

Classification of integrable clusters of classical Heisenberg spins

Marco Ameduri[†], Bogomil Gerganov[†] and Richard A Klemm[‡]

[†]Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar, Education City, P. O. Box 24144, Doha, Qatar

[‡]Department of Physics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

E-mail: ma22@cornell.edu, beg2@cornell.edu, klemm@phys.ksu.edu

Abstract. In this letter we present a general classification of integrable models of identical classical spins coupled via the isotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian. Our constructive proof of integrability provides a solution scheme for the equations describing the time evolution of each spin. We show that for a broad class of Heisenberg couplings, it is possible to solve the equations of motion iteratively by building a hierarchy of spins, each of which precesses about the composite spin of the previous level. This leads to the identification of the frequencies of precession at each level.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 05.45.-a, 75.10.Hk

1. Introduction

The study of the dynamics of classical Heisenberg spins has long proved invaluable in a variety of physical contexts. From a mathematical point of view, spin clusters have been a natural testing ground to explore the emergence of chaos [1]. The recent developments in the experimental study of magnetic molecules [2–4] have generated a renewed interest in the dynamics of classical Heisenberg spins. Integral representations of the time-dependent spin-spin correlation functions have been presented for a variety of small integrable clusters [5–8]. Analogous results for the equivalent neighbor model or for an integrable deformation of it have also been obtained [9,10]. Among the more recent developments, in [11] the neutron scattering structure factors for a wide range of finite quantum spin systems were computed analytically by Haraldsen, Barnes, and Musfeldt.

In this letter we report on a broad classification of integrable cases. In section 2 we present a class of models leading to integrable equations of motion. In section 3 the integrability of the models is proved and the precession frequencies are obtained. Section 4 contains our conclusions.

2. Classification of the integrable clusters

The most general Heisenberg Hamiltonian for N classical spins normalized according to $|\mathbf{S}_i| = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N g_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = \sum_{i<j} g_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j. \quad (1)$$

The matrix of exchange couplings $[g_{ij}]$ in (1) is symmetric, $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$, and $g_{ii} = 0$. The time evolution of each spin is determined by the differential equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N g_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j. \quad (2)$$

The structure of the equations of motion (2) explicitly shows that the norm of each spin is indeed constant. The equations (2) are non-linear and, for an arbitrary matrix of couplings $\mathbf{G} = [g_{ij}]$, they do not admit a general solution in closed form. In the following we identify a class of coupling matrices for which the general solution can be explicitly constructed.

Let us partition the set $I = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$ of spin labels into nonintersecting subsets A, B, C, \dots , such that

$$A \cup B \cup C \cup \dots = I, \quad A \cap B = \emptyset, \quad A \cap C = \emptyset, \quad \dots \quad (3)$$

We are going to call the set of spins whose labels are in A the ‘spin cluster A ,’ and so on for every other subset of I . It is useful to introduce also the following terminology. A coupling g_{ij} is called internal if its indices i and j belong to the same cluster, whereas a coupling g_{ij} is called external if i and j belong to different clusters.

As we shall prove in section 3, if there is a partition (3) of I , for which all the external couplings are equal, the problem of solving the equations of motion (2) is reduced to separately solving the spin systems described by the index sets A, B , etc. If all the external couplings are equal to J , the coupling matrix \mathbf{G} has the form

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}^A & J\mathbf{E} & J\mathbf{E} & & & \\ J\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{G}^B & J\mathbf{E} & & & \\ J\mathbf{E} & J\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{G}^C & & & \\ & & & \bullet & & \\ & & & & \bullet & \\ & & & & & \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{G}^A is the matrix of the internal couplings between spins in cluster A , \mathbf{G}^B the matrix of the internal couplings between spins in cluster B , and so on. \mathbf{E} is a matrix of appropriate dimension, all elements of which are equal to 1.

Moreover, we claim that if each of the coupling matrices $\mathbf{G}^A, \mathbf{G}^B, \dots$, can in turn be written in the form (4), with a single coupling J_A among the sub-clusters of A , a single coupling J_B among the sub-clusters of B , etc., (J_A, J_B, \dots , need not be equal to J), and if this can be carried on until we get clusters containing only single spins, the equations of motion (2) can be solved exactly. As an example, an 8-spin model of this type is shown in figure 1. We also notice that all the models studied in [5–10] fit into this scheme.




Figure 1. A model of 8 interacting spins with 4 different couplings. Spins \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 form a dimer with a coupling J_4 . Spin \mathbf{S}_8 is coupled to this dimer with a coupling J_3 to form an isosceles triangle. Spins \mathbf{S}_4 , \mathbf{S}_5 , \mathbf{S}_6 , and \mathbf{S}_7 form a tetrahedron with coupling J_2 . These individual clusters, together with the single spin \mathbf{S}_3 , are coupled to one another by the external coupling J_1 .

3. Solution method and precession frequencies

If the coupling matrix has the form (4), the equation of motion for a spin belonging to cluster A is

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha' \in A} g_{\alpha\alpha'}^A \mathbf{S}_\alpha \times \mathbf{S}_{\alpha'} + J \mathbf{S}_\alpha \times \mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in A \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}} \equiv \sum_{i \in I \setminus A} \mathbf{S}_i$ is the sum of all the spins outside cluster A . Similar equations can be written for the spins in every other cluster B , C , etc.

With the definitions

$$\mathbf{S}_A = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{S}_\alpha, \quad \mathbf{S}_B = \sum_{\beta \in B} \mathbf{S}_\beta, \quad \dots \quad (6)$$

we obtain the equations of motion describing the time evolution of the spin sums (6) by adding the equations (5) for all spins in a given cluster. E.g., for cluster A we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_A = J \mathbf{S}_A \times \mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}}. \quad (7)$$

Since the total spin \mathbf{S} of the system can be written as

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_A + \mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}} \quad (8)$$

equation (7) becomes

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_A = J \mathbf{S}_A \times \mathbf{S}. \quad (9)$$

Since the total spin \mathbf{S} is conserved in the Heisenberg model, equation (9) can be solved immediately. The solution describes the precession of the vector \mathbf{S}_A around \mathbf{S} . Choosing a coordinate system such that $\mathbf{S} = S\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, the solution can be written as

$$\mathbf{S}_A(t) = S_A^\parallel \hat{\mathbf{z}} + S_A^\perp [\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(\omega t - \phi_A) - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin(\omega t - \phi_A)] \quad (10)$$

where $\omega = JS$, S_A^\parallel is the projection of \mathbf{S}_A along the direction of \mathbf{S} , S_A^\perp is its projection perpendicular to the direction of \mathbf{S} , and ϕ_A is the initial phase of \mathbf{S}_A . Since all the $\mathbf{S}_A(t)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}}(t) = \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}_A(t)$ are now explicitly known functions of time, solving equation (5) is reduced to solving the internal coupling sector for each cluster. Indeed, let us focus again on cluster A and let us assume that its index set A can itself be partitioned in a way similar to (3), i.e.,

$$A = M \cup N \cup P \cup \dots, \quad M \cap N = \emptyset, \quad M \cap P = \emptyset, \quad \dots, \quad (11)$$

and that the coupling matrix \mathbf{G}^A has the structure (4), with all external couplings between the sub-clusters M, N, \dots , being equal to J_A . The equations of motion involving the spins of sub-cluster M can then be written as

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_\mu = \sum_{\mu' \in M} g_{\mu\mu'}^M \mathbf{S}_\mu \times \mathbf{S}_{\mu'} + J_A \mathbf{S}_\mu \times \mathbf{S}_{\bar{M}} + J \mathbf{S}_\mu \times \mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}}, \quad \forall \mu \in M \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{\bar{M}} \equiv \sum_{i \in A \setminus M} \mathbf{S}_i$. Once again, equations of the same form will hold for the spins in the other sub-clusters of A .

Adding all the equations for the spins in sub-cluster M in a way similar to the derivations of (7) and (9), and defining $\mathbf{S}_M = \sum_{\mu \in M} \mathbf{S}_\mu$, we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_M = J_A \mathbf{S}_M \times \mathbf{S}_A + J \mathbf{S}_M \times \mathbf{S}_{\bar{A}} \quad (13)$$

which can also be written in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_M = J \mathbf{S}_M \times \mathbf{S} + (J_A - J) \mathbf{S}_M \times \mathbf{S}_A. \quad (14)$$

As long as we can apply the reduction scheme of Section 2 to the next level of sub-clusters, this solving procedure can be carried on iteratively. For the purpose of illustration, suppose that the internal couplings between the spins in sub-cluster M are all equal to J_M , i.e., that the reduction procedure ends at this level.[‡] The equations of motion for the individual spins in cluster M can then be written as

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_i = J \mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S} + (J_A - J) \mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_A + (J_M - J_A) \mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_M, \quad i \in M. \quad (15)$$

In order to write the solution for $\mathbf{S}_i(t)$ in a closed form we apply successively the Fourier transform method used in [6, 7, 10] to find $\mathbf{S}_A(t)$, then $\mathbf{S}_M(t)$, and finally $\mathbf{S}_i(t)$, $i \in M$. Though cumbersome and tedious, this is straightforward and mechanical. For the purposes of this letter, we restrict ourselves to stating the resulting frequencies of the various spin precessions: JS , $(J_A - J)S_A$, and $(J_M - J_A)S_M$. Figure 2 illustrates the precessions of the individual spins and the spin composites for the 8-spin model in figure 1.

More generally, if the reduction scheme leads to a hierarchy of n levels of sub-clusters, $i \in I^{(n)} \subset I^{(n-1)} \subset \dots \subset I^{(2)} \subset I^{(1)} \subset I$, where each k -level cluster has a

[‡] This is the same as saying that the sub-clusters of M consist of individual spins, each coupled to all the others with coupling J_M , or that M is an equivalent neighbor model with respect to its internal couplings.




Figure 2. The time evolution of the 8-spin model of figure 1. The net spin of the isosceles triangle, \mathbf{S}_{128} , the net spin of the tetrahedron, \mathbf{S}_{4567} , and the individual spin \mathbf{S}_3 precess with angular speed $J_1 S$ about the total spin of the system, \mathbf{S} . The individual spins \mathbf{S}_4 , \mathbf{S}_5 , \mathbf{S}_6 , and \mathbf{S}_7 in turns precess about \mathbf{S}_{4567} . The dimer spin \mathbf{S}_{12} and the individual spin \mathbf{S}_8 precess about the isosceles spin \mathbf{S}_{128} . Finally, \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 precess about \mathbf{S}_{12} .

coupling $J_{(k)}$ among its $(k+1)$ -level sub-clusters, the time evolution of the i^{th} spin \mathbf{S}_i can be found by iteratively solving the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\mathbf{S}} &= 0 \\
 \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{(1)} &= J\mathbf{S}_{(1)} \times \mathbf{S} \\
 \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{(2)} &= J\mathbf{S}_{(2)} \times \mathbf{S} + (J_{(1)} - J)\mathbf{S}_{(2)} \times \mathbf{S}_{(1)} \\
 &\dots \\
 \dot{\mathbf{S}}_{(n)} &= J\mathbf{S}_{(n)} \times \mathbf{S} + (J_{(1)} - J)\mathbf{S}_{(n)} \times \mathbf{S}_{(1)} + \dots + (J_{(n-1)} - J_{(n-2)})\mathbf{S}_{(n)} \times \mathbf{S}_{(n-1)} \\
 \dot{\mathbf{S}}_i &= J\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S} + (J_{(1)} - J)\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_{(1)} + \dots + (J_{(n)} - J_{(n-1)})\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_{(n)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The Fourier transformed version of the hierarchy of equations (16) is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\omega\mathbf{S} &= 0 \\
 i\omega\mathbf{S}_{(1)} &= J\mathbf{S}_{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{S} \\
 i\omega\mathbf{S}_{(2)} &= J\mathbf{S}_{(2)} \otimes \mathbf{S} + (J_{(1)} - J)\mathbf{S}_{(2)} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{(1)} \\
 &\dots \\
 i\omega\mathbf{S}_{(n)} &= J\mathbf{S}_{(n)} \otimes \mathbf{S} + (J_{(1)} - J)\mathbf{S}_{(n)} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{(1)} + \dots + (J_{(n-1)} - J_{(n-2)})\mathbf{S}_{(n)} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{(n-1)} \\
 i\omega\mathbf{S}_i &= J\mathbf{S}_i \otimes \mathbf{S} + (J_{(1)} - J)\mathbf{S}_i \otimes \mathbf{S}_{(1)} + \dots + (J_{(n)} - J_{(n-1)})\mathbf{S}_i \otimes \mathbf{S}_{(n)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the symbol \otimes denotes a vector product in the real space and a convolution in the Fourier space.

Although the explicit solution to the general case (17) would be too cumbersome to write in a closed form, it is easy to see that the first equation of (17) will lead to $\mathbf{S}(\omega) \propto \delta(\omega)$ (i.e., $\omega_{(0)} = 0$ or \mathbf{S} is constant). The second equation would introduce a new frequency in the Fourier spectrum of $\mathbf{S}_{(1)}$: $\omega_{(1)} = JS$ (for instance, this gives the spin precession frequency for each of spins in a dimer [5, 8]). The third equation will give rise to the additional frequency $\omega_{(2)} = (J_{(1)} - J)S_{(1)}$ in the Fourier spectrum of $\mathbf{S}_{(2)}$ (as it happens for the individual spins on the sites of a squashed equivalent neighbor model [7, 10].) We are therefore led to state that the solution for the individual spin \mathbf{S}_i will contain δ -functions of various sums or differences of the frequencies JS , $(J_{(1)} - J)S_{(1)}$, $(J_{(2)} - J_{(1)})S_{(2)}$, \dots , $(J_{(n)} - J_{(n-1)})S_{(n)}$ or, in other words, the Fourier spectrum of $\mathbf{S}_i(t)$ will contain only these frequencies.

4. Conclusions

In this letter we have identified a class of integrable spin cluster models and have presented a procedure for obtaining the exact solutions for the time evolution of the individual spins, listing the frequencies that appear in their Fourier spectra. We hope that these results and solving procedures will prove useful to anyone interested in easily identifying and solving specific classical Heisenberg spin models relevant for describing the physical properties of magnetic molecules.

References

- [1] Srivastava N, Kaufman C, Müller G, Weber R, Thomas H, 1988 *Z. Phys. B* **70** 251
- [2] Furukawa Y, Luban M, Borsa F, Johnston D C, Mahajan A V, Miller L L, Mentrup D, Schnack J and Bino A 2000 *Phys. Rev. B* **61** 8635
- [3] Bouwen A, Caneschi A, Gatteschi D, Goovaerts E, Schoemaker D, Sorace L and Stefan M 2001 *J. Phys. Chem.* **105** 2658
- [4] Cornia A, Sessoli R, Sorace L, Gatteschi D, Barra A L and Daiguebonne C 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** 257201
- [5] Luban M and Luscombe J 1999 *Am. J. Phys.* **67** 1161
- [6] Klemm R A and Luban M 2001 *Phys. Rev. B* **64** 104424
- [7] Ameduri M and Klemm R A 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **66** 224404
- [8] Efremov D V and Klemm R A 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **66** 174427
- [9] Klemm R A and Ameduri M 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **66** 012403
- [10] Ameduri M and Klemm R A 2004 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** 1095
- [11] Haraldsen J T, Barnes T, Musfeldt J L 2004 *Neutron Scattering and Magnetic Observables for $S = 1/2$ Molecular Magnets* preprint cond-mat/0408253