Rupture of a liposomal vesicle

Marco A. Idiart and Yan Levin

Instituto de Física, UFRGS, Caixa Postal 15051, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

(Dated: November 13, 2018)

We discuss pore dynamics in osmotically stressed vesicles. A set of equations which govern the liposomal size, internal solute concentration, and pore diameter is solved numerically. We find that dependent on the internal solute concentration and vesicle size, liposomes can stay pore-free, nucleate a short lived pore, or nucleate a long-lived pore. The phase diagram of pore stability is constructed, and the different scaling regimes are deduced analytically.

I. INTRODUCTION

Liposomal vesicle consists of a lipid bilayer separating the interior volume, containing an aqueous solution, from an exterior suspension. The vesicle membrane allows for a free exchange of water between the interior and the exterior of the liposome, with the flux determined by the membrane composition. On the other hand, lipidic membrane strongly inhibits passage of large molecules, in particular, if they contain ionized groups.

Liposomes are of great theoretical interest as the simplest model of a biological cell. They are also of great practical importance as vehicles for drug delivery. In the latter case liposomes are designed to contain a specific drug or a gene needed to fight the decease. The liposomal affinity for infected tissue can be increased by varying the membrane composition or including ligands which bind to specific receptors.

If a vesicle containing high internal solute concentration is placed inside a dilute solution, the osmotic influx of solvent into the interior of a vesicle can lead to its rupture. Whether, the rupture occurs depends on the membrane elasticity and on the internal solute concentration of the liposome. Rupture of the liposomal membrane results in formation of pores [1]. This releases the membrane stress, but comes at a price of exposing the hydrophobic membrane interior (lipidic tails) to water. Once a pore is formed, the internal content of the vesicle begins to leak out, resulting in a decrease of membrane tension and eventual pore closure. We find that depending on the vesicle size and internal concentration of solute, pores can be either short or long lived. For long lived pores a scaling relation between the life-time of a pore and the size of the vesicle is found. The full phase diagram of pore stability in the concentration vesicle size plane is constructed, and the different scaling regimes are deduced analytically.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II we review, the previously derived equations governing the nucleation and growth of a pore in an osmotically stressed vesicle [2]. In section III numerical solution of dynamical equations is presented. In section IV the phase diagram for different dynamical regimes is derived. In section V the rate of solute leak-out is determined and analytical estimates of the pore life-time are provided. Finally, in section VI the conclusions are presented.

II. THE MODEL

As was already stressed in the introduction, the liposomal membrane allows for a free exchange of water between the exterior and the interior of a vesicle. The rate of this exchange is determined by the permeability of membrane, P. On the other hand, lipidic membrane strongly inhibits exchange of solute molecules between the inside and the outside of a liposome. When a vesicle of high internal solute concentration is placed inside a solute-depleted medium, an osmotic pressure difference causes an influx of water into the vesicle. A vesicle then swells until the internal Laplace pressure is able to compensate the the osmotic pressure. The influx of water result in a build up of membrane stress which energetically favors membrane rupture and formation of pores. Pores are nucleated in the membrane through thermal fluctuations. Here we consider the opening of a single pore. The underlying assumption is that, once a pore is formed, stress is quickly released and the creation of a second pore becomes highly unlikely. This situation is quite different from what is encountered in electroporation. In that case opening of a pore does not fully release the membrane stress, which is induced by the transmembrane potential, and one finds a coexistence of pores with different sizes [12?].

The single pore assumption allow us to write simple equations governing the internal vesicle dynamics. Designating the difference between the internal and the external molar concentrations of solute as c – and considering, for mathematical simplicity, a spherical vesicle of radius R, and a circular pore of radius r — the mass conservation leads to

$$4\pi\rho R^2 \frac{dR}{dt} = j_w - \pi r^2 \rho v , \qquad (1)$$

where ρ is the density of water, j_w the osmotic current and v is the leak-out velocity.

The osmotic current j_w is determined by the permeability of the liposomal membrane and the difference between the target osmotic pressure

$$\Delta p_o = k_B T N_A c \tag{2}$$

and the Laplace pressure,

$$\Delta p_L = \frac{2\sigma}{R} , \qquad (3)$$

inside and outside the vesicle. In the above expressions k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is temperature, N_A is the Avogadro number, and σ is the membrane surface tension. A simple phenomenological expression for the osmotic current of water into the vesicle is,

$$j_w = P(4\pi R^2 - \pi r^2) \left[c - \frac{\Delta p_L}{10^3 k_B T N_A} \right] .$$
 (4)

where the conversion factor 10^3 accounts for the use of molar concentration of solute c.

If c is not too large, the membrane integrity will not be compromised, and a stationary state with $j_w = 0$ will be achieved. Under these conditions the osmotic pressure is completely compensated by the Laplace pressure, resulting in a zero net flux of solvent. For sufficiently *large* internal concentration of solute, a stationary state will *not* be achieved before membrane ruptures. The leak-out velocity [3, 4, 5, 6] of the internal content of a liposome is determined by the balance between the shear stress, proportional to $\eta v/r$, and the Laplace pressure inside the vesicle Δp_L . For low Reynolds numbers [3]

$$v = \frac{\Delta p_L r}{3\pi\eta} , \qquad (5)$$

where η is the solvent viscosity.

The growth of a pore is controlled by the rate at which the membrane elastic energy is dissipated. Since the viscosity of membrane is five orders of magnitude larger than that of water, most of the energy dissipation is confined to the membrane interior [7],

$$\eta_m l \, \frac{dr}{dt} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial r},\tag{6}$$

where l is the membrane width and η_m is the membrane viscosity. A lipid bilayer has low permeability to solute particles, in particular if they are charged, so that the internal solute concentration is modified only through the osmotic influx of solvent or the efflux of solute through an open pore, after the membrane has ruptured. The continuity equation expressing this is

$$\frac{4\pi}{3}R^{3}\frac{dc}{dt} = -4\pi R^{2}c\frac{dR}{dt} - \pi r^{2}cv , \qquad (7)$$

where we have assumed that solute is uniformly distributed inside the vesicle. In the absence of a pore, efflux is zero, and the second term on the right hand side of Eqs. (1) and (7) disappears.

A. The membrane energy

The membrane energy consists of two terms. The elastic term E_s , measuring the cost of increasing the membrane area beyond its equilibrium unstretched size A_0 , and the pore contribution E_p resulting from the partial exposure of the hydrophobic lipidic tales to the aqueous environment.

| Parameter | Value | Source |
|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| γ | $10^{-12} J/m$ | Ref. [6] |
| κ | $0.2 \ J/m^2$ | Ref. [9] |
| η_m | $100 \ Pas$ | Ref. [6] |
| η_w | $0.001 \ Pas$ | - |
| Р | $1.8 \times 10^{-4} \ kg/(m^2 sM)$ | Ref. [?] |
| l | $3.5 \ nm$ | Ref. [6] |

TABLE I: Characteristic values for the physical parameteres used in the calculations.

For large osmotic pressures, which are of interest to us, the membrane thermal undulations can be ignored and the membrane elastic energy takes a Hooke-like form

$$E_s(R,r) = \frac{1}{2A_0} \kappa (A - A_0)^2 , \qquad (8)$$

where $A_0 = 4\pi R_0^2$ is the equilibrium surface area of an unstretched vesicle, $A = 4\pi R^2 - \pi r^2$ is the total membrane area, and κ is the membrane elastic modulus [8, 9, 10]. The membrane surface tension is

$$\sigma = \frac{\partial E_s}{\partial A} = \kappa \, \frac{A - A_0}{A_0} \,, \tag{9}$$

and the pore energy is

$$E_p(r) = 2 \pi \gamma r , \qquad (10)$$

where γ is the pore line tension.

The typical values for the physical constants involved in the model are given in the Table 1.

B. The rupture condition

The total energy of a membrane containing a pore is $E(R,r) = E_s(R,r) + E_p(r)$. A cost of opening a pore of radius r is then,

$$\Delta E(R, r) = E(R, r) - E(R, 0) .$$
 (11)

In Fig. 1 we plot $\Delta E(R, r)$ as a function of r for various ratios of R/R_0 . For $R \simeq R_0$, the membrane is relaxed and r = 0 is the only minimum of ΔE . For R bigger than the critical radius R_c , the energy cost function develops a barrier located at

$$r_b = \frac{4\sqrt{R^2 - R_0^2}}{\sqrt{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\varphi - 2\pi}{3}\right) \tag{12}$$

and a new minimum at

$$r_m = \frac{4\sqrt{R^2 - R_0^2}}{\sqrt{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\varphi}{3}\right) , \qquad (13)$$

where

$$\varphi(R,R_0) = \cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}\frac{E(R,2\sqrt{R^2 - R_0^2})}{E(R,0)}\right) .$$
(14)

The critical vesicle size R_c for appearance of a new minimum is determined by the condition $\varphi = \pi$ or

$$\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{E(R_c, 2\sqrt{R_c^2 - R_0^2})}{E(R_c, 0)} = 1.$$
 (15)

Substituting the solution of Eq. (15) into Eq. (9) the critical surface tension for appearance of the second minimum is

$$\sigma_c^{(1)} = 3 \left(\frac{\gamma \sqrt{\kappa}}{R_0}\right)^{2/3} . \tag{16}$$

; From Eq. (4) we see that a minimum solute concentration

$$c_{min}^{(1)} = \frac{2 \,\sigma_c^{(1)}}{10^3 k_B T N_A R_0 \sqrt{1 + \sigma_c^{(1)}/\kappa}} \,, \qquad (17)$$

is necessary to develop the second minimum in ΔE at r_m . However, concentration $c_{min}^{(1)}$ does not guarantee opening of a pore. Even if $\Delta E(R, r_m) < 0$, the energy barrier to pore nucleation can be many $k_B T$ high. Therefore, pores with radius less than r_b will quickly re-seal, without having a chance to grow. The probability of occurrence of



FIG. 1: Energy $\Delta E(R, r)$ necessary to open a pore of radius r in a liposome with $R_0 = 100 nm$ and ratio $\gamma/(\kappa R_0) = 5 \times 10^{-5}$. The curves are, from top down, $R/R_0 = 1.0$, $R/R_0 = 1.0018$, $R/R_0 = 1.0012$

a sufficiently large thermal fluctuation necessary to open a pore with $r>r_b$ is

$$P(r) \sim e^{-\beta \Delta E(R, r_b)} . \tag{18}$$

The waiting time for opening a pore of radius $r \ge r_b$ is, therefore, very long unless

$$\Delta E(R_p, r_b) \simeq k_B T . \tag{19}$$

This equation, then, determines the size of a swollen vesicle

$$R_p = R_0 \sqrt{1 + \sigma_c^{(2)}/\kappa} \tag{20}$$

which is able to nucleate a growing pore. The membrane tension of such a liposome is approximately,

$$\sigma_c^{(2)} \approx \frac{\pi \gamma^2}{k_B T} \tag{21}$$

and the critical pore size is $r_b \approx \gamma/\sigma$. The minimum concentration of solute necessary to reach this tension is

$$c_{min}^{(2)} = \frac{2 \,\sigma_c^{(2)}}{10^3 k_B T N_A R_p} \,. \tag{22}$$

We note that for membrane parameters given in Table 1, the membrane tension is $\sigma_c^{(2)} \simeq 10^{-3} J/m^2$, which is very close to the one found to be necessary to rupture a mechanically stretched membrane [11, 12].

III. PORE DYNAMICS

The rupture dynamics of an osmotically stressed vesicle proceeds as follows. At t = 0 the vesicle starts swelling, its size and internal concentration controlled by Eqs. (1) and (7). As it swells, the membrane surface tension increase until $\sigma = \sigma_c^{(1)}$ and the energy function develops a new minimum. If the barrier height is less then $k_B T$, a pore of size r_b is nucleated. From this moment the dynamics of the vesicle evolution is controlled by the set of Eqs. (1), (6) and (7). On the other hand, if $\Delta E(R_c, r_b) > k_B T$, the swelling continues without a pore nucleation until Eq. (19) is satisfied and a pore of radius r_b opens. After a pore is nucleated, the internal content of the vesicle begins to leak-out, decreasing the membrane tension and leading to an eventual re-sealment of the pore. The cycle will be repeated until the internal concentration of solute drops bellow $c_{min}^{(1)}$ and a steady state with $j_w = 0$ is established. In Fig. 2 we show the pore radius as a function of time for vesicles of three different sizes and initial concentration of solute $c_0 = 0.5M$. We see that small vesicles are characterized by rapid opening and closing of pores, resulting in a periodic flickering with a characteristic time $\tau_f \approx 10^{-2}s$. On the other hand, larger vesicles are capable of nucleating a long-lived pore. After the long-lived pore has closed, it is followed by a sequence of short lived pores, with the characteristic life span τ_f . The life-span of a long-lived pore τ is show in Fig. 3. For large vesicles, life-span scales with the vesicle size as

$$\tau \sim R_0^{\nu} , \qquad (23)$$

with $\nu \approx 2.3 - 2.4$, see Fig.3.

IV. PHASE DIAGRAM

To better understand the details of the vesicle evolution, it is convenient to separate the membrane dynamics

(26)



FIG. 2: Radius of a pore as a function of time for vesicles of $R_0 = 200 nm$ (topmost), 220 nm and 240 nm (bottommost), for initial concentration $c_0 = 0.5M$. Note that for vesicle with $R_0 = 200 nm$ the pores are short-lived, while for larger vesicles, long-lived pore opens first.



FIG. 3: Life span of the first-open pore as a function of vesicle size R_0 for $c_0 = 0.5M$ (rightmost), 1.0M and 5.0M(leftmost). Note the appearance of critical vesicle size $R_0^c(c_0)$ (sharp change in slope of τ vs. R_0) which sustains a long-lived pore. The peculiar spikes in the life-time of vesicles containing low concentration of solute, are an artifact of the way the pores are nucleated. On the other hand existence of $R_0^c(c_0)$ is independent of pore nucleation protocol.

from the concentration dynamics. Since the internal solute concentration changes very slowly compared to the τ_f , see Fig. 4, as a first approximation we can take it to be constant.

In this case the vesicle evolution is controlled by Eqs. (1) and (6), which can be written as

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = F(R, r) \tag{24}$$



FIG. 4: Concentration decay as a function of time. The circles are the result of numerical integration of Eqs. (1), (6) and (7). The solid lines are from the analytical expression (40). Open circles are for vesicle of $R_0 = 100nm$ and filled circles are for vesicle of $R_0 = 300nm$. The initial solute concentration is $c_0 = 0.5M$.

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = G(R, r) \tag{25}$$

where

and

$$1 \quad \partial E$$

$$G(R,r) = -\frac{1}{\eta_m \ell} \frac{\partial E}{\partial r} .$$
 (27)

The vesicle dynamics is governed by the fixed point (r^*, R^*) , determined from $dr/dt = 0 = G(R^*, r^*) = 0$ and $dR/dt = 0 = F(R^*, r^*)$. The stability of the fixed point is controlled by the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of the Jacobian matrix

 $F(R,r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho R}(j_w - \pi r^2 \rho v)$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial R} & \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (28)

It is important to keep in mind that the coefficients of the Jacobian matrix are real and, therefore, the eigenvalues are either real or complex conjugates, $\lambda_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2$. For all the parameters that we have investigated the eigenvalues are complex conjugates, and the stability is governed by $Re(\lambda_1) = Re(\lambda_2) \equiv Re(\lambda)$. If $Re(\lambda) < 0$, the fixed point is stable and a stationary state with a pore of size r^* and vesicle of size R^* will be established. On the other hand if $Re(\lambda) \geq 0$ the fixed point is unstable, and the pore will eventually close, see Figs. 5 and 6. A new pore will open when the membrane tension again reaches the value $\sigma_c^{(2)}$. This process will repeat indefinitely with the characteristic time τ_f . The phase boundary, in the concentration-size plane (R_0, c) , between the two dynamical regimes is determined by the condition $Re(\lambda) = 0$ or



FIG. 5: Dynamics of the pore for fixed concentration and $R_0 = 500nm$. The top panel is for $c_0 = 0.19M$, and the bottom panel for $c_0 = 0.18M$.



FIG. 6: Radius of a pore as a function of time for vesicles of $R_0 = 500 nm$ for a constant internal concentration of solute $c_0 = 0.18M$ (top) and $c_0 = 0.19M$ (bottom).

equivalently

$$TrJ|_{R^*,r^*} = 0$$
, (29)

which reduces to

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\rho R^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial R}(j_w - \pi r^2\rho v) - \frac{1}{\eta_m \ell}\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial r^2}\Big|_{R^*,r^*} = 0.$$
(30)

Combining Eqs. (3) and (5), the leak-out velocity is

$$v = \frac{2\sigma r}{3\pi\eta R} \,. \tag{31}$$

Differentiating v with respect to the vesicle size

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial R} = -\frac{v}{R} + \frac{16r\kappa}{3\eta A_0} \,. \tag{32}$$

The change of surface tension with the pore size is given by

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r} = -2\pi r \frac{\kappa}{A_0} \,. \tag{33}$$

For large concentrations, and $R \gg r,$ the osmotic current can be approximated by

$$j_w \simeq PA \ c \ , \tag{34}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial j_w}{\partial R} = \frac{2}{R} j_w \tag{35}$$

At fixed point (R^*, r^*) ,

$$j_w^* = \pi r^{*2} \rho v^* = \frac{2\rho \gamma^3}{3\eta R_0 \ \sigma^{*2}} , \qquad (36)$$

where we have approximated $R \simeq R_0$ and $r^* = r_b \simeq \gamma/\sigma^*$. Eq. (30) then reduces to a quartic equation for σ^*

$$\sigma^{*4} + \frac{2\gamma^2}{A_0} \left(\frac{\eta_m \ell \gamma}{\eta R_0^2} - \pi \kappa\right) \sigma^* - \frac{16\pi}{3} \frac{\eta_m \ell \gamma^3 \kappa}{\eta A_0^2} = 0. \quad (37)$$

Combining Eqs. (34) and (36), the phase boundary separating the regime of short-lived pores (region II) from the long-lived (infinite life-time) pores (region I) is

$$c_c(R_0) = \frac{\rho \gamma^3}{6\pi \eta \ P R_0^3 \sigma^*} , \qquad (38)$$

see, Fig.7.

For small concentrations, the surface tension does not build sufficiently high to cause the membrane rupture (region III). The phase boundary between regions II and III is denoted by a dashed curve in Fig.7. The discontinuity in slope results from the nucleation barrier passing the threshold $\Delta E = k_B T$. Thus the right hand side of the II-III phase boundary is given by $c_{min}^{(2)}(R_0)$, while the left hand side $c_{min}^{(1)}(R_0)$

The role of the concentration dynamics is to make the system traverse through the different regions of the phase diagram, controlling the time of permanence in each regime.



FIG. 7: The phase diagram for the constant concentration dynamics. In the region I, an open pore is stable. In region II, size of a pore oscillates, and in region III pored do not open. The dashed line indicates the minimum solute concentration needed to open a pore. The discontinuity in its derivative is due to the fact that for small R_0 the barrier to pore nucleation is lower than k_BT .

V. DYNAMICS OF SOLUTE LEAK-OUT

The critical size of a liposome $R_0^c(c_0)$, necessary for nucleating a long-lived pore, depends on the initial solute concentration. The larger is the solute concentration c_0 , the smaller will be the size of a vesicle which supports a long-lived pore. The long life-span of these pores is the result of a "wash-out" effect in which the osmotic flux is almost completely compensated by the leak-out rate of solute through the pore. When solute concentration inside the vesicle drops below the critical value, $c_c(R_0)$, the long-lived pore closes. This value is insensitive to the initial solute concentration c_0 , but depends strongly on the vesicle size R_0 .

Combining Eqs.(1) and (7) we obtain

$$\frac{4\pi}{3}R^3\frac{dc}{dt} = -\frac{j_w}{\rho}c.$$
(39)

Approximating $j_w \simeq PcA$ and $R \simeq R_0$ leads to

$$c(t) = c_0 \left[\frac{3Pc_0}{\rho R_0} t + 1 \right]^{-1}$$
(40)

Eq. (40) provides an almost perfect fit of the time dependence of the internal solute concentration, see Fig.4. The life span of a long lived pore can be approximated by the time it takes for the solute to go from the initial concentration c_0 to the critical concentration $c_c(R_0)$, below which the pore is no longer stable,

$$\tau \simeq \frac{\rho R_0}{3Pc_c(R_0)} \left[1 - \frac{c_c(R_0)}{c_0} \right]$$
 (41)

It is possible to derivate two limits for the critical concentration $c_c(R_0)$, see Appendix. Writing

$$c_c(R_0) = \frac{\rho\gamma}{6\pi\eta P} \frac{1}{R_0 f(R_0)} \tag{42}$$

for vesicles of radius $R_0 \simeq R_1 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \eta_m \ell}{\pi \kappa \eta}}$

$$f(R_0) = \left[\frac{1}{3^{1/4}}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\gamma}R_1} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8R_0R_1}\left(R_0^2 - R_1^2\right)\right]^2 \quad (43)$$

and for $R_0 >> R_1$

$$f(R_0) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\kappa}{\gamma}\frac{1}{R_0}\left({R_0}^2 - {R_1}^2\right)\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
(44)

and therefore the life-span of long-lived pores scales as

$$\tau \sim \begin{cases} R_0^2, & R_0 \simeq R_1 \\ R_0^{2+2/3}, & R_0 >> R_1 . \end{cases}$$
(45)

For the parameters used in this paper $R_1 = 41.8nm$, so that Eq. (44) is consistent with the numerical findings, see Fig. 3.

The flickering time τ_f is approximately the time it takes for a vesicle to swell to size R_p , needed to induce a liposomal rupture. During the swelling, internal concentration of solute changes very little, since $R_p \simeq R_0$, so that c can be kept constant. Furthermore, for large initial solute concentrations, the osmotic current is $j_w \approx$ $4\pi P R^2 c$, and Eq. (1) is easily integrated yielding,

$$\tau_f = \frac{\rho(R_p - R_0)}{Pc} \,. \tag{46}$$

On the other hand

$$\sigma_c^{(2)} = \kappa \frac{R_p^2 - R_0^2}{R_0^2} \approx \frac{2\kappa}{R_0} \left(R_p - R_0 \right) \,. \tag{47}$$

Substituting Eq. (47) into Eq. (46) we obtain the expression for the flickering time,

$$\tau_f \approx \frac{\rho \ R_0 \ \sigma_c^{(2)}}{2 \ P \ c \ \kappa} \ , \tag{48}$$

where $\sigma_c^{(2)}$ is given by Eq. (21).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a theory for nucleation and growth of pores in osmotically stressed liposomal vesicles. The model predicts that depending on the internal solute concentration and the liposome size, pores can be either short-lived — opening and closing with a characteristic time τ_f — or long-lived, with their life-time scaling with the size of the vesicle.

Long lived pores have been observed in red blood cell ghosts [13, 14]. No theory, up to date, was able to account for these long-lived pores. Holes were predicted to either grow indefinitely, which would result in ghost vesiculation, or to close completely [15]. Our model provides a dynamical mechanism for pore stabilization, consistent with the experimental observations. However, for the specific case of red blood cell ghosts the ratio of γ/κ must be adjusted to obtain the pore size observed in experiments. This is not surprising since the real biological cells, unlike liposomes, have a complicated internal cytoskeleton, which strongly affects the membrane elasticity.

In aqueous solutions the phospholipid membranes acquire a net negative charge. At physiological concentrations, $154 \, mM$ of NaCl, the Debye length, however, is quite short, less then $1 \, nm$ and the electrostatic interactions are strongly screened [16]. We, therefore, do not expect that electrostatics will significantly modify the basic conclusions of our theory, beyond the renormalization of membrane line [15] and surface tension. However, further, investigations in this direction are necessary and will be the subject of future work.

Finally, up to now we have not taken into account a diffusive efflux of solute through an open pore. The characteristic time for effusion can be estimated as [?]

$$\tau_e \approx \frac{R_0^3}{rD} \,, \tag{49}$$

where D is the diffusion constant. Using $D \approx 10^{-9} m^2/s$, appropriate for small organic molecules such as sucrose, and $r = r^* \approx \gamma/\sigma_c \approx 1 nm$, we see that for liposomes with $R_0 = 200 nm$, the time for effusion is $\tau_e \approx 10^{-2}$ s. This is comparable to the flicker time τ_f . Therefore, for small vesicles effusion is an important mechanism for loss of solute. On the other hand, for large liposomes with $R_0 = 500 nm$ and above, effusion is only marginally relevant.

This work was supported in part by the Brazilian agencies CNPq and FAPERGS.

VII. APPENDIX

Here we present a derivation of the limiting form of the phase boundary, Eqs. (42)-(44), separating the region I and II of the phase diagram, Fig. 7. Writing

$$\sigma^* = \frac{\gamma}{R_0} x , \qquad (50)$$

Eq. (37) reduces to

$$x^4 + \Gamma_1 x + \Gamma_2 = 0 \tag{51}$$

with

$$\Gamma_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa}{\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{R_1}{R_0} \right) (R_0 - R_1)$$
 (52)

$$\Gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} R_1\right)^2 \tag{53}$$

where

$$R_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \ \eta_m \ell}{\pi \kappa \ \eta}} \ .$$

The solutions of a quartic equation like (51) can be written as

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{y} \pm \sqrt{-|y| - \frac{2\Gamma_1}{\sqrt{y}}} \right]$$
$$x_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\sqrt{y} \pm \sqrt{-|y| + \frac{2\Gamma_1}{\sqrt{y}}} \right]$$

where y is the real root of the resolvent

$$y^3 - 4\Gamma_2 \ y - \Gamma_1^2 = 0 \ .$$

Since the surface tension is non-negative, the physically relevant solution for x depends on the sign of Γ_1 and we can write

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2|\Gamma_1|}{\sqrt{y}} - |y|} - \operatorname{sgn}(\Gamma_1)\sqrt{y} \right] .$$
 (54)

For an equation of the form $y^3 + ay + b = 0$ there is a single real root if

$$Q = \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{a}{3}\right)^3 > 0$$

and this real root is

$$y = \left(-\frac{b}{2} + \sqrt{Q}\right)^{1/3} + \left(-\frac{b}{2} - \sqrt{Q}\right)^{1/3}$$
(55)

This is precisely our case since $\Gamma_2 < 0$ and, therefore, Q > 0. It is convenient to rewrite Eq.55 as

$$y = \left(\frac{\Gamma_1^2}{2}\right)^{1/3} \, \psi(z)$$

where

$$z = -\left(\frac{\Gamma_2}{3}\right)^3 \left(\frac{4}{\Gamma_1}\right)^4$$

and

$$\psi(z) = \left(\sqrt{1+z}+1\right)^{1/3} - \left(\sqrt{1+z}-1\right)^{1/3}$$

The psi function has the following asymptotic behaviors

$$\psi(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}z^{-1/3} - \frac{8}{81}z^{-4/3} & z >> 1\\ 2^{1/3} - (z/2)^{1/3} & z << 1 . \end{cases}$$
(56)

Putting everything together we have

$$y = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_1^2}{4\Gamma_2} & z >> 1\\ & & (57)\\ \Gamma_1^{2/3} & z << 1 \end{cases}$$

In the first case we obtain

$$x \simeq (-\Gamma_2)^{1/4} - \frac{\Gamma_1}{4\sqrt{-\Gamma_2}}$$
 (58)

- C. Taupin, M. Dvolaitzky, and C. Sauterey, Biochemistry 14, 4771 (1975).
- [2] Y. Levin and M. A. Idiart, Physica A **331**, 571 (2003).
- [3] J. Happel and H. Brenner, Low Reynold number hydrodynamics (Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht, 1986).
- [4] D. V. Zhelev and D. Needham, Biochim. Biophis. Acta 1147, 89 (1993).
- [5] O. Sandre, L. Moreaux, and F. Brochard-Wyart, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 10591 (1999).
- [6] F. Brochard-Wyart, P. G. de Gennes, and O. Sandre, Physica A 278, 32 (2000).
- [7] G. Debregeas, P. Martin, and F. Brochard-Wyart, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3826 (1995).
- [8] W. Helfrich and R. Servus, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 3D, 1 (1984).

and in the second case

$$x \simeq |\Gamma_1|^{1/3} . \tag{59}$$

Using the expressions for Γ_1 and Γ_2 , we obtain the equations (42)-(44).

- [9] E. Evans and W. Rawicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2094 (1990).
- [10] P. Sens and H. Isambert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 128102 (2001).
- [11] H. T. Tien, Bilayer Lipid Membranes (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974).
- [12] J. C. Weaver and Y. A. Chizmadzhev, Biolectrochem. Bioenerg. 41, 135 (1996).
- [13] T. Steck and et al, Science **168**, 255 (1970).
- [14] T. Steck and J. Kant, Meth. Enzym. **31**, 172 (1974).
- [15] M. D. Betterton and M. P. Brenner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1598 (1999).
- [16] Y. Levin, Rep. Prog. Phys. 65, 1577 (2002).