## BROWNIAN MOTION-PAST AND PRESENT

D.Chakraborty <sup>\*</sup>, H.S.Samanta<sup>†</sup>, J.K.Bhattacharjee<sup>‡</sup> Department of Theoretical Physics Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science Jadavpur, Calcutta 700 032, India

October 17, 2018

## Abstract

We discuss Brownian motion from the more elementary viewpoint presented by Einstein in 1908. Later developments and applications are briefly reviewed.

One of the celebrated papers of 1905 deals with a quantitative study of the random motion. A couple of years later Einstein wrote a simplified account of the seminal work and we will present this version in this article. A direct test of the molecular kinetic theory was his obsession during his student days. The analysis of motion of suspended particles in a liquid was an attempt to make observable predictions that when tested would establish, beyond doubt, the correctness of the molecular point of view.

The analysis begins by considering a non-uniform solution in a container with a semi-permeable membrane of thickness  $\Delta x$  in the centre, as shown in Fig 1. We need to consider the force balance in the region of width  $\Delta x$ . The pressure will be different on either side of the membrane because of the differing concentration. This will cause a force  $-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}A\Delta x$  to the left, where A is the cross-sectional area. Clearly, if the pressure decreases as x increases, then the direction of the force will be to the right. If the local density gradient is negative, that is the concentration is higher to the left, then there will be a drift of the solute molecules to the right. If the drift speed v and the shear viscosity of the solvent is  $\eta$ , then there will be a resistive force  $6\pi\eta rv$  (Stokes Law) on the solute molecule, if it is taken to be a sphere

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail:tpdc2@mahendra.iacs.res.in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>e-mail:tphss@mahendra.iacs.res.in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>e-mail:tpjkb@mahendra.iacs.res.in



Figure 1:

of radius r. These are the only forces in the horizontal direction and a steady state would require that the net external force is zero.

If n(x) is the local density of solute molecules, then the total number in the volume  $A\Delta x$  is  $n(x)A\Delta x$  and the total viscous force will be  $6\pi\eta r v n(x)A\Delta x$ . The force balance then yields

$$6\pi\eta \, r \, v \, n(x) A \Delta x = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} A \Delta x$$

or

$$v = -\frac{1}{6\pi\eta \, r \, n} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \tag{1}$$

The solution pressure can be written as

$$P = nkT \tag{2}$$

at temperature T and thus

$$v = -\frac{1}{6\pi\eta rn}\frac{\partial n}{\partial x}\tag{3}$$

The current of the solute molecules is the number of them crossing an unit area per unit time and hence can be written as

$$j = nv \tag{4}$$

From Eq.(3), we find

$$j = -\frac{k_B T}{6\pi \eta r} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \tag{5}$$

The diffusion coefficient D is defined by the relation

$$j = -D\frac{\partial n}{\partial x} \tag{6}$$



Figure 2:

and thus from Eq.(5) and Eq.(6) we have

$$D = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta r} \tag{7}$$

We now look at the statistical property of the current. In the container shown in Fig.(1), we consider any cross section (the solid line in Fig. 2) AB and would like to calculate the current across the section due to the random hopping of the solute molecules. This random motion is produced by the random collisions of the solute molecules with the molecules of the solvent. In a time  $\tau$ , we assume the root mean square displacement of a solute particle to  $\triangle$ . Consequently, a current across the section AB will be set up by those solute particles on the left of it that are moving to the right and solute particles to the right of AB that are moving to the left. Since the probabilities of a left or right jump are equal, half the particles within a distance  $\triangle$  to the left of AB will cross it in time  $\tau$ . If  $n_l$  is the density of the particles to the left and  $n_r$  the density of the particles to the right of AB, then the number n of particle crossing an unit area of AB in time  $\tau$  is given by

$$n = \frac{1}{2}(n_l \triangle - n_r \triangle)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \triangle (-\frac{dn}{dx})$  (8)

Hence, the number crossing unit area per unit time (which is the current) is

$$j = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\triangle^2}{\tau} \frac{dn}{dx} \tag{9}$$

Comparing with Eq.(6), we have the second important conclusion of Einstein

$$\triangle^2 = 2D\tau \tag{10}$$

Between Eq.(7) and Eq.(10), one has enough predictive power to find the diameter of suspended particles or Boltzmann's constant  $k_B$ .

The verification of Einstein's predictions was primarily the work of Perrin and his students. Early indications of the correctness of Eq.(7) and Eq.(10) came from the observations of Seddig, who took two photographs of an aqueous suspension of cinnabar on the same plate at an interval of 0.1 second and measured the distance of corresponding images on the plate. He found that the distances at different temperatures were inversely proportional to viscosity as predicted. Perrin and his students followed the movements of single particles of gamboge or mastic under a microscope and recorded their positions at equidistant time intervals by means of an indicating apparatus. Since the particle size was known, these observations yielded  $k_B$  and since Rwas known, one could get  $N = \frac{R}{k_B}$ .

Perrin explicitly established that the suspended particles were in thermal equilibrium with the solvent by studying the distribution of particles in a vertical column under the action of gravity. The total energy of a suspended particle at a height z above the base is  $\frac{p^2}{2m} + mgz$  and hence the number of particles between z and z + dz is given by

$$n(z) = CA \int \frac{d^3p}{h^3} \exp(-\frac{p^2}{2mk_BT}) \exp(-\frac{mgz}{k_BT})$$
(11)

where C is a constant and A is the area of the base of the container. The total number of particles is found from

$$N = CA \int \frac{d^3p}{h^3} \exp(-\frac{p^2}{2mk_BT}) \int \exp(-\frac{mgz}{k_BT})$$
(12)

From Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) we have

$$n(z) = N \frac{exp(-\frac{mgz}{k_B T})}{\int_0^h exp(-\frac{mgz}{k_B T})} = N \frac{mg}{k_B T} \frac{exp(-\frac{mgz}{k_B T})}{\left[1 - exp(-\frac{mgh}{k_B T})\right]}$$
(13)

This distribution was confirmed in Perrin's experiment (Fig. 3a). The displacement of individual particles had the typical from shown in Fig 3b. The path of the particle is an example of a fractal, a curve for which any small section resembles the curve as a whole.

A different way of looking at the problem was derived by Paul Langevin, who was a friend of Einstein. Consider a molecule of mass M colliding elastically with another molecule of mass m. If the velocities of M before and after the collision are V and V' respectively and those of m are v and v'then

$$M\vec{V} + m\vec{v} = M\vec{V}' + m\vec{v}' \tag{14}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}MV^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2 = \frac{1}{2}MV'^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv'^2 \tag{15}$$



Figure 3:

From the above equations we immediately find that

$$V' = \frac{M-m}{M+m}\vec{V} + \frac{2m\vec{v}}{M+m}$$
(16)

We now imagine that the molecule M is being hit randomly by the molecules m from all directions. In each collision, the change in momentum is

$$\Delta \vec{P} = M(\vec{V} - \vec{V}') = -\frac{2mM}{M+m}\vec{V} + \frac{2mM}{M+m}\vec{v}$$
(17)

The force on M due to the random collisions, is then clearly composed of two parts :

i) a resistive part which is proportional to  $\vec{V}$ .

ii) a random part which is proportional to the velocity of the irregular motion of the molecule m. The average value of the force is zero and the mean square value is proportional to  $k_BT$ , where T is the temperature because the mean square velocity of the gas molecule is proportional to  $k_BT$ .

The equation of motion for the molecule can be written as

$$\frac{d\vec{V}}{dt} = -\Gamma\vec{V} + \vec{f} \tag{18}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the relaxation rate and  $\vec{f}$  is a random force with

$$\langle f_i \rangle = 0 \tag{19}$$

$$\langle f_i(t)f_j(t') \rangle = 2\sigma\delta(t-t')\delta_{ij}$$
 (20)

The above arguments tell us that  $\sigma \propto k_B T$  and if M is a spherical solute molecule moving through the fluid, then  $\Gamma = \frac{6\pi\eta r}{M}$  where r is the radius. The relation between  $\sigma$  and diffusion constant D is important for the establishment of thermal equilibrium.

The solution of Langevin equation can be written down as

$$V_{i}(t) = e^{\Gamma t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\Gamma t'} f_{i}(t') dt' + V_{i}(0) e^{-\Gamma t}$$
(21)

The mean square velocity is can be written as

$$< V_{i}^{2}(t) > = < e^{-2\Gamma t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\Gamma t'} f_{i}(t') dt' \int_{0}^{t} e^{\Gamma t''} f_{i}(t'') dt'' > + V_{i}^{2}(0) e^{-2\Gamma t} + 2 < V(0) e^{-\Gamma t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\Gamma t'} f_{i}(t') dt' >$$
(22)

The averaging is over the random force f(t) and using Eq.(19) and Eq.(20) we have

$$\langle V_i^2(t) \rangle = 2\sigma e^{-2\Gamma t} \int e^{2\Gamma t'} dt' + V^2(0) e^{-2\Gamma t}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} + (V_i^2(0) - \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma}) e^{-2\Gamma t}$$
(23)

If the solute molecules come into thermal equilibrium with the solvent molecules, then they too will have a Maxwell Boltzmann velocity distribution in which case  $\langle v_i^2 \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{M}$  and we see that  $\frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} = \frac{k_B T}{M}$ . The displacement  $\Delta x_i$  in time t can be written as

$$\Delta x_i = \int_0^t V_i(t') dt' = \int_0^t dt' e^{-\Gamma t'} \int_0^{t'} dt_1 e^{\Gamma t_1} f_i(t_1) + \frac{V_i(0)}{\Gamma} (1 - e^{-\Gamma t})$$
(24)

This leads to

$$<(\Delta x_{i})^{2}>=<\int_{0}^{t}dt'e^{-\Gamma t'}\int_{0}^{t'}e^{\Gamma t_{1}}f_{i}(t_{1})dt_{1}\int_{0}^{t}dt''e^{-\Gamma t''}\int_{0}^{t''}e^{\Gamma t_{2}}f_{i}(t_{2})dt_{2}>(25)$$
$$+\frac{V_{i}^{2}(0)}{\Gamma^{2}}(1-e^{-\Gamma t})^{2}+2\frac{V_{i}(0)}{\Gamma}(1-e^{-\Gamma t})<\int_{0}^{t}dt'e^{-\Gamma t'}\int_{0}^{t'}e^{\Gamma t_{1}}f_{i}(t_{1})dt_{1}>$$

Using the moments of f(t)

$$\langle (\Delta x_{i})^{2} \rangle = 2\sigma \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' e^{-\Gamma(t'+t'')} \left[ \int_{0}^{t'} dt_{1} \exp(2\Gamma t_{1})\theta(t''-t') + \int_{0}^{t''} dt_{1} \exp(2\Gamma t_{1})\theta(t'-t'') \right] + \frac{V_{i}^{2}(0)}{\Gamma^{2}} [1 - \exp(-\Gamma t)]^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' \exp(-\Gamma(t''-t'))\theta(t'-t'') + \frac{V_{i}^{2}(0)}{\Gamma^{2}} [1 - \exp(-\Gamma t)]^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' \exp(-\Gamma(t''-t')) + \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t} dt'' \exp(-\Gamma(t'-t'')) \right] \right]$$

$$+ \frac{V_{i}^{2}(0)}{\Gamma^{2}} [1 - \exp(-\Gamma t)]^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma^{2}} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} dt'' [1 - \exp(-\Gamma t'')] + \int_{0}^{t} dt' [1 - \exp(-\Gamma t')] \right] + \frac{V_{i}^{2}(0)}{\Gamma^{2}} (1 - e^{-\Gamma t})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2\sigma}{\Gamma^{2}} t + \frac{2\sigma}{\Gamma^{3}} (1 - e^{-\Gamma t}) + \frac{V_{i}^{2}(0)}{\Gamma^{2}} (1 - e^{-\Gamma t})^{2}$$

$$(26)$$

For extremely long times, the first term dominates and

$$<(\Delta x_i)^2 > = \frac{2\sigma}{\Gamma^2} t$$
$$= 2\frac{k_B T}{M} \frac{t}{\Gamma}$$
$$= 2\frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta r} t$$
$$= 2Dt \qquad (27)$$

where  $D = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta r}$  is the Einstein relation as found in Eq.(7) and Eq.(10). There is yet another way of approaching the problem. This is through the Fokker-Planck equation which gives an equation for the dynamics of the probability distribution associated with the random process described by the Langevin description of Eq.(18). If P(x, t) is the probability distribution associated with the random variable x(t) which satisfies the Langevin equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\Gamma \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + f(t) \tag{28}$$

with the random force being Gaussian and having the correlation

$$\langle f(t_1)f(t_2) \rangle = 2D\delta(t_2 - t_1)$$
 (29)

Then the probability P(x,t) of the variable X(t) having a value x at time t satisfies the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \Gamma \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} P \right) + D \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial^2 x} \tag{30}$$

In the case of a particle simply changing it's position because of random fluctuations we can drop the deterministic part of Eq.(28) i.e  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}$  and that implies a probability evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial^2 x} \tag{31}$$

If x is  $x_0$  at  $t = t_0$ , then the probability  $P(x, t, |x_0, t_0)$  at a subsequent time is easily seen to be

$$P(x,t,|x_0,t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi D(t-t_0)}} \exp(-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2D(t-t_0)})$$
(32)

We can derive the mean square displacement as

$$<(\Delta x)^{2} > = <(x-x_{0})^{2} > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{(x-x_{0})^{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi D(t-t_{0})}} \exp(-\frac{(x-x_{0})^{2}}{2D(t-t_{0})})$$
$$= 2D(t-t_{0}) = 2D\Delta\tau$$
(33)

which brings us back to Eq.(10) once more.

This way of formulating the problem allows us to study an example of crossover behaviour in a straight forward fashion. Instead of allowing the randomly moving particle all of space to wander about , we restrict the motion in a region of length L (we will stick to one dimensional case, the generalisation is obvious). The particle reflects from the boundary walls placed at x = 0 and x = L. It is clear that the mean square displacement has to be of  $O(L^2)$  independent of time as time goes on increasing indefinitely. On the other hand Einstein's relation tells us that for  $L \to \infty$  the mean square displacement has to be order  $\tau$ . Thus,

$$(\triangle x)^2 \approx L^2$$
 for  $\tau \to \infty$  at finite L.

and

$$(\Delta x)^2 \approx \tau \quad \text{for} \quad L \to \infty$$
 (34)

This is a classic crossover problem, the crossover being determined by the scales L and  $\tau$ . For  $L^2 >> \tau$  it is the usual Einstein relation, while for  $L^2 << \tau$  it is a size limited answer. The crossover function which interpolates between the two limits can be constructed by solving Eq.(31) in a finite



Figure 4:

geometry. The normalized version of Eq.(31) with the boundary condition that  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 0$  (reflects from the wall, no current) at x = 0 and x = L, can be written as

$$P(x,t|0,0) = \frac{1}{L} + \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(\frac{n\pi x}{L}) \exp(\frac{-n^2 \pi^2 Dt}{L^2})$$
(35)

The mean square displacement is readily found to be

$$<(\Delta x)^{2} > = \frac{L^{2}}{3} + \frac{4L^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{2}} \exp(\frac{-n^{2}\pi^{2}Dt}{L^{2}})$$
(36)  
$$= \frac{L^{2}}{3} - \frac{4L^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \exp(\frac{-n^{2}\pi^{2}Dt}{L^{2}}) + \frac{4L^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \exp(\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}Dt}{l^{2}})$$
(37)

It is straight forward to check that

 $< (\bigtriangleup x)^2 > \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for} \quad t \rightarrow \infty$ 

and

$$< (\Delta x)^2 > \rightarrow 2Dt \text{ for } L \rightarrow \infty$$
 (38)

To find the crossover function, we need to express Eq.(37) in a closed form. This can be done by using the Euler-Maclaurin sum formula for the two sums shown in Eq.(37). The sum formula is given by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = h\left[\frac{1}{2}f(a) + f(a+h) + f(a+2h) + \dots + f(b-h) + \frac{1}{2}f(b)\right] - \frac{1}{2!}B_{2}h^{2}f'(x)\Big|_{a}^{b} - \frac{1}{4!}B_{4}h^{4}f'''(x)\Big|_{a}^{b}$$
(39)

where  $B_2$  and  $B_4$  are the Bernoulli numbers with

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$$
 and  $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$  (40)

Keeping only  $exp(-\frac{\pi^2 Dt}{L^2})$  from among the different exponential decays and working to  $O(\frac{t}{L^2})$  the coefficient of  $exp(-\frac{\pi^2 Dt}{L^2})$ , the crossover function can be written as

$$<(\Delta x)^{2} >= \frac{L^{2}}{3} - \frac{2L^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \exp(-\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}Dt}{L^{2}}) dn + L^{2}(\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} - \frac{1}{3})(1 + \frac{D\pi^{2}t}{L^{2}}) \exp(-\frac{D\pi^{2}t}{L^{2}})$$
(41)

Going for a stronger approximation, we can write the crossover function as

$$<(\Delta x)^2>=\frac{L^2}{3}\left[1-(1+\frac{D(\pi^2-6)t}{L^2})\exp(-\frac{\pi^2 Dt}{L^2})\right]$$
 (42)

The numerical simulation of the finite size diffusion and it's comparison with our crossover function are shown in Fig.5.

The Langevin equation approach has been of tremendous importance in extending Einstein's picture of molecular motion to several systems over the last one hundred years. One of the prime areas in this respect has been the dynamics near second order phase transitions. For over three decades, the nineteen sixties, seventies and eighties, critical dynamics had been one of the frontier areas of research in condensed matter physics. A typical example would be the liquid gas critical point which was found by Andrews almost one hundred years ago. In his extensive experiment on carbon dioxide, Andrews found that at low temperatures as one increases the pressure on a dilute gas, the volume decreased and at a particular density the gas condensed to a liquid and over a range of density there were coexisting liquid and vapour phases. The pressure had to be increased enormously to cause a ...... of density after the whole gas had liquified. However, about a critical temperature  $T_C$ , the gas could not be liquified by application of pressure. Consequently at  $T_C$ , the coexisting phase diagram disappears and one has a single phase region. The transition at  $T_C$  from a one phase system to a coexisting phases system is a



Figure 5: Plot of  $\frac{3x^2}{L^2}$  vs  $\frac{\pi^2 t}{L^2}$  for different values of L

second order transition and is characterised by large scale fluctuations. As one lowers the temperature towards the critical point, droplets of the liquid phase are formed due to the fluctuations and the droplets become larger and larger as the critical point is approached. If the characteristic size of the droplet is  $\xi$ , the  $\xi$  becomes infinitely big at  $T = T_C$  since the droplets then become of macroscopic size and at  $T_C$  the liquid and vapour phases coexist. This divergence is in general characterised by an exponent  $\nu$  and one writes

$$\xi = \xi_0 (T - T_C)^{\nu} \tag{43}$$

where  $\xi_0$  is a system dependent constant and  $\nu$  is system independent constant.

We now imagine a thermal gradient applied to this system. The droplets diffuse to carry the heat current and as the size of the droplets increase the process is expected to become more and more inefficient. This is what we will be able to demonstrate by using the arguments that we used in the early part of the article. A temperature gradient produces a pressure gradient and a force per droplet which can be written as

$$F = -k_B \frac{dT}{dx} \tag{44}$$

The viscous forces on the droplet is  $6\pi\eta\,\xi v$ , which yields

$$v = \frac{k_B}{6\pi\eta\xi} \frac{dT}{dx} \tag{45}$$

The heat current can be written as

$$j_h = TC_p v \tag{46}$$

where  $C_p$  is the constant pressure specific heat per unit volume. The heat current by definition is

$$j_h = -\lambda \frac{dT}{dx} \tag{47}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity. From Eq.(46) and Eq.(47) we find

$$\frac{\lambda}{C_p} = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta\,\xi}\tag{48}$$

where  $\frac{\lambda}{C_p}$  is the coefficient of heat diffusion. As expected diffusion becomes very small as T approaches  $T_C$  because of the divergence of the correlation length  $\xi$ . On the other hand, the specific heat at constant pressure diverges as  $T_C$  is approached and the divergence goes  $\xi^2$ . Consequently, Eq.(48) leads to the remarkable result that the thermal conductivity of a fluid diverges near the critical point since

$$\lambda = \frac{k_B T C_p}{6\pi\eta\xi} \quad \propto \xi \tag{49}$$

This spectacular result was first obtained experimentally by Jan Sengers in the early sixties and the theoretical result established in the seventies. It is interesting to see how the simple analysis carried out by Einstein can be invoked to understand a path breaking result found more than fifty years later.

We now turn to another aspect of diffusion which has seen significant alterations in the course of last one hundred years. If we drop a lump of sugar in a beaker of water and let molecular diffusion cause the sugar to dissolve in water, then it is a common experience that it takes a long time for the sugar to mix uniformly in the liquid. We can use Einstein's relation to estimate the time. If L is the typical dimension of the beaker, then according to Eq.(10) the mixing time  $\tau_m$  is given by

$$\tau_m = \frac{L^2}{2D} \simeq \frac{10^2 \,\mathrm{cm}^2}{2 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{sec}}$$
(50)  
$$\simeq 1.4 \,\mathrm{hrs}$$

for a beaker with  $L \simeq 10 \,\mathrm{cm}$  and a diffusion coefficient of  $10^{-2} \,\mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{sec}$  (typical of sugar in water). This is an awfully long time and that is why liquid is stirred in order to make the sugar mix uniformly in a short time. If v is the typical velocity, then the mixing is now

$$\tau'_m = \frac{L}{v} \tag{51}$$

Clearly the ratio of the two mixing times is given by

$$\frac{\tau'_m}{\tau_m} = \frac{L}{v} \cdot \frac{2D}{L^2} = \frac{2\nu}{vL} \cdot \frac{D}{\nu} = \frac{2S}{Re}$$
(52)

where  $\nu$  is the momentum diffusivity that is  $\nu = \frac{\eta}{\rho}$  (kinematic viscosity) and S and Re are two dimensionless number known as Schmidt number and Reynolds number respectively. The Schmidt number  $S = \frac{D}{\nu}$  is the ratio of the two diffusivities D and  $\nu$  and is a number of order unity. The Reynolds number  $Re = \frac{vL}{\nu}$  requires an analysis of fluid flow.

The dynamics of an incompressible fluid is governed by Navier Stokes equation which reads

$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{v} = -\frac{\vec{\nabla}P}{\rho} + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{v}$$
(53)

The terms on the right hand side are the forces-a force coming from a pressure gradient and a force coming from the viscous drag. On the left hand side, we have total acceleration. The first term originates from an explicit time dependence of the velocity and the second from the fact that the flow brings in and takes out fluid from an elementary volume and thus causes change in momentum of the fluid in the volume considered. The second term in the left hand side is nonlinear and the source of all the difficulties in the solution of Navier-Stokes equation. This is the term which becomes important when the velocity is large and causes instability of laminar flows and eventually gives rise to turbulence. How important is the term with respect to the other terms? The estimate of this term (called the inertial force) is  $\frac{v^2}{L}$  where v is typical velocity and L a typical length. The estimate of the viscous drag is  $\frac{\nu v}{L^2}$  and thus

$$\frac{Inertial force}{Viscousdrag} = \frac{v^2}{L} \frac{L^2}{\nu v}$$
$$= \frac{Lv}{\nu} = Re \tag{54}$$

Thus the Reynold's number of a flow is an indicator of how important the nonlinear term is in comparison to the linear term. Larger the Reynold's number, the greater the role of the nonlinear term. We now see from Eq.(52), that the mixing time is going to get smaller as the Reynold's number is increased and in the limit of  $Re \leftarrow \infty$  (fully developed turbulence), the mixing time  $\tau_m$  in the presence of stirring seems to go to zero which is an indication of the fact that in this limit, Eq.(10) needs to be modified. Turbulent diffusion differs very strongly from ordinary diffusion and is the mechanism behind the fast dissolution of sugar in a stirred beaker of water. The study of diffusive behaviour has drawn a lot of attention over the last couple of decades.

The dissolution by stirring certainly requires us to study a forced Navier-Stokes equation. This can be written as

$$\frac{\partial v_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + v_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{\alpha} P + \nu \nabla^2 v_{\alpha} + f_{\alpha}$$

where  $f_{\alpha}$  is a random function (specified by correlations only) that models the stirring of the fluid. The fluid is incompressible which means that  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ . It is important to note the energy balance of the above equation. The total energy of the fluid can be written as

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int v_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} \, d^3 r$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} &= -\int v_{\alpha} v_{beta} \partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha} \, d^3 r - \frac{1}{\rho} \int v_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} P \, d^3 r + \nu \int v_{\alpha} \nabla^2 v_{\alpha} \, d^3 r + \int f_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} \, d^3 r \\ &\int v_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} P \, d^3 r = \int \partial_{\alpha} (P v_{\alpha}) \, d^3 r \\ &= \int P v_{\alpha} \, dS_{\alpha} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that the velocity vanishes in distant surfaces and have used  $\partial_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} = 0$  in the first step. In a similar manner

$$\int v_{\alpha} v_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha} d^{3}r = \frac{1}{2} \int v_{\beta}(v_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}) d^{3}r$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{\beta}(v^{2} v_{\alpha}) d^{3}r$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int v^{2} v_{\beta} dS_{\beta}$$
$$= 0$$

For the viscous dissipation, we have

$$\nu \int v_{\alpha} \nabla^2 v_{\alpha} \, d^3 r = -\nu \int (\partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha}) (\partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha}) \, d^3 r$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = -\nu \int (\partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha})^2 d^3 r + \int f_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} d^3 r$$

We can maintain a steady state in the system  $\left(\frac{dE}{dt}=0\right)$  if we balance the viscous dissipation (first term on the right hand side) by the second term

which represents the rate at which energy is fed into the system. In this global analysis, the nonlinear term plays no role. Their contribution is in the carrying of the energy across the different length scales. The dissipation term which carries the highest derivatives in the problem is clearly most relevant at short length scales (dissipation effective at molecular scale), while the energy input (no derivatives) is operative at the highest length scales. Consequently the energy balance picture for fully developed turbulence that was arrived at Kolmogorov involves injecting energy into the system at large length scales at a constant rate  $\epsilon$ , transferring at from large scales to short scales at the constant rate  $\epsilon$ . For this picture to be consistent, the highest and shortest length scales need to be well separated. Now, the scale, L, at which energy is injected can be estimated from

$$\epsilon = \frac{v^2}{T} \propto \frac{v^3}{L}$$

where v is the typical velocity and T is a typical time scale over which the energy containing eddies of size L can turn in response to the drive. The dissipation scale is l and has to be constructed out of  $\epsilon$  and the kinematic viscosity  $\nu$ . Dimensional analysis shows that

$$l \sim \frac{\nu^3}{l}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{L}{l} \sim \frac{L}{\left(\frac{\nu^3}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sim L \cdot \left(\frac{v^3}{L}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{3}{4}}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{vL}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} = Re$$

Thus for high Reynold's number, the scales are extremely well separated.we can see a particularly relevant feature of turbulent flow from this simple analysis. In the limit of Re tending to infinity, the scale l has to be extremely small and if we try to construct derivative of the velocity field, that derivative will become singular, which is exactly what is needed to keep  $\nu \int d^3r (\partial_{\alpha} v_{\beta})^2$  finite in the limit of  $\nu \to 0$ .

We now note that equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 u$$

have solutions which depend on the combination  $\frac{r^2}{t}$ . The solutions can change by a scale factor if r and t are scaled by appropriate factors. If r is scaled by  $\lambda$  and t is scaled by  $\lambda^2$ , then the solutions of u can change

only by a power of  $\lambda$ . Such solutions are known as scaling solutions. It should be apparent that Einstein's result for Brownian motion (Eq.(10) is a manifestation of this scaling solution. If the diffusion law needs to be changed for the stirred fluid, then there has to be a different scaling solution. Accordingly, we explore the scaling solution of

$$\frac{\partial v_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + v_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha} = \nu \nabla^2 v_{\alpha}$$

We have dropped the pressure term because taking a divergence of Eq.(53), we note that

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla^2 P = \partial_\alpha v_\beta \partial_\beta v_\alpha$$

and thus the term  $\partial_{\alpha} \frac{P}{\rho}$  can be written as  $\partial_{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\partial_{\alpha} v_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha}}{\nabla^2} \right]$  and the dimension of this term is identical to that of  $v_{\beta} \partial_{\beta} v_{\alpha}$ . Consequently, the pressure term cannot modify a dimensional analysis.

We carry out the following scaling

$$\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}' t = \lambda^z t' \vec{v} = \lambda^{\frac{\mu}{3}} \vec{v}'$$

In the primed variables, we have

$$\lambda^{(-z+\frac{\mu}{3})}\frac{\partial v'_{\alpha}}{\partial t'} + \lambda^{(\frac{2\mu}{3}-1)}v'_{\beta}\partial'_{\beta}v'_{\alpha} = \nu\lambda^{(\frac{\mu}{3}-2)}\nabla'^{2}v'_{\alpha}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial v'_{\alpha}}{\partial t'} + \lambda^{(\frac{\mu}{3}+z-1)} v'_{\beta} \partial'_{\beta} v'_{\alpha} = \nu \lambda^{(z-2)} \nabla'^2 v'_{\alpha}$$

If we impose

$$z = 1 - \frac{\mu}{3}$$

then provided  $\nu$  is scale dependent and becomes

$$\nu = \nu' \lambda^{(2-z)}$$

We have the same Navier-Stokes equation in primed variables

$$\frac{\partial v'_{\alpha}}{\partial t'} + v'_{\beta} \partial'_{\beta} v'_{\alpha} = \nu' \nabla'^2 v'_{\alpha}$$

The non-linear term has had two drastic effects

- (a) z is no longer 2 and hence Eq.(10) will have to be modified.
- (b) the viscosity has become scale dependent-it is no longer the molecular

viscosity. We have generated a turbulence induced scale dependent viscosity.

The scaling exponent z is however unknown yet. To explore that further we explore the effect of the scale transformation on the energy flow per unit time  $\epsilon$ . We find

$$\epsilon' = \frac{v'^3}{L'^3} = \frac{\lambda^{\mu}v^3}{\lambda L} = \lambda^{\mu-1}\epsilon$$

Since the rate of energy flow is constant, we require  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$  and that fixes  $\mu = 1$ , leading  $z = \frac{2}{3}$ . We now explore the effect of this on the concentration evolution. The scale transformation takes  $\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla})c = D\nabla^2 c$  to,

$$\lambda^{-z} \frac{\partial c'}{\partial t'} + \lambda^{(\frac{\mu}{3}-1)} (\vec{v}' \cdot \vec{\nabla}') c' = D\lambda^{-2} \nabla'^2 c'$$

or

$$\frac{\partial c'}{\partial t'} + \lambda^{(\frac{\mu}{3}+z-1)} (\vec{v}' \cdot \vec{\nabla}') c' = D' \nabla'^2 c'$$

where

$$D' = \lambda^{2-z} D$$

The advective terms in the diffusion equation has thus induced a scale dependent diffusion coefficient, where D has to scale as  $L^{2-z}$ . In Eq.(10), we now need the structure

$$(\triangle x)^2 = D_0 \left(\frac{L}{l}\right)^{2-z} t$$

where  $D_0$  has the dimension of a diffusion constant and  $l_0$  is a suitable length scale. In terms of t,  $L \sim t^{\frac{1}{z}}$  and thus,

$$(\Delta x)^2 \propto D_0 \frac{t^{\frac{2}{z}-1}}{l_0^{2-z}} t \propto t^{\frac{2}{z}} = t^3$$

where we have used  $z = \frac{2}{3}$  in the last step. Consequently, for turbulent diffusion

$$(\triangle x)^2 \propto t^3$$

which replaces Eq.(10) of Einstein and ensures in a stirred fluid, the mixing takes place much faster than it would otherwise.

We end this article by considering a particular development which has occurred over the last decade. It brought to light a feature of the diffusion process which had not been noted before. The diffusion equation for a concentration n(x,t) is a conservation law for the total concentration. In the region of thickness  $\Delta x$  in fig.1, the amount of diffusing material is  $n(x,t)A\Delta x$ , where A is the cross sectional area. In time  $\Delta t$ , the change in amount of material is  $\frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial t}A\Delta x\Delta t$ . This change can only be brought about the solute current (this is the conservation law) and is the difference in the current at the two surfaces bounding the region of width  $\Delta x$ . The net amount of material flowing into the region in time  $\Delta t$  because of the difference in current at the two surfaces is  $-\frac{dj}{dx}\Delta xA\Delta t$ . The conservation law then reads

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\frac{dj}{dx} = D\frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial x^2} \tag{55}$$

on using Eq.(6). We can without any loss of generality rescale 'x' and 't' to set D = 1 and we can write the diffusion equation as

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial x^2} \tag{56}$$

This solution is well known and can be written as

$$n(x,t) = \int G(x - x', t)n(x', 0)dx'$$
(57)

where

$$G(x - x', t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \exp{-(x - x')^2/4t}$$
(58)

Clearly, the solution is characterised by a single length scale which grows as  $t^{1/2}$ . What was discovered by Majumdar et al about ten years ago is that there is another non-trivial exponent associated with this apparently solved problem.

To understand the origin of this new exponent, we consider a class of initial conditions where n(x, 0) is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean. The question asked is : what is the probability  $p_0(t)$  that the field n(x, t) at a particular point x has not changed its sign till time t. For large time, this probability has to decay to zero and this long time decay is charactrized by the law

$$p_0(t) \propto t^{-\theta} \tag{59}$$

The exponent  $\theta$  is the new non-trivial exponent that we talked about and is called the persistence exponent. It also turns out that  $p_n(t_1, t_2)$  - the probability that the field changes sign n times between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  ( $t_1 > t_2$ ) is given by

$$p_n(t_1, t_2) \sim c_n \left[ \ln \frac{t_1}{t_2} \right]^n \left( \frac{t_1}{t_2} \right)^{-\theta} \tag{60}$$

The primary feature of the calculation is the point that the Gaussian process n(x,t) is a Gaussian stationary process in terms of the new variable  $T = \ln t$ . This is followed by the central assumption that the interval between two successive zeros of n(x,t) can be treated as independent.

The distribution of n(x, 0) is taken to be Gaussian and white, i.e the two point correlation is

$$< n(x,0)n(x',0) >= \delta(x-x')$$
 (61)

We can now write down the correlator as

$$< n(x,0)n(x',0) > = < \int dx' G(x-x',t_1)\phi(x',0) \int dx'' G(x-x'',t_2)\phi(x'',0) >$$
  
$$= \iint dx' dx'' G(x-x',t_1)G(x-x'',t_2) < \phi(x',0)\phi(x'',0) >$$
  
$$= \int dx' G(x-x',t_1)G(x-x',t_2)$$
(62)

where we have made the use of Eq.(61) in arriving at the last step. Using the Green function given in Eq.(58),

$$< n(x, t_1)n(x', t_2) > = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{t_1 t_2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \exp\left(-\frac{(x-x')^2}{4t_1 t_2}(t_1+t_2)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{(t_1+t_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
(63)

We immediately see that

$$< n(x, t_1)^2 >= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi} t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

If we now define a variable  $X(x,t) = \frac{n(x,t)}{\sqrt{n(x,t)}} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\frac{1}{4}} n(x,t)$ , then the correlation function

$$a(t_1, t_2) = \langle X(t_1)X(t_2) \rangle = \frac{2(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1t_2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}(t_1 + t_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
$$= \left[\frac{4t_1t_2}{(t_1 + t_2)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
(64)

This is not yet stationary process. To construct a stationary process we need to use the new time variable  $T = \ln t$ . Now

$$a(T_1, T_2) = \left[\frac{4\exp(T_1 + T_2)}{(e^{T_1} + e^{T_2})^2}\right]$$
  
=  $\left[\frac{4e^{(T_2 - T_1)}}{(1 - e^{(T_2 - T_1)})}\right]$   
=  $\left[\operatorname{sech}\left\{\frac{1}{2}(T_2 - T_1)\right\}\right]$  (65)

Thus one has arrived at a Gaussian stationary process. the anticipated form of  $p_0(t_1, t_2)$  is  $p_0(t_1, t_2) \sim \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}\right)^{\theta} \sim e^{-\theta(T_2 - T_1)}$ . In the new time variable the calculation of  $\theta$  is the calculation of decay rate. In the process of calculation, one needs to make a very important assumption - the interval between zeros of X(T) are statistically independent.

The correlation function  $a(T_2 - T_1)$  will not give us the information regarding the zeros of X(T). For that we need to know teh correlation of the sign of X(T). Accordingly we define a function  $\sigma(T) = sgnX(T)$  and consider the correlation

$$A(T) = \langle \sigma(0) \sigma(T) \rangle \tag{66}$$

The correlation function is determined entirely by the distribution P(T) of the intervals between zeros. The trick is to find the P(T) from A(T) and then  $p_0(T)$  from P(T). The first step is knowing A(T) and this is possible for a Gaussian stationary process, because for such a process

$$A(T) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} a(T)$$
(67)

Since a(T) has been calculated in Eq.(65) we know A(T). Now if  $p_n(T)$  is the probability of there being n zeros between 0 and T, then clearly

$$A(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n p_n(T)$$
(68)

Looking at the interval between 0 and T, if there is a zero at  $T_1$ , then to find  $p_1(T)$ , the probability of there being just one zero between 0 and T then we need to introduce Q(T), the probability that an interval to the right or left of a zero contains no further zeros. Clearly

$$p_1(T) = \frac{1}{\langle T \rangle} \int_0^T dT_1 Q(T_1) Q(T - T_1)$$
(69)

where  $\langle T \rangle$  is the mean interval size. Now P(T) is the probability of finding an interval of length T between two successive zeros. So, if we are interested in the probability of there being two zeros between 0 and  $T_1$  (the zeros being at  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ), then

$$p_2(T) = \frac{1}{\langle T \rangle} \int_0^T dT_1 \int_T^{T_1} dT_2 Q(T_1) P(T_2 - T_1) Q(T - T_2)$$
(70)

if the intervals are all independent of each other. This is the "Independent Interval Approximation" and generalising to the case of n zeros,

$$p_n(T) = \frac{1}{\langle T \rangle} \int_0^T dT_1 \int_{T_1}^{T_2} dT_2 \dots \int_{T_{n-1}}^T dT_n Q(T_1) P(T_2 - T - 1) P(T_3 - T_2) \dots$$
$$\dots P(T_n - T_{n-1}) Q(T - T_n)$$
for n \ge 1
(71)

The probability that an interval to the left or right of a zero does not contain a zero is unity if the interval is of unit length and hence

$$Q(T) = 1 - \int_0^T P(t)dt$$

which leads to

$$Q'(T) = -P(T) \tag{72}$$

Using Laplace transforms, if  $\widetilde{P}(s)$  and  $\widetilde{Q}(s)$  are the transforms of P(T) and Q(T), then

$$\tilde{P}(s) = 1 - s\tilde{Q}(s) \tag{73}$$

and from Eq.(71)

$$\tilde{p}_n(s) = \frac{1}{\langle T \rangle} [\tilde{Q}(s)]^2 [\tilde{P}(s)]^{n-1}$$
(74)

Eliminating  $\widetilde{Q}(s)$  by using Eq.(73) we get

$$\tilde{p}_n(s) = \frac{1}{\langle T \rangle s^2} [1 - \tilde{P}(s)]^2 [\tilde{P}(s)]^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \ge 1$$
(75)

For n = 0, we use  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(t) = 1$ , which implies  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(s) = \frac{1}{s}$  to write

$$\tilde{p}_0(s) = \frac{1}{s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{p}_n(s) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{ s^2} [1 - \tilde{P}(s)]$$
(76)

The Laplace transform of Eq.(68) gives  $\widetilde{A}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \widetilde{p}_n(s)$ . We use Eq.(75) and Eq.(76) to perform the sum and finally obtain

$$\widetilde{P}(s) = \frac{[2 - F(s)]}{F(s)} \tag{77}$$

$$F(s) = 1 + \frac{\langle T \rangle}{2} \cdot s[1 - s\tilde{A}(s)]$$
(78)

The sequence now is as follows: From Eq.(78), F(s) is obtained in terms of  $\widetilde{A}(s)$  and from Eq.(77),  $\widetilde{P}(s)$  is obtained in terms of  $\widetilde{A}(s)$ . We not that A(T) has been calculated in Eq.(67) and Eq.(65). Once  $\widetilde{P}(s)$  is known  $\widetilde{p}_0(s)$ and  $\widetilde{p}_n(s)$   $[n \ge 1]$  are known from Eq.(76) and Eq.(75). The inverse Laplace transform will yield  $\widetilde{p}_n(T)$ .

It is however simpler to do the following. We note that  $p_0(T) \sim e^{-\theta}$  and hence  $\tilde{p}_0(s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = -\theta$ . Looking at the structure of Eq.(76) and Eq.(77), we note that a simple pole of  $p_0(s)$  is a simple zero of F(s). For the  $\tilde{A}(s)$  corresponding to Eq.(67),

$$F(s) = 1 + \sqrt{2\pi s} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dT \, e^{-sT} \sin^{-1} [\operatorname{sech} \frac{T}{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$
(79)

This F(s) has only one zero and numerically it is found at s = -0.1203 giving

$$\theta = 0.1203$$

A numerical simulation of the diffusion equation yields  $\theta = 0.1207$ . Thus, we see how a new nontrivial and totally unexpected feature of the diffusion equation has been found almost a hundred years after the equation was first used.

## References

- [1] A.Einstein, Ann. d. Phys **17** 549(1905)
- [2] A.Einstein, Ziet fúr Elektrochemie 14, 235(1900)
- [3] For a recent discussion of the relevant issues, see e.g S.K.Ma, "Statistical Mechanics" World Scientific (1985)
- [4] For a discussion on critical phenomenon see H.E.Stanely "Phase Transition and Critical Phenomenon", Oxford University Press(1972)