

How to STIRAP a vortex

G. Nandi, R. Walser, and W. P. Schleich

Universität Ulm, Abteilung für Quantenphysik, D-89069 Ulm, Germany

(Dated: February 25, 2019, to be submitted to PRA)

We examine a scheme for the optical creation of a superfluid vortex in a trapped Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), using the stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP) technique. By exposing an oblate, axis-symmetric condensate to two co-propagating laser pulses, one can transfer external angular momentum from the light field to the matter wave, if one of the beams is the fundamental Gaussian mode and the other is a Gauss-Laguerre mode of angular momentum $l \sim 1$. We demonstrate the complete transfer efficiency by numerical integration of the multi-component Gross-Pitaevskii equation and explain the results with an intuitive and accurate approximation within the Thomas-Fermi limit. In addition, we discuss residual excitations (breathing modes) which occur in the two-dimensional regime and present the Bogoliubov excitation spectrum.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 05.70.Ln

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-cold atomic gases have provided us with novel physical systems that exhibit all genuine many-body phenomena known from traditional condensed matter physics and, still, admit all the superior coherent control tools used in quantum optics. After the experimental realization of BEC itself, tremendous efforts were focused on the creation of topological and solitary excitations of condensates (for a current review see Ref. [1]). Especially alternative methods for the creation of vortices have stirred the minds, as the traditional “rotating-the-squeezed-bucket” procedure was not successful, initially. Thus, the first fruitful proposal to create a vortex involved a rapidly rotating Gaussian laser beam entangling the external motion with internal state Rabi-oscillations [2, 3]. Later, condensates were stirred up mechanically [4, 5], evaporative spin-up techniques created vorticity and recently giant vortices [6, 7], prospects for creating vortices by optical phase imprinting [8] were investigated (in analogy to the successful soliton experiment [9]) and applying magnetic interactions were considered [10]. However, due to larger asymmetries in the trapping potentials that can be achieved nowadays, vortices are now predominantly created with the stirring method and fascinating vortex lattices have been made [11, 12].

On the other hand, the transfer of angular momentum from an optical field to a macroscopic rigid body or an atomic particle has also a long standing tradition in quantum mechanics. The first proof that circularly polarized light carries angular momentum dates back to Beth’s original experiment of 1936 [13]. More recently, due to the availability of Gauss-Laguerre laser beams with well defined external angular momentum [14] it is possible to use them as optical tweezers and twisters [15, 16, 17, 18]. Surprisingly, even the transfer of angular momentum to ultra-sonic waves in fluids can be achieved that way [19]. In the context of a BEC, using the angular momentum of light to create a doubly charged vortex has been proposed in [20], π -pulses in Raman type transitions were examined in [21] and an adiabatic passage to a vortex state was

investigated in [22] by changing the two-photon detuning of an effective two-level system.

In this paper, we will examine the transfer of external angular momentum of light to the matter wave with the help of a stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP) [23]. The basic effect relies on a quantum mechanical interference between two ground states and gives rise to a multitude of physical phenomena, e.g., dark resonances in optical spectroscopy [24], velocity selective coherent population trapping (VSCPT) [25], a drastic modification of the optical index of refraction (EIT) of normal [26] and BE condensed systems [27, 28], and active procedures to prepare [29] and readout quantum states of atomic beams and optical cavities [30].

This article is organized as follows: In the Sec. II we will develop a scheme for creating a vortex in a BEC using the STIRAP method. In analogy to single-particle physics, it is possible to derive the relevant three-component Gross-Pitaevskii equation. In Sec. III we will present the results of numerical calculations that are in good agreement with a simple analytical approximation within the Thomas-Fermi limit. In addition, we will discuss the physics of the remaining residual excitations in terms of the “breathing modes” of a quasi two-dimensional system. In particular, we will calculate the radial Bogoliubov excitation spectrum of a condensate in the vortex state with angular momentum $l \sim 1$. Finally, we will summarize our results and conclude in Sec. IV.

II. STIRAP IN A BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSED GAS

The STIRAP method is now applied to a trapped BEC of three-level atoms in a Λ -type configuration shown in Fig. 1. The two internal electronic ground states, e.g. the hyperfine levels of an alkali atom [27, 31], are denoted by $|j_1\rangle$ and $|j_2\rangle$, and by absorbing an optical photon, one reaches the excited state $|j_3\rangle$, respectively. The condensate is confined spatially by an oblate, axis-symmetric harmonic potential, which reads in cylindrical coordinates $(r; z)$

$$V(r; z) = \frac{1}{2}M(\omega_r^2 r^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2); \quad (1)$$

Electronic address: gerrit.nandi@physik.uni-ulm.de




FIG. 1: A Λ -system exposed to two co-propagating laser pulses in two-photon resonance. The atomic transition frequencies are Doppler-shifted with respect to the rest frame i.e., $\omega_a = \omega_a + \sim k_p^2 = 2M$, $\omega_b = \omega_b$, $\omega_c = \omega_a + \sim (k_p - k_d)^2 = 2M$. Only one Gauss-Laguerre laser beam (d) carries $1\sim$ of angular momentum. The individual detunings from the excited state are $\Delta_p = \omega_a - \omega_b - \omega_p$, $\Delta_d = \omega_a - \omega_c - \omega_d$.

where M denotes the single-particle mass. By choosing the radial trapping frequency much less than the longitudinal frequency, i.e., $\omega_z \ll \omega_z$, one can confine the motion effectively to the radial component.

Now, we will expose the dilute atomic gas to two co-propagating traveling "pump" and "dump" laser pulses,

$$E_p(z;t) = \epsilon_p \mu_p(t) e^{i(\omega_p t - k_p z)} + c.c.; \quad (2)$$

$$E_d(r; \phi; z;t) = \epsilon_d \mu_d(r; \phi; t) e^{i(\omega_d t - k_d z)} + c.c.; \quad (3)$$

where ϵ_p and ϵ_d denote the corresponding polarization vectors. The slowly varying laser beam envelopes μ_p , μ_d have a non-trivial temporal and spatial structure

$$\mu_p(r;t) = \mu_p(t) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2d^2}}; \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_d(r; \phi; t) = \mu_d r e^{i\phi} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2d^2}}; \quad (5)$$

For the pump pulse, we choose the fundamental Gauss-Laguerre (GL) laser mode [14] with a spatial width much larger than the BEC size and a temporal Gaussian turn-on shape with the width d . This pulse reaches its maximum intensity at some time $t > 0$. In order to transfer orbital angular momentum from the light beam to the matter-wave, we pick the first excited GL mode that carries external angular momentum, the so called "doughnut-mode", for the dump beam [15, 16, 20, 21]. While spatial extension and temporal duration d can be set equal in both pulses, it is crucial that the dump beam reaches its maximum intensity at $t = 0$, first. This "counter-intuitive" pulse sequence is the key of the STIRAP procedure and achieves an efficient adiabatic passage for linear, dissipative quantum systems [23, 25, 30]. A full population transfer can be reached if the field amplitudes satisfy

the conditions

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu_p(t)}{\mu_d(t)} = 0; \quad (6)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +1} \frac{\mu_p(t)}{\mu_d(t)} = 1; \quad (7)$$

which is guaranteed by the pulse sequence given in Eqs. (4, 5).

Far below the transition temperature $T \ll T_{BEC}$, one can describe a multi-component BEC effectively within a simple mean-field description [32, 33]. Thus, we introduce a three component state vector $|\psi(r;t)\rangle$, that represents the components of the macroscopic atomic matter-wave. For the time evolution of this multi-level state vector, one can derive a generalized Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) mean-field equation [34]

$$i\partial_t |\psi(r;t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(r;t)\rangle; \quad (8)$$

$$i\partial_t |\psi(r;t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(r;t)\rangle; \quad (9)$$

$|\psi(r;t)\rangle$ is normalized to the total particle number

$$N = \int d^3r (|\psi_a(r;t)|^2 + |\psi_b(r;t)|^2 + |\psi_c(r;t)|^2); \quad (10)$$

in the BEC. Due to the unitarity of Eq. (9), this particle number is conserved at all times. However, as we use explicitly time dependent laser fields, the energy of the system can change (see Sec. III B).

The internal structure of the Hamiltonian is quite easy to understand, as it follows straight from the single-particle physics that rules the dynamics of the dilute gas interacting with light. In Fig. 1, we have depicted the optical dipole transition scheme for a Λ -type atom. Within the standard rotating-wave approximation of quantum optics [36], one finds for the internal state Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a & & \\ & \omega_b & \\ & & \omega_c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_p(t) & & \\ & \mu_d(r; \phi; t) & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |a\rangle \\ |b\rangle \\ |c\rangle \end{pmatrix} \langle \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} |; \quad (11)$$

The Rabi frequencies $\mu_p(t) = \mu_p(t) d_{ba}$ and analogously $\mu_d(r; \phi; t) = \mu_d(r; \phi; t) d_{ca}$, measure how well the photon field couples to the electronic transition and are proportional to the atomic dipole moments d_{ba} , d_{ca} . The remaining parameters are the Raman detuning $\Delta = (\omega_p + \omega_d) - 2\omega_a$ and the two-photon detuning $\Delta = (\omega_d - \omega_p) - 2\omega_a$, which refer to the individual detunings Δ_p , Δ_d , of laser the frequency and the Doppler-shifted electronic transition frequency. In order to achieve the optimal STIRAP performance, we will assume a two-photon resonance condition $\Delta = 0$ later, and pick a non-vanishing detuning Δ in order to avoid detrimental spontaneous emissions, which would disrupt the coherent evolution. In the preceding derivation of Eq. (11), we have also tacitly adopted co-moving and co-rotating reference frames such that

$$|\psi(r;t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\omega_a - \omega_p)t} \psi_a(r;t); e^{i(\omega_b - \omega_p)t} \psi_b(r;t); \\ e^{i(\omega_c + \omega_d)t} \psi_c(r;t) \end{pmatrix}^T; \quad (12)$$

in order to strip off the trivial plane wave character of the beams, see Eqs. (2,3). To keep the notation simple, we will drop the bar over the state vector in the following discussion.

For the external motion of the multi-component gas, we want to assume that all components are confined by the same harmonic potential, cf. Eq. (1). This is not a stringent requirement for the excited state component a , as particles will only rarely occupy this level and move through it quickly. Due to this low occupancy, it is also not necessary to consider any mean-field shifts arising from self-interaction, or the motion through the remaining components. Consequently, we can simply use the bare trap Hamiltonian with a Doppler shift

$$\tilde{h}_a = \frac{p^2}{2M} + V(r; z) + \tilde{k}_p \frac{p_z}{M}; \quad (13)$$

For the ground state components, we will only consider mean-field shifted energy contributions that arise from elastic collisions and denote the inter- and intra-species scattering lengths by $f_{a_{bb}}; a_{bc}; a_{cb}; a_{cc}$. Hence, these components read

$$\tilde{h}_b = \frac{p^2}{2M} + V(r; z) + \frac{4}{M} \tilde{a}_{bb} j_b^2 + a_{bc} j_c^2; \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{h}_c = \frac{p^2}{2M} + V(r; z) + \tilde{k}_p \frac{p_z}{M} + \frac{4}{M} (a_{cc} j_c^2 + a_{cb} j_b^2); \quad (15)$$

The optical absorption-emission cycle imparts angular, as well as linear momentum onto the final state matter-wave c . However, linear momentum cannot be conserved in a trapped system, and it would lead to a sloshing motion along the z -direction. This can be suppressed either, by choosing equal laser frequencies and photon momenta, or by squeezing the trapping potential into a very oblate configuration such that $\tilde{a}_{zz} = 1$. This effectively "freezes" the longitudinal motion due to an energy selection argument.

The later situation leads to a more stable configuration and is favorable. Thus, we will factorize the state vector

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \int dr r \int dz z \psi_a(r; z; t) \psi_b(r; z; t) e^{i k_p z} \psi_c(r; z; t) \psi_0(z; t); \quad (16)$$

into a radial part, which is normalized to the number of particles in the BEC, i.e.

$$N = 2 \int_0^1 dr r \int_0^1 dz z j_a^2 + j_b^2 + j_c^2; \quad (17)$$

and the ground state

$$\psi_0(z; t) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} e^{-i k_p z} e^{-z^2/2} \quad (18)$$

of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator in z -direction, which is normalized to one, i.e.

$$\int_{-1}^1 dz z j_0^2 = 1; \quad (19)$$

Please note that the third component now carries the mechanical angular momentum of $1 \sim$ per particle. After projecting the state vector along the z -direction, we finally arrive at an effective Hamiltonian in the radial direction. Using the natural units of the transverse harmonic oscillator (time unit $2 = \hbar$, length scale $\sqrt{\hbar/M \omega}$), one finds

$$i \partial_t \psi(r; t) = H(r; t) \psi(r; t); \quad (20)$$

$$H(r; t) = \begin{pmatrix} h^{(0)} + \frac{p^2}{2M} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h^{(0)} + \frac{p^2}{2M} + n(r) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h^{(1)} + n(r) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (21)$$

where $\frac{p^2}{2M} = \frac{p^2}{8} a$ and all scattering lengths are equal to a . This assumption holds well for ^{87}Rb (see below). The particle density is denoted by $n(r) = j_b(r) j_b^2 + j_c(r) j_c^2$, and $h^{(m)}$ represents a two-dimensional radial harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian

$$h^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_x + \frac{m^2}{r^2} - r^2) \quad (22)$$

in an angular momentum manifold with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the following, we will be more specific and choose the scattering parameters as in the ^{87}Rb ($M = 86.91$ amu) experiment at JILA [31]. The self-scattering (a_{ii}) and cross-component scattering lengths (a_{ij}) are assumed to be equal (104 Bohr radii), which turns out to be a good approximation




FIG. 2: Time evolution of the individual level populations vs. time. The dotted line depicts the population $N_a(t)$ of the excited state, the dashed-dotted and the solid lines represent $N_b(t)$ and $N_c(t)$, respectively, and the dashed line corresponds to the total number of particles in the system, which is conserved, i.e. $N = N_a(t) + N_b(t) + N_c(t)$. The time t is measured in natural units of the transverse harmonic oscillator period.

for ^{87}Rb . By implementing a numerical algorithm for solving the three-component Gross-Pitaevskii equation Eq. (20), we can show that a quantized vortex ($m = 1$) is building up. For the trap frequencies we choose $\omega_x = 2 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\omega_z = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. Hence, we get for the scaled scattering length $\mu = \frac{\mu_0}{8} a_s = 0.0855$. The laser parameters are chosen as follows: $\beta = 0.3$, $d = 0.15$, $\mu_p = 200$, $\mu_d = 200$, $\mu_s = 30$, and $\mu_c = 0$ (two-photon resonance). In practice, μ will be of the order of at least 10^{-5} , but for the simulations much lower values are sufficient. In the case of different single scattering lengths the bare two-photon resonance condition is not fulfilled exactly due to unbalanced mean-field shifts during the transfer process. Still, one could apply a time dependent detuning that rectifies this effect in order to achieve an optimal adiabatic passage [20, 22].

In Fig. 2 the transfer efficiency is demonstrated. Initially the whole system is prepared in the ground state $|j_a\rangle$. While the excited state $|j_b\rangle$ is not populated significantly at any instant, the population of state $|j_c\rangle$ is rising up. An almost 100% transfer to the vortex state is possible and the total number of particles in the BEC is conserved as required by unitarity. The time evolution of the vortex state density is shown in Fig. 3. At some intermediate time ($t = 0$) high-frequency excitations appear. After the transfer is completed ($t = 1$), the shape of a vortex with angular momentum $l \sim 1$ is matched almost perfectly with the stationary single charged vortex solution. Still, some minor excitations, i. e., the "breathing mode" remains, which will be discussed in Sec. III B. In Fig. 4, we demonstrate the time evolution of the density of the irrotational state $|j_b\rangle$. Initially, the atoms of the condensate are prepared in the ground state ($t = -1$). This population is decreasing during the STIRAP process and vanishes afterwards.

A. The two-component Thomas-Fermi approximation

The Thomas-Fermi (TF) limit is an extremely useful approximation for the ground state of an interacting BEC. Where applicable, it simply disregards the kinetic energy contribution to the total system energy. This is also possible for an excited vortex state. We will now consider this TF-approximation for the two ground-state components, after adiabatically eliminating the $|j_a\rangle$ component,

$$|j_a\rangle \langle j_a| + \frac{\mu_p(t)}{\mu_b} |j_b\rangle \langle j_b| + \frac{\mu_d(t)}{\mu_c} |j_c\rangle \langle j_c|; \quad (23)$$

in order to obtain a simple estimate. During the adiabatic passage, the irrotational state $|j_b\rangle$ shall be transformed coherently into the vortex state $|j_c\rangle$. Thus, the relevant Hamiltonian in the reduced two-state manifold reads

$$H_{\text{TF}}(t) = \frac{r^2}{2} + n(r) \frac{|j_p(t)\rangle\langle j_p(t)|}{\langle j_p(t)|j_p(t)\rangle} + \frac{\mu_d(t)}{\mu_c} \frac{|j_c(t)\rangle\langle j_c(t)|}{\langle j_c(t)|j_c(t)\rangle} + \frac{1}{2r^2}; \quad (24)$$

Once more, we want to assume an adiabatic following condition to obtain a stationary solution of $\psi(r;t) = \exp\left[-\int_0^t H_{\text{TF}}(\tau) d\tau\right] \begin{pmatrix} |j_b\rangle \\ |j_c\rangle \end{pmatrix}^T$. By solving the




FIG. 3: Time evolution of the vortex state density $|\psi_c(r;t)|^2$ vs. radius r measured in units of the transverse harmonic oscillator length. The solid lines depict the vortex state at an intermediate instant and after the STIRAP process ($t = 0$ and $t = 1$, respectively). In general, the instantaneous Thomas-Fermi approximation (dashed line) compares well with the exact results apart from minor excitations.

simple ensuing eigenvalue problem of Eq. (24), we find for the chemical potential in the vortex branch that

$$\mu_{\text{TF}} = \frac{r^2}{2} + n_{\text{TF}} + \mu; \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4r^2} \frac{|j_d\rangle\langle j_d| + |j_p\rangle\langle j_p|}{2}; \quad (26)$$

$$+ \frac{\mu_d}{4r^2} \frac{|j_d\rangle\langle j_d|}{2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{|j_p\rangle\langle j_p|}{2} + \frac{1}{4r^2} + \frac{|j_p\rangle\langle j_p|^2}{2};$$

To keep the notation simple, we have dropped all the spatial and temporal arguments. Vice versa, one has to determine the chemical potential μ_{TF} such that $N = 2 \int_0^{\infty} dr r n_{\text{TF}}$ is satisfied at each instant of the adiabatic passage. For the vortex component of the corresponding eigenvector, one finds

$$\begin{pmatrix} |j_b\rangle \\ |j_c\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mu}{n_{\text{TF}}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}; \quad (27)$$

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\mu_d}{\left(\frac{1}{2r^2} + \frac{\mu_p}{|j_d\rangle\langle j_d|}\right)}; \quad (28)$$

It turns out that the chemical potential is almost constant during the transfer process. Hence, we can choose the value of μ_{TF} for the TF-solution of the vortex state, i. e.

$$\mu_{\text{TF}} = \mu_{\text{TF}}(\mu_p = 0) = \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} + n_{\text{TF}} = \text{const}; \quad (29)$$

As shown in Fig. 3, the adiabatic evolution of these simple approximations matches the exact numerical results for the vortex state well. It should be pointed out that in our TF-approximation we did not neglect the centrifugal term $l^2 = 2r^2$




FIG. 4: Time evolution of the irrotational state density $|\psi_b(r, t)|^2$ vs. radius r measured in units of the transverse harmonic oscillator length. The solid lines depict the position density at the initial and at some intermediate time ($t = -1$ and $t = 0$, respectively). The instantaneous Thomas-Fermi approximation (dashed lines) matches the exact solution after the transfer of particles starts effectively ($t = 0$).

in Eq. (24), which is actually also part of the kinetic energy. It is responsible for the vanishing density in the center of the vortex core. This turns out to be the better approximation for $t = 0$, while for $t < 0$, dropping the centrifugal potential provides the more accurate approximation because of the irrotational nature of the initial state.

B. Total Energy of the system

The total energy of a BE condensed system can be obtained from the expectation value of the microscopic Hamiltonian with respect to a symmetry-broken ensemble [34, 35], thereby discarding higher order correlation functions. Unless time-translational symmetry is broken due to explicit time dependencies of external fields, the total energy of the system must be conserved. Within these assumptions, the energy functional is given by

$$E = E_{\text{kin}} + E_{\text{TF}} + E_{\text{dip}}; \quad (30)$$

where the individual contributions are as follows

$$E_{\text{kin}} = \int_0^{Z_1} dr r \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_a^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_b^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_c^2 \right]; \quad (31)$$

$$E_{\text{TF}} = \int_0^{Z_1} dr r \left[2 \psi_a^2 + r^2 (\psi_a^2 + \psi_b^2 + \psi_c^2) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^2} \psi_c^2 + \psi_b^2 + \psi_c^2 \right]^2 \quad (32)$$

and

$$E_{\text{dip}} = 2 \int_0^{Z_1} dr r \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_a \dot{\psi}_b + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_a \dot{\psi}_c + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_b \dot{\psi}_c \right]; \quad (33)$$

Eq. (31) denotes the radial kinetic energy E_{kin} , while Eq. (32) represents all the energy arising from the detuning, the trap potential, and the mean-field shifts, respectively. The dipole energy, which is caused by the dipole coupling of the laser fields to the atoms, is given by the expression in Eq. (33).

The evolution of the total energy and its individual contributions is shown during the non-equilibrium transfer in Fig. 5. As expected, the total energy is constant before and after the STIRAP process. However, due to an excitation of the breathing mode, the kinetic as well as the potential energy exhibit complementary oscillations. The dipole energy is negative, which is well-known from the interaction of a two-level atom with a laser field. This is due to the fact that the polarization of the atom is counteracting the external electromagnetic field.




FIG. 5: Total energy E as a function of the time t (measured in natural units of the transverse harmonic oscillator period). The different contributions to the total energy are shown in the plot. $E_{m=1}$ denotes the energy of the condensate in the stationary vortex state. Because of remaining excitations the potential and kinetic energy oscillate. However, the total energy is conserved before and after the transfer process. The energy is measured in units of $\sim \hbar \omega$.

C. Linear Response of the system and breathing modes

The numerical simulations show that a transfer of almost 100% of the particles into a vortex state is possible. Still, residual radial excitations of the vortex state remain. As the frequency of these radial excitation is exactly twice the radial harmonic trapping frequency, $\omega = 2\omega_r$, it must be related to the scaling symmetry of the two-dimensional system. These "breathing modes" have been studied first theoretically in Refs. [40, 41] and experimentally in Refs. [42].




FIG. 6: Mean squared radius $\langle r_c^2 \rangle_t$ of the vortex state vs. time (measured in natural units of the transverse harmonic oscillator period). Before the transfer process this state is not occupied. Afterwards the mean squared radius oscillates around the equilibrium value $\langle r_c^2 \rangle_{t=0}$ (dashed line). The frequency of the excitation is 2ω . r is measured in units of the transverse harmonic oscillator length.

The mechanism for exciting these modes arises from squeezing the harmonic potential in time. In the present context of the STIRAP configuration, the origin of such an additional potential can be understood from considering Eq. (24). During the turn-on of the laser fields, we induce an ac-Stark-shift potential $\delta V_d(r;t) \propto r^2$, which is proportional to r^2 . While this effect is interesting by itself, it could be eliminated easily by an appropriate control of the trapping potential, thus reducing the amount of excitations.

Moreover, a completely adiabatic transfer is limited by two factors: On the one hand a standard STIRAP process in a homogeneous gas requires an adiabaticity condition $\omega \tau \gg 1$, i.e. the time delay τ must be sufficiently large. On the other hand τ cannot be chosen arbitrarily large because here we deal with a STIRAP process in a trap. Therefore coupling to external motion has to be taken into consideration for large time delays.

The residual radial excitations can be visualized by plotting the mean squared radius

$$\langle r_c^2 \rangle_t = \frac{2}{N} \int_0^1 dr r^2 \rho_c(r;t) r^2 \rho_c(r;t); \quad (34)$$

which is proportional to the potential energy of the vortex-component. As shown in Fig. 6, this quantity is oscillating with the frequency $\omega = 2\omega$, which corresponds to the breathing mode.

In addition, small radial excitations (i.e. the linear response) of a BEC can be understood from Bogoliubov theory [37], [38]. Therefore we now consider the radial one-component GP-equation for the vortex-state with angular momentum $l \sim j$ that reads

$$i\partial_t \psi(r;t) = h^{(1)} + j \psi(r;t) \psi^*(r;t); \quad (35)$$




FIG. 7: Excitation frequencies ϵ_{Bog} relative to the ground state frequency of a quasi-two-dimensional BEC in the vortex state ($j = 1$) measured in units of ω , in comparison to those of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with fixed $j = 1$. For $p = 0$ and $p = 1$ the linear and nonlinear energies are identical. For $p \geq 2$ they split, and the excitation energies of the BEC lie below those of the non-interacting gas.

with $h^{(1)}$ defined in Eq. (22). With the ansatz

$$\psi(r;t) = e^{-i\omega t} \psi_0(r) + u(r) e^{-i\omega t} + v(r) e^{i\omega t}; \quad (36)$$

where $\psi_0(r)$ is the wave function of the stationary vortex, the time evolution of which is determined by the chemical potential μ , and $u(r)$ and $v(r)$ denote the excitation modes with the normalization ($\int_0^1 dr r^2 \rho = 1$)

$$\int_0^1 dr r^2 \rho_j(r) \rho_j^*(r) = 1; \quad (37)$$

The spectrum can be calculated from the linear response eigenvalue problem

$$h^{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} u(r) \\ v(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(r) \\ v(r) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (38)$$

where

$$h = h^{(1)} + 2j \psi_0(r) \psi_0^*(r); \quad (39)$$

The results of our numerical calculations are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, being in agreement with earlier work [39]. The lowest mode ($p = 0$) corresponds to the condensate wave-function itself (Goldstone-mode). The frequency spectrum can be compared to spectrum of the well-known two-dimensional quantum-mechanical harmonic oscillator, which is given by

$$\epsilon_{HO} = 2p + j + 1; \quad (40)$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the principle quantum number, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the angular momentum, and, in our case, $j = 1$ is fixed.




FIG. 8: Excitation modes obtained by Bogoliubov theory. The first three modes of $u(r)$ and $v(r)$ are plotted. The lowest mode ($p = 0$) corresponds to the condensate wave-function itself (Goldstone-mode).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have developed a novel scheme for the optical creation of vortices in a trapped Bose-Einstein

condensate, using the technique of stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP). In our model we considered a BEC of three-level atoms in a π -configuration of the electronic states, which are coupled by two co-propagating laser pulses. The aim was the transfer of angular momentum, carried by one of the beams (Gauss-Laguerre mode), to the BEC. The underlying mechanism of STIRAP is analogous to single-particle physics. In contrast to the latter case, we derived a multi-component nonlinear Schroedinger equation (Gross-Pitaevskii equation), using the mean-field approximation. We presented results of numerical simulations that apply to a BEC of ^{87}Rb -atoms. For a suitable set of laser parameters an almost 100% transfer to the vortex state could be achieved. These results could be understood with an intuitive and accurate approximation within the Thomas-Fermi limit. The occurrence of residual radial excitations in the vortex state could be explained by so-called breathing modes, which are specific for the two-dimensional regime and can be eliminated by an appropriate control of the trap frequency. To confirm these explanation, we have calculated the Bogoliubov excitation spectrum numerically.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge fruitful discussions with Bruce W. Shore and Karl-Peter Marzlin.

-
- [1] A. Fetter, *JLTP* **129**, 263 (2002), and references therein.
[2] J. Williams, and M. Holland, *Nature* **401**, 568 (1999).
[3] M. Matthews, B. Anderson, P. Haljan, D. Hall, C. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 2498 (1999)
[4] K. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 806 (2000)
[5] K. Madison, F. Chevy, V. Bretin, and J. Dalibard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 4443 (2001)
[6] P. C. Haljan, I. Coddington, P. Engels, and E. A. Cornell *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 210403 (2001)
[7] P. Engels, I. Coddington, P. C. Haljan, V. Schweikhard and E. A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 170405 (2003)
[8] L. Dobrek, M. Gajda, M. Lewenstein, K. Sengstock, G. Birkl, and W. Ertmer, *Phys. Rev. A.* **60**, R3381, (1999)
[9] J. Denschlag *et al.*, *Science* **287**, 97 (2000)
[10] H. Pu, S. Raghavan, N. Bigelow, *Phys. Rev. A.* **63**, 63603 (2001)
[11] K. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, *J. Mod. Opt.* **47**, 2715 (2000)
[12] J. Abo-Shaeer, C. Raman, J. Vogels, W. Ketterle, *Science*, **292**, 476 (2001)
[13] R. A. Beth, *Phys. Rev.* **50**, 115 (1936).
[14] *Lasers*, P. Miloni and J. Ebery, Wiley-Interscience (1988)
[15] L. Allen, M. Beijersbergen, R. Spreeuw, and J. Woerdman, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185 (1992).
[16] S. J. van Enk, and G. Nienhuis, *Europhys. Lett.* **25**, 497-501 (1994).
[17] H. He, M. Friese, N. Heckenberg, and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 826 (1995)
[18] S. Kuppens, M. Rauner, M. Schiffer, K. Sengstock, W. Ertmer, F. van Dorsselaer, and G. Nienhuis, *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 3068 (1998)
[19] S. Gspann, A. Meyer, S. Bernet, and M. Ritsch-Marte, in preparation (2003)
[20] K.-P. Marzlin, W. Zhang, and E. M. Wright, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4728 (1997).
[21] E. Bolda and D. Walls, *Phys. Lett A*, **246**, 32 (1998)
[22] R. Dum, J. I. Cirac, M. Lewenstein and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2972 (1998)
[23] K. Bergmann, H. Theuer, and B. W. Shore, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1003 (1998).
[24] E. Arimondo and G. Orriols, *Nuovo Cimento*, **17**, 333 (1976)
[25] E. Arimondo, *Prog. Opt.* **35**, 259, and refs. therein
[26] S. Harris, J. Field, and A. Imamoglu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** 1107 (1990)
[27] L. Hau, S. Harris, Z. Dutton, and C. Behroozi, *Nature*, **397**, 594 (1999)
[28] G. Juzeliunas, M. Masalas, and M. Fleischhauer, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 023809 (2003)
[29] A. Parkins, P. Marte, P. Zoller, and J. Kimble, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3095, (1993)
[30] R. Walser, J.I. Cirac and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2658 (1996)
[31] D. Hall *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1539 (1998).
[32] Th. Busch, J. I. Cirac, V. M. Pérez-García, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 2978 (1997).
[33] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
[34] R. Walser, J. Cooper, and M. Holland, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 013607 (2001)

- [35] *Quantum Theory of Finite Systems*, J.-P. Blaizot, and G. Ripka, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1986)
- [36] *Quantum Optics in Phase Space*, W. P. Schleich, Wiley-VCH, Berlin (2001)
- [37] N. Bogoliubov, J. Phys. (Moscow) **11**, 23 (1947)
- [38] A. L. Fetter, Ann. Phys. (NY) **70**, 67 (1972)
- [39] T. Isoshima, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 2203 (1999)
- [40] L. P. Pitaevskii, Phys. Lett. A **221**, 14 (1996)
- [41] Yu. Kagan, E. L. Surkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1753 (1996)
- [42] F. Chevy, V. Bretin, P. Rosenbusch, K. W. Madison, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 250402 (2002)