

Quantum fluctuations of systems of interacting electrons in two spatial dimensions.

Maciej M. Duras

Institute of Physics, Cracow University of Technology, ulica Podchorążych 1, PL-30084
Cracow, Poland.

Email: mduras @ riad.usk.pk.edu.pl

“International Workshop on Critical Stability of Few-Body Quantum Systems”; October 17, 2005 - October 22, 2005; Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Dresden, Germany (2005).

AD 2005 October 5th

1 Abstract

The random matrix ensembles (RME) of quantum statistical Hamiltonian operators, e.g. Gaussian random matrix ensembles (GRME) and Ginibre random matrix ensembles (Ginibre RME), are applied to following quantum statistical systems: nuclear systems, molecular systems, and two-dimensional electron systems (Wigner-Dyson electrostatic analogy). Measures of quantum chaos and quantum integrability with respect to eigenenergies of quantum systems are defined and calculated. Quantum statistical information functional is defined as negentropy (opposite of entropy or minus entropy). The distribution function for the random matrix ensembles is derived from the maximum entropy principle.

2 Introduction

Random Matrix Theory (RMT) studies as an example random matrix variables \mathcal{H} corresponding to random quantum Hamiltonian operators $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Their matrix elements $\mathcal{H}_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, N, N \geq 1$, are independent random scalar variables and are associated to matrix elements H_{ij} of Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} . [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. We will use the following notation: \hat{H} is hermitean Hamiltonian operator, H is its hermitean matrix representation, E_i 's are the eigenvalues of both \hat{H} and H . The matrix elements of H are $H_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, N, N \geq 1$. Moreover, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is random hermitean Hamiltonian operator, \mathcal{H} is its hermitean random matrix representation. The values assumed by $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ are denoted by \hat{H} , whereas the values of \mathcal{H} are H , respectively. The \mathcal{E}_i 's are the random eigenvalues of both $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and \mathcal{H} . The random matrix elements of \mathcal{H} are $\mathcal{H}_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, N, N \geq 1$. Both \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{H}_{ij} are random variables, whereas \mathcal{H} is random matrix variable. The values assumed by random variables \mathcal{E}_i are denoted E_i . There were studied among others the following Gaussian Random Matrix ensembles GRME: orthogonal GOE, unitary GUE, symplectic GSE, as well as circular ensembles: orthogonal COE, unitary CUE, and symplectic CSE. The choice of ensemble is based on quantum

symmetries ascribed to the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} . The Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} acts on quantum space V of eigenfunctions. It is assumed that V is N -dimensional Hilbert space $V = \mathbf{F}^N$, where the real, complex, or quaternion field $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$, corresponds to GOE, GUE, or GSE, respectively. If the Hamiltonian matrix H is hermitean $H = H^\dagger$, then the probability density function $f_{\mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H} reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathcal{H}}(H) &= \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}\beta} \exp \left[-\beta \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Tr}(H^2) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}\beta} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{H}\beta}/2}, \\
\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{H}\beta} &= N + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\beta, \\
\int f_{\mathcal{H}}(H)dH &= 1, \\
dH &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \geq i}^N \prod_{\gamma=0}^{D-1} dH_{ij}^{(\gamma)}, \\
H_{ij} &= (H_{ij}^{(0)}, \dots, H_{ij}^{(D-1)}) \in \mathbf{F},
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the parameter β assume values $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, for GOE(N), GUE(N), GSE(N), respectively, and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{H}\beta}$ is number of independent matrix elements H_{ij} of hermitean Hamiltonian matrix H . The Hamiltonian H belongs to Lie group of hermitean $N \times N$ dimensional \mathbf{F} -matrices, and the matrix Haar's measure dH is invariant under transformations from the unitary group $U(N, \mathbf{F})$. The eigenenergies $E_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, of H , are real-valued random variables $E_i = E_i^*$, and also for the random eigenenergies it holds: $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_i^*$. It was Eugene Wigner who firstly dealt with eigenenergy level repulsion phenomenon studying nuclear spectra [1, 2, 3]. RMT is applicable now in many branches of physics: nuclear physics (slow neutron resonances, highly excited complex nuclei), condensed phase physics (fine metallic particles, random Ising model [spin glasses]), quantum chaos (quantum billiards, quantum dots), disordered mesoscopic systems (transport phenomena), quantum chromodynamics, two-dimensional Euclidean quantum gravity (2D EQG), Euclidean field theory (EFT).

3 The Ginibre ensembles

Jean Ginibre considered another example of GRME dropping the assumption of hermiticity of Hamiltonians thus defining generic \mathbf{F} -valued Hamiltonian matrix K [1, 2, 9, 10]. Hence, K belong to general linear Lie group $GL(N, \mathbf{F})$, and the matrix Haar's measure dK is invariant under transformations from that group. The distribution $f_{\mathcal{K}}$ of \mathcal{K} is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathcal{K}}(K) &= \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}\beta} \exp \left[-\beta \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Tr}(K^\dagger K) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}\beta} &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)^{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}\beta}/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}\beta} &= N^2\beta, \\
\int f_{\mathcal{K}}(K)dK &= 1, \\
dK &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^N \prod_{\gamma=0}^{D-1} dK_{ij}^{(\gamma)}, \\
K_{ij} &= (K_{ij}^{(0)}, \dots, K_{ij}^{(D-1)}) \in \mathbf{F},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, stands for real, complex, and quaternion Ginibre ensembles, respectively, and \mathcal{K} is random matrix variable associated with matrix K . Therefore, the eigenenergies \mathcal{Z}_i of quantum system ascribed to Ginibre ensemble are complex-valued random variables. The eigenenergies $\mathcal{Z}_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, of nonhermitean random matrix Hamiltonian \mathcal{K} are not real-valued random variables $\mathcal{Z}_i \neq \mathcal{Z}_i^*$. Jean Ginibre postulated the following joint probability density function of random vector \mathcal{Z} of complex eigenvalues $\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_N$ for $N \times N$ dimensional random matrix Hamiltonians \mathcal{K} for $\beta = 2$ [1, 2, 9, 10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) &= \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\pi \cdot j!} \cdot \prod_{i < j}^N |Z_i - Z_j|^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^N |Z_j|^2\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where Z_i are complex-valued sample points (values) of \mathcal{Z}_i ($Z_i \in \mathbf{C}$).

We emphasize here Wigner and Dyson's electrostatic analogy. A Coulomb gas of N unit charges Q_i moving on complex plane (Gauss's plane) \mathbf{C} is considered. The complex vectors of positions of charges are Z_i and potential energy U of the system is:

$$U(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) = -\sum_{i < j}^N \ln |Z_i - Z_j| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |Z_i|^2. \tag{4}$$

If gas is in thermodynamical equilibrium at temperature $T = \frac{1}{2k_B}$ ($\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T} = 2$, k_B is Boltzmann's constant), then probability density function of vectors Z_i of positions is $P(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$ Eq. (3). Therefore, complex eigenenergies Z_i of quantum system are analogous to vectors of positions of charges of Coulomb gas. Moreover, complex-valued spacings $\Delta^1 Z_i$ (first order forward/progressive finite differences) of complex eigenenergies Z_i of quantum system:

$$\Delta^1 Z_i = \Delta Z_i = Z_{i+1} - Z_i, i = 1, \dots, (N - 1), \tag{5}$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of electric charges. Finally, complex-valued second differences $\Delta^2 Z_i$ (second order forward/progressive finite differences) of complex eigenenergies Z_i :

$$\Delta^2 Z_i = Z_{i+2} - 2Z_{i+1} + Z_i, i = 1, \dots, (N - 2), \tag{6}$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of vectors of relative positions of electric charges.

The eigenenergies $Z_i = Z(i)$ can be treated as values of function Z of discrete parameter $i = 1, \dots, N$. The "Jacobian" of Z_i reads:

$$\text{Jac}Z(i) = \text{Jac}Z_i = \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial i} = \frac{dZ_i}{di} \simeq \frac{\Delta^1 Z_i}{\Delta^1 i} = \frac{\Delta Z_i}{\Delta i} = \Delta^1 Z_i, \tag{7}$$

where $\Delta i = i+1-1 = 1$. We readily have, that the spacing is an discrete analog of Jacobian, since the indexing parameter i belongs to discrete space of indices $i \in I = \{1, \dots, N\}$. Therefore, the first derivative $\frac{\Delta Z_i}{\Delta i}$ with respect to i reduces to the first forward (progressive) differential quotient $\frac{\Delta Z_i}{\Delta i}$. The Hessian is a Jacobian applied to Jacobian. We immediately have the formula for discrete ‘‘Hessian’’ for the eigenenergies Z_i :

$$\text{Hess}Z(i) = \text{Hess}Z_i = \frac{\partial^2 Z_i}{\partial i^2} = \frac{d^2 Z_i}{di^2} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 Z_i}{\Delta^1 i^2} = \frac{\Delta^2 Z_i}{(\Delta i)^2} = \Delta^2 Z_i. \quad (8)$$

Thus, the second difference of Z is discrete analog of Hessian of Z . One emphasizes that both ‘‘Jacobian’’ and ‘‘Hessian’’ work on discrete index space I of indices i . The spacing is also a discrete analog of energy slope whereas the second difference corresponds to energy curvature with respect to external parameter λ describing parametric ‘‘evolution’’ of energy levels [11, 12]. The finite differences of order higher than two are discrete analogs of compositions of ‘‘Jacobians’’ with ‘‘Hessians’’ of Z .

The eigenenergies $E_i, i \in I$, of the hermitean Hamiltonian matrix H are ordered increasingly real numbers. They are values of discrete function $E_i = E(i)$. The first order progressive differences of adjacent eigenenergies:

$$\Delta^1 E_i = E_{i+1} - E_i, i = 1, \dots, (N - 1), \quad (9)$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of electric charges of one-dimensional Coulomb gas. It is simply the spacing of two adjacent energies. Real-valued progressive finite second differences $\Delta^2 E_i$ of eigenenergies:

$$\Delta^2 E_i = E_{i+2} - 2E_{i+1} + E_i, i = 1, \dots, (N - 2), \quad (10)$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of vectors of relative positions of charges of one-dimensional Coulomb gas. The $\Delta^2 Z_i$ have their real parts $\text{Re}\Delta^2 Z_i$, and imaginary parts $\text{Im}\Delta^2 Z_i$, as well as radii (moduli) $|\Delta^2 Z_i|$, and main arguments (angles) $\text{Arg}\Delta^2 Z_i$. $\Delta^2 Z_i$ are extensions of real-valued second differences:

$$\text{Re}(\Delta^2 Z_i) = \text{Re}(Z_{i+2} - 2Z_{i+1} + Z_i) = \Delta^2 \text{Re}Z_i = \Delta^2 E_i, i = 1, \dots, (N - 2), \quad (11)$$

of adjacent ordered increasingly real-valued eigenenergies E_i of Hamiltonian matrix H defined for GOE, GUE, GSE, and Poisson ensemble PE (where Poisson ensemble is composed of uncorrelated randomly distributed eigenenergies) [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The ‘‘Jacobian’’ and ‘‘Hessian’’ operators of energy function $E(i) = E_i$ for these ensembles read:

$$\text{Jac}E(i) = \text{Jac}E_i = \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial i} = \frac{dE_i}{di} \simeq \frac{\Delta^1 E_i}{\Delta^1 i} = \frac{\Delta E_i}{\Delta i} = \Delta^1 E_i, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\text{Hess}E(i) = \text{Hess}E_i = \frac{\partial^2 E_i}{\partial i^2} = \frac{d^2 E_i}{di^2} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 E_i}{\Delta^1 i^2} = \frac{\Delta^2 E_i}{(\Delta i)^2} = \Delta^2 E_i. \quad (13)$$

The treatment of first and second differences of eigenenergies as discrete analogs of Jacobians and Hessians allows one to consider these eigenenergies as a magnitudes with statistical properties studied in discrete space of indices. The labelling index i of the eigenenergies is an additional variable of “motion”, hence the space of indices I augments the space of dynamics of random magnitudes.

One may also study the finite expressions of random eigenenergies and their distributions. The finite expressions are more general than finite difference quotients and they represent the derivatives of eigenenergies with respect to labelling index i more accurately [23, 24].

4 The Maximum Entropy Principle

In order to derive the probability distribution in matrix space $\mathcal{M} = \text{MATRIX}(N, N, \mathbf{F})$ of all $N \times N$ dimensional \mathbf{F} -valued matrices X we apply the maximum entropy principle:

$$\max\{S_\beta[f_\mathcal{X}] : \langle 1 \rangle = 1, \langle h_\mathcal{X} \rangle = U_\beta\}, \quad (14)$$

whereas $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the random matrix ensemble average,

$$\langle g_\mathcal{X} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} g_\mathcal{X}(X) f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX, \quad (15)$$

and

$$S_\beta[f_\mathcal{X}] = \langle -k_B \ln f_\mathcal{X} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (-k_B \ln f_\mathcal{X}(X)) f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX, \quad (16)$$

is the entropy functional,

$$\langle h_\mathcal{X} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} h_\mathcal{X}(X) f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\langle 1 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} 1 f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX = 1. \quad (18)$$

Here, $h_\mathcal{X}$ stands for “microscopic potential energy” of random matrix variable \mathcal{X} , and U_β is “macroscopic potential energy”. We recover the Gaussian random matrix ensemble distribution $f_\mathcal{H}$ Eq. (1), or Ginibre random matrix ensemble distribution $f_\mathcal{K}$ Eq. (2), respectively, if we put $h_\mathcal{X}(X) = \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}X^\dagger X)$, and $X = H$, or $X = K$, respectively. The maximization of entropy $S_\beta[f_\mathcal{X}]$ under two additional constraints of normalization of the probability density function $f_\mathcal{X}$ of \mathcal{X} , and of equality of its first momentum and “macroscopic potential energy”, is equivalent to the minimization of the following functional $\mathcal{F}[f_\mathcal{X}]$ with the use of Lagrange multipliers α_1, β_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\mathcal{F}[f_\mathcal{X}]\}, \quad (19) \\ & \mathcal{F}[f_\mathcal{X}] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (+k_B \ln f_\mathcal{X}(X)) f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX + \alpha_1 \int_{\mathcal{M}} f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX \\ & + \beta_1 \int_{\mathcal{M}} h_\mathcal{X}(X) f_\mathcal{X}(X) dX = \\ & = -S_\beta[f_\mathcal{X}] + \alpha_1 \langle 1 \rangle + \beta_1 \langle h_\mathcal{X} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, that the first variational derivative of $\mathcal{F}[f_{\mathcal{X}}]$ must vanish:

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}[f_{\mathcal{X}}]}{\delta f_{\mathcal{X}}} = 0, \quad (20)$$

which produces:

$$k_B(\ln f_{\mathcal{X}}(X) + 1) + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 h_{\mathcal{X}}(X) = 0, \quad (21)$$

and equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathcal{X}}[X] &= \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}\beta} \cdot \exp[-\beta \cdot h_{\mathcal{X}}(X)] \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}\beta} &= \exp[-(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdot k_B^{-1}], \beta = \beta_1 \cdot k_B^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

One easily shows that

$$\frac{\delta^2\mathcal{F}[f_{\mathcal{X}}]}{\delta f_{\mathcal{X}}^2} > 0. \quad (23)$$

The variational principle of maximum entropy does not force additional condition on functional form of $h_{\mathcal{X}}(X)$. The quantum statistical information functional I_{β} is the opposite of entropy:

$$I_{\beta}[f_{\mathcal{X}}] = -S_{\beta}[f_{\mathcal{X}}] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (+k_B \ln f_{\mathcal{X}}(X)) f_{\mathcal{X}}(X) dX. \quad (24)$$

Information is negentropy, and entropy is neginformation. The maximum entropy principle is equivalent to minimum information principle.

References

- [1] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1990), Chapters 1, 3, 4, 8, pp. 1-11, 33-77, 202-213.
- [2] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, H. A. Weidenmüller: *Phys. Rept.* **299**, 189-425 (1998) (cond-mat/9707301).
- [3] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Academic Press, Boston 1990), Chapters 1, 2, 9, pp. 1-54, 182-193.
- [4] L. E. Reichl, *The Transition to Chaos In Conservative Classical Systems: Quantum Manifestations* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992), Chapter 6, pp. 248-286.
- [5] O. Bohigas, in: *Proceedings of the Les Houches Summer School on Chaos and Quantum Physics, Session LII, 1 - 31 August 1989 [LES HOUCHES ÉCOLE D'ÉTÉ DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, SESSION LII, 1 - 31 AOÛT 1989]*; M. - J. Giannoni, A. Voros, J. Zinn-Justin, Eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991), pp. 87-199.
- [6] C. E. Porter, *Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuations* (Academic Press, New York, 1965).

- [7] T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, S. S. M. Wong, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 385-479 (1981).
- [8] C. W. J. Beenakker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69**, 731-808 (1997) (cond-mat/9612179).
- [9] J. Ginibre, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 440-449 (1965).
- [10] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Academic Press, Boston, 1990), Chapter 15, pp. 294-310.
- [11] J. Zakrzewski, D. Delande, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, 1650-1664 (1993).
- [12] J. Zakrzewski, D. Delande, M. Kuś, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, 1665-1676 (1993).
- [13] M. M. Duras, K. Sokalski, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 3142-3148 (1996).
- [14] M. M. Duras, *Finite difference and finite element distributions in statistical theory of energy levels in quantum systems* (PhD thesis, Jagellonian University, Cracow, 1996).
- [15] M. M. Duras, K. Sokalski, *Physica* **D125**, 260-274 (1999).
- [16] M. M. Duras, *Description of Quantum Systems by Random Matrix Ensembles of Large Dimensions*, in: *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations, 24 May-29 May 1999, Naples, Italy* (NASA, Greenbelt, Maryland, at press 2000) (cond-mat/0211676).
- [17] M. M. Duras, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **2**, 287-291 (2000) (cond-mat/0211667).
- [18] M. M. Duras, *Discrete Hessians in study of Quantum Statistical Systems: Complex Ginibre Ensemble*, in: *QP-PQ: Quantum Probability and White Noise Analysis - Volume 13, Foundations of Probability and Physics, Proceedings of the Conference, Växjö, Sweden, 25 November - 1 December 2000; A. Khrennikov, Ed.* (World Scientific Publishers, Singapore, 2001), Vol. **13**, pp. 115-120 [ISBN 981-02-4846-6] (cond-mat/0212453).
- [19] M. M. Duras, *Complex-valued second difference as a measure of stabilization of complex dissipative statistical systems: Girko ensemble*, in: *Space-time chaos: Characterization, control and synchronization; Proceedings of the International Interdisciplinary School, Pamplona, Spain, June 19-23, 2000; S. Boccaletti, J. Burguete, W. González-Viñas, D. L. Valladares, Eds.* (World Scientific Publishers, Singapore, 2001), pp. 45-52 [ISBN 981-02-4506-8] (cond-mat/0212455).
- [20] M. M. Duras, *Quantum fluctuations and random matrix theory*, in: *Fluctuations and Noise in Photonics and Quantum Optics, D. Abbott, J. H. Shapiro, Y. Yamamoto, Eds.* (Proceedings of SPIE; Society of Photo-optical Instrumentation Engineers, Bellingham, WA, USA, 2003), Vol. **5111**, pp. 456-459 [ISBN 981-02-4506-8] (cond-mat/0212455).

- [21] M. M. Duras, *Quantum statistical information functional for random matrix ensembles*, in: *Noise in Complex Systems and Stochastic Dynamics II*, Z. Gingl, J. M. Sancho, L. Schimansky-Geier, J. Kertesz, Eds. (Proceedings of SPIE; Society of Photo-optical Instrumentation Engineers, Bellingham, WA, USA, 2004), Vol. **5471**, pp. 432-436 [ISBN 0-8194-5393-5].
- [22] M. M. Duras, *Random quantal fields and maximum entropy principle*, in: *Noise in Complex Systems and Stochastic Dynamics III*, L. B. Kish, K. Lindenberg, Z. Gingl, Eds. (Proceedings of SPIE; Society of Photo-optical Instrumentation Engineers, Bellingham, WA, USA, 2005), Vol. **5845**, pp. 193-200 [ISBN 0-8194-5840-6].
- [23] L. Collatz, *Numerische Behandlung von Differentialgleichungen*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1955), Appendix, Table II.
- [24] L. Collatz, *The Numerical Treatment of Differential Equations*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1960), Chapters III, V, pp. 160-173, 378-380, Appendix, Table III, pp. 537-539.