chi_N)$ stands for the magnetic susceptibility of the superfluid (normal) phase. For the B phase near T_c , $\delta F_H^{(2)} = g_2 H^2 \Delta^2$ where $g_2 H^2$ is given according to Eq. (4c) with

$$\kappa = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{(1 + F_o^a)^2} \quad (7)$$

Here the Fermi liquid parameter $F_o^a \cong -3/4$ and is weakly pressure dependent.

As it is well known, the linear-in-field term (4b) is the origin of a tiny splitting of the A phase (due to a small asymmetry of the density of quasi-particle states at the Fermi level). The quadratic-in-field contribution (4c) gives rise to the magnetic field suppression of $\Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ component of the energy gap of the B phase.

Based on the argument that the term (4b) is rather small, in the majority of considerations of $T_{AB} = T_{AB}(H)$ this term is usually discarded, and in a standard way one starts from the following expressions for the equilibrium free energies of the A and B phases:

$$F_A = -\frac{1}{4\beta_{245}}\alpha^2, \quad (8a)$$

$$F_B = -\frac{1}{2(3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345})} \left[\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 + g_2 H^2 \alpha + \frac{2\beta_{12} + \beta_{345}}{2\beta_{345}} (g_2 H^2)^2 \right]. \quad (8b)$$

Since here the linear-in-field contribution (proportional to g_1) is dropped, the action of the magnetic field appears only in F_B .

Equating (8a) and (8b) it is found that $T_{AB}(H)$ is to be obtained from the equation

$$\varphi = \alpha^2 + a\alpha + b = 0 \quad (9)$$

with

$$a = 2P_1 g_2 H^2, \quad (10)$$

$$b = P_1^2 (1 - q_1) (g_2 H^2)^2$$

where the coefficients P_1 and q_1 are defined in the Appendix. From Eq.(9) it follows the answer for $\tau_{AB} = 1 - T_{AB}/T_c$:

$$\tau_{AB} = P_1 (1 + \sqrt{q_1}) \kappa h^2 \quad (11)$$

which reproduces a well known result obtained in Ref.[6].

Now we turn to the role of the linear-in-field contribution to $T_{AB}(H)$. This question was first posed in Ref.[7]. The starting point is the construction of the expressions for $F_{A2}(H)$ and $F_B(H)$, the contribution (4b) being taken into account. In a standard way it is established that

$$F_{A2} = -\frac{1}{4\beta_{245}} \left[\alpha^2 - \frac{\beta_{245}}{\beta_5} g_1^2 H^2 \right], \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_B = & -\frac{1}{2(3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345})} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \alpha^2 + g_2 H^2 \alpha + \frac{3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345}}{\beta_4 - (3\beta_1 + \beta_{35})} g_1^2 H^2 + \right. \\ & + \frac{2\beta_{12} + \beta_{345}}{2\beta_{345}} (g_2 H^2)^2 + \\ & \left. + \frac{(3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345})^2}{(3\beta_1 + \beta_{35} - \beta_4)^2} \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_{345}} \frac{g_1^2 g_2 H^4}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta_1 (3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345})}{4(3\beta_1 + \beta_{35} - \beta_4)^2} \frac{g_1^4 H^4}{\alpha^2} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Comparison of F_{A2} and F_B gives an equation for $T_{AB}(H)$:

$$\varphi(T_{AB}/T_c) = \alpha^4 + a\alpha^3 + b\alpha^2 + c\alpha + d = 0 \quad (14)$$

where now

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2P_1 g_2 H^2, \\ b &= -P_1 P_2 P_3 g_1^2 H^2 + P_1^2 (1 - q_1) (g_2 H^2)^2, \\ c &= -P_1 P_2^2 P_4 g_1^2 g_2 H^4, \\ d &= -P_1 P_2^3 P_5 (g_1 H)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The definition of all the coefficients P_a is given in the Appendix.

In Ref.[7] the second and third lines in Eq.(13), containing terms on the order H^4 were neglected. This has the following influence on the answer for $T_{AB}(H)$: i) neglect of the contribution proportional to $(g_2 H^2)^2$ makes it impossible one to reproduce the correct answer given by Eq.(11) (the term

$\sqrt{q_1}$ will be lost), ii) neglection of the contribution collected in the square brackets of the third line of Eq.(13) changes the answer for the linear-in-field contribution to $T_{AB}(H)$. To avoid this drawbacks we address Eq.(14) and, as a first step, perform the variable transformation $\alpha \rightarrow x - \frac{1}{4}a$, after which an equation for $x(T_{AB}/T_c)$ is obtained:

$$\varphi(T_{AB}/T_c) = x^4 + Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0 \quad (16)$$

with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\left[P_1P_2P_3(g_1H)^2 + \frac{1}{2}P_1^2(1 + 2q_1)(g_2H^2)^2\right], \\ B &= q_1P_1^3(g_2H^2)^3 + q_2P_1P_2g_1^2g_2H^4, \\ C &= -P_1P_2^3P_5(g_1H)^4 + \frac{1}{16}P_1^4(1 - 4q_1)(g_2H^2)^4 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}P_1^2P_2(P_1P_3 - 2q_2)(g_1g_2H^3)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

It is to be noticed, that as a result of the variable transformation used, in Eq. (16) the cubic term is absent. At the same time the coefficient of the linear term B is zero in the weak-coupling approximation.

In order to solve Eq. (16) we use the decomposition $x = x_o - \sqrt{q_1}P_1g_2H^2$ which generates the decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_o + \delta\varphi$ with φ_o being the solution of the equation

$$\varphi_o = x_o^4 + A_o x_o^2 + C_o = 0, \quad (18)$$

where A_o and C_o are the coefficients A and C taken at $q_1 = q_2 = 0$.

From Eq. (18) it is found that

$$x_o(T_{AB}/T_c) = -\sqrt{P_o^2(g_1H)^2 + \frac{1}{4}P_1^2(g_2H^2)^2} \quad (19)$$

where

$$P_o^2 = \frac{1}{2}P_1P_2P_3[1 + \sqrt{1 + 4P_2P_5/P_1P_3^2}]. \quad (20)$$

The direct inspection shows that $\delta\varphi$ is the sum of terms proportional to the powers of q and the minimal power in H contained in $\delta\varphi$ is H^5 . For this

reason we can use an approximation with $\delta\varphi$ disregarded, and as a result it is found that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(T_{AB}/T_c) &= x - \frac{1}{4}a = x_o - \sqrt{q_1}P_1g_2H^2 - \frac{1}{2}P_1g_2H^2 = \\ &= -P_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{q_1}\right)g_2H^2 - \sqrt{P_o^2(g_1H)^2 + \frac{1}{4}P_1^2(g_2H^2)^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Using this result we finally have the following simple answer for τ_{AB} :

$$\tau_{AB} = P_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{q_1}\right)\kappa h^2 + \sqrt{P_o^2(\eta h)^2 + \frac{1}{4}P_1^2(\kappa h^2)^2}.\tag{22}$$

By introducing a characteristic magnetic field $H_* = 2(P_o/P_1)(\eta/\kappa)H_o$ the asymptotic regions where the quadratic-in-field ($H \gg H_*$) and the linear-in-field ($H \ll H_*$) contributions to T_{AB} prevail are found:

$$\tau_{AB} = \begin{cases} P_1(1 + \sqrt{q_1})\kappa h^2, & H \gg H_* \\ P_o\eta h, & H \ll H_*. \end{cases}\tag{23}$$

For $H \gg H_*$ the well known result [4,8] is reproduced.

In order to isolate the role of the linear-in-field contribution to T_{AB} it is convenient to consider

$$\delta\tau_{AB}(h) = \tau_{AB}(h) - (1 + \sqrt{q_1})P_1\kappa h^2,\tag{24}$$

and construct graphically

$$f(h) = \delta\tau_{AB}(h)/h = P_o\eta(\sqrt{1 + (h/h_*)^2} - h/h_*),\tag{25}$$

where the scaling value $h_* = 2(P_o/P_1)(\eta/\kappa)$.

Below $f(h)$ is plotted for the pressure $P = 10 \text{ bar}$ ($P_o\eta \simeq 5,4 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $h_* \simeq 1,6 \cdot 10^{-2}$).

Acknowledgements

The authors highly appreciate the valuable discussions with late Dr. Sh.Nikolaishvili.




Figure 1: The bold curve corresponds to the Landau parameter $F_o^a = -3/4$ and the thin curve is constructed at $F_o^a = 0$. It is obvious that the Fermi liquid effect shifts the linear-in-field contribution to rather small values of $H \ll H_* = h_* H_o \simeq 420 G$.

Appendix

The coefficients P_a ($a = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) and q_a ($a = 1, 2$) introduced in the main text are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= \frac{\beta_{245}}{2\beta_{345} - 3\beta_{13}}, \\
 P_2 &= \frac{3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345}}{\beta_4 - 3\beta_1 - \beta_{35}}, \\
 P_3 &= \frac{\beta_{45} - 3\beta_1 - \beta_3}{-\beta_5}, \\
 P_4 &= \frac{-2\beta_1}{\beta_{345}},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P_5 = \frac{-\beta_1}{2(\beta_4 - 3\beta_1 - \beta_{35})}.$$

$$q_1 = \frac{(3\beta_{12} + \beta_{345})(2\beta_{13} - \beta_{345})}{\beta_{245}\beta_{345}},$$

$$q_2 = P_1P_3 - P_2P_4,$$

where $\beta_{ij\dots} = \beta_i + \beta_j + \dots$.

The coefficients q_1 and q_2 contain only the strong-coupling corrections $\delta\beta_i$ defined according to the decomposition

$$\beta_1 = -\beta_o + \delta\beta_1,$$

$$\beta_2 = 2\beta_o + \delta\beta_2,$$

$$\beta_3 = 2\beta_o + \delta\beta_3,$$

$$\beta_4 = 2\beta_o + \delta\beta_4,$$

$$\beta_5 = -2\beta_o + \delta\beta_5,$$

$$\beta_o = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{240} \frac{N_F}{(\pi T_c)^2}.$$

References

- [1] W.J. Gully et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **8**, 1633 (1973).
- [2] D.N. Paulson et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 1098 (1974).
- [3] J.D. Feder et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 428 (1981).
- [4] V. Ambegaonar and D. Mermin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 81 (1973).
- [5] K. Bedell and K. Quadar, *Phys. Rev. B* **30**, 2894 (1984).

- [6] A.L. Fetter, in "*Quantum Statistics and the Many-Body Problem*", Plenum, NY, 1975; *J.Low Temp. Phys.* **23**, 245 (1976).
- [7] K. Levin and O. Walls, *Phys. Rev.* **B15**, 4256 (1977).
- [8] Y.H. Tang et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 1775 (1991).