

Nonlinear Integral Equations for Thermodynamics of the $U_q(\widehat{sl}(r+1))$ Perk-Schultz Model

Zengo Tsuboi *and Minoru Takahashi †
*Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo,
Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8581, Japan*

Abstract

We propose a system of nonlinear integral equations (NLIE) which describes the thermodynamics of the $U_q(\widehat{sl}(r+1))$ Perk-Schultz model. These NLIE correspond to a trigonometric analogue of our previous result, and contain only r unknown functions. In particular, they reduce to Takahashi's NLIE for the XXZ spin chain if $r = 1$. We also calculate the high temperature expansion of the free energy. In particular for $r = 1$ case, we have succeeded to derive the coefficients of order $O((\frac{J}{T})^{99})$.

Short title: Nonlinear integral equation

MSC: 82B23; 45G15; 82B20; 17B80

PACS2001: 02.30.Rz; 02.30.Ik; 05.50.+q; 05.70.-a

Key words: nonlinear integral equation; Perk-Schultz model; quantum transfer matrix; thermodynamic Bethe ansatz; T -system

to appear in Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, Vol.74 No.3 (2005)

1 Introduction

Traditionally, thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) equations have been used to analyze thermodynamics of various kind of solvable lattice models [1].

*E-mail address: tsuboi@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

†E-mail address: mtaka@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

However TBA equations contain, in general, an infinite number of unknown functions, and are difficult to deal with. To overcome this difficulty, alternative nonlinear integral equations (NLIE), which contain only a finite number of unknown functions, have been considered. For example, for XXZ -model (or XYZ -model), Klümper [2, 3], Destri and de Vega [4] derived a NLIE, which contains two unknown functions.

Recently, the second author [5] derived another type of NLIE for the XXZ -model. The number of the unknown functions is only one, which corresponds to the rank of the underlying algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl(2)})$. Moreover the same NLIE was rederived [6] from the T -system (a system of functional relations among transfer matrices) [7, 8] of the quantum transfer matrix (QTM) [9]; from a fugacity expansion formula [10]. We find [11] that this NLIE [5] is very useful to calculate the high temperature expansion of the free energy. In view of this situation, the first author derived NLIE for the $osp(1|2s)$ model [12], the $sl(r+1)$ Uimin-Sutherland model [13] and the spin $\frac{s}{2}$ Heisenberg model [14]. The numbers of the unknown functions of these NLIE are $s, r, 1$, respectively, which correspond to the rank of the underlying algebras. In particular, the NLIE in refs. [13] and [14] contain the rational limit of Takahashi's NLIE [5] as special cases $r = 1$ or $s = 1$ respectively. The high temperature expansion of the free energy from our NLIE [13] was applied [15]-[19] to spin ladder models, and good agreements were seen between experimental data and theoretical results.

The Perk-Schultz model [20, 21] is a graded vertex model associated with the superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1|s+1)})$. In this paper, we consider a special case ($s = -1$) of it: the $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1)})$ Perk-Schultz model [22]. This model is a natural multicomponent generalization of the XXZ model which is very important in physics. In fact the hamiltonian of the Perk-Schultz model (eqs. (2.6) and (2.7)) reduces to the one for the XXZ model eq. (4.2) if $r = 1$. Moreover the rational limit of the Perk-Schultz model is the Uimin-Sutherland model [23, 24], which we considered previously [13].

The purpose of this paper is to derive NLIE for $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1)})$ Perk-Schultz model [20, 21]. This NLIE contains only r unknown functions, and corresponds to a trigonometric analogue of the NLIE in ref. [13]. Moreover it reduces to Takahashi's NLIE [5] for XXZ -model when $r = 1$.

The shape of TBA equations varies with q [25, 26] when q is a root of unity since the corresponding T -system (or Y -system), from which the corresponding TBA equations are derived, truncates with respect to a variable m (cf. eq. (2.20)). In contrast, our new NLIE eq. (3.6) will be also valid as it stand even when q is a root of unity since we use only the first part of the T -system (eq. (2.20) for $m = 1$).

In 2, we introduce the $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1)})$ Perk-Schultz model [20, 21] and define the QTM and the T -system. In 3, we derive our new NLIE eq. (3.6) taking note on the periodicity of the QTM. We will write only the outline of the derivation since it is similar to the ones in refs. [6] and [13]. In 4, we will calculate the high temperature expansion of the free energy from our new NLIE. In particular, $r = 1$ case is a detailed explanation of a calculation in the letter [11], which has been extended to the XXZ -model case now. It will be difficult to derive the same results from the traditional TBA equations. Section 5 is devoted to concluding remarks.

2 T -system and QTM Method

We will introduce the quantum transfer matrix (QTM) method [9], [27]-[29], [3, 2] and the T -system [8] for $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1)})$ Perk-Schultz model. The QTM analyses of the Perk-Schultz model was done in ref. [30] (see also, ref. [31, 32]).

The R -matrix of the model is given as

$$R(v) = \sum_{a_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{a_2=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_2=1}^{r+1} R_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v) E^{a_1, a_2} \otimes E^{b_1, b_2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $E^{a,b}$ is a $r+1$ by $r+1$ matrix whose (i, j) element is given as $(E^{a,b})_{i,j} = \delta_{ai} \delta_{bj}$; $R_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v)$ is defined as

$$R_{a,a}^{a,a}(v) = [v+1]_q, \quad (2.2)$$

$$R_{a,b}^{a,b}(v) = [v]_q \quad (a \neq b), \quad (2.3)$$

$$R_{a,b}^{b,a}(v) = q^{\text{sign}(a-b)v} \quad (a \neq b), \quad (2.4)$$

where $v \in \mathbb{C}$; $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+1\}$; $[v]_q = (q^v - q^{-v})/(q - q^{-1})$; $q = e^\eta$. Let L be a positive integer (the number of lattice sites). The row-to-row transfer matrix on $\mathbb{C}_{r+1}^{\otimes L}$ is defined as [33]

$$t(v) = \text{tr}_0(R_{0L}(v) \cdots R_{02}(v) R_{01}(v)). \quad (2.5)$$

The hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_{ch}$ of the model has two parts. The first part H_0 is given by

$$H_0 = \frac{J \sinh \eta}{\eta} \frac{d}{dv} \log t(v)|_{v=0} = J \sum_{j=1}^L \left\{ \cosh \eta \sum_{a=1}^{r+1} E_j^{a,a} E_{j+1}^{a,a} + \sum_{a=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ a \neq b}}^{r+1} \left(\text{sign}(a-b) \sinh \eta E_j^{a,a} E_{j+1}^{b,b} + E_j^{b,a} E_{j+1}^{a,b} \right) \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we adopt periodic boundary condition $E_{L+1}^{a,b} = E_1^{a,b}$. The second part is the chemical potential term,

$$H_{ch} = - \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{a=1}^{r+1} \mu_a E_j^{a,a}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\tilde{R}(v)$ be ‘90 degree rotation’ of $R(v)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(v) &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{a_2=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_2=1}^{r+1} \tilde{R}_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v) E^{a_1, a_2} \otimes E^{b_1, b_2} \\ &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{a_2=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_2=1}^{r+1} R_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v) {}^t E^{b_1, b_2} \otimes E^{a_1, a_2} \\ &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{a_2=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_1=1}^{r+1} \sum_{b_2=1}^{r+1} R_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v) E^{b_2, b_1} \otimes E^{a_1, a_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Namely we have $\tilde{R}_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v) = R_{b_2, a_1}^{b_1, a_2}(v)$. Let N be a positive even integer (the Trotter number). We define the QTM on $\mathbb{C}_{r+1}^{\otimes N}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\text{QTM}}(v) &= \text{tr}_j e^{-\frac{H_j}{T}} R_{N,j}(u_N + iv) \tilde{R}_{N-1,j}(u_N - iv) \cdots \\ &\quad R_{4,j}(u_N + iv) \tilde{R}_{3,j}(u_N - iv) R_{2,j}(u_N + iv) \tilde{R}_{1,j}(u_N - iv), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$; $u_N = -\frac{J \sinh \eta}{\eta NT}$ (T : temperature). The matrix elements of the QTM are given as

$$t_{\text{QTM}}(v) = \sum_{\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}} t_{\text{QTM}}(v)_{\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}}^{\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}} E_1^{\beta_1 \alpha_1} E_2^{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \cdots E_N^{\beta_N \alpha_N}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$t_{\text{QTM}}(v)_{\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}}^{\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}} = \sum_{\{\nu_k\}} e^{\frac{\mu \nu_1}{T}} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} R_{\alpha_{2k}, \nu_{2k}}^{\beta_{2k}, \nu_{2k+1}}(u_N + iv) \tilde{R}_{\alpha_{2k-1}, \nu_{2k-1}}^{\beta_{2k-1}, \nu_{2k}}(u_N - iv) \quad (2.11)$$

where $\nu_{N+1} = \nu_1$ and $\nu_k, \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+1\}$. We can express [9] the free energy per site in terms of only the largest eigenvalue Λ_1 of the QTM eq. (2.9) at $v = 0$:

$$f = -T \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \log \Lambda_1, \quad (2.12)$$

where the Boltzmann constant is set to 1.

The eigenvalue formula of the row-to-row transfer matrix eq. (2.5) was derived [34, 21] by the algebraic Bethe ansatz. On the other hand, the

eigenvalue formula $T_1^{(1)}(v)$ of the QTM eq. (2.9) is conjectured to be given by replacing the vacuum part (the eigenvalue on the pseudo-vacuum $|0\rangle$) of the eigenvalue formula of the row-to-row transfer matrix with that of the QTM [35]. We adapt the following pseudo-vacuum: $|0\rangle := v_{r+1} \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r+1} \otimes v_1 \in \mathbb{C}_{r+1}^{\otimes N}$, where $v_1 := {}^t(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}_{r+1}$ and $v_{r+1} := {}^t(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}_{r+1}$. Indeed $|0\rangle$ is an eigenvector for $t_{\text{QTM}}(v)$:

$$t_{\text{QTM}}(v)|0\rangle = \sum_{a=1}^{r+1} \psi_a(v)|0\rangle, \quad (2.13)$$

where the eigenvalue consists of the functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a(v) &= e^{\frac{\mu_a}{T}} \phi_+(v + i\delta_{a,r+1})\phi_-(v - i\delta_{a,1}) \quad \text{for } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+1\}, \\ \phi_{\pm}(v) &= \left(\frac{\sin \eta(v \pm iu_N)}{\sinh \eta} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Then the general eigenvalue formula $T_1^{(1)}(v)$ of the QTM eq. (2.9) will be (cf. refs. [30]-[32])

$$T_1^{(1)}(v) = \sum_{d=1}^{r+1} z(d; v). \quad (2.15)$$

Here the functions $\{z(d; v)\}$ are defined as

$$z(a; v) = \psi_a(v) \frac{Q_{a-1}(v - \frac{i}{2}(a+1))Q_a(v - \frac{i}{2}(a-2))}{Q_{a-1}(v - \frac{i}{2}(a-1))Q_a(v - \frac{i}{2}a)} \quad \text{for } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+1\}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $Q_a(v) = \prod_{k=1}^{M_a} \sin \eta(v - v_k^{(a)})$; $M_a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; $Q_0(v) = Q_{r+1}(v) = 1$. $\{v_k^{(a)}\}$ is a solution of the Bethe ansatz equation (BAE)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\psi_a(v_k^{(a)} + \frac{i}{2}a)}{\psi_{a+1}(v_k^{(a)} + \frac{i}{2}a)} &= - \frac{Q_{a-1}(v_k^{(a)} + \frac{i}{2})Q_a(v_k^{(a)} - i)Q_{a+1}(v_k^{(a)} + \frac{i}{2})}{Q_{a-1}(v_k^{(a)} - \frac{i}{2})Q_a(v_k^{(a)} + i)Q_{a+1}(v_k^{(a)} - \frac{i}{2})} \\ &\text{for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M_a\} \quad \text{and } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Some remarks on eq. (2.15) are in order. For $r = 1$ case, eq. (2.15) can be proved [36] by algebraic Bethe ansatz. As for $r > 1$ case, we have checked that eq. (2.15) agrees with the numerical diagonalization of eq. (2.9) for $r = 2$ and small Trotter numbers N . In ref. [30] the algebraic Bethe ansatz was executed for one particle state, from which an eigenvalue formula of

the QTM for the Perk-Schultz model was conjectured, although a different pseudo-vacuum was adopted.

As an auxiliary function, we define (cf. Bazhanov-Reshetikhin formula in ref. [37]):

$$T_m^{(a)}(v) = \sum_{\{d_{j,k}\}} \prod_{j=1}^a \prod_{k=1}^m z(d_{j,k}; v - \frac{i}{2}(a - m - 2j + 2k)), \quad (2.18)$$

where the summation is taken over $d_{j,k} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+1\}$ such that $d_{j,k} \prec d_{j+1,k}$ and $d_{j,k} \preceq d_{j,k+1}$ ($1 \prec 2 \prec \dots \prec r+1$); $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$; $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. This function contains $T_1^{(1)}(v)$ eq. (2.9) as a special case $(a, m) = (1, 1)$. It is related to a fusion [38] hierarchy of the QTM. We can show that the poles of $T_m^{(a)}(v)$ are spurious under the BAE eq. (2.17). For $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we shall normalize eq. (2.18) as $\tilde{T}_m^{(a)}(v) = T_m^{(a)}(v)/\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_m^{(a)}(v)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_m^{(a)}(v) &= \frac{\phi_-(v - \frac{a+m}{2}i)\phi_+(v + \frac{a+m}{2}i)}{\phi_-(v - \frac{a-m}{2}i)\phi_+(v + \frac{a-m}{2}i)} \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^a \prod_{k=1}^m \phi_-(v - \frac{a-m-2j+2k}{2}i)\phi_+(v - \frac{a-m-2j+2k}{2}i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

One can show that $\tilde{T}_m^{(a)}(v)$ satisfies so called T -system [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_m^{(a)}(v + \frac{i}{2})\tilde{T}_m^{(a)}(v - \frac{i}{2}) &= \tilde{T}_{m+1}^{(a)}(v)\tilde{T}_{m-1}^{(a)}(v) + \tilde{T}_m^{(a-1)}(v)\tilde{T}_m^{(a+1)}(v), \quad (2.20) \\ &\text{for } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \quad \text{and } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_0^{(a)}(v) &= 1 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \\ \tilde{T}_m^{(0)}(v) &= \frac{\phi_-(v + \frac{m}{2}i)\phi_+(v - \frac{m}{2}i)}{\phi_-(v - \frac{m}{2}i)\phi_+(v + \frac{m}{2}i)} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad (2.21) \\ \tilde{T}_m^{(r+1)}(v) &= e^{\frac{m(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_{r+1})}{T}} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Nonlinear Integral Equations with Only a Finite Number of Unknown Functions

It is known [6] that Takahashi's NLIE [5], which describes thermodynamics of the XXZ -model, can be derived from the T -system of the QTM. The number of unknown functions for this NLIE is only one, which corresponds to the




Figure 1: Location of the roots $\{v_k^{(a)}\}$ ($a = 1, 2$) of the BAE for $U_q(\widehat{sl(3)})$ ($\eta = 2.5$, $N = 12$, $u_N = -0.05$, $\mu_1 = h$, $\mu_2 = 0$, $\mu_3 = -h$), which will give the largest eigenvalue of the QTM $t_{\text{QTM}}(v)$ at $v = 0$. Color 1 roots $\{v_k^{(1)}\}$ and color 2 roots $\{v_k^{(2)}\}$ form six one-strings. Only the roots in the fundamental domain $\text{Re}v \in [-\frac{\pi}{2\eta}, \frac{\pi}{2\eta}]$ are exhibited. See also Fig. 1 in ref. [13] for $\eta = 0$, $h = 0$ case.

rank of the underlying algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl(2)})$. In this section, we will derive the NLIE eq. (3.6) for $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1)})$, which contain only r unknown functions, from the T -system eq. (2.20).

From a numerical analysis for finite N, u_N, r , we conjecture that a one-string solution (for every color) in the sector $\frac{N}{2} = M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_r$ of the BAE eq. (2.17) gives the largest eigenvalue of the QTM eq. (2.9) at $v = 0$. From now on, we will consider only this one-string solution. Thus $T_1^{(1)}(0)$ should give the largest eigenvalue Λ_1 . We expect that the following conjecture is valid for this one-string solution (cf. Figs. 1 and 2).

Conjecture 3.1. *For small u_N ($|u_N| \ll 1$), all the zeros $\{\tilde{z}_1^{(a)}\}$ of $\tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(v)$ ($a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$) are located outside of a domain (the physical strip) $\text{Im}v \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. To be precise, they are located near the lines $\text{Im}v = \pm\frac{1+a}{2}$.*




Figure 2: Location of the zeros of $\tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(v)$ ($a = 1, 2$) corresponding to the roots in Fig. 1. Only the zeros in the fundamental domain $\text{Re} v \in [-\frac{\pi}{2\eta}, \frac{\pi}{2\eta}]$ are exhibited. See also Figs. 2 and 3 in ref. [13] for $\eta = 0, h = 0$ case.

We expect that this conjecture is also valid in the Trotter limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. $\tilde{T}_m^{(a)}(v)$ has poles only at $\pm \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}^{(a)}$: $\tilde{\beta}_{m,n}^{(a)} = \frac{m+a}{2}i + iu_N + \frac{n\pi}{\eta}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) whose order is at most $N/2$. It is a periodic function with respect to v whose period is $\frac{\pi}{\eta}$. Moreover,

$$Q_1^{(a)} := \lim_{v \rightarrow i\eta\infty} \tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(v) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a \leq r+1} e^{-\frac{\mu_{i_1}}{T}} e^{-\frac{\mu_{i_2}}{T}} \dots e^{-\frac{\mu_{i_a}}{T}} \quad (3.1)$$

is a finite number [39]. Thus, we must put

$$\tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(v) = Q_1^{(a)} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\{ \frac{b_j^{(a)}}{(v - \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)})^j} + \frac{\bar{b}_j^{(a)}}{(v + \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)})^j} \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the coefficients $b_j^{(a)}, \bar{b}_j^{(a)} \in \mathbb{C}$ are independent of v . Taking note on the relation

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-m}^m \frac{1}{v - \frac{\pi n}{\eta}} = \frac{\eta}{\tan \eta v}, \quad (3.3)$$

we can derive the following NLIE for finite Trotter number N from eqs. (3.2) and (2.20) by the similar procedures in refs. [6] and [13].

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(v) &= Q_1^{(a)} \\ &+ \oint_{C^{(a)}} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{\eta \tilde{T}_1^{(a-1)}(y + \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} - \frac{i}{2}) \tilde{T}_1^{(a+1)}(y + \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} - \frac{i}{2})}{\tan \eta(v - y - \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)}) \tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(y + \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} - i)} \\ &+ \oint_{\bar{C}^{(a)}} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{\eta \tilde{T}_1^{(a-1)}(y - \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} + \frac{i}{2}) \tilde{T}_1^{(a+1)}(y - \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} + \frac{i}{2})}{\tan \eta(v - y + \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)}) \tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(y - \tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} + i)} \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where we set $\tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} := \tilde{\beta}_{1,0}^{(a)}$; the contour $C^{(a)}$ (resp. $\bar{C}^{(a)}$) is a counterclockwise closed loop around 0 which satisfies the condition $y \neq v - \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)}$ (resp. $y \neq v + \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)}$) and does not surround $\tilde{z}_1^{(a)} - \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)} + i, -2\tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} + \frac{\pi n}{\eta}, \frac{\pi k}{\eta}$ (resp. $\tilde{z}_1^{(a)} + \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)} - i, 2\tilde{\beta}_1^{(a)} + \frac{\pi n}{\eta}, \frac{\pi k}{\eta}$) ($n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$).

Next we will take the Trotter limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. We put $\mathcal{T}_1^{(a)}(v) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}_1^{(a)}(v)$. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(0)}(v) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}_1^{(0)}(v) = \exp \left(\frac{2J(\sinh \eta)^2}{T(\cosh \eta - \cos(2\eta v))} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Then, we arrive at a system of NLIE, which contains only a *finite* number of unknown functions $\{\mathcal{T}_1^{(a)}(v)\}_{1 \leq a \leq r}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1^{(a)}(v) = Q_1^{(a)} &+ \oint_{C^{(a)}} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{\eta \mathcal{T}_1^{(a-1)}(y + \frac{ia}{2}) \mathcal{T}_1^{(a+1)}(y + \frac{ia}{2})}{\tan \eta(v - y - \frac{i(a+1)}{2}) \mathcal{T}_1^{(a)}(y + \frac{i(a-1)}{2})} \\ &+ \oint_{\bar{C}^{(a)}} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{\eta \mathcal{T}_1^{(a-1)}(y - \frac{ia}{2}) \mathcal{T}_1^{(a+1)}(y - \frac{ia}{2})}{\tan \eta(v - y + \frac{i(a+1)}{2}) \mathcal{T}_1^{(a)}(y - \frac{i(a-1)}{2})} \\ &\text{for } a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_1^{(r+1)}(v) = Q_1^{(r+1)} = e^{\frac{\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{r+1}}{T}}$; the contour $C^{(a)}$ (resp. $\bar{C}^{(a)}$) is a counterclockwise closed loop around 0 which satisfies the condition $y \neq v - \beta_{1,n}^{(a)}$ (resp. $y \neq v + \beta_{1,n}^{(a)}$) and does not surround $z_1^{(a)} - \beta_{1,n}^{(a)} + i$, $-(a+1)i + \frac{\pi n}{\eta}$, $\frac{\pi k}{\eta}$ (resp. $z_1^{(a)} + \beta_{1,n}^{(a)} - i$, $(a+1)i + \frac{\pi n}{\eta}$, $\frac{\pi k}{\eta}$); $\beta_{1,n}^{(a)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\beta}_{1,n}^{(a)} = \frac{a+1}{2}i + \frac{\pi n}{\eta}$; $z_1^{(a)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{z}_1^{(a)}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$). Note that eq. (3.6) reduces to Takahashi's NLIE [5] if $r = 1$. Although we assumed that $q = e^\eta$ is not a root of unity, we think that eq. (3.6) will be also valid as it stand even when q is a root of unity. One can calculate the free energy per site f by using eq. (3.6) and the relation

$$f = J \cosh \eta - T \log \mathcal{T}_1^{(1)}(0). \quad (3.7)$$

4 High Temperature Expansion

In this section, we will calculate the high temperature expansion of the free energy eq. (3.7) for $U_q(\widehat{sl(r+1)})$ by our new NLIE eq. (3.6). It is known that the high temperature expansion of the free energy for the XXX-model was calculated [11] by Takahashi's NLIE up to order 100.

First of all, we assume the following expansion for large $T/|J|$:

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(a)}(v) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^{(a)}(v) \left(\frac{J}{T}\right)^n \right), \quad a \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $b_0^{(a)}(v) = \log Q_1^{(a)}$. Substituting eq. (4.1) into eq. (3.6), we can obtain the coefficients $\{b_n^{(a)}(v)\}$. We treat $r = 1$ case separately.

• $r = 1$ case:

In this case, the Hamiltonian eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) becomes XXZ chain in

magnetic field:

$$H_0 + H_{ch} = 2J \sum_{j=1}^L \left\{ S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + \Delta (S_j^z S_{j+1}^z + \frac{1}{4}) \right\} \\ - L(\mu_1 + \mu_2) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu_2) \sum_{j=1}^L S_j^z, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\Delta = \cosh \eta$. The NLIE has especially simple form. Equation (3.6) is

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(1)}(v) = Q_1^{(1)} + Q_1^{(2)} \oint_C \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_1^{(0)}(y + i/2)}{\tan \eta(v - y - i)} + \frac{\mathcal{T}_1^{(0)}(y - i/2)}{\tan \eta(v - y + i)} \right) \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{T}_1^{(1)}(y)} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \quad (4.3)$$

Contour C is a closed loop counterclockwise around 0. To remove trigonometric functions, we use transformation

$$v = F(X), \quad y = F(Y) : \quad F(X) = \frac{1}{\eta} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{iX \sinh \eta}{\sqrt{1 + (\cosh \eta)^2 X^2}} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

By this transformation, points $(0, \pm i, \infty)$ on v plane move to $(0, \infty, \pm i)$ on X plane, respectively. Then eq. (4.3) becomes

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(1)}(F(X)) = Q_1^{(1)} + Q_1^{(2)} (1 + X^2) \oint_C A(X, Y) \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}_1^{(1)}(F(Y))} \frac{dY}{2\pi i}, \\ A(X, Y) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \frac{Y(1 - X^2 Y^2 + 2\Delta^2 X^2 (1 + Y^2))}{(1 - X^2 Y^2)^2 - 4\Delta^2 X^2 Y^2 (1 + X^2)(1 + Y^2)} + \epsilon \frac{\Delta(1 + (1 - 2\Delta^2)X^2 Y^2)}{\sqrt{1 + \Delta^2 Y^2}} \\ \times \exp \left\{ \frac{J}{T} (1 + Y^2) \left(\Delta + \epsilon \frac{\sqrt{1 + \Delta^2 Y^2}}{Y} \right) \right\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where we assume that $\mathcal{T}_1^{(1)}(F(Y))$ is an even function of Y . New integration kernel A is expanded by power series of J/T . Coefficient of l -th term is

$$\frac{1}{l!} \frac{(1 + Y^2)^l}{(1 - X^2 Y^2)^2 - 4\Delta^2 X^2 Y^2 (1 + X^2)(1 + Y^2)} \\ \left(\frac{Y(1 - X^2 Y^2 + 2\Delta^2 X^2 (1 + Y^2))}{1 + Y^2} \left(\left(\Delta + \frac{\sqrt{1 + Y^2 \Delta^2}}{Y} \right)^l + \left(\Delta - \frac{\sqrt{1 + Y^2 \Delta^2}}{Y} \right)^l \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\Delta(1 + (1 - 2\Delta^2)X^2 Y^2)}{\sqrt{1 + \Delta^2 Y^2}} \left(\left(\Delta + \frac{\sqrt{1 + Y^2 \Delta^2}}{Y} \right)^l - \left(\Delta - \frac{\sqrt{1 + Y^2 \Delta^2}}{Y} \right)^l \right) \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Analytic part of function A at $Y = 0$ is not relevant in this integral. Then we can put

$$A = (\text{analytic part at } Y = 0) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{J^l}{l!T^l} A_l, \quad (4.7)$$

We get A_l from eq. (4.6) as polynomials of X^2 , Δ^2 and $1/Y$;

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{\Delta}{Y}, & A_2 &= \frac{1 + 2\Delta^2 + 2\Delta^2 X^2}{Y}, \\ A_3 &= \frac{\Delta}{Y^3} + \frac{6\Delta + 4\Delta^3 + (3\Delta + 8\Delta^3)X^2 + 4\Delta^3 X^4}{Y}, & A_4 &= \frac{1 + 4\Delta^2 + 2\Delta^2 X^2}{Y^3} \\ &+ \frac{3 + 24\Delta^2 + 8\Delta^4 + (1 + 24\Delta^2 + 24\Delta^4)X^2 + 8(\Delta^2 + 24\Delta^4)X^4 + 8\Delta^4 X^6}{Y}, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

We can determine $b_l^{(1)}(F(X))$ successively from the integral equation. These are polynomials of X^2 , Δ and $Q_1^{(2)}/Q_1^{(1)2}$ ($= 1/(2 \cosh \frac{h}{T})^2$, $h = (\mu_1 - \mu_2)/2$).

$$b_1^{(1)}(0) = \frac{2\Delta Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$b_2^{(1)}(0) = \frac{(1 + 2\Delta^2) Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}} - \frac{6\Delta^2 Q_1^{(2)2}}{Q_1^{(1)4}}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$b_3^{(1)}(0) = \frac{\left(2\Delta + \frac{4\Delta^3}{3}\right) Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}} + \frac{(-6\Delta - 12\Delta^3) Q_1^{(2)2}}{Q_1^{(1)4}} + \frac{80\Delta^3 Q_1^{(2)3}}{3Q_1^{(1)6}}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_4^{(1)}(0) &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} + 2\Delta^2 + \frac{2\Delta^4}{3}\right) Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}} + \frac{\left(-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{56\Delta^2}{3} - 14\Delta^4\right) Q_1^{(2)2}}{Q_1^{(1)4}} \\ &+ \frac{(40\Delta^2 + 80\Delta^4) Q_1^{(2)3}}{Q_1^{(1)6}} - \frac{140\Delta^4 Q_1^{(2)4}}{Q_1^{(1)8}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

In Table 1, we give $j!b_j^{(1)}(0)$ up to $j = 10$.

There are a lot of works on high temperature expansions for the XXX model; only a few works exist for the XXZ model. Destri and de Vega's [40] result contains some misprint. Rojas et al [41] also calculated the coefficients up to order $O((\frac{J}{T})^4)$. Unfortunately, their formula (eqs. (46)-(48) in ref. [41]) contains a misprint of a sign. We note that eqs. (4.9)-(4.11) agree with eq. (46) in ref. [41]. In addition, eq. (4.12) also agrees with eq. (46) in ref.

Table 1: $j! \cdot b_j^{(1)}(0)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 10$. We put $M = Q_1^{(2)}/Q_1^{(1)2} (= 1/(2 \cosh \frac{h}{T})^2)$, $h = (\mu_1 - \mu_2)/2$.

1	$2M\Delta$,
2	$2M + 4M\Delta^2 - 12M^2\Delta^2$,
3	$12M\Delta - 36M^2\Delta + 8M\Delta^3 - 72M^2\Delta^3 + 160M^3\Delta^3$,
4	$6M - 36M^2 + 48M\Delta^2 - 448M^2\Delta^2 + 960M^3\Delta^2 + 16M\Delta^4 - 336M^2\Delta^4$ $+ 1920M^3\Delta^4 - 3360M^4\Delta^4$,
5	$60M\Delta - 960M^2\Delta + 2400M^3\Delta + 160M\Delta^3 - 3600M^2\Delta^3 + 20000M^3\Delta^3 - 33600M^4\Delta^3$ $+ 32M\Delta^5 - 1440M^2\Delta^5 + 16000M^3\Delta^5 - 67200M^4\Delta^5 + 96768M^5\Delta^5$,
6	$20M - 600M^2 + 2400M^3 + 360M\Delta^2 - 13320M^2\Delta^2 + 85200M^3\Delta^2 - 151200M^4\Delta^2$ $+ 480M\Delta^4 - 23808M^2\Delta^4 + 259200M^3\Delta^4 - 1048320M^4\Delta^4 + 1451520M^5\Delta^4$ $+ 64M\Delta^6 - 5952M^2\Delta^6 + 115200M^3\Delta^6 - 873600M^4\Delta^6 + 2903040M^5\Delta^6$ $- 3548160M^6\Delta^6$,
7	$280M\Delta - 18760M^2\Delta + 168000M^3\Delta - 352800M^4\Delta + 1680M\Delta^3$ $- 135408M^2\Delta^3 + 1689856M^3\Delta^3 - 7197120M^4\Delta^3 + 10160640M^5\Delta^3$ $+ 1344M\Delta^5 - 141120M^2\Delta^5 + 2696960M^3\Delta^5 - 19756800M^4\Delta^5$ $+ 63221760M^5\Delta^5 - 74511360M^6\Delta^5 + 128M\Delta^7 - 24192M^2\Delta^7$ $+ 770560M^3\Delta^7 - 9408000M^4\Delta^7 + 54190080M^5\Delta^7 - 149022720M^6\Delta^7$ $+ 158146560M^7\Delta^7$,
8	$70M - 8820M^2 + 117600M^3 - 352800M^4 + 2240M\Delta^2 - 322560M^2\Delta^2$ $+ 5388096M^3\Delta^2 - 26530560M^4\Delta^2 + 40642560M^5\Delta^2 + 6720M\Delta^4$ $- 1145088M^2\Delta^4 + 25079040M^3\Delta^4 - 193277952M^4\Delta^4 + 629959680M^5\Delta^4$ $- 745113600M^6\Delta^4 + 3584M\Delta^6 - 780288M^2\Delta^6 + 24729600M^3\Delta^6$ $- 292626432M^4\Delta^6 + 1625702400M^5\Delta^6 - 4314562560M^6\Delta^6$ $+ 4428103680M^7\Delta^6 + 256M\Delta^8 - 97536M^2\Delta^8 + 4945920M^3\Delta^8$ $- 91445760M^4\Delta^8 + 812851200M^5\Delta^8 - 3775242240M^6\Delta^8 + 8856207360M^7\Delta^8$ $- 8302694400M^8\Delta^8$,
9	$1260M\Delta - 337680M^2\Delta + 8023680M^3\Delta - 50803200M^4\Delta + 91445760M^5\Delta$ $+ 13440M\Delta^3 - 4072320M^2\Delta^3 + 116998560M^3\Delta^3 - 1030458240M^4\Delta^3$ $+ 3617187840M^5\Delta^3 - 4470681600M^6\Delta^3 + 24192M\Delta^5 - 8570880M^2\Delta^5$ $+ 311921280M^3\Delta^5 - 3884186880M^4\Delta^5 + 21973690368M^5\Delta^5 - 58502062080M^6\Delta^5$ $+ 59779399680M^7\Delta^5 + 9216M\Delta^7 - 4112640M^2\Delta^7 + 209088000M^3\Delta^7$ $- 3759436800M^4\Delta^7 + 32286449664M^5\Delta^7 - 144850083840M^6\Delta^7 + 328786698240M^7\Delta^7$ $- 298896998400M^8\Delta^7 + 512M\Delta^9 - 391680M^2\Delta^9 + 30976000M^3\Delta^9 - 835430400M^4\Delta^9$ $+ 10762149888M^5\Delta^9 - 75107450880M^6\Delta^9 + 292254842880M^7\Delta^9$ $- 597793996800M^8\Delta^9 + 501851750400M^9\Delta^9$,
10	$252M - 128520M^2 + 4445280M^3 - 38102400M^4 + 91445760M^5 + 12600M\Delta^2$ $- 7072800M^2\Delta^2 + 282582720M^3\Delta^2 - 3083330880M^4\Delta^2 + 12395980800M^5\Delta^2$ $- 16765056000M^6\Delta^2 + 67200M\Delta^4 - 42297600M^2\Delta^4 + 1999721280M^3\Delta^4$ $- 28282381440M^4\Delta^4 + 171577405440M^5\Delta^4 - 475808256000M^6\Delta^4 + 498161664000M^7\Delta^4$ $+ 80640M\Delta^6 - 58803840M^2\Delta^6 + 3444222720M^3\Delta^6 - 65241899520M^4\Delta^6$ $+ 570726051840M^5\Delta^6 - 2568513024000M^6\Delta^6 + 5803583385600M^7\Delta^6$ $- 5230697472000M^8\Delta^6 + 23040M\Delta^8 - 20930560M^2\Delta^8 + 1671936000M^3\Delta^8$ $- 44003635200M^4\Delta^8 + 548674560000M^5\Delta^8 - 3702575923200M^6\Delta^8$ $+ 13948526592000M^7\Delta^8 - 27675648000000M^8\Delta^8 + 22583328768000M^9\Delta^8$ $+ 1024M\Delta^{10} - 1569792M^2\Delta^{10} + 191078400M^3\Delta^{10} - 7333939200M^4\Delta^{10} + 131681894400M^5\Delta^{10}$ $- 1295901573120M^6\Delta^{10} + 7439214182400M^7\Delta^{10} - 24908083200000M^8\Delta^{10}$ $+ 45166657536000M^9\Delta^{10} - 34326659727360M^{10}\Delta^{10}$

[41] if we replace the term $-\frac{t^4}{32}\beta^3$ in eq. (48) with $+\frac{t^4}{32}\beta^3$. Moreover, these coefficients eqs. (4.9)-(4.12) reduce to known results (see for example, refs. [11] and [42]) in the rational limit $\Delta \rightarrow 1$. We have plotted specific heat by using our high temperature expansion formula of order 99 (see Fig. 3).

• $r \geq 2$ case:

This case is more involved than $r = 1$ case since we have to take account of the poles in the coefficients $\{b_n^{(a)}(v)\}$. Thus we need a further non-trivial assumption

$$b_n^{(a)}(v) = \left(\frac{2(\sinh \eta)^2}{\cosh(a+1)\eta - \cos 2\eta v} \right)^n c_n^{(a)}(v), \quad (4.13)$$

where $c_n^{(a)}(v)$ is a polynomial with respect to some trigonometric functions. We will present $b_n^{(1)}(0)$ for $U_q(\widehat{sl}(r+1))$ up to order $n = 3$.

$$b_1^{(1)}(0) = \frac{2\Delta Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$b_2^{(1)}(0) = \frac{(1+2\Delta^2)Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}} - \frac{6\Delta^2 Q_1^{(2)2}}{Q_1^{(1)4}} + \frac{(-1+4\Delta^2)Q_1^{(3)}}{Q_1^{(1)3}}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_3^{(1)}(0) = & \frac{\left(2\Delta + \frac{4\Delta^3}{3}\right)Q_1^{(2)}}{Q_1^{(1)2}} + \frac{(-6\Delta - 12\Delta^3)Q_1^{(2)2}}{Q_1^{(1)4}} + \frac{80\Delta^3 Q_1^{(2)3}}{3Q_1^{(1)6}} \\ & + \frac{8\Delta^3 Q_1^{(3)}}{Q_1^{(1)3}} + \frac{(8\Delta - 32\Delta^3)Q_1^{(2)}Q_1^{(3)}}{Q_1^{(1)5}} + \frac{(-4\Delta + 8\Delta^3)Q_1^{(4)}}{Q_1^{(1)4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

We note the following relation: $b_n^{(1)}(0) = c_n^{(1)}(0)$. We can obtain coefficients for $r = 2$ if we formally set $Q_1^{(4)} = 0$ in eq. (4.16). Note that eqs. (4.14), (4.15), (4.16) reduce to eqs. (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) if $Q_1^{(3)} = Q_1^{(4)} = 0$. Note also that eqs. (4.14)-(4.16) reduce to eq. (5.6) in ref. [13] in the rational limit $\Delta \rightarrow 1$. We have plotted the specific heat by using our high temperature expansion formula eqs. (4.14)-(4.16) of order 3 (see Fig. 4).

5 Concluding Remarks

We have derived NLIE with only r unknown functions for the $U_q(\widehat{sl}(r+1))$ Perk-Schultz model. In contrast with traditional TBA equations, our new




Figure 3: Temperature dependence of the specific heat C for $U_q(\widehat{sl(2)})$ ($\mu_1 = -\mu_2 = 0$) calculated from Pade approximation (numerator: a polynomial of degree 49, denominator: a polynomial of degree 50) for $\Delta = 2$ (thin), 1 (medium), 0.5 (thick), -0.5 (dashed thick), -1 (dashed medium), -2 (dashed thin). We have calculated high-temperature expansion of free energy up to 99-th order. The case for $\Delta = \pm 1$ coincides with ref. [11].

NLIE will be also valid even when q is a root of unity. We also calculated the high temperature expansion of the free energy from our new NLIE.

It will be an important problem to apply our result to physical problem such as the thermodynamics of the spin ladder models as in ref. [15]. In Ref. [16], they treated a spin ladder model whose leg part has isotropic interaction while rung part has anisotropic interaction. In contrast, our new NLIE has a potential applicability to spin ladder models whose leg parts have anisotropic interaction.

We note that our results in this paper reduce to the ones in ref. [13] in the rational limit $q = e^\eta \rightarrow 1$.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from JSPS (no. 16914018).




Figure 4: Temperature dependence of the high temperature expansion of the specific heat C for $U_q(\widehat{sl(4)})$ ($J = 0.48$, $\mu_1 = 6.75$, $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = 0$): each line denotes C for $\eta = \frac{3}{2}$ (thin), 1 (dashed thin), 0 (thick), $\frac{\pi i}{3}$ (dashed thick) respectively. The case for $\eta = 0$ was calculated in ref. [15] in relation with the $su(4)$ ladder model.

References

- [1] M. Takahashi: Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable models, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [2] A. Klümper: Z. Phys. **B91** (1993) 507-519.
- [3] A. Klümper: Ann. Physik **1** (1992) 540-553.
- [4] C. Destri and H. J. de Vega: Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 2313-2317.
- [5] M. Takahashi: in Physics and Combinatorics, eds. A. N. Kirillov and N. Liskova, (2001) 299–304 (World Scientific, Singapore); cond-mat/0010486.
- [6] M. Takahashi, M. Shiroishi and A. Klümper: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) L187–L194; cond-mat/0102027.
- [7] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **20** (1987) 1565–1585.

- [8] A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi and J. Suzuki: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A9** (1994) 5215-5266; hep-th/9309137.
- [9] M. Suzuki: Phys. Rev. **B31** (1985) 2957–2965.
- [10] G. Kato and M. Wadati: J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 5060–5078; cond-mat/0212325.
- [11] M. Shiroishi and M. Takahashi: Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 117201; cond-mat/0205180.
- [12] Z. Tsuboi: Phys. Lett. **B544** (2002) 222–230; math-ph/0209024.
- [13] Z. Tsuboi: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 1493–1507; cond-mat/0212280.
- [14] Z. Tsuboi: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** (2004) 1747–1758; cond-mat/0308333.
- [15] M. T. Batchelor, X. W. Guan, N. Oelkers, K. Sakai, Z. Tsuboi, A. Foerster: Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 217202; cond-mat/0309244.
- [16] Zu-Jian Ying, I. Roditi, A. Foerster, B. Chen: Euro. Phys. J. **B41** (2004) 67-74; cond-mat/0403520.
- [17] Zu-Jian Ying, I. Roditi, Huan-Qiang Zhou: cond-mat/0405274.
- [18] M. T. Batchelor, X. W. Guan, N. Oelkers: Phys. Rev. **B70** (2004) 184408; cond-mat/0409310.
- [19] M. T. Batchelor, X. W. Guan, N. Oelkers, A. Foerster: JSTAT (2004) P10017; cond-mat/0409311.
- [20] J. H. H. Perk and C. L. Schultz: Phys. Lett. **84A** (1981) 407-410.
- [21] C. L. Schultz: Physica **A122** (1983) 71-88.
- [22] $U_q(\widehat{sl}(r+1))$ is an algebra for a symmetry of the R -matrix of the model. See for example, M. Jimbo: Commun. Math. Phys. **102** 537–547. We assume that $q = e^\eta$ is not a root of unity.
- [23] G.V. Uimin: JETP Lett. **12** (1970) 225.
- [24] B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. **B12** (1975) 3795-3805.
- [25] M. Takahashi and M. Suzuki: Prog. Theor. Phys. **48** (1972) 2187.

- [26] A. Kuniba, K. Sakai and J. Suzuki: Nucl. Phys. **B525** (1998) 597-626; math.QA/9803056.
- [27] M. Suzuki and M. Inoue: Prog. Theor. Phys. **78** (1987) 787–799.
- [28] T. Koma: Prog. Theor. Phys. **78** (1987) 1213–1218.
- [29] J. Suzuki, Y. Akutsu and M. Wadati: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **59** (1990) 2667–2680.
- [30] A. Klümper, T. Wehner and J. Zittartz: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** (1997) 1897-1912.
- [31] G. Jüttner, A. Klümper and J. Suzuki: Nucl. Phys. **B512** (1998) 581-600; hep-th/9707074.
- [32] A. Fujii and A. Klümper: Nucl. Phys. **B546** (1999) 751-764; cond-mat/9811234.
- [33] The lower index i, j of $R_{ij}(v)$ is used in conventional manner. For example, suppose $E_k^{a,b}$ is defined on $\mathbb{C}_{r+1}^{\otimes(L+1)}$: $E_k^{a,b} = I^{\otimes k} \otimes E^{a,b} \otimes I^{\otimes(L-k)}$ where I is $r+1$ by $r+1$ identity matrix; $k = 0, 1, \dots, L$. Then $R_{ij}(v)$ is defined as $R_{ij}(v) = \sum_{a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2} R_{a_2, b_2}^{a_1, b_1}(v) E_i^{a_1, a_2} E_j^{b_1, b_2}$.
- [34] O. Babelon, H. J. de Vega and C-M. Viallet: Nucl. Phys. **B200** (1982) 266-280.
- [35] This is a kind of ‘dress universality’ in the analytic Bethe ansatz: A. Kuniba and J. Suzuki: Commun. Math. Phys. **173**(1995) 225–264; hep-th/9406180; N. Yu. Reshetikhin: Sov. Phys. JETP **57** (1983) 691–696.
- [36] For $r = 1$ case, the algebraic Bethe ansatz for the QTM is similar to the one for the row-to-row transfer matrix. See for example, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper and A. Seel: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** 7625-7651; hep-th/0405089.
- [37] V. V. Bazhanov and N. Reshetikhin: J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **23** (1990) 1477–1492.
- [38] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and E. K. Sklyanin: Lett. Math. Phys. **5** (1981) 393–403.

- [39] This quantity is related to a solution of a system of functional relations, called Q -system: A. N. Kirillov: *J. Sov. Math.* **47** (1989) 2450–2459; A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *J. Sov. Math.* **52** (1990) 3156–3164.
- [40] C. Destri and H. J. de Vega: *Nucl. Phys.* **B438** [FS] (1995) 413-454; hep-th/9407117.
- [41] O. Rojas, S. M. de Souza and M. T. Thomaz: *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 1390-1407; hep-ph/0012368.
- [42] A. Bühler, N. Elstner and G. S. Uhrig: *Eur. Phys. J* **B16** (2000) 475-486.