

Vortex Origin of Tricritical Point in Ginzburg-Landau Theory

H. Kleinert

Freie Universität Berlin
 Institut für Theoretische Physik
 Arnimallee14, D-14195 Berlin

arXiv:cond-mat/0509430v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 16 Sep 2005

Motivated by recent experimental progress in the critical regime of high- T_c superconductors we show how the tricritical point in a superconductor can be derived from the Ginzburg-Landau theory as a consequence of vortex fluctuations. Our derivation explains why usual renormalization group arguments always produce a first-order transition, in contrast to experimental evidence and Monte Carlo simulations.

1. The critical regime of old-fashioned superconductors can be describes extremely well by the Ginzburg-Landau theory [1] at the mean-field level [2,3]. The reason is the smallness of the Ginzburg temperature interval ΔT_G around the mean-field critical temperature T_c^{MF} where fluctuation become important [4]. This made discussions of the order of the superconductive phase transition started by Halperin, Lubensky, and Ma in 1972 [5] rather academic.

The situation has changed with the advent of modern high- T_c superconductors temperature superconductors. In these the Ginzburg temperature interval is large enough to study field fluctuations and critical behavior. Several experiments have found a critical point of the XY universality class [6]. In addition, there seems to be recent evidence for an additional critical behavior associated with the so-called charged fixed point [7]. In view of future experiments, it is important to understand precisely the nature of critical fluctuations.

The Ginzburg-Landau theory [1] describes a superconductor with the help of an energy density

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi, \nabla\psi, \mathbf{A}, \nabla\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [(\nabla - ie\mathbf{A})\psi]^2 + \tau|\psi|^2 + \frac{g}{2}|\psi|^4 \right\} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\psi(x)$, $\mathbf{A}(x)$ are pair field and vector potential, respectively, and e is the charge of the Cooper pairs. The parameter $\tau \equiv T/T_c^{\text{MF}} - 1$ is a reduced temperature measuring the distance from the characteristic temperature T_c^{MF} at which the τ -term changes sign. The theory needs gauge fixing, which is usually done by setting $\psi(x) = \rho(x)e^{i\theta(x)}$, rewriting the covariant derivative of ψ as

$$D\psi = [i(\nabla\theta - e\mathbf{A})\rho + \nabla\rho]e^{i\theta}, \quad (2)$$

and eliminating the phase variable $\theta(x)$ by a local gauge transformation $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} + \nabla\theta/e$. This brings $\mathcal{H}(\psi, \nabla\psi, \mathbf{A}, \nabla\mathbf{A})$ to the form

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\rho)^2 + V(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})^2 + \frac{\rho^2 e^2}{2} \mathbf{A}^2, \quad (3)$$

where $V(\rho)$ is the potential of the ρ -field:

$$V(\rho) = \frac{\tau}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{g}{4}\rho^4. \quad (4)$$

The last term in (3) is the famous Meissner-Higgs mass $m_A = \rho e$ [2,3] of the vector potential \mathbf{A} , whose analog in the gauge theory of electroweak interactions to explain why interactions are so much weaker than electromagnetic interactions.

At the mean-field level, the energy density (3) describes a second order phase transition. It takes place if τ drops below zero where the pair field $\psi(x)$ acquires the nonzero expectation value $\langle\psi(x)\rangle = \rho_0 = \sqrt{-\tau/g}$, the order parameter of the system. The ρ -fluctuations around this value have a *coherence length* $\xi = 1/\sqrt{-2\tau}$. The Meissner-Higgs mass term in (3) gives rise to a finite *penetration depth* of the magnetic field $\lambda = 1/m_A = 1/\rho_0 e$. The ratio of the two length scales $\kappa \equiv \lambda/\sqrt{2}\xi$, which for historic reasons carries a factor $\sqrt{2}$, is the Ginzburg parameter whose mean field value is $\kappa_{\text{MF}} \equiv \sqrt{g/e^2}$. Type I superconductors have small values of κ , type II superconductors have large values. At the mean-field level, the dividing line is lies at $\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

In high- T_c superconductors, field fluctuations become important. These can be taken into account by calculating the partition function and field correlation functions from the functional integral

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\rho \rho \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A} e^{-\int d^3x \mathcal{H}_1} \quad (5)$$

(in natural units with $k_B T = 1$). So far, all approximations to Z found since the initial work [5] have had notorious difficulties in accounting for the order of the superconductive phase transition. In [5], simple renormalization group arguments [8] in $4 - \epsilon$ dimensions suggested that the transition should be of first order. The technical signal for this is the nonexistence of an infrared-stable fixed point in the renormalization group flow of the coupling constants e and g as a function of the renormalization scale. Due to the smallness of the Ginzburg interval ΔT_G , the first order was never verified experimentally. Since then, there has been much work [9] trying to find an infrared-stable fixed point in higher loop orders or by different resummations of the divergent perturbations expansions.

The simplest argument suggesting a first-order nature of the transition arises at the mean-field level of the pair

field ρ as follows: The fluctuations of the vector potential are Gaussian and can be integrated out in (5). Assuming ρ to be smooth, this may be done in the Thomas-Fermi approximation [10], leading to an additional cubic term in the potential (4), which becomes

$$V(\rho) = \frac{\tau}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{g}{4}\rho^4 - \frac{c}{3}\rho^3, \quad c \equiv \frac{e^3}{2\pi}. \quad (6)$$

The cubic term generates, for $\tau < c^2/4g$, a second minimum at

$$\tilde{\rho}_0 = \frac{c}{2g} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\tau g}{c^2}} \right). \quad (7)$$

If τ decreases below

$$\tau_1 = 2c^2/9g. \quad (8)$$

the new minimum lies lower than the one at the origin (see Fig. 1), so that the order parameter jumps from zero to

$$\rho_1 = 2c/3g \quad (9)$$

in a phase transition. At this point, the coherence length of the ρ -fluctuations $\xi = 1/\sqrt{\tau + 3g\rho^2 - 2c\rho}$ has the finite value (the same as the fluctuations around $\rho = 0$)

$$\xi_1 = \frac{3}{c} \sqrt{\frac{g}{2}}. \quad (10)$$

The phase transition is therefore of first-order.




FIG. 1. Potential for the order parameter ρ with cubic term. A new minimum develops around ρ_1 causing a first-order transition for $\tau = \tau_1$.

This conclusion is reliable only if the jump of ρ_0 is sufficiently large. For small jumps, the mean-field discussion of the energy density (6) cannot be trusted. The place where the transition becomes second order has, so far, never been explained satisfactorily within the Ginzburg-Landau theory. An explanation has been found only with the help of a dual disorder field theory derived from the Ginzburg-Landau theory in Ref. [11,12]. This theory is constructed in such a way that its Feynman diagrams are direct pictures of the vortex lines of the superconductor. The dual disorder field theory shows that

there is a first-order transition only if the Ginzburg parameter $\kappa \equiv \lambda/\sqrt{2}\xi$ is smaller than the tricritical value $\kappa^{\text{tric}} \approx 0.8/\sqrt{2}$. This point is close to the mean-field value $\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2}$ where the superconductor changes from type II to type I, and the average short-range repulsion between vortex lines changes into an attraction, so that the quartic term in the dual field theory becomes negative [11].

In contrast to the Ginzburg-Landau theory, the vector potential of the disorder field theory is massive from the outset, so that its fluctuations do not generate a cubic term. Instead, they change the sign of the *quartic* term, making the transition first-order for $\kappa < \kappa^{\text{tric}}$, while leaving it second-order for $\kappa > \kappa^{\text{tric}}$.

The purpose of this note is to show how the tricritical point can be derived from the original Ginzburg-Landau theory by a proper inclusion of fluctuation corrections. The mistake in the above argument lies in the neglect of vortex fluctuations. In fact, the transformation of the covariant derivative $D\psi$ to the ρ - θ expression in Eq. (2) is false. Since $\theta(\mathbf{x})$ and $\theta(\mathbf{x}) + 2\pi$ are physically indistinguishable — the complex field $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is the same for both — the correct substitution is

$$D\psi = [i(\nabla\theta - 2\pi\theta^v - e\mathbf{A})\rho + \nabla\rho]e^{i\theta}, \quad (11)$$

The cyclic nature of the scalar field $\theta(\mathbf{x})$ requires the presence of a vector field $\theta^v(\mathbf{x})$ called *vortex gauge field*. This field is a sum of δ -functions on Volterra surfaces across which $\theta(\mathbf{x})$ has jumps by 2π . The boundary lines of the surfaces are vortex lines. They are found from the vortex gauge field $\theta^v(\mathbf{x})$ by forming the curl

$$\nabla \times \theta^v(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{j}^v(\mathbf{x}), \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{j}^v(\mathbf{x})$ is the *vortex density*, a sum over δ -functions along the vortex lines $\delta(L; \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int_L d\bar{\mathbf{x}} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$. Vortex gauge transformations are deformations of the surfaces at fixed boundary lines which add to $\theta^v(\mathbf{x})$ pure gradients of the form $\nabla\delta(V; \mathbf{x})$, where $\delta(V; \mathbf{x}) \equiv \int_V d^3\bar{x} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ are δ -functions on the volumes V over which the surfaces have swept. The theory of these fields has been developed in the textbook [12] and the Cambridge lectures [13]. Being a gauge field, $\theta^v(\mathbf{x})$ may be modified by a further gradient of a smooth function to make it purely transverse, $\nabla \cdot \theta_T^v(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, as indicated by the subscript T . Since the vortex gauge field is not a gradient, it cannot be absorbed into the vector potential by a gauge transformation. Hence it survives in the last term in Eq. (3), and the correct partition function is

$$Z \approx \int \mathcal{D}\theta_T^v \int \mathcal{D}\rho \rho \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\rho)^2 - \frac{\tau}{2}\rho^2 - \frac{g}{4}\rho^4 - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})^2 - \frac{\rho^2 e^2}{2}(\mathbf{A} - 2\pi\theta_T^v/e)^2 \right]. \quad (13)$$

The symbol $\int \mathcal{D}\theta_T^v$ does not denote an ordinary functional integral. It is defined as a sum over all numbers

and shapes of Volterra surfaces S in $\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v$, across which the phase jumps by 2π [13].

The important observation is now that the partial partition function contained in (13)

$$Z_1[\rho] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \mathcal{D}\mathbf{A} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A})^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \int d^3x [e\mathbf{A} - 2\pi\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v]^2 \right\} \quad (14)$$

can give rise to a second-order transition of the XY -model type if the Ginzburg parameter κ is sufficiently large. To see this we integrate out the \mathbf{A} -field and obtain

$$Z_1[\rho] = \exp \left[\int d^3x \frac{e^3 \rho^3}{6\pi} \right] \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \times \exp \left[\frac{4\pi^2 \rho^2}{2} \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v{}^2 - \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \frac{\rho^2 e^2}{-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 + \rho^2 e^2} \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \right) \right]. \quad (15)$$

The second integral can be simplified to

$$\frac{4\pi^2 \rho^2}{2} \int d^3x \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \frac{-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2}{-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 + \rho^2 e^2} \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \right). \quad (16)$$

Integrating this by parts, and replacing $\nabla_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \nabla_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v$ by $(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v)^2 = \mathbf{j}^v{}^2$, the partition function (15) without the prefactor takes the form

$$Z_2[\rho] = \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \exp \left[-\frac{4\pi^2 \rho^2}{2} \int d^3x \left(\mathbf{j}^v \frac{1}{-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 + \rho^2 e^2} \mathbf{j}^v \right) \right]. \quad (17)$$

This is the partition function of the grand-canonical ensemble of closed fluctuating vortex lines. The interaction between them has a finite range equal to the penetration depth $\lambda = 1/\rho e$.

It is well-known how to compute pair and magnetic fields of the Ginzburg-Landau theory for a single straight vortex line from the extrema of the energy density [2]. In an external magnetic field, there exist triangular and various other regular arrays of vortex lattices and various phase transitions. In the core of each vortex line, the pair field ρ goes to zero over a distance ξ . If we want to sum over grand-canonical ensemble of fluctuating vortex lines of any shape in the partition function (13), the space dependence of ρ causes complications. These can be avoided by an approximation, in which the system is placed on a simple-cubic lattice of spacing $a = \alpha \xi$, with α of the order of unity, and a *fixed* value $\rho = \tilde{\rho}_0$ given by Eq. (7). Thus we replace the partial partition function (17) approximately by

$$Z_2[\tilde{\rho}_0] = \sum_{\{\mathbf{l}; \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{l} = 0\}} \exp \left[-\frac{4\pi^2 \tilde{\rho}_0^2 a}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x}) v_{\tilde{\rho}_0 e}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x}') \right]. \quad (18)$$

The sum runs over the discrete versions of the vortex density in (12). These are integer-valued vectors

$\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x}) = (l_1(\mathbf{x}), l_2(\mathbf{x}), l_3(\mathbf{x}))$ which satisfy $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, where $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ denotes the lattice derivative. This condition restricts the sum over all $\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x})$ -configurations in (18) to all non-selfbacktracking integer-valued closed loops. The function

$$v_m(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \int \frac{d^3(ak_i)}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 + k_3 x_3)}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^3 (1 - \cos ak_i) + a^2 m^2} = \int ds e^{-(6+m^2)s} I_{x_1}(2s) I_{x_2}(2s) I_{x_3}(2s). \quad (19)$$

is the lattice Yukawa potential [14].

The lattice partition function (18) is known to have a second-order phase transition in the universality class of the XY -model. This can be seen by a comparison with the Villain approximation [15] to the XY model, whose partition function is a lattice version of

$$Z_V[\rho] = \int \mathcal{D}\theta \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \exp \left[-\frac{b}{2} \int d^3x (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta - \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v)^2 \right].$$

After integrating out $\theta(\mathbf{x})$, this becomes

$$Z_V[\rho] = \text{Det}^{-1/2}(-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2) \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v \exp \left(-\frac{b}{2} \int d^3x \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v{}^2 \right), \quad (20)$$

and we can replace $\boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v{}^2$ by $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v (-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\theta}_T^v)^2 = \mathbf{j}^v (-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2)^{-1} \mathbf{j}^v$. By taking this expression to a simple-cubic lattice we obtain the partition function (18), but with $\tilde{\rho}_0^2 a$ replaced by $\beta_V \equiv ba$, and the Yukawa potential $v_{\tilde{\rho}_0 e}(\mathbf{x})$ replaced by the Coulomb potential $v_0(\mathbf{x})$.

The partition function (18) has the same transition at roughly the same place as its local approximation

$$Z_2[\tilde{\rho}_0] \approx \sum_{\{\mathbf{l}; \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{l} = 0\}} \exp \left[-\frac{4\pi^2 \tilde{\rho}_0^2 a}{2} v_{\tilde{\rho}_0 e}(\mathbf{0}) \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{l}^2(\mathbf{x}) \right]. \quad (21)$$

A similar approximation holds for the Villain model with $v_0(\mathbf{x})$ instead of $v_{\tilde{\rho}_0 e}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\tilde{\rho}_0^2 a$ replaced by $\beta_V \equiv ba$.

The Villain model is known to undergo a second-order phase transition of the XY -model type at $\beta_V = r/3$ with $r \approx 1$, where the vortex lines become infinitely long [17]. Thus we conclude that also the partition function (21) has a second-order phase transition of the XY -model type at $\tilde{\rho}^2 v_{\tilde{\rho}_0 e}(\mathbf{0}) a \approx v_0(\mathbf{0})/3$. The potential (19) at the origin has the hopping expansion [16]

$$v_m(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{n=0,2,4} \frac{H_n}{(a^2 m^2 + 6)^{n+1}}, \quad H_0 = 1, H_2 = 6, \dots \quad (22)$$

To lowest order, this yields the ratio $v_m(\mathbf{0})/v_0(\mathbf{0}) \equiv 1/(m^2/6 + 1)$. A more accurate fit to the ratio $v_m(\mathbf{0})/v_0(\mathbf{0})$ which is good up to $m^2 \approx 10$ (thus comprising all interesting κ -values since m^2 is of the order of $3/\kappa^2$) is $1/(\sigma m^2/6 + 1)$ with $\sigma \approx 1.38$. Hence the transition takes place at

$$\frac{\tilde{\rho}_0^2 a}{(\sigma a^2 \tilde{\rho}_0^2 e^2 / 6 + 1)} \approx \frac{r}{3} \text{ or } \tilde{\rho}_0 \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}a} \sqrt{\frac{r}{1 - \sigma r a e^2 / 18}}. \quad (23)$$

This transition will persist until $\tilde{\rho}_0$ reaches the value $\rho_1 = 2c/3g$ of Eq. (9). From there on, the transition will no longer be of the XY -model type but occur discontinuously as a first-order transition.

Replacing in (23) a by $\alpha\xi_1$ of Eq. (10), and $\tilde{\rho}_0$ by ρ_1 , we find the equation for the mean-field Ginzburg parameter $\kappa_{\text{MF}} = \sqrt{g/e^2}$:

$$\kappa_{\text{MF}}^3 + \alpha^2 \sigma \frac{\kappa_{\text{MF}}}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{\pi r} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Inserting $\sigma \approx 1.38$ and choosing $\alpha \approx r \approx 1$, the solution of this yields the tricritical value

$$\kappa_{\text{MF}}^{\text{tric}} \approx 0.81/\sqrt{2}. \quad (25)$$

In spite of the roughness of the approximations, this result is very close to the value $0.8/\sqrt{2}$ derived from the dual theory in [11]. The approximation has three uncertainties. First, the identification of the effective lattice spacing $a = \alpha\xi$ with $\alpha \approx 1$; second the associated neglect of the \mathbf{x} -dependence of ρ and its fluctuations, and third the localization of the critical point of the XY -model type transition in Eq. (23) setting $q \approx 1$. By modifying slightly the parameters q and r we can, of course, obtain complete agreement with the previous result..

Our goal has been achieved: We have shown the existence of a tricritical point in a superconductor directly within the fluctuating Ginzburg-Landau theory, by taking the vortex fluctuations into account. For $\kappa > 0.76/\sqrt{2}$ these give rise to an XY -model type second-order transition before the cubic term becomes relevant. The cubic term causes a discontinuous transition only for $\kappa < 0.76/\sqrt{2}$.

Acknowledgment:

The author is grateful to F. Nogueira for many valuable discussions.

-
- [1] L.D. Landau, J.E.T.P. **7**, 627 (1937); V.L. Ginzburg and L.D. Landau, J.E.T.P. **20**, 1064 (1950);
[2] D. Saint-James, G. Sarma and E.J. Thomas, *Type II Superconductivity*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1969; M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996.
[3] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity*, 2nd ed., Dover, New York, 1996.
[4] V.L. Ginzburg, Fiz. Tverd. Tela **2**, 2031 (1960) [Sov. Phys. Solid State **2**, 1824 (1961)]. See also the detailed discussion in Chapter 13 of the textbook L.D. Landau

and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, 3rd edition, Pergamon Press, London, 1968. The Ginzburg criterion is derived for and applicable to systems with only a real order parameter. If the order parameter is complex, the important fluctuations take place in the phase of the order parameter: H. Kleinert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 286 (2000) (cond-mat/9908239).

- [5] B.I. Halperin, T.C. Lubensky, and S. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 292 (1972).
[6] T. Schneider, J. M. Singer, *A Phase Transition Approach to High Temperature Superconductivity: Universal Properties of Cuprate Superconductors*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
[7] T. Schneider, R. Khasanov, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 77002 (2005), T. Schneider, R. Khasanov, K. Conder, E. Pomjakushina, R. Bruetsch, and H. Keller, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **16**, L1 (2004) (cond-mat/0406691).
[8] L.P. Kadanoff, Physics **2**, 263 (1966); K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. B **4**, 3174, 3184 (1971); K.G. Wilson and M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **28**, 240 (1972); and references therein.
[9] A small selection is: J. Tessmann, Berlin MS thesis 1984 in my group (the pdf file is available on the internet at ([ibid/MS-Tessmann.pdf](#))); S. Kolnberger and R. Folk, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 4083 (1990); R. Folk and Y. Holovatch, J. Phys. A **29**, 3409 (1996); I.F. Herbut and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4588 (1996); H. Kleinert and F.S. Nogueira Nucl. Phys. B **651**, 361 (2003) (cond-mat/0104573).
[10] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets*, World Scientific, Singapore 2004, Third extended edition, pp. 1–1450 ([ibid/b5](#)).
[11] H. Kleinert, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **37**, 295 (1982) ([ibid/108](#)). The tricritical value $\kappa \approx 0.8/\sqrt{2}$ derived in this paper was confirmed only recently by Monte Carlo simulations: J. Hove, S. Mo, and A. Sudb, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 64524 (2002).
[12] H. Kleinert, *Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter*, Vol. I Superflow and Vortex Lines, World Scientific, Singapore 1989, pp. 1–756 ([www.physik.fu-berlin.de/~kleinert/b1](#)).
[13] H. Kleinert, *Theory of Fluctuating Nonholonomic Fields and Applications: Statistical Mechanics of Vortices and Defects and New Physical Laws in Spaces with Curvature and Torsion*, in *Proceedings of NATO Advanced Study Institute on Formation and Interaction of Topological Defects* at the University of Cambridge, Plenum Press, New York, 1995, S. 201–232 ([ibid/227](#)).
[14] See Eq. (6.110) in Part I of Ref. [12] ([ibid/b1/gifs/v1-165s.html](#)).
[15] See Chapter 5 Part II of Ref. [12] ([ibid/b1/gifs/v1-689s.html](#)).
[16] See Eq. (6.122) in Part I of Ref. [12] ([ibid/b1/gifs/v1-168s.html](#)).
[17] See the figure on p. 501 of Ref. [12] ([ibid/b1/gifs/v1-501s.html](#)).