Condensation of Fermionic Atom Pairs Near a Feshbach Resonance

M.W. Zwierlein, C.A. Stan, C.H. Schunck, S.M.F. Raupach, A.J. Kerman, and W. Ketterle

Department of Physics, MIT-Harvard Center for Ultracold Atoms, and Research Laboratory of Electronics,

MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139

(Dated: February 8, 2020)

We have observed Bose-Einstein condensation of fermionic atom pairs in an ultracold 6 Li gas at magnetic fields above a Feshbach resonance, where no stable 6 Li₂ molecules would exist in vacuum. We accurately determine the position of the resonance to be 822 ± 3 G. Molecular Bose-Einstein condensates were detected after a fast magnetic field ramp, which transfers pairs of atoms at close distances into bound molecules. Condensate fractions as high as 80% were obtained. The large condensate fractions are interpreted in terms of pre-existing molecules which are stable even above the two-body Feshbach resonance due to the presence of the degenerate Fermi gas.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss,05.30.Fk

Ultracold atomic gases have become a medium to realize novel phenomena in condensed matter physics and test many-body theories in new regimes. The particle densities are 10^8 times lower than in solids, but at temperatures in the nanokelvin range, which are now routinely achieved, interactions and correlations become important. Of particular interest are pairing phenomena in fermionic gases, which have direct analogies to superconducting behavior of highly-correlated condensed-matter systems [\[1\]](#page-3-0).

The interactions which drive the pairing in these gases can be controlled using a Feshbach resonance [\[2](#page-3-1)], in which a molecular level is Zeeman-tuned through zero binding energy using an external magnetic field. This provides an opportunity to experimentally probe what is known as the BCS-BEC crossover; as the strength of the effective attractive interaction between particles is increased a continuous transition from condensation of delocalized Cooper pairs to tightly-bound bosonic molecules is predicted [\[3](#page-3-2), [4](#page-3-3), [5](#page-3-4), [6](#page-3-5)]. Whereas in the BCS limit the pairing is a strictly many-body effect [\[7\]](#page-3-6), in the BEC limit a pair of fermions is bound even as an isolated molecule. A novel form of high-temperature superfluidity has been predicted to emerge in the crossover region [\[3,](#page-3-2) [4](#page-3-3), [5,](#page-3-4) [6\]](#page-3-5). Until recently, the observation of condensation phenomena in fermionic atomic gases was restricted to the extreme BEC limit, where several groups have observed Bose-Einstein condensation of diatomic molecules [\[8,](#page-3-7) [9,](#page-3-8) [10\]](#page-3-9).

An important step was recently reported, in which condensation of atomic ⁴⁰K fermion pairs was observed on the BCS side of a Feshbach resonance [\[11\]](#page-3-10). It was argued that those pairs were not bound into molecules, but merely moved together in a correlated fashion, similar to Cooper pairs of electrons in a superconductor [\[12\]](#page-3-11). The exact nature of these pairs remained unclear. In this paper, we apply similar techniques to 6 Li atoms, which have very different collisional properties [\[13\]](#page-4-0), and observe the pair condensation phenomenon above a Feshbach resonance. In contrast to the previous work, where

at most 15% of the atom pairs were condensed [\[11](#page-3-10)], we observe condensate fractions of up to 80%. We argue that such a high condensate fraction is unlikely for pairs which are long-range, but rather indicates a condensate of short-range atom pairs which are essentially molecular in character even on the BCS side of the resonance.

A simple argument supports this possibility. In the basic picture of a Feshbach resonance, a molecular state above the dissociation threshold has a finite lifetime, which becomes shorter as the energy of the state increases, as observed for ${}^{23}\text{Na}_2$ [\[14\]](#page-4-1). When such a molecular level above threshold is in chemical equilibrium with a degenerate Fermi sea of atoms, its lifetime will be increased due to Pauli blocking. It will be populated as long as its energy is less than twice the Fermi energy. For this reason, the BEC-BCS crossover is expected to occur not at the location of the two-body Feshbach resonance, but instead when the energy of the molecular level reaches twice the Fermi energy corresponding to the total number of atoms [\[5,](#page-3-4) [6\]](#page-3-5).

The basic setup of our experiment was described in [\[8\]](#page-3-7). By sympathetic cooling of 6 Li atoms with 23 Na in a magnetic trap, a degenerate gas of about 3×10^{7} ⁶Li fermions at ∼0.3 T/T_F was created. After transfer into an optical dipole trap (maximum power 9 W focused to an e^{-2} radius of 25 μ m), an equal mixture of atoms in the lowest two hyperfine states, $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ was prepared. The sample was evaporatively cooled at a magnetic field in the range from 770-810 G using an exponential ramp down (timescale ∼400 ms) of the optical trap to a final laser power of 15 mW. This created an essentially pure Bose-Einstein condensate of up to 3×10^{6} ⁶Li₂ molecules, identified by a clear parabolic density profile. For higher final trap depths, a bimodal structure indicating the presence of a thermal cloud could also be observed; this effect was most pronounced after adiabatically ramping the magnetic field to about 700 G to reduce the mean field energy of the condensate [\[10](#page-3-9), [11](#page-3-10)]. The observed trap vibrational frequencies could be described by the following expression: $v_{rad} \approx 115 \text{ Hz } \sqrt{P}$, $v_{ax} \approx 1.1 \text{ Hz } \sqrt{P + 1.2B}$

FIG. 1: Determination of the position of the Feshbach resonance. Shown is the onset of molecule dissociation when the magnetic field was slowly raised, and then switched off with a variable rate (30 G/ μ s (crosses), 100 G/ms (circles), 12.5 G/ms (triangles). The identical threshold for the two lowest ramp rates determines the resonance position to be 822±3 G.

where P is the optical power in mW, and B is the magnetic field in kG. The latter dependence arises from the residual axial curvature of the magnetic field. Considerable improvements over our previous setup [\[8](#page-3-7)] led to an improved e^{-1} condensate lifetime of 10 s at 770 G. Moreover, within the experimental uncertainty in the total number of molecules (∼50%), mean field measurements were consistent with a molecule-molecule scattering length of $0.6a$ where a is the atomic scattering length [\[15,](#page-4-2) [16\]](#page-4-3).

Previously, the location of the ⁶Li Feshbach resonance was determined either by observing a peak in the in-elastic loss [\[17\]](#page-4-4), or the interaction energy of a $|1\rangle - |2\rangle$ mixture [\[18\]](#page-4-5). A more accurate determination can be made by mapping out the onset of dissociation of the molecular state [\[11,](#page-3-10) [14\]](#page-4-1). Starting with an almost pure molecular sample well below resonance, the magnetic field was ramped up to a variable value. If the field crossed the resonance, molecules dissociated into atoms. These atoms were then imaged at zero field, where the remaining molecules were not detected [\[8](#page-3-7)]. The Feshbach resonance appeared as a sharp onset in the number of detected atoms (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The experiment was performed 10 ms after the molecules were released from the trap, when their density had dropped by three orders of magnitude; this ensured that many-body effects on the observed resonance position could be neglected. When the magnetic field was switched off too quickly, the Feshbach resonance appeared systematically shifted to lower fields, since a fast ramp can dissociate very weakly-bound molecules [\[19\]](#page-4-6). For too slow a switch-off, on the other hand, we found that even for clouds as dilute as $\sim 3 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻³ molecules were recreated, lowering the measured atomic

fraction at fields above the resonance. However, when we varied the ramp rate over more than three orders of magnitude, we found a range of rates which gave identical thresholds at 822 ± 3 G (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).

To produce samples in the crossover region, we started with an essentially pure Bose-Einstein condensate of molecules formed at 810 G. The laser power of the optical trap was increased in 500 ms from 15 mW to 25 mW in order to accommodate larger Fermi clouds above the resonance. During the next 500 ms, the magnetic field was ramped to final values from 650 to 1025 G. The adiabaticity of this ramp was checked by ramping back to 810 G and observing identical density profile and condensate fraction, similar to studies in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-3-9). In some experiments, we used a deeper trap with up to 150 mW of power; the additional compression was carried out at 900 G to avoid enhanced losses on the BEC side of the resonance, after which we ramped to the final field in another 500 ms. At 1025 G, the peak density of the cloud in the deepest trap was $3.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, corresponding to a Fermi energy of 3.6 μ K and Fermi-wavevector $k_F^{-1} \simeq 2000 a_0$, where a_0 denotes the Bohr radius.

To probe the gas, we released it from the trap, and after a variable delay τ_d from 0-200 μ s, applied a rapid transfer technique [\[11](#page-3-10)]: the magnetic field was switched off at a rate of 30 G/ μ s, adiabatically converting atom pairs to deeply bound molecules at zero field. As long as no collisions or other dynamics occur during this ramp, the velocity distribution of the resulting molecules then constitutes a probe of the atom pairs' center-of-mass motion before the measurement. After 3-6 ms time-of-flight at zero field, we dissociated the molecules with a 3 ms field pulse to 900 G and imaged the resulting atoms after 2 ms at zero field [\[8](#page-3-7), [20\]](#page-4-7). We could also detect only atoms by simply omitting the dissociation pulse, and we observed that for $\tau_d \leq 500 \mu s$, an almost pure molecular cloud was obtained, with less than 10% atoms for all starting magnetic fields above the resonance.

Typical absorption pictures of molecular clouds after the rapid transfer ramp are shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) for different temperatures, clearly exhibiting a bimodal distribution. This is evidence for pair condensation of ⁶Li atoms on the BCS side of the Feshbach resonance. The condensate fractions were extracted from images like these, using a gaussian fit function for the "thermal" part and a Thomas-Fermi profile for the "condensate". Figs. [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-2-2) and [5](#page-3-12) show the observed condensate fraction as a function of both magnetic field and temperature. The striking features of these data are the high condensate fraction of 80% near resonance, and the persistence of large condensate fractions on the BCS side of the resonance all the way to our maximum field of 1025 G. After 10 s hold time, this value was still as high as 20%. These observations were independent of whether the final magnetic field was approached starting with a Fermi sea or a molecular condensate. Note that for our peak densities,

FIG. 2: Emergence of a Bose-Einstein condensate of atom pairs as the temperature was lowered. Shown are time of flight column densities of the fermion mixture after a rapid transfer ramp from 900 G for three different initial temperatures $T/T_F \approx 0.2$, 0.1 and 0.05, together with their axially averaged radial density profiles. The dashed line is a gaussian fit to the thermal component, which was observed to be narrower than expected, as in [\[11](#page-3-10)]. Condensate fractions are: 0.0, 0.1, and 0.6. Each cloud consists of about 2 million molecules. The field of view is $3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$.

the strongly interacting region of $k_F |a| > 1$ extends from 710 G onward.

An important question is whether the momentum distribution of the pairs is preserved during the rapid transfer ramp. At our highest densities, it takes about 4 μ s to take the molecules created during the ramp out of the strongly interacting region $(k_F |a| \geq 1)$. A classical gas at the Fermi temperature would have a unitarity limited collision time comparable to the inverse of the Fermi energy divided by \hbar , which is about 2 μ s. Of course, we have Pauli blocking for the atoms, and for the molecules collisions could be enhanced by bosonic stimulation [\[21\]](#page-4-8). Therefore, we cannot rule out with certainty that the momentum distribution is modified during the ramp [\[22\]](#page-4-9). There is, however, experimental evidence that the observed condensates are not simply produced during the sweep by collisions. First, the observed condensate fraction depends on the initial magnetic field. Second, the condensate fraction did not change when we varied the delay time τ_d between release of the atoms from the trap and the magnetic field ramp, although the density of the cloud changed by a factor of ∼4.

Assuming that collisions during the ramp can be neglected, it is still crucial to ask what exactly happens during the rapid transfer ramp, and what kind of pairs would likely be detected. A reasonable assumption is that atoms form molecules preferentially with their nearest neighbor, independent of the center-of-mass velocity of the pair. If, as our data shows, a large fraction of the detected molecules are in a zero-momentum state after the fast transfer, this means that nearest neighbors had opposite momenta. If the distance between the fermions

FIG. 3: Condensate fraction after the rapid transfer ramp vs. initial magnetic field, for different hold times at the final field in the shallow trap $(P = 25 \text{ mW})$. Crosses: 2 ms hold time, after 500ms ramp to 1000 G and 4ms ramp to the final field; squares and circles: 100 ms and 10 s hold time, after 500 ms ramp from 790 G. The reduction of the condensate fraction for long hold times far on the left side of the resonance is probably due to the rapidly increasing inelastic losses for the more tightly bound molecules [\[19](#page-4-6), [23\]](#page-4-10). The lower condensate fraction at high field for long hold times is probably an effect of lower density since the number of atoms had decayed by a factor of 4 without change in temperature.

FIG. 4: Condensate fraction for different temperatures as a function of magnetic field. The temperature of the molecular cloud was varied by stopping the evaporative cooling earlier and applying parametric heating before ramping to the final magnetic field. Temperatures are parameterized by the molecular condensate fraction N_0/N at 820 G (open circles, $N_0/N = 0.8$; filled circles, $N_0/N = 0.58$; open squares, $N_0/N = 0.51$; filled squares, $N_0/N = 0.34$; "+", $N_0/N =$ 0.21; triangles, $N_0/N = 0.08$; " \times ", $N_0/N < 0.01$). The lowest temperature was realized in the shallow trap $(P = 25 \text{ mW})$; the higher temperatures required a deeper trap ($P = 150$) mW).

FIG. 5: Temperature and magnetic field ranges over which pair condensation was observed. This is a contour plot of the same data shown in Fig. [4.](#page-2-2) The right axis shows the range in T/T_F (measured at 1025 G) which was covered. For high degeneracies, fitting T/T_F was less reliable and we regard the condensate fraction as a superior "thermometer". Note that for an isentropic cross-over from BEC to a Fermi sea, T/T_F is approximately linearly related to the condensate fraction on the BEC side [\[24](#page-4-11)]. For our maximum densities the region where $k_F |a| > 1$ extends from about 710 G onward.

with opposite momenta making up each pair were comparable to or larger than the interatomic distance one would not expect to find high condensate fractions; on the contrary, the transfer into a tightly bound molecular state would randomly pick one of the nearest neighbors. Therefore, the rapid transfer technique should not be able to detect delocalized cooper-like pairs. We regard our observed high condensate fractions as evidence for atomic pairs smaller than the interatomic distance, and therefore molecular in character. Their stability may be affected by Pauli blocking and mean field effects, but their binding should be a two-body effect and not a many-body effect as in the case of Cooper pairs. The nature of the observed pairs is still an intriguing open question; recent papers show that including a virtual state near threshold $[25]$, as is the case in ⁶Li, or many-body effects $[26]$ can strongly modify the character of the Feshbach resonance in such a way that there is no longer a low-lying molecular state above threshold.

In conclusion, we have observed ${}^{6}Li_{2}$ molecular Bose-Einstein condensates after a fast downward magnetic field ramp starting with equilibrium samples at fields on either side of the broad ⁶Li Feshbach resonance. Since there are no truly bound molecular states above the resonance, we tentatively interpret our results as a Bose-Einstein condensate of molecules stabilized by the existence of the Fermi sea. This molecular condensate would drain particles from the Fermi sea and lead to a reduced atomic Fermi energy roughly equal to half the energy of

the molecular level [\[5,](#page-3-4) [6](#page-3-5)]. Indeed, both in Ref. [\[10\]](#page-3-9) and in the present work, a reduction in the size of the cloud was observed as the Feshbach resonance was approached from above, which may be due to this effect. In agreement with theoretical predictions [\[6](#page-3-5)] we have observed pair condensation in the regime where $T/T_F < 0.1 - 0.2$ and $k_F |a| > 1$ (Fig. [5\)](#page-3-12). The exact nature of the atom pairs remains to be elucidated; it is possible that under our conditions, the pair condensate is already a superposition state of molecules and Cooper pairs [\[4](#page-3-3), [5,](#page-3-4) [6\]](#page-3-5), but there is as yet no experimental evidence for it. The characterizing feature of the BEC-BCS cross-over is a qualitative change of the pairing phenomenon which has not yet been observed.

This work was supported by the NSF, ONR, ARO, and NASA. We would like to thank Walter Hofstetter, Michele Saba and Zoran Hadzibabic for stimulating discussions. S. Raupach is grateful to the Dr. Jürgen Ulderup foundation for financial support.

- [1] H. T. C. Stoof and M. Houbiers, in *Bose-Einstein conden*sation in atomic gases, Proceedings of the International School of Physics Enrico Fermi, Course CXL, edited by M. Inguscio, S. Stringari, and C. Wieman (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1999), pp. 537–553.
- [2] E. Tiesinga, B.J. Verhaar, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 47, 4114 (1993)); W.C. Stwalley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 1628 (1976); S. Inouye et al., Nature 392, 151 (1998); P. Courteille et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 69 (1998).
- [3] D. M. Eagles, Phys. Rev. 186, 456463 (1969); A. J. Leggett, in Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter. Proceedings of the XVIth Karpacz Winter School of Theoretical Physics, Karpacz, Poland, 1980, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Karpacz, Poland, 1980), pp. 13– 27; P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low Temp. Phys. 59, 195 (1985).
- [4] J. N. Milstein, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and M. J. Holland, Phys. Rev. A 66, 043604 (2002); J. Stajic et al., preprint [cond-mat/0309321;](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0309321) A. Perali, P. Pieri, L. Pisani, and G. C. Strinati, preprint [cond-mat/0311309;](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0311309) R. Combescot, preprint [cond-mat/0310583;](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0310583) J. Kinnunen, M. Rodriguez, and P. Törmä, preprint [cond-mat/0401543.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0401543)
- [5] Y. Ohashi and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 130402 (2003).
- [6] G. M. Falco and H. T. C. Stoof, preprint [cond-mat/0402579.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0402579)
- [7] M. Houbiers $et \ al.$, Phys. Rev. A $\bf{56}$, 4864 (1997).
[8] M. W. Zwierlein $et \ al.$, Phys. Rev. Lett. $\bf{91}$.
- M. W. Zwierlein et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 250401 (2003).
- [9] M. Greiner, C. A. Regal, and D. S. Jin, Nature 426, 537 (2003); S. Jochim et al., Science 302, 2101 (2003); C. Salomon, private communication.
- [10] M. Bartenstein et al., preprint [cond-mat/0401109.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0401109)
- [11] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 040403 (2004).
- [12] NIST and University of Colorado at Boulder press release, January 28, 2004;<http://www.nist.gov/>

public affairs/releases/fermi condensate.htm.

- [13] M. Houbiers, H. T. C. Stoof, W. I. McAlexander, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. A 57, R1497 (1998).
- [14] T. Mukaiyama et al., preprint [cond-mat/0311558.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0311558)
- [15] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, preprint [cond-mat/0309010.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0309010)
- [16] The smaller value reported in our previous work [\[8\]](#page-3-7) was based on an incorrect extrapolation of trap frequencies to one hundred times lower power. The radial trap frequency was strongly influenced by multiple intensity maxima in the optical focus.
- [17] K. Dieckmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 203201 (2002).
- [18] T. Bourdel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 020402 (2003).
- [19] J. Cubizolles et al., preprint [cond-mat/0308018.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0308018)
- [20] At this point the cloud is sufficiently dilute that the fi-

nal switch off of the field does not reconvert atoms into molecules.

- [21] S. Inouye et al., Nature **402**, 641 (1999).
- [22] In the 40 K experiment, the ratio of the effective sweep rate through resonance and Fermi energy were similar [\[11](#page-3-10)].
- [23] S. Jochim et al., preprint [cond-mat/0308095.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0308095)
- [24] L. D. Carr, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and Y. Castin, preprint [cond-mat/0308306.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0308306)
- [25] B. Marcelis, E. G. M. v. Kempen, B. J. Verhaar, and S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, preprint [cond-mat/0402278.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0402278)
- [26] R. A. Duine and H. T. C. Stoof, preprint [cond-mat/0312254.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0312254)