Effective size of a trapped atomic Bose gas

Wenxian Zhang,¹ Z. Xu,² and L. You^{1,2}

¹School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA

²Center for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

(Dated: November 8, 2018)

We investigate the temperature-dependent effective size of a trapped interacting atomic Bose gas within a mean field theory approximation. The sudden shrinking of the average length, as observed in an earlier experiment by Wang *et al.* [Chin. Phys. Lett. **20**, 799 (2003)], is shown to be a good indication for Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC). Our study also supports the use of the average width of a trapped Bose gas for a nondestructive calibration of its temperature.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp, 03.75.Hh

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been a decade since the first realization of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in alkali atomic gases [2, 3, 4]. Many properties of atomic quantum gases have been extensively studied and understood [5, 6, 7, 8]. A consistent theme has been the general agreement between theoretical predictions and experimental observations. For example, at low temperatures when the non-condensed thermal component is negligible, Gross-Pitaevskii equation has been successfully used to model the condensate mean field, which provides a firm theoretical framework for the description of condensate density distribution and collective excitations [6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. At nonzero temperatures, several mean field theory extensions such as the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov or the Hartree-Fock-Popov approaches generally become sufficient, as evidenced by the calculated temperature-dependent condensate fraction, expansion energy, and number fluctuation, etc. [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

In this paper we report our investigations of the temperature-dependent average width of a trapped interacting Bose gas within the mean field Hartree-Fock approximation. We undertake this study in an effort to provide some theoretical support for the recent experiment by Wang *et al.*, which produced the first atomic condensate inside mainland China [1]. Few earlier theoretical studies have addressed the issue of an averaged length/width of a trapped interacting Bose gas [23], despite it being one of the topics studied in the first generation of BEC experiments [37]. As expected, our study points to an universal feature for all trapped interacting Bose gases. We find that the effective, or the average size of a repulsively interacting trapped Bose gas drops suddenly when condensation occurs, i.e. after the gas temperature is lower than the transition temperature T_C . As a consequence we support the use of this reduction in effective sample size as a good evidence for the onset of BEC, distinctively different from the more conventional bimodal density profile based on a measurement of the expanded condensate density distribution after the confining trap is switched off.

This paper is organized as follows. The present section provides a brief introduction. Sections II and III describe the calculations for the average size of an ideal or interacting trapped Bose gas respectively. We analyze the energy partitions of a Bose gas in Sec. IV. Section V presents a short conclusion which summarizes our results.

II. SIZE OF AN IDEAL BOSE GAS

For an ideal Bose gas, the average number of particles in a single particle state $|i\rangle$ with energy ε_i is given by the familiar Bose-Einstein distribution

1

$$n_i = \frac{g_i}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_i - \mu)} - 1} = g_i \frac{\mathsf{z}e^{-\beta\varepsilon_i}}{1 - \mathsf{z}e^{-\beta\varepsilon_i}},\tag{1}$$

where $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$ with k_B denoting the Boltzmann constant, g_i is the degree of degeneracy for state $|i\rangle$, $\mathbf{z} = \exp(\beta\mu)$ is the fugacity, and μ is the chemical potential determined by the conservation of total number (N) of particles $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i = N$.

The statistical properties of a Bose gas, such as its specific heat, the condensate fraction, etc, are completely determined once the chemical potential is found [19, 21, 33, 34, 36]. For a spherically symmetric harmonic trap $V_{\text{ext}}(r) = M\omega^2 r^2/2$, the width for state $|i\rangle$ is easily found to be

$$\langle r_i^2 \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_i}{M\omega^2} = \left(i + \frac{3}{2}\right)a_r^2,$$
 (2)

where $a_r = \sqrt{\hbar/(M\omega)}$ is a characteristic length for the harmonic trap. The width of a Bose gas is then obtained as

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{3}{2}a_r^2\right)\frac{z}{1-z} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}n_i\left(i+\frac{3}{2}\right)a_r^2.$$
 (3)

For large N, we take the usual approximation of changing the summation into an integral weighted by the density of states and separate out the atoms in the ground state. We find that

$$\langle r^2 \rangle \simeq \left(\frac{3}{2}a_r^2\right)N_0 + 3a_r^2 \left(\frac{k_BT}{\hbar\omega}\right)^4 g_4(\mathbf{z}), \quad (4)$$



FIG. 1: (Color online) The temperature-dependent width of a Bose gas in a spherically symmetric harmonic trap. The solid, dashed, dotted lines denote respectively the case of an ideal gas with $N = 5 \times 10^5$, 1×10^5 , and 1×10^4 . The corresponding results for an interacting gas are given by empty circles, asterisks, and plus signs. The trap parameters are given in Table I. Note that T_C and r_C both depend on N.

if the temperature satisfies $k_B T \gg \hbar \omega$, where

$$N_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & T \ge T_C, \\ N - \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar \omega}\right)^3 g_3(\mathbf{z}), & T < T_C, \end{cases}$$

with $g_{\nu}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{z}^k / k^{\nu}$, $\zeta(\nu) = g_{\nu}(1)$, and $T_C = (\hbar \omega / k_B) (N / \zeta(3))^{1/3}$. This expression (4) takes a familiar form with the first term denoting the squared width for the ground state (condensate), while the second term for the excited states (thermal component).

We note there exist two apparent temperature scales in the system, $\hbar\omega$ and k_BT_C . When $k_BT_C \gg \hbar\omega$, as normally is the case, our approximation is expected to work well. For high temperatures $k_BT \gg \hbar\omega$, we ignore the contribution from the condensate and obtain

$$\langle r^2 \rangle \approx 3a_r^2 \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar \omega}\right)^4 \zeta(4) = r_C^2 \left(\frac{T}{T_C}\right)^4,$$
 (5)

for $T < T_C$. On the other hand, we find

$$\langle r^2 \rangle \approx 3a_r^2 N\left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar\omega}\right) \frac{g_4(\mathsf{z})}{g_3(\mathsf{z})} = \alpha r_C^2\left(\frac{T}{T_C}\right), \quad (6)$$

for $T > T_C$. $r_C^2 = 3a_r^2 \zeta(4) [N/\zeta(3)]^{4/3}$ denotes the squared width at T_C and $\alpha = g_4(z)\zeta(3)/[g_3(z)\zeta(4)] \sim 1$ has a very weak dependence on T. We see that in a spherically symmetric harmonic trap, the squared width of an ideal Bose gas is proportional to T^4 for $T < T_C$ and to T for $T > T_C$, as clearly shown in Fig. 1, consistent with earlier experimental reports that the area of absorption image of a Bose gas is proportional to its temperature in the absence of a condensate [1, 37].

For a cylindrically symmetric trap with $\omega_x = \omega_y = \omega_{\perp}$, the temperature dependence of the three widths squared is the same as in a spherically symmetric



FIG. 2: (Color online) The same as in Fig. 1 but for a cigarshaped harmonic trap (the left column) or a pancake-shaped harmonic trap (the right column). The total number of atoms is assumed $N = 5 \times 10^5$ with trap parameters as given in Table I. The solid lines are for an ideal gas while the empty circles refer to an interacting ⁸⁷Rb gas.

trap discussed above. The prefactors become respectively $z_C^2 = a_z^2 \lambda^{-2/3} \zeta(4) [N/\zeta(3)]^{4/3}$ and $x_C^2 = y_C^2 = a_\perp^2 \lambda^{1/3} \zeta(4) [N/\zeta(3)]^{4/3}$ for the axial and transverse directions (Fig. 2), assuming an axial trap frequency $\omega_z = \lambda \omega_\perp$. $a_z = \sqrt{\hbar/(M\omega_z)}$ and $a_\perp = \sqrt{\hbar/(M\omega_\perp)}$ are the respective characteristic lengths for the harmonic trap along the axial and transversal directions. If we approximate the effective area from the side view of a Bose gas as $S \approx \sqrt{\langle z^2 \rangle \langle x^2 \rangle}$, we find the temperature dependence of S satisfies

$$S = \begin{cases} S_C \left(\frac{T}{T_C}\right)^4, & T \le T_C, \\ \alpha S_C \left(\frac{T}{T_C}\right), & T \ge T_C, \end{cases}$$
(7)

with $S_C = \sqrt{z_C^2 x_C^2}$. Once again, this is clearly consistent with earlier observations that the effective area is proportional to temperature above BEC and drops suddenly below condensation temperature [1, 37].

III. SIZE OF AN INTERACTING BOSE GAS

With atomic interactions, the Hamiltonian of our model system becomes

$$H = \int d\vec{r} \left[\Psi^{\dagger} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) \right) \Psi + \frac{g}{2} \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi \Psi \right],$$

in the second quantized form, where $\Psi(\vec{r})[\Psi^{\dagger}(\vec{r})]$ is the quantum field for annihilating (creating) an atom at location \vec{r} and $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_s/M$ denotes the interaction strength with a_s being the s-wave scattering length. It is easy to show that the total number of atoms N is conserved since it commutes with the Hamiltonian. We then introduce a Lagrange multiplier μ to fix the total number

TABLE I: Parameters for various types of typical harmonic traps, in units of (2π) Hz. The cigar-shaped trap is for the experiment of Ref. [1].

	ω_x	ω_y	ω_z
Spherically symmetric	65	65	65
Cigar-shaped	140	140	14
Pancake-shaped	30	30	300

of atoms in our numerical calculations. In fact, μ is simply the chemical potential. The target function we need to minimize then becomes the free energy

$$G = H - \mu N$$

= $\int d\vec{r} \left[\Psi^{\dagger} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) - \mu \right) \Psi + \frac{g}{2} \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi \Psi \right].$ (8)

Adopting the standard mean field theory [23, 38], we introduce a condensed ($\Phi = \langle \Psi \rangle$) and a thermal component of a Bose gas through $\Psi = \Phi + \delta \Psi$. After straightforward manipulations, we obtain the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the two components as,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(\vec{r},t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} - \mu + g(n+n_T)\right]\Phi,$$
(9)

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\Psi(\vec{r},t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla^2 + V_{\rm ext} - \mu + 2gn\right]\delta\Psi, \quad (10)$$

where $n = n_C + n_T$ is the total density with $n_C = |\Phi|^2$ being the condensate density and n_T the density of thermal atoms [given by Eq. (11)]. In obtaining the above closed set of equations, we have used the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation, which is both efficient for numerical solutions and reasonably accurate for predicting the statistical properties of a Bose gas as confirmed by the excellent agreement between experiments and theoretical results [20, 23, 25, 29, 31, 35, 36].

We will treat the thermal component through a semiclassical approximation, $-i\hbar \nabla \rightarrow \vec{p}$, which gives its distribution in terms of a Bose-Einstein distribution in phase space $\{\vec{p}, \vec{r}\}$

$$n_T(\vec{r}) = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{1}{e^{\varepsilon(\vec{p},\vec{r})/k_B T} - 1},$$
 (11)

with $\varepsilon(\vec{p}, \vec{r}) = p^2/(2M) + V_{\text{ext}} - \mu + 2gn$. Numerically we follow the standard procedure solving for the self-consistent solution of the coupled Eqs. (9), (10), and (11) [23, 38].

We compute below the temperature dependent mean field ground state of an interacting 87 Rb atomic Bose gas inside a harmonic trap

$$V_{\text{ext}}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}M(\omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2), \quad (12)$$

taking the atomic s-wave scattering length $a_s = 100.4a_B$ with a_B the Bohr radius [39]. For spherically symmetric traps, we search for the ground state with different total number of atoms, $N = 5 \times 10^5$, 1×10^5 , and 1×10^4 . The temperature dependence of the effective width, defined as $\langle r^2 \rangle = \int d\vec{r} r^2 n(\vec{r})$, is shown in Fig. 1. Clearly a sudden drop of the effective width for an atomic cloud occurs when temperature is lower than the critical temperature, as in the experiments [1, 37]. We further observe that the sudden decreasing of the reduced width near the critical temperature has little dependence on the number of atoms.

Figure 1 also reveals that the difference between an ideal gas and an interacting one increases with the number of atoms, and with increasing repulsive interaction strength for $T < T_C$. An interesting feature we note is that the repulsive interaction causes the width of a Bose gas to increase at temperatures lower than the critical temperature $(T < T_C)$, yet it has little effect on the width at temperatures higher than the critical temperature $(T > T_C)$. The low temperature phenomenon is easy to understand in terms of a repulsive-interaction induced expansion of a Bose gas [23]. First, a condensate with repulsive interaction is larger in its size due to atom-atom repulsion; Second, the presence of a condensate pushes the thermal non-condensed cloud out, further increasing the width of a gas [32, 40]. At high temperatures $(T > T_C)$ the effect of repulsive interaction becomes negligible as the density of a Bose gas decreases dramatically with increasing temperatures.

For cylindrically symmetric harmonic traps, we computed the ground states both for a cigar-shaped harmonic trap with an aspect ratio $\omega_z/\omega_x = 0.1$ and a pancakeshaped harmonic trap with $\omega_z/\omega_x = 10$. As before, we used $N = 5 \times 10^5$. Of particular interest, we find excellent agreement with the experimental observations of Wang et al. [1] for the above cigar shaped trap, at approximately the same transition temperature $T_C \approx 230 n \text{K}$ for their experimental parameters. In the experiment of Wang etal. [1], the magnetic trap cannot be switched off without causing violent perturbations to trapped atoms. Therefore, *in situ* near resonance imaging [41] was used instead of the conventional approach of shutting off the trap and letting a condensate expand [15]. After condensation, a characteristic halo-like structures was observed in near resonantly diffracted light [4]. Normally this cannot be taken as a convincing evidence for BEC. But for a cigar shaped trap as was used in their experiment, the halo is mainly along the transverse direction, while the axial length can still be determined with sufficient accuracy because it is relatively long, in fact much longer than the resonant optical wavelength; When the temperature dependence of the effective length for their experiment was plotted, a sudden decrease was observed, which was interpreted as an indication of the BEC phase transition [1]. Our work thus provides a solid theoretical foundation for their experiment. As illustrated in the above figures, a sudden decrease of the effective length of the cloud was

observed when the temperature is lower than the transition temperature, thus a corresponding decrease of the effective area. Similar to spherically symmetric traps, the difference between the effective widths of an interacting Bose gas and an ideal gas decreases when the temperature increases and becomes negligible for $T > T_C$. We also note that the ratio between the transverse and the axial width remains about the same as the aspect ratio ω_z/ω_x for the interacting Bose gases. This is not surprising because this ratio approaches that of an ideal gas $x_C/z_C = \omega_z/\omega_x$ when $T > T_C$ or that of the TF limit $x_{TF}/z_{TF} = \omega_z/\omega_x$ when $T \to 0$.

IV. PARTITION OF ENERGY FOR AN INTERACTING BOSE GAS

The total energy of a Bose gas can expressed in terms of its various partitions [23, 25]

$$E = E_C^{(K)} + E_C^{(V)} + E_C^{(I)} + E_T^{(K)} + E_T^{(V)} + E_T^{(I)} + E_{CT}^{(I)}$$

with

$$\begin{split} E_{C}^{(K)} &= \int d\vec{r} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2M} |\nabla \Phi(\vec{r})|^{2}, \\ E_{C}^{(V)} &= \int d\vec{r} \, V_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) |\Phi(\vec{r})|^{2}, \\ E_{C}^{(I)} &= \frac{g}{2} \int d\vec{r} \, |\Phi(\vec{r})|^{4}, \\ E_{T}^{(K)} &= \int \frac{d\vec{r}d\vec{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \frac{p^{2}/(2M)}{e^{\varepsilon(\vec{p},\vec{r})/k_{B}T} - 1}, \\ E_{T}^{(V)} &= \int d\vec{r} \, V_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) n_{T}(\vec{r}), \\ E_{T}^{(I)} &= g \int d\vec{r} \, n_{T}^{2}(\vec{r}), \\ E_{CT}^{(I)} &= 2g \int d\vec{r} \, |\Phi(\vec{r})|^{2} n_{T}(\vec{r}). \end{split}$$

 $E_{C/T}^{(K)}$, $E_{C/T}^{(V)}$, and $E_{C/T}^{(I)}$ denote respectively the kinetic energy, the trap potential energy, and the interaction energy partitions of the condensed/thermal components. $E_{CT}^{(I)}$ denotes the interaction energy between the condensate and the thermal component. Figure 3 compares the temperature dependence for various energy partitions of an ideal Bose gas (blue lines) with an interacting ⁸⁷Rb gas (red lines) in a spherical harmonic trap. For an ideal gas, only kinetic and trap potential energy partitions are nonzero. In fact they are identically the same for either the condensate or the thermal component. The total energy of the thermal component exceeds that of the condensate when $T \gtrsim 0.3T_C$. For an interacting gas, we see from Fig. 3 that the kinetic energy of the condensate $E_C^{(K)}$ is negligibly small though it still decreases from a nonzero value at T = 0 to zero at $T = T_C$



FIG. 3: (Color online) The temperature-dependent energy partitions of a Bose gas in a spherically symmetric harmonic trap at $N = 5 \times 10^5$ and $\omega = (2\pi)65$ Hz. The upper panel illustrates the overall feature while the lower panel highlights the details of several small energy components. In the lower panel, the two blue dotted lines refer to the kinetic and (trap) potential energy for the thermal component of an ideal Bose gas. They are essentially identical. Similarly, the two solid blue lines denote the same energy components as for the condensed part. The markers used for the various energy components of an interacting Bose gas (in red color) are defined in the legend.

if we inspect the curve carefully. At low temperatures, $E_C^{(V)}/E_C^{(I)} \simeq 3/2$, which is a prediction from the Thomas-Fermi approximation. At high temperatures, $E_C^{(V)}$ and $E_C^{(I)}$ both approach zero as $T \to T_C$. Both kinetic and potential energies $E_T^{(K)}$ and $E_T^{(V)}$ of the thermal cloud increase rapidly as temperature increases $(T < T_C)$, overwhelming the other energy partitions near approximately $T_C/2$, and increase linearly for $T > T_C$. $E_T^{(V)}$ is slightly larger than $E_T^{(K)}$ because of the repulsive atomic interaction. They both approach their corresponding value for an ideal gas as $T > T_C$, as shown in Fig. 3. The interaction energy of the thermal cloud $E_T^{(I)}$ reaches its maximum at $\sim \leq T_C$ and decreases slightly as T increases. We also observe from Fig. 3 that the interaction energy between the condensate and the thermal cloud $E_{CT}^{(I)}$ is largest near $\sim 0.6T_C$ and decreases to zero at $\sim T_C$.

Figure 4 illustrates the temperature dependence of the total release energy of a Bose gas from a spherical harmonic trap, $E_{\rm R} = E_C^{(K)} + E_C^{(I)} + E_T^{(K)} + E_T^{(I)} + E_{CT}^{(I)}$. We see that essentially no difference exists between that of an ideal or an interacting gas. Comparing Figs. 3 with 4,



FIG. 4: (Color online) The temperature-dependent release energy of a Bose gas for the same parameters as in Fig. 3. The solid line is for an ideal Bose gas while circles denote an interacting 87 Rb one.

we see the main feature of the release energy at high temperatures again follow the characteristic temperature dependence, i.e. $E_{\rm R} \propto (T/T_C)^4$ if $T < T_C$ and $E_{\rm R} \propto T/T_C$ if $T > T_C$, due mainly to the temperature dependence of the kinetic energy of the thermal component $E_T^{(K)}$.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have investigated theoretically the temperature dependence of the average size of a trapped

- Y. -Z. Wang, S. -Y. Zhou, Q. Long, S. -Y. Zhou, and H. -X. Fu, Chin. Phys. Lett. 20, 799 (2003).
- [2] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Science 269, 198 (1995).
- [3] K. B. Davis, M. -O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [4] C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, J. J. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995); Erratum: *ibid* **79**, 1170 (1997).
- [5] See http://amo.phy.gasou.edu/bec.html/bibliography.html
- [6] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [7] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases, (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [8] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein condensation, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003).
- [9] M. Edwards and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 51, 1382 (1995).
- [10] P. A. Ruprecht, M. J. Holland, K. Burnett, and M. Edwards, Phys. Rev. A 51, 4704 (1995).
- [11] M. Edwards, R. J. Dodd, C. W. Clark, P. A. Ruprecht, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 53, R1950 (1996).
- [12] W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3695 (1996).
- [13] F. Dalfovo, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A

atomic Bose gas. We found that the effective size can serve as a good indication for the presence of BEC. Our mean field theory gives several analytic results in the various temperature limits, and allows for a careful comparison between the temperature dependence of the various observable quantities between an ideal and an interacting Bose gas. In addition, the simple temperature dependence of the width of a trapped Bose gas can be potentially applied to infer the temperature of an atomic gas cloud [1, 37]. A detailed analysis of the energy partitions show that kinetic energy and trap potential energy of the thermal cloud represent the main contribution to the energy of the system at high temperatures, consequently they dominate the statistical properties of the system. Furthermore, our result provides an excellent theoretical support for the observation of atomic BEC in Ref. [1].

Part of this work was completed while one of us (L.Y.) was a visitor at the Institute of Theoretical Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing, he acknowledges warm hospitality extended to him by his friends at the Institute. This work is supported by NSF, NASA, and the Chinese Ministry of Education.

54, 4213 (1996).

- [14] M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. M. Kurn, D. S. Durfee, C. G. Townsend, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 988 (1996).
- [15] M. Holland and J. Cooper, Phys. Rev. A 53, R1954 (1996).
- [16] M. J. Holland, D. S. Jin, M. L. Chiofalo, and J. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3801 (1997).
- [17] M. R. Matthews, D. S. Hall, D. S. Jin, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wieman, E. A. Cornell, F. Dalfovo, C. Minniti, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 243 (1998).
- [18] L. Salasnich, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 14, 1 (2000).
- [19] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 54, R4633 (1996).
- [20] V. V. Goldman, I. F. Silvera, and A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. B 24, R2870 (1981).
- [21] V. Bagnato, D. E. Pritchard, and D. Kleppner, Phys. Rev. A 35, 4354 (1987).
- [22] T. T. Chou, C. N. Yang, and L. H. Yu, Phys. Rev. A 53, 4257 (1996).
- [23] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3987 (1997); S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, J. Low Temp. Phys. 109, 309 (1997).
- [24] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, M. Guilleumas, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 56, 3840 (1997).

- [25] H. Shi and W. Zheng, Phys. Rev. A 56, 2984 (1997).
- [26] B. Tanatar, Phys. Rev. E 65, 046105 (2002).
- [27] S. Grossmann and M. Holthaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3557 (1997).
- [28] B. P. Anderson and M. A. Kasevich, Phys. Rev. A 59, R938 (1999).
- [29] M. Holzmann, W. Krauth, and M. Naraschewski, Phys. Rev. A 59, 2956 (1999).
- [30] Z. Idziaszek, M. Gajda, P. Navez, M. Wilkens, and K. Rzążewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4376 (1999).
- [31] H. Xiong, S. Liu, G. Huang, and Z. Xu, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033609 (2002).
- [32] X. Liu, H. Hu, A. Minguzzi, and M. P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. A 69, 043605 (2004); A. Minguzzi, S. Conti, and M. P. Tosi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, L33 (1997).
- [33] M. Lewenstein, L. You, J. Cooper, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 50, 2207 (1994).
- [34] W. Ketterle and N. J. van Druten, Phys. Rev. A 54, 656 (1996).

- [35] J. R. Ensher, D. S. Jin, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4984 (1996).
- [36] F. Gerbier, J. H. Thywissen, S. Richard, M. Hugbart, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 013607 (2004); *ibid.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 030405 (2004).
- [37] M. R. Andrews, M. -O. Mewes, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Science **273**, 84 (1996).
- [38] W. Zhang, S. Yi, and L. You, Phys. Rev. A 70, 043611 (2004).
- [39] E. G. M. van Kempen, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, D. J. Heinzen, and B. J. Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 093201 (2002).
- [40] M. Naraschewski and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. A 58, 2423 (1998).
- [41] L. You and M. Lewenstein, The NIST Research Journal Special Issue on Bose-Einstein Condensates, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 101, 575 (1996).