

# Optimal Bounds on the Trapping Constant and Permeability of Porous Media

S. Torquato\* and D. C. Pham

*Department of Chemistry and Princeton Materials Institute,  
Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544*

(Dated: November 1, 2018)

## Abstract

We derive exact expressions for so-called “void” bounds on the trapping constant  $\gamma$  and fluid permeability  $k$  for coated-spheres and coated-cylinders models of porous media. We find that in some cases the bounds are optimal, i.e., the void bounds coincide with the corresponding exact solutions of  $\gamma$  and  $k$  for these coated-inclusions models. In these instances, exact expressions are obtained for the relevant length scale that arises in the void bounds, which depends on a two-point correlation function that characterizes the porous medium. In contrast to bounds on the effective conductivity and elastic moduli of composite media, this is the first time that model microstructures have been found that exactly realize bounds on either the trapping constant or fluid permeability.

---

\*Corresponding author: torquato@princeton.edu

The study of the effective properties of heterogeneous materials, such as composite and porous media, has a rich history [1, 2] and is a continuing source of theoretically challenging questions [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Except for a few special microstructures [6, 7, 8], exact predictions of the effective properties are not possible because they depend on an infinite set of statistical correlations that characterize the microstructure [8]. Thus, apart from such exact solutions, rigorous estimates of effective properties must take the form of inequalities, i.e., upper and lower bounds [6, 7, 8, 10, 11]. Bounds are useful because often one of the bounds can provide a useful estimate of the property even when the reciprocal bound diverges from it [8]. Moreover, it is highly desirable to determine optimal bounds and the microstructures that attain them. The best known bounds in the cases of the effective conductivity and bulk modulus of two-phase media are the Hashin-Shtrikman bounds [10]. These are optimal bounds, given the phase volume fractions, because they are realizable by, among other geometries, certain coated-spheres and coated-cylinders assemblages in three and two dimensions, respectively.

Two important effective properties of fluid-saturated porous media that have been extensively studied are the trapping constant  $\gamma$  [8, 12, 13] and scalar fluid permeability  $k$  [3, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16]. Bounds on  $\gamma$  [8, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] and  $k$  [8, 22, 23, 24, 25] have been derived and computed. However, to date, microstructures that exactly realize (or attain) any of these bounds have yet to be identified. Torquato [8] has observed that the difficulty in identifying optimal microstructures for these classes of problems lies in the fact that  $\gamma$  and  $k$  (unlike the conductivity and elastic moduli) are length-scale dependent properties and known bounds on them depend nontrivially on the specific forms of two-point and higher-order correlation functions. For example, the so-called *void* bounds on  $\gamma$  and  $k$  [8, 21, 25] depend on the two-point correlation function  $S_2(r)$  (defined below) and have been evaluated for various particle models for the trapping constant [8, 21, 26] and fluid permeability [8, 22, 23, 25].

In this Letter, we exactly evaluate the *void* bounds on the trapping constant  $\gamma$  and fluid permeability  $k$  for the coated-spheres and coated-cylinders models of porous media. Interestingly, we show that in some cases the void bounds are optimal because they coincide with the corresponding exact solutions of  $\gamma$  and  $k$  for these particular coated-inclusions porous-media models. In these cases, we obtain exact expressions for the relevant length scale that arises in the void bounds, which depends on  $S_2(r)$ .

Each realization of the porous medium occupies a region of  $d$ -dimensional space  $\mathcal{V}$  of volume  $V$  that is partitioned into two disjoint regions: a pore space (phase)  $\mathcal{V}_P$  of porosity  $\phi_P$  and a solid space (phase)  $\mathcal{V}_S$  of volume fraction  $\phi_S = 1 - \phi_P$ . Let  $\partial\mathcal{V}$  denote the surface of interface between  $\mathcal{V}_P$  and  $\mathcal{V}_S$ . The pore-space *indicator function*  $\mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathbf{x} \text{ in } \mathcal{V}_P \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The indicator function  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x})$  for the interface is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}) = |\nabla\mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x})| . \quad (2)$$

For statistically homogeneous media, the ensemble averages of the indicator functions (1) and (2) are respectively equal to the phase volume fraction  $\phi_P$  and the specific surface  $s$  (interfacial area per unit volume), i.e.,

$$\phi_P = \langle \mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad s = \langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where angular brackets denote an ensemble average.

Before evaluating the bounds, we first define the effective properties  $\gamma$  and  $k$ , and the coated-spheres model. First, consider the steady-state trapping problem [8]. The reactant diffuses in the pore space  $\mathcal{V}_P$  (i.e. trap-free region) with scalar diffusion coefficient  $D$  but is instantly absorbed when it makes contact with the interface between  $\mathcal{V}_P$  and the trap region  $\mathcal{V}_S$ . At steady-state, the rate of production of the reactant  $G$  (per unit trap-free volume) is exactly compensated by its removal by the traps. Two-scale homogenization theory [20] enables one to show that the trapping constant  $\gamma$  for a statistically homogeneous and ergodic medium obeys the first-order rate equation

$$G = \gamma DC . \quad (4)$$

Here  $C$  is the average concentration field and

$$\gamma^{-1} = \langle u \rangle = \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{V}} u(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} , \quad (5)$$

where  $u(\mathbf{x})$  is the scaled concentration field that solves the boundary-value problem

$$\nabla^2 u(\mathbf{x}) = -1 , \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_P , \quad (6)$$

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = 0 , \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{V} . \quad (7)$$

It follows that the trapping constant  $\gamma$  for any  $d$  has dimensions of the inverse of length squared [8].

Rubinstein and Torquato [20] have formulated a variational principle in terms of the trial function  $v(\mathbf{x})$  that enables one to obtain the following lower bound on  $\gamma$  for ergodic media:

$$\gamma \geq \frac{1}{\langle \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla v(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle}, \quad (8)$$

where  $v(\mathbf{x})$  is required to satisfy the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 v(\mathbf{x}) = -1, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_P. \quad (9)$$

Elsewhere Torquato and Rubinstein [21] constructed what they referred to as the *void* lower bound in three dimensions by using a specific trial field. The generalization of this trial field to any space dimension  $d \geq 2$  [8] is given by

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\phi_S} \int_{\mathcal{V}} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) [\mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{y}) - \phi_P] d\mathbf{y}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), & d = 2 \\ \frac{1}{(d-2)\Omega(d)} \frac{1}{r^{d-2}}, & d \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

is the  $d$ -dimensional Green's function for the Laplace operator [8],  $\Omega(d) = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$  is the total solid angle contained in a  $d$ -dimensional sphere,  $\phi_S$  is volume fraction of the trap phase, and  $r \equiv |\mathbf{r}|$ . Substitution of trial field (10) into the variational principle (8) yields the two-point void lower bound on  $\gamma$  for general statistically homogeneous and isotropic  $d$ -dimensional porous media [8] as

$$\gamma \geq \frac{\phi_S^2}{\ell_P^2}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\ell_P$  is a pore length scale defined by

$$\ell_P^2 = \begin{cases} -\int_0^\infty [S_2(r) - \phi_P^2] r \ln r dr, & d = 2 \\ \frac{1}{(d-2)} \int_0^\infty [S_2(r) - \phi_P^2] r dr, & d \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

and  $S_2(\mathbf{r})$  is the two-point correlation function defined by

$$S_2(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) \rangle. \quad (14)$$

The function  $S_2(\mathbf{r})$  can also be interpreted as being the probability of finding two points separated by the displacement vector  $\mathbf{r}$  in the pore space [8].

Using homogenization theory, Rubinstein and Torquato [25] derived the conditions under which the slow flow of an incompressible viscous fluid through macroscopically anisotropic random porous medium is described by Darcy's law

$$\mathbf{U} = -\frac{1}{\mu}\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla p_0, \quad (15)$$

where  $\mathbf{U}$  is the average fluid velocity,  $\nabla p_0$  is the applied pressure gradient,  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity, and  $\mathbf{k}$  is the symmetric fluid permeability tensor. In particular, for the special case of macroscopically isotropic media, the scalar fluid permeability  $k = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{k})/d$  (where  $\text{Tr}$  denotes the trace operation) is given by

$$k = \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{e} \rangle = \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  is a unit vector, and  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\pi$  are, respectively, a scaled velocity and scaled pressure that satisfy the scaled Stokes equations

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{w} = \nabla \pi - \mathbf{e} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}_P, \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}_P, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{V}. \quad (19)$$

It follows that the permeability  $k$  for any  $d$  has dimensions of length squared [8].

Prager [22] was the first to derive a two-point ‘‘void’’ upper bound on the permeability using a variational principle. Subsequently, Berryman and Milton [23] corrected a normalization constraint in the Prager variational principle using a volume-average approach. Rubinstein and Torquato [25] formulated new upper and lower bound variational principles employing an ensemble-average approach and also derived the void upper bound.

For our purposes, the Rubinstein-Torquato variational principle for the upper bound is the most natural. It states that for ergodic media the trial function  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$  enables one to obtain the following upper bound on  $k$ :

$$k \leq \langle \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) : \nabla \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad (20)$$

where  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$  is required to satisfy the momentum equation

$$\nabla \times \nabla^2(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_P \quad (21)$$

Rubinstein and Torquato [25] constructed the *void* upper bound in three dimensions by using a specific trial field. The generalization of this trial field to any dimension  $d \geq 3$  is given by [8]

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\phi_S} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{e}[\mathcal{I}^{(P)}(\mathbf{y}) - \phi_P] d\mathbf{y}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{d}{(d^2 - 3)\Omega(d)r^{d-2}}[\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{nn}], \quad d \geq 3, \quad (23)$$

is the  $d$ -dimensional Green's function  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$  (second order tensor) associated with the velocity for Stokes flow,  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r}/r$ , and  $\phi_S$  is the volume fraction of the obstacles. Substitution of trial field (22) into the variational principle (20) yields the two-point void upper bound on  $k$  for general statistically homogeneous and isotropic  $d$ -dimensional porous media [8] as

$$k \leq \frac{(d+1)(d-2)}{d^2-3} \frac{\ell_P^2}{\phi_S^2}, \quad d \geq 3, \quad (24)$$

where  $\ell_P$  is the length scale defined by (13), which is precisely the same as the one that arises in the void lower bound on the trapping constant  $\gamma$  for  $d \geq 3$  [29].

The coated-spheres model [10] consists of composite spheres that are composed of a spherical core of phase 2 (inclusion) and radius  $R_I$ , surrounded by a concentric shell of phase 1 (matrix) and outer radius  $R_M$ . The ratio  $(R_I/R_M)^d$  is fixed and equal to the inclusion volume fraction  $\phi_2$  in space dimension  $d$ . The composite spheres fill all space, implying that there is a distribution in their sizes ranging to the infinitesimally small (see Fig. 1). The inclusion phase is always disconnected and the matrix phase is always connected (except at the trivial point  $\phi_2 = 1$ ).

The coated-spheres model places restrictions on the size distribution of the composite spheres. Without loss of generality, we will assume that the composite spheres possess an infinite number of discrete sizes. Let  $\rho_k$  be the number density (number of particles per unit volume) of the  $k$ th type of composite sphere of radius  $R_{M_k}$  and let  $R_{I_k}$  denote the corresponding radius of the inclusion. Moreover, we know that fraction of space covered by the composite spheres, denoted by  $\Phi$ , is unity, and therefore we have the following condition on the size distribution:

$$\Phi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k v_1(R_{M_k}) = 1, \quad (25)$$




FIG. 1: Schematic of the coated-spheres model microstructure.

where  $v_1(r)$  is the  $d$ -dimensional volume of a single sphere of radius  $r$  given by

$$v_1(r) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1 + d/2)} r^d. \quad (26)$$

For the volume fraction  $\Phi$  to remain bounded (i.e., for the sum (25) to converge),  $\rho_k R_{M_k}^d$  must also remain bounded for all  $k$ . Thus, the number density  $\rho_k$  must diverge to infinity as  $R_{M_k}$  approaches zero and the specific surface  $s$  must also diverge, since  $\rho_k R_{M_k}^{d-1}$  diverges as  $R_{M_k}$  approaches zero. Note that volume fraction  $\phi_2$  of the inclusion phase is given by

$$\phi_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k v_1(R_{I_k}) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1 + d/2)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k R_{I_k}^d. \quad (27)$$

It is convenient to introduce the following  $n$ th moment of  $R_I$ :

$$\langle R_I^n \rangle = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k R_{I_k}^n, \quad (28)$$

where  $\rho$  is a characteristic density (e.g., inverse of the volume of the largest composite sphere) and  $n$  is any integer  $n \geq 3$ . The inclusion volume fraction  $\phi_2$  can now be reexpressed as

$$\phi_2 = \rho \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1 + d/2)} \langle R_I^d \rangle. \quad (29)$$

We first evaluate the void lower bound on  $\gamma$  for the three-dimensional coated-spheres model. To begin, we take the connected matrix phase  $\mathcal{V}_1$  to be the traps and the disconnected inclusion phase  $\mathcal{V}_2$  to be the pore space. Therefore, the porosity is given by  $\phi_P = \phi_2$ . Using

the void trial field (10) for  $v(\mathbf{x})$ , we can obtain from (8) the following lower bound on  $\gamma$ :

$$\gamma \geq \left[ \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v \mathcal{I}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right]^{-1}, \quad (30)$$

where we have equated ensemble averages with volume averages via the ergodic hypothesis. The key volume integrals can be evaluated following Pham [27], and such details will be given elsewhere [28]. We find that the void lower bound is exactly given by

$$\gamma \geq \frac{15 \langle R_I^3 \rangle}{\phi_P \langle R_I^5 \rangle}. \quad (31)$$

Interestingly, by comparing this result to the general expression for the void upper bound (12), which is given in terms of the two-point correlation function  $S_2(r)$ , we see that the square of the pore length scale  $\ell_P$  for  $d = 3$  is exactly given by

$$\ell_P^2 = \int_0^\infty [S_2(r) - \phi_P^2] r dr = \frac{\phi_P \phi_S^2 \langle R_I^5 \rangle}{15 \langle R_I^3 \rangle}. \quad (32)$$

for the coated-spheres model.

Now we show that bound (31) coincides with the exact solution for this particular coated-spheres model. Specifically, the exact solution of the boundary-value problem (6) and (7) for diffusion inside a spherical inclusion  $\mathcal{S}_I$  of radius  $R_I$  is given by [8]  $u = (R_I^2 - r^2)/6$  for  $0 \leq r \leq R_I$ . Hence, using definition (5), we find that  $\gamma$ , for *nonoverlapping* sphere models with a *general size distribution* (not just the coated-spheres model) is exactly given by

$$\gamma = \langle u \rangle^{-1} = \left[ \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathcal{S}_I \in \mathcal{V}_2} \int_0^{R_I} \frac{1}{6} (R_I^2 - r^2) 4\pi r^2 dr \right]^{-1} = \frac{15 \langle R_I^3 \rangle}{\phi_P \langle R_I^5 \rangle}. \quad (33)$$

Thus, we see that the void lower bound (31) coincides with the exact solution (33) for the coated-spheres model, and hence the bound is exactly realizable when the inclusions are taken to be the pore phase. This may immediately lead one to conclude that the void bound is optimal among all microstructures, but such a statement cannot be made unless one attaches special conditions. Recall that unlike the effective conductivity or effective elastic moduli, the trapping constant as well as the fluid permeability are length-scale dependent quantities. Thus, any statement about optimality must fix not only the porosity but the relevant length scales. The correct statement is the following: The void bound is optimal among all microstructures that share the same porosity  $\phi_P$  and pore length scale  $\ell_P$  as the coated-spheres model [cf. Eq. (32)]. One can always adjust the pore length scale (32) of



the coated-spheres model at some porosity  $\phi_P$  to be equal to  $\ell_P$  for any microstructure with same porosity.

As noted above, relation (33) applies to diffusion within nonoverlapping spheres with a general size distribution. Accordingly, let us define another squared length scale  $L_P^2 = \langle R_I^5 \rangle / \langle R_I^3 \rangle$  for such a general nonoverlapping sphere model. In what follows, superscripts  $g$  and  $c$  are appended to quantities associated with the general sphere model and coated-spheres model, respectively. The use of expressions (12), (31), and (33) reveals the following interrelations between these two models: at fixed  $\phi_P$ , if  $L_P^{(g)} = L_P^{(c)}$ , then  $\gamma^{(g)} = \gamma^{(c)}$  and  $\ell_P^{(g)} \geq \ell_P^{(c)}$ , and if  $\ell_P^{(g)} = \ell_P^{(c)}$ , then  $\gamma^{(g)} \geq \gamma^{(c)}$  and  $L_P^{(g)} \leq L_P^{(c)}$ .

Next we take the connected matrix phase  $\mathcal{V}_1$  to be the pore space and the disconnected inclusion phase  $\mathcal{V}_2$  to be the traps so that  $\phi_P = \phi_1$ . Employing the trial field (10) for  $v(\mathbf{x})$ , we obtain the void lower bound for the model as

$$\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_s} \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{5}\phi_S^{1/3} - \phi_S - \frac{1}{5}\phi_S^2\right)^{-1}, \quad (34)$$

where  $\gamma_s = 3\phi_S \langle R_I^3 \rangle / \langle R_I^5 \rangle$  and  $\phi_S$  is the volume fraction of the traps. The exact solution in this case is not known.

The procedure above can be repeated the two-dimensional coated-cylinders model. For diffusion inside circular inclusions, we obtain the void lower bound as

$$\gamma \geq \frac{8\langle R_I^3 \rangle}{\phi_P \langle R_I^5 \rangle}, \quad (35)$$

which coincides with the exact result, and thus is an optimal bound in the sense described above. Comparison of this result to the general relation for the void upper bound (12) yields the following exact expression for the square of the pore length scale  $\ell_P$  for the coated-cylinders model:

$$\ell_P^2 = - \int_0^\infty [S_2(r) - \phi_P^2] r \ln r dr = \frac{\phi_P \phi_S^2 \langle R_I^5 \rangle}{8 \langle R_I^3 \rangle}. \quad (36)$$

For diffusion exterior to the circular inclusions, we obtain the void lower bound as

$$\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_s} \geq \left(-\ln \phi_S - \frac{3}{2} + 2\phi_S - \frac{1}{2}\phi_S^2\right)^{-1} \quad (37)$$

where  $\gamma_s = 4\langle R_I^3 \rangle \phi_S / \langle R_I^5 \rangle$ .

Consider fluid flow along (inside or outside) bundles of parallel cylindrical circular tubes corresponding to the coated cylinders model. The velocity field reduces to an axial component only, and the Stokes equation reduces to a simple Poisson equation identical to that of

the 2D trapping problem. Hence, we have exactly the same solution for the axial component of velocity as for the concentration field in the trapping problem, leading to the exact result that  $k = \gamma^{-1}$  [8]. Exploiting this observation and using the previous results, we simply summarize the appropriate results below for  $k$ .

In particular, for axial flow inside the cylindrical tubes (Poiseuille flow), we obtain the void upper bound as

$$k \leq \frac{\phi_P \langle R_I^5 \rangle}{8 \langle R_I^3 \rangle}, \quad (38)$$

which coincides with the exact result [8], and thus is an optimal bound. Comparison of this result to the general relation for the upper bound (24) also yields the exact formula (36) for the square of the pore length scale  $\ell_P$  for the coated-cylinders model in the *transverse* plane.

A well-known empirical estimate for  $k$  is the Kozeny-Carmen relation [8]

$$k = \frac{\phi_P^3}{c s^2}, \quad (39)$$

where  $c$  is an adjustable parameter and  $s$  is the specific surface defined by (3). However for the coated-spheres or coated-cylinders model with the inclusions of all sizes down to infinitesimally small, we saw earlier that  $s$  diverges to infinity and therefore the Kozeny-Carmen relation incorrectly predicts a vanishing permeability. This serves to illustrate the well-established fact that the permeability cannot generally be represented by a simple length scale, such as the specific surface [3, 8, 16].

For flow exterior to the cylindrical tubes, we obtain the void upper bound as

$$\frac{k}{k_s} \leq -\ln \phi_S - \frac{3}{2} + 2\phi_S - \frac{1}{2}\phi_S^2 \quad (40)$$

where  $k_s = \langle R_I^5 \rangle / (4\phi_S \langle R_I^3 \rangle)$ . For flow exterior to spherical obstacles, we exploit the fact that the void upper bound (24) on  $k$  is trivially related to the void lower bound (12). Thus, we deduce the upper bound on  $k$  for flow exterior to spherical inclusions in the coated-spheres model from the corresponding bound (34) on the trapping constant:

$$k/k_s \leq 1 + \frac{1}{5}\phi_S^{1/3} - \phi_S - \frac{1}{5}\phi_S^2, \quad (41)$$

where  $k_s = 2\langle R_I^5 \rangle / (9\phi_S \langle R_I^3 \rangle)$ .

To summarize, the two-point void lower bound (12) on the trapping constant  $\gamma$  and the void upper bound (24) on the fluid permeability  $k$  both generally depend on the pore length

scale  $\ell_P$ , defined by (13), which involves an integral over the two-point correlation function  $S_2(r)$  that characterizes the porous medium. We have derived exact expressions for the void bounds on  $\gamma$  and  $k$  for certain coated-spheres and coated-cylinders models of porous media. For diffusion inside the spherical ( $d = 3$ ) and cylindrical inclusions ( $d = 2$ ), the void lower bound on  $\gamma$  was shown to be exact. Similarly, for axial flow inside the cylinders of the coated-cylinders model, the void upper bound on  $k$  was demonstrated to be exact. In these instances, the void bounds are optimal among all microstructures that share the space porosity  $\phi_P$  and pore length scale  $\ell_P$  as the coated-spheres model. In contrast to bounds on the effective conductivity and elastic moduli of composite media, this is the first time that model microstructures have been found that exactly realize bounds on either the trapping constant or fluid permeability. For cases of diffusion and flow exterior to the spheres and cylinders in the coated-inclusions model of porous media, exact results are not available, but we still obtained simple analytical expressions for the void bounds on  $\gamma$  and  $k$ . In future studies, it will be of interest to investigate what are the optimal microstructures that correspond to the improved two-point “interfacial-surface” bounds on both  $\gamma$  and  $k$ , which also incorporate surface correlation functions [8].

### Acknowledgments

The work was completed during D. C. P.’s visit to the Materials Institute, Princeton University, as a Fulbright Senior Scholar. S. T. was supported by the MRSEC Grant at Princeton University, NSF DMR - 0213706, and by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. F49620-03-1-0406.

- 
- [1] J. C. Maxwell, *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1873).
  - [2] A. Einstein, *Ann. Phys.* **19**, 289 (1906).
  - [3] D. L. Johnson, J. Koplik, and L. M. Schwartz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2564 (1986).
  - [4] S. Feng, B. I. Halperin, and P. N. Sen, *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 197 (1987).
  - [5] S. Torquato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2644 (1990).
  - [6] A. V. Cherkaev, *Variational Methods for Structural Optimization* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000).

- [7] G. W. Milton, *The Theory of Composites* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [8] S. Torquato, *Random Heterogeneous Materials: Microstructure and Macroscopic Properties* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002).
- [9] M. Sahimi, *Heterogeneous Materials I: Linear Transport and Optical Properties* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).
- [10] Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman, *J. Appl. Phys.* **33**, 3125 (1962); Z. Hashin and S. Shtrikman, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **4**, 286 (1963).
- [11] M. J. Beran, *Statistical Continuum Theories* (Wiley, New York, 1968).
- [12] D. J. Wilkinson, D. L. Johnson, and L. M. Schwartz, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 4960 (1991).
- [13] S. Torquato and M. Avellaneda, *J. Chem. Phys.* **95**, 6477 (1991).
- [14] A. E. Scheidegger, *The Physics of Flow Through Porous Media* (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Canada, 1974).
- [15] P. Sheng and M.-Y. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1591 (1988).
- [16] M. Avellaneda and S. Torquato, *Phys. Fluids A* **3**, 2529 (1991).
- [17] S. Prager, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **18**, 227 (1963).
- [18] M. Doi, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **40**, 567 (1976).
- [19] D. R. S. Talbot and J. R. Willis, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **39**, 215 (1987).
- [20] J. Rubinstein and S. Torquato, *J. Chem. Phys.* **88**, 6372 (1988).
- [21] S. Torquato and J. Rubinstein, *J. Chem. Phys.* **90**, 1644 (1989).
- [22] S. Prager, *Phys. Fluids* **4**, 1477 (1961).
- [23] J. G. Berryman and G. W. Milton, *J. Chem. Phys.* **83**, 754 (1985).
- [24] S. Torquato and J. D. Beasley, *Phys. Fluids* **30**, 633 (1987).
- [25] J. Rubinstein and S. Torquato, *J. Fluid Mech.* **206**, 25 (1989).
- [26] K. Z. Markov, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **459**, 1035 (2003).
- [27] D. C. Pham, *Acta Mech.* **121**, 177 (1997); D. C. Pham, *Mech. Mater.* **27**, 249 (1998).
- [28] D. C. Pham and S. Torquato, in preparation.
- [29] The similarity between the void upper bound on  $k$  and the void lower bound on  $\gamma$  indicates a deeper relationship between these two properties, even though the corresponding governing field equations are different, both in form and tensorial order. Indeed, it was shown in Ref. 5 that the inverse of the isotropic trapping constant tensor bounds the fluid permeability tensor from above for general statistically homogeneous porous media.