A nom alous coupling between topological defects and curvature

Vincenzo Vitelli and Ari M. Turner

Departm ent of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, 02138

(D ated: M ay 23, 2019)

We investigate a counterintuitive geom etric interaction between defects and curvature in thin layers of super uids, superconductors and liquid crystals deposited on curved surfaces. Each defect feels a geom etric potential whose functional form is determ ined only by the shape of the surface, but whose sign and strength depend on the transform ation properties of the order param eter. For super uids and superconductors, the strength of this interaction is proportional to the square of the charge and causes all defects to be repelled (attracted) by regions of positive (negative) G aussian curvature. For liquid crystals in the one elastic constant approximation, charges between 0 and 4 are attracted by regions of positive curvature while all other charges are repelled.

PACS num bers: Valid PACS appear here

The physics of topological defects on curved surfaces plays an increasingly signi cant role in the engineering of devices based on coated interfaces. [1, 2, 3]. Defects also a ect the mechanical properties of some biological systems, such as spherical viruses, whose shape is dependent on the presence of disclinations in their protein shell [4]. Furtherm ore, the e ects induced by a curved substrate on the distribution of defects are not fully understood even in well studied systems such as thin Ims of super uid helium and type II superconductors. In this paper, we study sim ple continuum generalizations of the plane XY m odel to frozen surfaces of varying curvature to gain a broad understanding of the interaction between topological defects and curvature.

The XY model is a simple setting in which particle-like objects emerge from a more fundam ental theory. The basic degree of freedom is an angle-valued function on the plane whose values vary from 0 to 2. These angles could represent the orientations of interacting arrows. The interaction, which tends to align neighboring arrows, results from the continuum free energy F given by

7

$$F = \frac{K}{2} d^{2}u (r (u))^{2}; \qquad (1)$$

where the set of coordinates u = (x; y) label points on the plane. Despite its sim plicity, this model captures the main properties of vortices in layers of super uid ⁴He or (u) is identhin superconducting Im s when the eld ti ed with the phase of the collective wave function. In addition, the elastic energy of Eq.(1) correctly describes liquid crystalline phases for which the bond angle, (u), has periodicity $\frac{2}{p}$ with p 3. For a solution of nem atigens (p = 2) and tilted molecules in a a Langmuir lm (p = 1) two di erent elastic constants are necessary to account for bend and splay deform ations [5], but these are renormalized to the same value at nite temperatures [6]. Besides its experimental signi cance, the XY m odel is the cornerstone of our conceptual understanding of topological defects, singular con gurations of the eld (u).

Like particles, defects have charges and a characteristic C oulom b-like interaction. The charge q, a multiple of $\frac{2}{p}$, can be defined by the amount increases along a path enclosing the defect's core. The force between two defects located at positions u_i and u_j is determined by the energy E_{int} stored in the eld:

$$E_{int} = K q_i q_j U (u_i; u_j); \qquad (2)$$

where the inter-particle potential U $(u_i; u_j)$ is proportional to the logarithm of the distance in the plane.

On a at surface, thin layers of super uids, superconductors and liquid crystals can all be analyzed within the fram ework of Eq.(1) [7]. However, there is a crucial difference between, say, the phase of the super uid order param eter and the angle that describes the local orientation of liquid crystal molecules. The form er transform s like a scalar since the quantum mechanical phase does not change when the system is rotated, while the latter represents a vector aligned to the local direction of the molecules. Thus, a common boundary condition for a liquid crystal is for the director to be tangent to the boundary of the substrate. By contrast, no such constraint exists for a lm of ⁴H e because its wave function is de ned in a di erent space from the one in which the super uid is con ned. This distinction is crucial on a curved surface. In the ground state of a ${}^{4}\text{He}$ lm, the phase (u) is constant throughout the surface and the corresponding energy vanishes. The free energy F_s to be m in imized is a scalar generalization of Eq.(1):

$$F_{s} = \frac{K}{2} d^{2}u^{p} \overline{g} g (u) (u) :$$
 (3)

Here the set of coordinates $u = (u_1; u_2)$ label points on the surface while $p \overline{g}$ is the determ inant of the metric tensor g. On the other hand, a constant bond angle

(u) is not the ground state of the liquid crystal because it is measured with respect to an arbitrary basis vector E (u) with = 1;2. Indeed, it is not possible to make the directions of the molecules parallel everywhere on a curved space; the lowest energy state is attained by optimally distributing the unavoidable bend and splay of the vectors over the whole surface. The free energy functional F_v to be minimized is a vector generalization ofEq.(1) [8]:

$$F_{v} = \frac{K}{2} d^{2}u^{p} \overline{g}g \quad (0 \quad (u) \quad (u)) (0 \quad (u) \quad (u));$$
(4)

where (u), the connection, compensates for the rotation of the 2D basis vectors E (u) in the direction of u . Since the curl of the connection is equal to the G aussian curvature, the integrand in Eq.(4) cannot be made to vanish on a surface with non-zero curvature. The connection (u) is a non-conservative eld and hence cannot be equal to (0). As the substrate becomes more curved, the energy cost of this geometric frustration can be low – ered by generating defects in the ground state even in the absence of topological constraints [9, 10].

In this letter, we demonstrate that topological defects in super uids and superconductors interact with the curvature in a way that is radically di erent from what happens in the case of liquid crystalorder. In both cases, the electrostatic interaction between the defects U $(u_i; u_j)$ is given by the G reen's function of the covariant Laplacian and its functional form depends on the shape of the surface under consideration [18]. However, the interaction with the G aussian curvature di ers in the two cases and it will be explicitly derived from the transform ation properties of the order param eter. For thin layers of superuids and superconductors, we use that the group etric

uids and superconductors, we $\;$ nd that the geometric interaction E $_{\rm curv}^{\rm s}$ (u_i) is given by:

$$E_{curv}^{s}(u_{i}) = \frac{K}{4} q_{i}^{2} V(u_{i}); \qquad (5)$$

where u_i and q_i are respectively the position and topological charge of the defect. The geometric potential V (u) satis es a covariant version of Poisson's equation where the negative of the Gaussian curvature G (u) plays the role of the charge density:

$$D \quad D \quad V (u) = G (u) :$$
 (6)

For surfaces with azim uthal sym metry one can derive an explicit expression for V (u) by using a two dimensional analogue of G auss' law [9]. The main consequence of Eq.(5) is that topological defects in super uid and superconducting Im s are repelled (attracted) by positive (negative) G aussian curvature irrespective of their sign.

In the case of liquid crystalorder, the energy E_{curv}^{v} (u_{i}) corresponding to the defect-curvature interaction contains the same function V (u) as in Eq.(5) but with an unusual dependence on the charge of the defect:

$$E_{curv}^{v}(u_{i}) = K q_{i} 1 \frac{q_{i}}{4} V(u_{i})$$
: (7)

The interpretation of V (u) as a geometric potential and the linear dependence on the charge of the defect in the

rst term of Eq.(7) are consistent with the general belief that defects interact logarithm ically with the Gaussian curvature, as electrostatic particles would with a background charge distribution. How ever, $E_{curv}^{v}(u_i)$ does not



FIG.1: A corrugated substrate and its downward projection on a at plane. The shaded strip surrounding P is more stretched than the one surrounding Q despite their projections onto the plane having the same a area. The energy stored in the eld will be lower if the core of the defect is located at Q rather than P.

grow linearly with the charge of the defect, as expected from the electrostatic analogy. Instead, the geometric interaction peaks for a defect of charge 2 and eventually becomes repulsive for q greater than the critical charge $q_{\rm c}=~4$.

The quadratic coupling in Equations (5) and (7) has an intuitive explanation in the case of azim uthally sym metric surfaces. Consider a very thin super uid Im deposited on the surface illustrated in Fig. 1 with a vortex of charge q placed on top of the bum p. In order to calculate the energy stored in the eld, we only need the gradient of the super uid phase in the direction of the azim uthal angle . The super uid phase (u) changes uniform ly by q along a circum ference of length 2 r centered on the defect. Inspection of Eq.(3) reveals that the energy density of the eld in the shaded strip at distance r is proportional to $\frac{q}{r}^2$, where r is the distance to the singularity measured in the plane of projection (see Fig. 1). By vertically stretching the surface, the am ount of area in the shaded strip is increased with respect to its projection on the plane, while the energy density is unchanged. As a result, the total energy stored in the eld is greater when a vortex sits on top of a bum py surface than when the same vortex is located at the center of a at disk of the same area. Hence, it is energetically favorable for the vortex to m igrate to the at portions of the surface. In this case, the vortex is far away from the bum p so that the total energy stored in the eld does not dier much from the at plane result [11]. In the case of less symmetric surfaces, the resulting geometric interaction will depend on the shape of the entire surface as embedded in the metric tensor.

The physical origin of the linear coupling between defects and curvature in Eq.(7) is well known and is illustrated in Fig. 2 for a disclination of charge 2 centered on a bump. As the curvature of the bump is increased,



FIG. 2: D isclinations of charge 2 located on top of bum ps with di erent aspect ratios. The am ount of splay in the liquid crystal director on the taller bum p is reduced and hence the energy density is lower.

the bend or splay of the director of the liquid crystal decreases and hence the energy stored in the vector eld is reduced. As a result, this linear coupling causes positively (negatively) charged defects to be attracted by positive (negative) G aussian curvature [12]. How ever, this mechanism competes with the repulsive geom etric interaction illustrated in Fig. 1 that is at work also in the case of liquid crystal order.

The critical value $q_c = 4$, where the single defect potential E_{curv}^{v} (u_i) changes sign, can be determined from simple geometrical arguments. Consider an isolated defect of charge q on a hem ispherical cup placed on a at plane. On account of azim uthal sym m etry, the force acting on the defect depends only on the net G aussian curvature enclosed by the circle on which it is placed, see Fig. 3a. This interaction is unchanged if we deform the outer region of the plane and eventually com pactify it to form a sphere as illustrated in Fig. 3b. In order to satisfy topological constraints [19], we still need to place a shadow defect of charge (4 q) at the south pole (the only position outside the circle that does not destroy the azim uthal symmetry of the initial problem). The curvature-defect interaction on the hem isphere is thus reduced to the well. known defect-defect interaction on the sphere [13]. The latter is proportional to q(4 q) and so is the curvaturedefect interaction on the deform ed plane of Fig. 3a, in agreem ent with Eq.(7). This provides evidence that a defect of charge greater than 4 will be repelled from regions of positive curvature in the case of liquid crystal order.

The coupling between curvature and defects in helium and superconducting Im s can be investigated quantitatively by the method of conform alm apping which is often adopted in electrom agnetism and uid mechanics to simplify the boundary of complicated planar regions. We use conform alm appings to relate the complex task of nding the eld energy on an arbitrarily deform ed target surface to an equivalent problem on a hom ogeneous reference surface (see Fig. 4). A conform alm apping has two equivalent de ning properties: angles map to equal angles, and very sm all guresm ap to gures of nearly the same shape. One can always nd a conform alm apping



FIG.3: (a) An isolated disclination on a deform ed plane feels a force that depends only on the enclosed Gaussian curvature. (b) The deform ed plane is compacti ed to the sphere by placing a shadow defect at the south pole.

from the target to the reference surface [14] such that

$$g_{\rm T} = e^{2! (u)} g_{\rm R}$$
; (8)

where $g_{\rm I}$ and $g_{\rm R}$ are the metric tensors on the target and reference surfaces respectively. The scaling factor of lengths e $^{!\,(u)}$ varies with the position u on the target surface, so that larger gures are inhom ogeneously distorted.

In the present treatm ent, we concentrate on deform ed spheres and corrugated planes. In this case, it is convenient to choose the reference surfaces to be undeform ed and of the same topology as the target spaces. As a result of the conform al transform ation, defects on the target surface are mapped onto a set of \im age defects" on the reference surface. The crucial property of the scalar free energy F $_{\rm s}$ is its invariance under the rescaling of the m etric described in Eq.(8) [20]. However, the conform al symmetry of F_s is broken upon introducing a short distance cuto a that is necessary to prevent the energy from diverging in the core of the defect. Because of the varying scaling factor, the constant physical core radius a is stretched or contracted when projected on the reference space by an am ount dependent on the position of the defect (see Fig. 4). The radius of the image of the ith core is

$$a_i = e^{! (u_i)} a$$
: (9)

It is this conform alanom aly that is responsible for generating the geom etric interaction in Eq.(5). In fact, the energy of the defects in the target space E_T is equal to the energy of a con guration of defects (on the reference surface) whose core radii are position-dependent. This problem can be further transform ed into the sim pler task of nding the energy E_R for a set of interacting defects with constant core radius a plus an elective geom etric potential that accounts for the variation of the core size with position. This geom etric potential can be derived with the aid of Fig. 4. If a_i is smaller (larger) than a, the energies stored in the annular regions indicated in Fig. 4 need to be added (subtracted) from E_R to obtain E_T . To calculate this extra energy, we introduce a set of



FIG.4: Conform alm apping of the target surface T onto the reference space R. The continuous disks on both surfaces represent the \physical" cores of constant radius a. The dashed lines represent the position dependent in ages on R of the defect cores on T. Note that the energy stored in the annuli comprised by the dashed and continuous lines in R needs to be added or subtracted to E_R to obtain E_T .

polar coordinates (r,) centered on the $i^{\rm th}$ defect. Near the defect of charge q_i , the phase is given by

$$\frac{q_{i}}{2}$$
; (10)

and the energy density is $\frac{K}{8}\frac{q_i^2}{2r^2}$. Upon integrating it over the i^{th} annulus and substituting a_i from Eq.(9), we obtain

$$E_{T} = E_{R} = K \prod_{i=1}^{X^{d}} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{4} ! (u_{i}) ;$$
 (11)

where N_d is the number of defects. The energy E_R contains only the defect-defect interactions since any potential felt by a single defect would have to be constant because all points are equivalent on the reference surface (undeform ed sphere or plane). The anom alous coupling between defects and curvature introduced by ! (u) is controlled by the overall shape of the surface and does not depend on the m icroscopic physics that determ ines the core size because the length a drops out in Eq.(11). For a deform ed surface with the topology of the plane, the Laplacian of ! (u) is equal to the G aussian curvature [21]. Hence, ! (u₁) is the geom etric potential V (u₁) introduced in Eq.(5) [9]. In the case of liquid crystal order, the contribution of the anom aly is sim ply added to the 4

term linear in q arising from cross terms in Eq.(4) [9]. The resulting expression for the coupling of each defect to the curvature of the space is given in Eq.(7).

Position-dependent core energies can also result from abandoning the phase-only approximation to the super uid energy. However, the amplitude of the wavefunction varies appreciably from its equilibrium value only inside the defect cores. Any resulting change in the core energy of a defect would be proportional to the local curvature. This contribution varies inversely as the area of the surface and can be m ade arbitrarily sm all by rescaling the surface, unlike the geometric potential in Eq.(11). Sim ilarly, higher order terms in a soft-spin Landau expansion would be most in portant near the defect cores and hence negligible in the lim it of large surfaces [15].

Experiments that test our predictions can be realized by coating a bump with a thin layer of super uid helium and rotating it around its axis of symmetry so that a single vortex forms [16]. The competition between the (repulsive) geometric interaction and the con ning parabolic potential (generated by the rotation) would cause the equilibrium position of the vortex to shift from the center of the bump if its height exceeds a critical value. The vortex line could be detected by trapping of electrons on its core [17]. O ther experiments may detect an inhom ogeneous distribution of therm ally induced defects resulting from the combined e ect of the anom abus coupling and the dependence of their C oulom b-like interaction on the varying curvature.

We have demonstrated that the interaction between defects and curvature in 2D XY-like models depends crucially on the nature of the underlying order parameter and we have shown how to explicitly derive the resulting geometric force from the shape of the surface.

A cknow ledgm ents: We are grateful to D.R.Nelson and B.I.H alperin for inspiring discussions and critical reading of the manuscript and to R.E.Packard for conversations on the experimental situation. We wish to thank H.Chen, M.Forbes, J.Huang and D.Podolsky for helpful comments. AMT has been supported by a NSF graduate fellowship and VV by the National Science Foundation under Grant No.DMR-0231631 and by the Harvard Material Research Science and Engineering Laboratory through Grant No.DMR-0213805.

- [1] R.D.Kamien, Science 299, 1671 (2003).
- [2] D.R.Nelson, Nano Lett. 2, 1125 (2002).
- [3] A.R.Bausch and al, Science 299, 1716 (2003).
- [4] D. Caspar and A. K lug, Principles in the Construction of Regular V inuses, Cold Spring Harbor Sym posium on Q uantitative B iology, 27, 1, (1962).
- [5] P.G. de Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993), pp. 98-108.
- [6] D.R. Nelson and R.A. Pelcovits, Phys. Rev. B 16, 2191 (1977).
- [7] D.R.Nelson and J.M.Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1201 (1977).
- [B] F.D avid, in StatisticalM echanics ofM em branes and Surfaces, edited by D.R.Nelson et al., (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 2004), pp.211-214.
- [9] V.V itelli and D.R.Nelson, to be published.
- [10] M. Bowick, D. R. Nelson, and A. Travesset, Phys. Rev. E 69, 041102 (2004).
- [11] B.I.Halperin, private communication.
- [12] D.R.Nelson and L.Peliti, J.Phys. 48, 1085 (1987).

- [13] T.C.Lubensky and J.Prost, J.Phys. II (France) 2, 371 (1992).
- [14] C.Caratheodory, Conform alRepresentation (Dover, Mineola, New York, 1998), pp. 98-112.
- [15] V.V itelli and A.M. Tumer, to be published.
- [16] D.R.Nelson, private communication.
- [17] E.J.Yam chuk and R.E.Packard, J.Low Tem p.Phys. 46,479 (1982).
- [18] The interaction U $(u_i; u_j)$ is not translationally invariant as in at space. This complication results in an implicit coupling of a set of interacting defects to the geometry of the substrate.
- [19] The Poincare-Hopf theorem states that the sum of the charges of the defects for a vector eld is equal to the integrated G aussian curvature which is in turn equal to 4 (1 g), where g is the genus of the surface. For a scalar eld the sum of the charges is zero.
- [20] W hen g_T is substituted in Eq.(3) the conformal factor cancels out and $p_{\overline{g_T}} = p_{\overline{g_R}} = g_R$. [21] See Ref. [8] pp.178–180 and references therein. The de-
- [21] See R ef. [8] pp. 178-180 and references therein. The details of the analysis valid for deform ed spheres will be presented elsewhere [15].