# Generalized Entropies and Statistical Mechanics

Fariel Shafee Department of Physics Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08540 USA.[∗](#page-0-0)

We consider the problem of defining free energy and other thermodynamic functions when the entropy is given as a general function of the probability distribution, including that for nonextensive forms. We find that the free energy, which is central to the determination of all other quantities, can be obtained uniquely numerically even when it is the root of a transcendental equation. In particular we study the cases of the Tsallis form and a new form proposed by us recently. We compare the free energy, the internal energy and the specific heat of a simple system two energy states for each of these forms.

PACS numbers: 02.50 Ey, 05.90 +m, 89.90 +n Keywords: entropy, nonextensive, probability distribution function, free energy, specific heat

#### I. INTRODUCTION

We have recently [\[1](#page-4-0)] proposed a new form of nonextensive entropy which depends on a parameter similar to Tsallis entropy [\[2](#page-4-1), [3,](#page-4-2) [4\]](#page-4-3), and in a similar limit approaches Shannon's classical extensive entropy. We have shown how the definition for this new form of entropy can arise naturally in terms of mixing of states in a phase cell when the cell is re-scaled, the parameter being a measure of the rescaling, and how Shannon's coding theorem [\[5](#page-4-4)] elucidates such an approach.

In this paper we shall adopt a more general attitude and try to develop the statistical mechanics of systems where the entropy is defined almost arbitrarily. Such a 'designer' entropy [\[6](#page-4-5)] may indeed be relevant in a specific context, but we shall not justify here any specific form. The applicability of the Tsallis form which leads to a Levy-type pdf found in many physical contexts is now well established [\[7,](#page-4-6) [8,](#page-4-7) [9\]](#page-4-8) and in the earlier paper we have commented about how our form may also be more relevant in a context that demands a more stiff pdf. In this paper we concentrate on the use of the pdf to obtain macroscopic quantities for general entropy functions.

Central to the development of the statistical mechanics of a system is the definition of the free energy, because it is related to the normalization of the probability distribution function, which in turn controls the behavior of all the macroscopic properties of the ensemble. Hence we shall first establish a general prescription to obtain the free energy, and then determine its value in a simple physical case in terms of the temperature for Tsallis and the newer form. We shall then use it to get the specific

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Electronic address: [fshafee@princeton.edu](mailto:fshafee@princeton.edu )

heat in both cases and see how it changes with the change of the parameter at different temperatures.

# II. ENTROPY AND PDF

The pdf is found by optimizing the function

$$
L = S + \alpha (1 - \sum_{i} p_i) + \beta (U - \sum_{i} p_i E_i)
$$
 (1)

where  $S$  is the entropy (whichever form),  $U$  the internal energy of the ensemble per constituent,  $p_i$  is the probability of the *i*-th state which has energy  $E_i$ , and  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the normalization of the pdf's  $p_i$  and the conservation of energy.

Let us make the assumption that the entropy  $S$  is a sum of the components from all the states:

$$
S = \sum_{i} \phi(p_i) \tag{2}
$$

where  $\phi$  is a generalized function which will in general be different from the Shannon form:

$$
\phi(p) = -\langle \log(p) \rangle \equiv -\sum_{i} p_i \log(p_i) \tag{3}
$$

We get

$$
\phi'(p) = \alpha + \beta E_i \tag{4}
$$

with the simple solution

$$
\phi(p_i) = (\alpha + \beta E_i)p_i \tag{5}
$$

the constant of integration vanishing because there can be no contribution to the entropy from a state which has zero probability.

### III. FREE ENERGY

We shall assume that the Helmholtz free energy  $A$  is defined by

$$
\beta(U - A) = S \tag{6}
$$

Hence if  $S$  is nonextensive, and  $U$  is extensive, then  $A$ must also be nonextensive.

Using Eq.5 and Eq.6 get

$$
A = -\alpha/\beta \tag{7}
$$

Hence we get the relation for the pdf

$$
p_i = \psi^{-1}(\beta(E_i - A))
$$
\n(8)

with the definition

$$
\psi(p) = \phi(p)/p \tag{9}
$$

Here we assume that the function  $\psi$  can be inverted.This may not always be the case for an arbitrary expression for the entropy, at least not in a manageable closed form. Finally A can be obtained from the constraint equation

$$
\sum_{i} p_i = \sum_{i} \psi^{-1}(\beta(E_i - A)) = 1
$$
 (10)

Once A has been determined, it may be placed in Eq.7 to get the pdf  $p_i$  for each of the states, all properly normalized, and we can find  $U$  and its derivative  $C$ , the specific heat. For the simple system that we shall be concerned with work, pressure and volume, or their analogues, will not enter our considerations, and hence we have only one specific heat,  $C_v$ , with  $\beta$  now identified as the inverse scale of energy, the temperature  $T$ ,

$$
C = -\beta^2 \frac{\partial A}{\partial \beta} \tag{11}
$$

## IV. SHANNON AND TSALLIS THERMODYNAMICS

The Shannon entropy Eq.3 immediately gives, using Eq.8 and Eq.7

$$
p_i = e^{-\alpha - \beta E_i} = e^{\beta(A - E_i)}
$$
\n(12)

so that Eq.10 yields the familiar expression for A

$$
A = -\log(Q)/\beta \tag{13}
$$

where Q is the partition function

$$
Q = \sum_{i} e^{-\beta E_i} \tag{14}
$$

The exponential form of  $p_i$  in Eq.12 allows the separation of the A dependent factor and hence such a simple expression for A in the case of Shannon entropy, giving us normal extensive thermodynamics.

In the Tsallis case we have

$$
S = -\frac{\sum_{i} p_i^q - 1}{q - 1} = -\langle Log_q(p_i) \rangle \tag{15}
$$

using the q-logarithm

$$
Log_q(p) = \frac{p^{q-1} - 1}{q - 1} \tag{16}
$$

and this is easily seen to lead to, using the symbol  $\epsilon$ for  $(1-q)$ 

$$
p_i = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \epsilon \beta (E_i - A)}\right)^{1/\epsilon} \tag{17}
$$

We note that as it is no longer possible to separate out a common A dependent factor, we can no longer find an expression for A in terms of the partition function. Instead it is necessary to solve the normalization equation Eq.10. For a general value of  $\epsilon$  this will give an infinite number of roots, but for values of  $\epsilon$  corresponding to reciprocals of integers, we shall have polynomial equations with a finite number of roots, which too may be complex in general. We shall later see, at least for the simple example we consider later, that a real and stable root can be found that approaches the Shannon value of A as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , because in that limit the  $Log_q(p)$  function in the definition of the Tsallis entropy also coincides with the natural logarithm.

#### V. THERMODYNAMICS OF THE NEW ENTROPY

The entropy we proposed in ref. [\[1\]](#page-4-0)is given by

$$
S = \frac{dM(q)}{dq} = -\sum_{i} p_i^q log(p_i)
$$
 (18)

with mixing probability ([\[1](#page-4-0)])

$$
M(q) = 1 - \sum_{i} p_i^q \tag{19}
$$

We can also express as the q-expectation value

$$
S = -\langle \log(p) \rangle_q \equiv \sum_i p_i^q \log(p_i) \tag{20}
$$

Proceeding as in the case of Tsallis entropy we get, with  $\epsilon = 1 - q$ ,

$$
p_i = e^{-W(\epsilon \beta (E_i - A))/\epsilon} \tag{21}
$$

where  $W$  is the Lambert function defined by

$$
W(z)e^{W(z)} = z \tag{22}
$$

Like the Tsallis case, here too we have to obtain A by solving numerically the transcendental Eq. 10. For the specific heat we get

$$
C = \beta^2 \sum_{i} E_i (E_i - A) \frac{e^{-W_i/(1+1/\epsilon)}}{1+W_i}
$$
 (23)

where we have written for brevity  $W_i$  =  $W\left(\epsilon\beta(E_i-A)\right)$  and have used the following identities related to the Lambert function [\[10](#page-4-9)].

$$
W'(z) = \frac{W(z)}{z(1 + W(z))}
$$
\n(24)

$$
W(z)/z = e^{-W(z)}\tag{25}
$$

As  $W(z)$  z for small z, we see that for small  $\epsilon$  we effectively get classical pdf and thermodynamics, as in the tsallis case. So the parameter  $\epsilon$  is again a measure of the departure from the standard staistical mechanics due to nonextensivity. However, our nonextensivity is different functionally from the Tsallis form [\[1\]](#page-4-0).

# VI. APPLICATION TO A SIMPLE SYSTEM

Let us consider the simplest nontrivial system, where we have only two energy eigenvalues  $\pm E$ , as in a spin-1/2 system. For a noninteracting ensemble of systems we shall expect the standard results [\[11\]](#page-4-10) corresponding to Shannon entropy

$$
A = -\log[2\cosh(\beta E)]/\beta \tag{26}
$$

$$
S = [\log 2 \cosh(\beta E) - \beta E \tanh(\beta E)] \tag{27}
$$

$$
U = -E \tanh(\beta E) \tag{28}
$$

$$
C = (\beta E)^2 / \cosh^2(\beta E) \tag{29}
$$

For the Tsallis entropy we shall take  $\epsilon = 0.25, 0.10$  and solve numerically. The values are shown in Figs 1-4. It is seen that Tsallis entropy gives very similar shapes for all the variables and for  $\epsilon = 0.10$  we get a fit much nearer to the Shannon form than for  $\epsilon = 0.25$ .



FIG. 1: A for Shannon (top), Tsallis with  $\epsilon = 0.1$  (middle) and Tsallis with  $\epsilon = 0.25$  (bottom)



FIG. 2: S for same three entropy forms (from bottom to top Shannon, Tsallis (0.1), Tsallis (0.25))



FIG. 3: U for same three entropy forms (same order as for S)

Though the variables involve both  $p_+$  and  $p_-$  after finding A, we can replace  $p_$  by  $1-p_+$  for faster execution of the numerics.

For our new entropy too we first find the numerical value of A from the normalization condition

$$
e^{W_+/\epsilon} + e^{W_-/\epsilon} = 1\tag{30}
$$



FIG. 4: C for same three entropy forms (peaks bottom to top - Shannon, Tsallis (0.1), Tsallis (0.25))

and then use this value to find  $U, S, C$ . In Figs. 5-8 we show the values corresponding to  $\epsilon = 0.10$ , with the Tsallis values for the same value of the parameter and the Shannon values. We note that our form gives curves which are slightly further from the Tsallis curves for the same value of  $\epsilon$  at low T, but the shapes are very similar for all three forms of the entropy, and at higher T values  $\lambda \lambda$  1, there is hardly any difference at all.



FIG. 5: Comparison of A for Shannon (top), Tsallis with  $\epsilon = 0.1$  (middle), and new entropy for same  $\epsilon$  (bottom)

# VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented above a simple prescription for finding the important thermodynamic variables for any given form of the entropy as a function of the pdf's of the states. We note that despite the apparent complexity of the exponential equations for the primary variable, the Helmholtz free energy  $A$ , it is possible to numerically get stable values which approach the expected Shannon values in the right limit of the parameter used, both in the Tsallis case and in our case of the newly defined entropy. For higher values of the parameter our entropy would give values varying significantly from the Shannon or even the Tsallis entropy, and there may be physical



FIG. 6: Comparison of  $S$  for same three forms of entropy (Shannon, Tsallis, new entropy - bottom to top).



FIG. 7: Comparison of  $U$  for the same three entropies (same sequence as for  $S$ ).



FIG. 8: Specific heat C for same three entropies ( peaks bottom to top - Shannon, Tsallis, new entropy).

situations where that may indeed be the desirable characteristic. The form of the entropy may be a reflection of the interaction among the constituent systems of the ensemble, the Shannon form being the limiting case of the zero interaction case, and Tsallis or our forms being results of different forms of interaction with  $\epsilon$  being a analogous to a coupling constant. It may be interesting to investigate more complicated systems that are physi-

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] F. Shafee, "A New nonextensive entropy", www.arxiv.org, [nlin.AO/0406044](http://arxiv.org/abs/nlin/0406044) (2004)
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] C. Tsallis,J. Stat. Phys., 52, 479(1988)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] P. Grigolini, C. Tsallis and B.J. West,Chaos, Fractals and Solitons,13, 367 (2001)
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] A.R. Plastino and A. Plastino, J. Phys. A 27, 5707 (1994)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] M.A. Nielsen and M. Chuang, Quantum computation and quantum information (Cambridge U.P., NY, 2000)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] P.T. Landsberg, "Entropies Galore", Braz. J. Phys. 29, 46 (1999)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] C. Beck, "Nonextensive statistical mechanics and parti-

cle spectra", [hep-ph/0004225](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0004225) (2000)

- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[8] O. Sotolongo-Costa et al., "A nonextensive approach to DNA breaking by ionizing radiation", [cond-mat/0201289](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0201289) (2002)
- [9] C. Wolf, "Equation of state for photons admitting Tsallis statistics", Fizika B 11, 1 (2002)
- <span id="page-4-9"></span><span id="page-4-8"></span>[10] R.M. Corless, G.H. Gonnet, D.E.G. Hare, D.J. Jeffrey and D.E. Knuth, "On the Lambert W function", U.W. Ontario preprint (1996)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] R.K. Pathria, Statistical Mechanics, (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK, 1996) p.77