

Quantum atom optics with fermions from molecular dissociation

K. V. Kheruntsyan

ARC Centre of Excellence for Quantum Atom Optics, School of Physical Sciences,
University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

(Dated: May 24, 2019)

We study a fermionic atom optics counterpart of parametric down-conversion with photons. This can be realized through dissociation of a Bose-Einstein condensate of molecular dimers consisting of fermionic atoms. We present a theoretical model describing the quantum dynamics of dissociation and find analytic solutions for mode occupancies and atomic pair correlations, valid in the short time limit. The solutions are used to identify upper bounds for the correlation functions, which are applicable to any fermionic system and correspond to ideal particle number-difference squeezing.

PACS numbers: 03.75.+b, 42.50.-p, 03.65.-w, 05.30.-d

Advances in the experimental control of ultracold quantum gases of neutral atoms have now reached the stage where atomic pair correlations and quantum statistics can be directly accessed via the measurement of atom shot noise and atom counting [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Together with an earlier example of a direct measurement of a two-atom correlation function in a cold atomic beam [6], these recent experiments are a remarkable step forward compared to indirect measurements of higher-order correlations and number squeezing in Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) and Bose gases in lattice potentials [7, 8, 9, 10].

Bearing in mind the similarities with the pioneering photon correlation measurements of Hanbury Brown and Twiss [11] and the intriguing parallels with modern quantum optics, these experimental developments are signalling the emergence of quantum atom optics. In quantum optics with photons the most successful applications have been achieved using squeezed light and entangled photon pairs from parametric down conversion [12, 13, 14, 15]. A matter-wave or atom optics counterpart of down conversion can be realized through dissociation of a BEC of molecular dimers into pairs of constituent atoms. The most direct analogy with quantum optics corresponds to the case of bosonic atom statistics [16, 17, 18, 19], as realized in dissociation experiments with $^{23}\text{Na}_2$ and $^{87}\text{Rb}_2$ [20, 21].

In contrast to this, the recent experiments at JILA [1] using dissociation of ultracold $^{40}\text{K}_2$ molecules near a magnetic Feshbach resonance, have an intriguing twist in that the constituent atoms obey fermionic statistics. In this case, analogies with photonic down conversion and the implications for possible future applications are not so immediate as in the case of bosonic atoms.

In this paper we study the quantum dynamics of dissociation of diatomic molecules into fermionic atoms and analyze the resulting atom correlations in momentum space. At low particle densities and small s-wave interactions the momentum correlations are reflected in the density correlations after spatial expansion of the cloud. Accordingly, the results obtained here are related to the spatial correlation measurements performed at JILA [1]. Together with the earlier studies of fermionic four-wave mixing [22], the present work offers a paradigm for quan-

tum atom optics with fermions, with possible applications in precision measurements and fundamental tests of quantum mechanics, similar to those proposed recently for the case of dissociation into bosonic atoms [17, 19].

We start the analysis by considering an effective field theory Hamiltonian for the coupled atomic-molecular system given by [17, 24]

$$\hat{H} = \int dx \sum_{i=0}^Z \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \nabla_i^2 \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger \hat{\psi}_i + \sum_{j=1,2} \tilde{V}_i(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger \hat{\psi}_j \right] + \sum_{i=0}^Z \int dx \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \nabla_i^2 \hat{\phi}_i^\dagger \hat{\phi}_i + \sum_{j=1,2} V_i(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\phi}_i^\dagger \hat{\phi}_j \right] \quad (1)$$

Here, $\hat{\phi}_0(\mathbf{x};t)$ is the bosonic field operator for molecules of mass m_0 , while $\hat{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x};t)$ ($j = 1,2$) are fermionic operators for atoms (with $m_1 = m_2 = m = m_0/2$) in two different spin states, $\uparrow; \downarrow$. The atom-molecule coupling is described by \tilde{V}_i , while the trapping potentials, including internal energies, are given by $V_i(\mathbf{x})$. We have omitted intra- and inter-species s-wave scattering interaction terms, which is justified at low particle densities and allows for obtaining insightful analytic results.

Considering for simplicity a uniform system in a cubic box of side L , we employ an undepleted molecular field approximation valid in the short time limit. The molecular field is described by a coherent state at density n_0 , and we absorb its mean-field amplitude into an effective coupling $g = \sqrt{P/n_0}$. Assuming periodic boundary conditions and expanding the atomic fields in a plane-wave basis, in terms of single-mode operators, we obtain the following effective Hamiltonian, in a rotating frame:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} g \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\#} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\#}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (2)$$

Here, $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ ($\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}$) are fermionic creation (annihilation) operators, $\hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the particle number operator, \mathbf{k} is the momentum $[\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(n_x/L, n_y/L, n_z/L)]$, $n_i = 0; 1; 2; \dots$, and $\mathbf{k}\# = -\mathbf{k}$ ($2\pi - \mathbf{k}$). The detuning $2\tilde{\omega}$ corresponds to the overall energy mismatch between the free two-atom state in the dissociation threshold and the bound molecular state.

The model system under consideration corresponds to a pure molecular BEC which has been prepared on the stable side of a magnetic Feshbach resonance, with no residual atoms present. This is followed by a rapid switching on of the atom molecule coupling (e.g., via an rf transition or a rapid crossing through the resonance to the atomic side) and a simultaneous switching off of the trapping potential. From this stage onward, the evolution of the atomic field takes place in free space, with a vacuum initial state and negative detuning. Since the excess of energy $2\omega_j$ is released into the kinetic energy of dissociated atom pairs in the two spin states, $2\omega_j \sim \hbar^2(k_{\#}^2 + k_{\#}^2) = (2m)\omega_j$, we expect (from momentum conservation) strong correlation between the atoms with opposite spins and momenta, $k_{\#} = -k_{\#}$. In fact, the interaction term in the Hamiltonian (2) is the prototype interaction to produce (in the lowest order perturbation theory) an entangled spin singlet state for atom pairs with opposite momenta. It is also a fermionic analog of the squeezing Hamiltonian in quantum optics.

Introducing a dimensionless time $\tau = t/t_0$, length $l = L/d_0$, detuning $\delta = \delta_0$, and momentum $q = \hbar k d_0$, where $t_0 = 1/g$ is the time scale and $d_0 = \hbar/(2m\omega_j)$ is the length scale, we can put the system into a dimensionless form, with the Heisenberg equations of motion

$$d\hat{c}_q/d\tau = i_q \hat{c}_q - \hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger; \quad (3a)$$

$$d\hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger/d\tau = i_q \hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger + \hat{c}_q; \quad (3b)$$

where $q = q^2 + (q = \hbar q)$. Solutions to Eqs. (3) are:

$$\hat{c}_q(\tau) = A_q(\tau) \hat{c}_q(0) - B_q(\tau) \hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger(0); \quad (4a)$$

$$\hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger(\tau) = B_q(\tau) \hat{c}_q(0) + A_q(\tau) \hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger(0); \quad (4b)$$

Here, $A_q(\tau) = \cos(g_q \tau) - i_q \sin(g_q \tau) = g_q$, $B_q(\tau) = \sin(g_q \tau) = g_q$, $g_q = (1 + \frac{2}{q})^{1/2}$, and $A_q^2 + B_q^2 = 1$. Using these solutions with vacuum initial conditions, we find that the only nonzero second-order moments are the normal and anomalous moments:

$$n_q(\tau) = \langle \hat{c}_q^\dagger(\tau) \hat{c}_q(\tau) \rangle = B_q^2(\tau) = \sin^2(g_q \tau) = \frac{2}{q}; \quad (5)$$

$$m_q(\tau) = \langle \hat{c}_q^\dagger(\tau) \hat{c}_{q\#}^\dagger(\tau) \rangle = A_q(\tau) B_q(\tau); \quad (6)$$

The amplitude of the anomalous moment can be expressed via $n_q(\tau)$ as

$$|m_q(\tau)|^2 = [1 - n_q(\tau)] n_q(\tau); \quad (7)$$

For comparison, in the case of bosons the last terms in Eqs. (3a) and (4a) acquire positive signs, while the sin (cos) terms in the coefficients A_q and B_q are replaced by sinh (cosh), together with $g_q = (1 - \frac{2}{q})^{1/2}$ and $A_q^2 - B_q^2 = 1$ [25]. As a result, the solutions give $n_q(\tau) = \sinh^2(g_q \tau) = \frac{2}{q}$, and $|m_q(\tau)|^2 = [1 + n_q(\tau)] n_q(\tau)$.

From Eq. (5) we see that the momentum distribution of the atoms in the two spin states, # and #, is the same.




FIG. 1: (a) Average occupancies of one of the resonant modes $q_0 = \hbar q_0$ and two sidebands q_i (full lines) as a function of time, for $\delta = -16$. The dashed line is the solution for the q_0 -mode in the case of bosons. The inset shows the slice through the origin of the fermionic 3D momentum distribution $n_q(\mathbf{k})$ at $\tau = 0.6$. (b) Total number of atoms $N = l^3 n_q$ (full line) as a function of time, for $\delta = -16$. The normalization with respect to l^3 makes this quantity independent of the quantization volume. The dashed line is the respective bosonic result, while the straight dotted line is the total number of atoms $N(t) = l^3 \frac{2\omega_j}{(4\pi)}$ obtained from Fermi's golden rule in the linear regime [23].

The average mode occupancies undergo oscillations characteristic of fermionic statistics (see Fig. 1a); the maximum occupancy of $n_q(\tau) = 1$ imposed by the Pauli exclusion principle is reached at integer multiples of time $\tau = \pi/2$, for resonant modes satisfying $g_{q_0} = 1$. For q_0 to be nonzero, this condition requires a negative detuning δ , and therefore the absolute resonant momentum is given by $q_0 = \hbar q_0 = \hbar \omega_j$. At the initial stage of dissociation ($\tau = 0.6$), the occupancies grow in-phase and the 3D momentum distribution is peaked on the surface of a spherical shell of radius q_0 as shown the inset of Fig. 1a. At later times the oscillations dephase and the distribution function becomes more complicated in structure. In the case of dissociation into bosonic atoms, the respective solution for the resonant mode grows exponentially.

The total number of atoms in each spin state, $N(\tau) = \sum_q n_q(\tau)$, as a function of time is shown in Fig. 1b. The initial growth of $N(\tau)$ saturates at $\tau \approx 1.2$, after which we see non-trivial oscillations. This is a combined effect of Pauli blocking and the oscillatory behavior of the individual mode occupancies. We emphasize that the saturation is obtained within the undepleted molecular field approximation, and thus is purely a consequence of Fermi statistics. By comparison, the same approximation for bosons is known to lead to an exponentially growing output due to bosonic stimulation and hence to unphysical results in the long time limit. Here, once the depletion is taken into account, the saturation is naturally reached due to a finite initial number of molecules.

In this respect, the present fermionic result suggests that the undepleted molecular field approximation for fermions is more reliable than for bosons. At the level of pairwise mode coupling in the uniform model, this conjecture is in fact supported by the results of numerical simulation using an exact quantum Monte Carlo method




FIG. 2: Snapshots of the average column density in momentum space $n_p(\mathbf{p})$ at different times τ , for $N = 16$. Normalization with respect to the dimensionless quantization length l_m makes this quantity independent of l .

[26]. Namely, the comparison between the present results and the exact numerical ones shows that the discrepancy between them is smaller in the fermionic case.

We now turn to the analysis of pair correlations of the atoms in the opposite spin states and consider a normalized correlation function between 3D density fluctuations $\hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} = \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} - \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle$ in momentum space:

$$g_{\#}(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{q}^0; \tau) = \frac{\langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}^0} \rangle_{\tau}}{\langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}^0} \rangle} \quad (8)$$

For atom pairs with non-opposite momenta the pair correlation vanishes, $g_{\#}(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{q}^0; \tau)_{\mathbf{q}^0 \notin \mathbf{q}} = 0$, implying absence of any correlation. In the case of equal but opposite momenta, we find that

$$g_{\#}(\mathbf{q}; -\mathbf{q}; \tau) = \frac{\langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{n}_{-\mathbf{q}} \rangle_{\tau}}{\langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle \langle \hat{n}_{-\mathbf{q}} \rangle} = 1 - n_{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) < 1: \quad (9)$$

This corresponds to the maximum degree of correlation (as a consequence of Eq. (7)), except when $n_{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) = 1$ in which case the correlation coincides with the uncorrelated level. For comparison, in the case of bosonic atoms, the respective pair correlation is given by $g_{\#}(\mathbf{q}; -\mathbf{q}; \tau) = 1 + n_{\mathbf{q}}(\tau)$, which increases monotonically with time and always stays above the uncorrelated level.

In order to make a better connection with the experiments at JILA [1] we note that the correlation measurements were made using absorption images after a time-of-flight expansion. This corresponds to analyzing the spatial column densities which involve integration of the 3D density along the direction of propagation of the imaging laser. Accordingly, we now analyze the momentum space analog of this procedure and calculate the correlation between momentum column density fluctuations.

The atom number operator corresponding to the momentum column density is given by a sum over one of the components, say q_z : $\hat{n}_p = \sum_{q_z} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}}$, where $p = (q_x; q_y)$ is the reduced momentum. Using Eq. (5), the average column density is found via $n_p(\tau) = \langle \hat{n}_p \rangle_{\tau} = \sum_{q_z} n_{\mathbf{q}}(\tau)$ and is the same for the two spin states. Snapshots of $n_p(\tau)$ at different times are plotted in Fig. 2. The last two frames show a clear ring structure around the central background, which is consistent with the observed




FIG. 3: (a) Correlation between momentum column density fluctuations, $g_{\#}(p; p; \tau)$, as a function of the absolute 2D momentum $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ at different times τ , for $N = 16$. The correlation is larger initially, in the few-particle regime, and decreases as the number of atoms grows. Similar quantitative relationship is seen within the low-density tails and the higher-density central part of the momentum distribution. (b) Correlation signal as a function of time, for two different values of the momentum p .

absorption images [1] of spatial column densities after free expansion.

The correlation function between momentum column density fluctuations in the two spin states is defined via

$$g_{\#}(p; p^0; \tau) = \frac{\langle \hat{n}_p \hat{n}_{p^0} \rangle_{\tau}}{\langle \hat{n}_p \rangle \langle \hat{n}_{p^0} \rangle} \quad (10)$$

In the case of opposite momenta, $p^0 = -p$, we find that

$$g_{\#}(p; -p; \tau) = 1 - \sum_{q_z} \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle_{\tau}^2 / n_p(\tau) < 1: \quad (11)$$

For any other pair of momenta ($p^0 \notin -p$), the correlation function is simply zero, implying the absence of any correlation. The above definition of the correlation function is particularly useful for fermions, since in this case it is always bounded between zero and one (see Fig. 3). In the case of dissociation into bosonic atoms, on the other hand, the same correlation function is given by $g_{\#}(p; p; \tau) = 1 + \sum_{q_z} \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle_{\tau}^2 / n_p(\tau) > 1$.

It is important to point out that the degree of correlation between atom pairs with opposite spins and momenta obtained in this approximate uniform model is maximal at any given density. The notion of maximal is defined here to correspond to perfect (100%) noise reduction of the number-difference fluctuations below the shot-noise level.

This can be easily understood at the level of just two modes \hat{c}_q and $\hat{c}_{q^{\#}}$, which we define via \hat{c}_1 and \hat{c}_2 . Considering the normalized variance of the particle number-difference fluctuations, $V_P = \langle (\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2)^2 \rangle = SN$, where $SN = \sum_i \langle \hat{n}_i \rangle^2 = \sum_i \langle \hat{n}_i \rangle (1 - \langle \hat{n}_i \rangle)$ is the shot-noise level for fermions (which, in general, is sub-Poissonian and is independent of the state of the fermionic system), one can show that in the simplest case of $\langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle = \langle \hat{n}_2 \rangle$, the variance V and the pair correlation $g_{12} = \langle \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 \rangle = \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle \langle \hat{n}_2 \rangle$ are related by $V = 1 - 2g_{12} \langle \hat{n}_1 \rangle = SN$. For a nonzero SN , the assumption of a perfect noise reduction below the shot-noise

level, $V = 0$, can be used to identify the maximum degree of correlation, giving $g_{12}^{(m, ax)} = 1 - \hbar n_{1i}$. This is exactly as obtained in the present model, Eq. (9). At times when the average occupancies approach 1, the shot-noise itself vanishes. Therefore, the notions of sub-shot-noise fluctuations and maximal correlation become meaningless.

For comparison, in the bosonic case the shot-noise level corresponds to that of a coherent state, $SN = \hbar n_{1i} + \hbar n_{2i}$. This gives $V = 1 + \hbar n_{1i} - g_{12}$ for equal mode occupancies, and therefore a vanishing variance V implies that $g_{12}^{(m, ax)} = 1 + \hbar n_{1i}$. This is always larger than the uncorrelated level of 0, and again agrees with the actual solution to the problem of molecule dissociation.

In order to apply the results of the present uniform model to realistic trapped condensates, we remark that the quantization length L should be matched to the characteristic size of the molecular BEC. In addition, one has to ensure that the time window for dissociation is chosen such that the momentum kick $k_0 \sim \sqrt{2m} \dot{j} \sim \hbar / L$ imparted on the atoms is not too large, so that the atoms created mostly near the trap center remain within the molecular BEC while the dissociation is on. This implies that the present results are applicable to $t_{max} = Lm = (2\hbar/k_0)$ or $t_{max} = L/(4\dot{j})$. Considering a typical set of physical parameters, with 3×10^5 initial number of molecules and $L \approx 46$ [27], the example presented here for $\dot{j} = 16$ would produce 1.5×10^4 atoms in each spin state at $\dot{j} = 0.6$ which compares favorably with $t_{max} \approx 3$. This corresponds to 5% conversion and is consistent with the use of the undepleted molecular field approximation. We note that the same rationale in the case of bosons is justified upon comparison of the approximate uniform results

with those obtained for nonuniform systems using first-principles quantum simulations (thanks to the positive P -representation technique [17, 19, 28]).

In practice, the main factors which may contribute to the reduction of the correlation signal are: (i) the presence of a large thermal component in the initial molecular gas, in which case the thermal centre-of-mass momentum may no longer be negligible compared to the momentum kick of the atoms k_0 ; and (ii) atom-atom s-wave scattering between the two spin components, which at high densities may substantially redistribute the momenta over the s-wave scattering spheres and spoil the correlations.

In summary, we have analyzed dissociation of a BEC of molecular dimers into correlated fermionic atoms in two different spin states. We have shown that because of Pauli blocking the undepleted molecular field approximation is more successful than in the case of dissociation into bosonic atoms. The pair correlations between atoms with opposite spins and momenta calculated here correspond to the maximum possible degree of correlation and serve as upper bounds for more detailed calculations and comparisons with experiments. The system may find applications in precision measurements beyond the shot-noise level, as well as for fundamental tests of quantum mechanics with macroscopic number of fermions, such as demonstrations of Bohm's version of the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox and tests of Bell's inequalities for spin observables.

The author acknowledges stimulating discussions with J. F. C. Omeij, P. D. Drummond, M. G. Reiner, and M. K. Olsen, and the support of this work by the Australian Research Council.

-
- [1] M. G. Reiner et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 110401 (2005).
 [2] S. Fölling et al, Nature (London) 434, 481 (2005).
 [3] C.-S. Chu et al, quant-ph/0508143.
 [4] A. Ott et al, cond-mat/0508439.
 [5] M. Schellekens et al, cond-mat/0508466.
 [6] M. Yasuda and F. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3090 (1996).
 [7] E. A. Burt et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 337 (1997).
 [8] C. Ozelet et al, Science 291, 2386 (2001).
 [9] B. L. Tolra et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 190401 (2004).
 [10] D. W. Weiss, Bulletin of the American Physical Society 50 (No. 3), 56 (2005).
 [11] R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss, Nature (London) 177, 27 (1956).
 [12] L. A. Wu et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2520 (1986).
 [13] A. Heidmann et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2555 (1987).
 [14] Special issue on Squeezed States of the Electromagnetic Field. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 4, No. 10 (1987).
 [15] Quantum Squeezing, Eds. P. D. Drummond and Z. Ficek (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
 [16] U. V. Poulsen and K. M. Mørch, Phys. Rev. A 63, 023604 (2001).
 [17] K. V. Kheruntsyan and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. A 66, 031602 (R) (2002); K. V. Kheruntsyan, Phys. Rev. A 71, 053609 (2005).
 [18] V. A. Yurovsky and A. Ben-Reuven, Phys. Rev. A 67, 043611 (2003).
 [19] K. V. Kheruntsyan, M. K. Olsen, and P. D. Drummond, arXiv:cond-mat/0407363 (to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett.).
 [20] T. Mukaiyama et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 180402 (2004).
 [21] S. Durr, S. T. Volz, and G. Rempe, Phys. Rev. A 70, 031601 (R) (2004).
 [22] M. G. Moore and P. M. Eystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4199 (2001); W. Ketterle and S. Inoué, ibid. 86, 4203 (2001).
 [23] The derivation of this result using Fermi's golden rule for the molecular decay rate [20] will be given elsewhere.
 [24] P. D. Drummond, K. V. Kheruntsyan, and H. He, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 1, 387 (1999); K. V. Kheruntsyan and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. A 61, 063816 (2000).
 [25] These solutions refer to atom pairs in two different (integer) spin states and are similar to those obtained in Ref. [17] for the case of the same spin state.
 [26] J. F. C. Omeij and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 260401 (2004).
 [27] These parameter values can be obtained using a molecular BEC at a peak density $n_0 = 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ in an isotropic harmonic trap of frequency $\omega = 70 \text{ Hz}$, with

a molecule-molecule s-wave scattering length of 57.4 nm. In addition, we take $\gamma = 2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$, so that $g = 1.8 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $t_0 = 0.55 \text{ m s}$. As a result, $\tau = 0.6$ corresponds to $t = 0.33 \text{ m s}$ duration of dissociation, while

$j = 16$ converts to $j = 2$ $\approx 4.6 \text{ kHz}$.
 [28] P. D. Drummond and C. W. Gardiner, J. Phys. A 13, 2353 (1980).