

Feshbach resonance scattering under cylindrical harmonic confinement

V. A. Yurovsky

School of Chemistry, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel

(Dated: November 14, 2018)

A problem of collisions of atoms with two-channel zero-range interaction in an atomic waveguide is solved by using of a renormalization procedure. A matching of the solution to a solution of the related one-dimensional problem leads to relation between the one-dimensional and three-dimensional scattering parameters. The scattering amplitude and bound states for the confined system demonstrate differences from the related free and one-dimensional systems.

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s,32.80.Ps,03.75.Nt,03.65.Nk

Introduction

Quasi-one-dimensional atomic gases have been realized recently in elongated atomic traps (see Refs. [1, 2]), two-dimensional optical lattices (see Ref. [3]), atomic waveguides (see Ref. [4] and references therein), and atomic integrated optics devices (see Ref. [5] and references therein). These systems attract recently increased attention due to their possible applications to atomic interferometry, quantum measurements, and quantum computations. Ultracold atoms under tight cylindrical confinement could reach the “single-mode”, or quasi-one-dimensional regime, where only the ground state of transverse motion is significantly populated at the thermal equilibrium. An analysis of two-body collisions in this regime in Ref. [6] demonstrates that the center-of-mass motion can be separated in a case of harmonic confinement and a zero-range interaction between free atoms leads to an effective one-dimensional interaction between confined atoms. The one-dimensional interaction strength demonstrates resonant properties as a function of the ratio of the transverse width and the elastic scattering length. This confinement induced resonance has been analyzed in Refs. [7, 8]. A related problem of two atoms under three-dimensional harmonic confinement has been considered in Refs. [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. The works [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] analyzed a case of Bose atoms, describing their interactions by a Fermi pseudopotential. A case of confined fermions has been considered in Refs. [14, 15, 16, 17].

The previous works deal with a case of interatomic interaction involving a single channel. However, a possibility of scattering properties control due to the effect of Feshbach resonance (see Ref. [18]) brings attention to multichannel problems. A Feshbach resonance can appear if the energy of collision in an open channel is close to the bound state energy in a closed channel. A description of non-diagonal elements of a potential matrix in multichannel problems by a Fermi pseudopotential seems to be problematic due to its non-hermitian form. The present work, following Ref. [19], considers Hermitian δ function interactions. Such interactions lead to divergences, requiring a renormalization of scattering parameters. Probably, a multichannel zero-range potential

method of Ref. [20] could be used here as an alternative approach.

The work is outlined as follows. A renormalization procedure for two-channel scattering under cylindrical harmonic confinement is presented in Sec. I. Section II describes two models of two-channel one-dimensional systems: the atom-molecule one and the two-state one. The results are discussed in Sec. III. They include relation of one-dimensional scattering parameters to three-dimensional ones, analyzes of scattering amplitudes and bound states. A system of units in which Planck’s constant is $\hbar = 1$ is used below.

I. RENORMALIZATION

Following Ref. [19], consider two-channel scattering of atoms with δ function interactions under the external harmonic confinement described by the potential $V_{\text{conf}}(\mathbf{r})$. Close-coupled equations for the wavefunction of the open channel $\psi_a(\mathbf{r})$ and the amplitude for the system to be in the closed channel ψ_m have the form,

$$\begin{aligned} E\psi_a(\mathbf{r}) &= \left[-\frac{1}{m}\nabla^2 + V_a\delta(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\text{conf}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_a(\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad + V_{am}\delta(\mathbf{r})\psi_m \\ E\psi_m &= D_{3D}\psi_m + V_{am}^*\psi_a(0). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here m is the mass of the atom, E and \mathbf{r} are, respectively, the energy and coordinate vector of the relative motion, V_a is the strength of the open channel potential, V_{am} is the coupling strength, and D_{3D} is a bound state energy in the closed channel. All the energies here are counted from the open channel threshold. Elimination of ψ_m from Eqs. (1) leads to the one-channel Schroedinger equation

$$E\psi_a(\mathbf{r}) = \left[-\frac{1}{m}\nabla^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(E)\delta(\mathbf{r}) + V_{\text{conf}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_a(\mathbf{r}) \quad (2)$$

with the energy-dependent effective interaction strength

$$V_{\text{eff}}(E) = V_a + \frac{|V_{am}|^2}{E - D_{3D}}. \quad (3)$$

Let us at first reproduce, with some modifications, a renormalization procedure for collisions in free space

($V_{\text{conf}} = 0$) realized in Ref. [19]. The wavefunction in the momentum representation

$$\tilde{\psi}_a(\mathbf{q}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int d^3r \psi_a(\mathbf{r}) \exp(-i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}) \quad (4)$$

can be represented as

$$\tilde{\psi}_a(\mathbf{q}) = \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}_0) + \frac{m}{p_0^2 - q^2 + i0} (2\pi)^{-3} T_{\text{free}}(p_0), \quad (5)$$

where $p_0 = \sqrt{mE}$ is the collision momentum and the T matrix $T_{\text{free}}(p_0)$ obeys the Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$T_{\text{free}}(p_0) = V_{\text{eff}}(E) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} T_{\text{free}}(p_0) \int_0^{p_c} \frac{mq^2 dq}{p_0^2 - q^2 + i0} \right]. \quad (6)$$

The δ function potential in the coordinate representation leads to a constant potential in the momentum representation and, therefore, to a divergent integral in a Lippmann-Schwinger equation. This integral is regularized in Eq. (6) by introduction of a momentum cutoff p_c . The zero-energy limit of $T_{\text{free}}(p_0)$ should reproduce the dependence of the elastic scattering length on the external magnetic field B (see Ref. [18])

$$T_{\text{free}}(0) = \frac{4\pi}{m} a_{3D} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta}{B_0 - B} \right), \quad (7)$$

where a_{3D} is the background elastic scattering length, B_0 is the resonant value of the magnetic field, and Δ is the phenomenological resonance strength. The bound state energy D_{3D} is proportional to the magnetic field, $D_{3D} = \mu B + \text{const}$, where μ is the difference between the magnetic momenta of an atomic pair in the open and closed channels. As a result the non-renormalized parameters in Eq. (1) can be related to a_{3D} , B_0 , Δ , and μ as

$$\begin{aligned} V_a &= \frac{4\pi}{m} a_{3D} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} a_{3D} p_c \right)^{-1} \\ |V_{am}|^2 &= \frac{4\pi}{m} a_{3D} \mu \Delta \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} a_{3D} p_c \right)^{-2} \\ D_{3D} &= \mu \left[B - B_0 - \Delta + \Delta \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} a_{3D} p_c \right)^{-1} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

reproducing result of Ref. [19]. In the limit $p_c \rightarrow \infty$ the T matrix can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{free}}(p_0) &= -i \frac{4\pi}{m} a_{3D} \\ &\times \frac{p_0^2 - mD_{3D}}{a_{3D} p_0^3 - ip_0^2 - ma_{3D} D_{3D} p_0 + im(D_{3D} + \mu\Delta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Consider now a case of cylindrical harmonic confinement with the transverse frequency ω_{\perp} ,

$$V_{\text{conf}} = \frac{m}{4} \omega_{\perp}^2 \rho^2, \quad (10)$$

where $\rho^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and x , y , and z are the components of a vector \mathbf{r} . The Schroedinger equation (2) can be written out as

$$E\psi_a(\mathbf{r}) = \left[-\frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \hat{H}_{\perp} + V_{\text{eff}}(E) \delta(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_a(\mathbf{r}), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + V_{\text{conf}}(\rho) \quad (12)$$

is the transverse Hamiltonian and θ is the angular coordinate in the xy plane.

The eigenstates of \hat{H}_{\perp} , denoted as $|Nm_z\rangle$ (see Ref. [8]), satisfy

$$\hat{H}_{\perp} |Nm_z\rangle = (N + |m_z| + 1) \omega_{\perp} |Nm_z\rangle, \quad (13)$$

where m_z is the angular momentum. The eigenfunctions can be represented in terms of generalized Lagguere polynomials. The value of $|Nm_z\rangle$ at the origin is zero for odd N and independent of N for even $N = 2n$,

$$\langle 0|2nm_z\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} a_{\perp}} \delta_{0m_z}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m\omega_{\perp}}} \quad (15)$$

is the transverse harmonic oscillator length. Therefore matrix elements of the interatomic interaction

$$\langle 2n'm'_z | V_{\text{eff}} \delta(\mathbf{r}) | 2n, m_z \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi a_{\perp}^2} V_{\text{eff}} \delta_{0m_z} \delta_{0m'_z} \delta_z \quad (16)$$

are independent of n and n' .

Let us represent the wavefunction $\psi_a(\mathbf{r})$ as

$$\psi_a(\mathbf{r}) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \tilde{\psi}_n(q) e^{iqz} |2n0\rangle. \quad (17)$$

(The components proportional to $|Nm_z\rangle$ with odd N or $m_z \neq 0$ are uncoupled and, therefore, could be excluded.)

The coefficients $\tilde{\psi}_n(q)$ satisfy the set of coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned} E\tilde{\psi}_n(q) &= \left[\frac{q^2}{m} + (2n+1)\omega_{\perp} \right] \tilde{\psi}_n(q) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a_{\perp}^2} V_{\text{eff}}(E) \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq' \tilde{\psi}_{n'}(q'). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For a collision of two atoms in a transverse state n with a relative axial momentum $p_n = \sqrt{m[E - (2n+1)\omega_{\perp}]}$ the coefficients can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\psi}_{n'}(q) = \delta(q - p_n) \delta_{n'n} + \frac{m}{p_{n'}^2 - q^2 + i0} \frac{1}{2\pi} T_{n'n}(p_0). \quad (19)$$

The elements of T matrix $T_{n'n}(p_0)$ satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger equations

$$T_{n'n}(p_0) = \frac{1}{\pi a_{\perp}^2} V_{\text{eff}}(E) \left[1 - \frac{i}{2} m \sum_{n''=0}^{n_c} \frac{1}{p_{n''}} T_{n''n}(p_0) \right], \quad (20)$$

where the level cutoff n_c regularizes the divergent series. The right-hand-side of Eq. (20) is independent of n and n' . Therefore $T_{n'n}(p_0)$ is independent of n and n' as well and has a form,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n'n}(p_0) &= T_{\text{conf}}(p_0) \\ &= V_{\text{eff}}(E) \left[\pi a_{\perp}^2 + \frac{i}{2} V_{\text{eff}}(E) m \sum_{n''=0}^{n_c} \frac{1}{p_{n''}} \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

A substitution of Eqs. (3), (8), and (9) allows us to express $T_{\text{conf}}(p_0)$ in terms of the physical parameters as,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{conf}}(p_0) &= \frac{4}{ma_{\perp}} \left\{ \frac{4\pi a_{\perp}}{mT_{\text{free}}(p_0)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=0}^{n_c} \left[n - (p_0 a_{\perp}/2)^2 \right]^{-1/2} - \frac{2}{\pi} p_c a_{\perp} \right\}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This expression has a finite limit at $n_c \rightarrow \infty, p_c \rightarrow \infty$ for $a_{\perp} p_c = \pi \sqrt{n_c - (p_0 a_{\perp}/2)^2}$. This limit can be written out as,

$$T_{\text{conf}}(p_0) = \frac{4}{ma_{\perp}} \left[\frac{4\pi a_{\perp}}{mT_{\text{free}}(p_0)} + \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, - \left(\frac{a_{\perp} p_0}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right]^{-1}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha \right) = \lim_{n_c \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{n_c} (n + \alpha)^{-1/2} - 2(n_c + \alpha)^{1/2} \right], \quad (24)$$

with $-\pi < \arg(n + \alpha) \leq 0$, is the Hurwitz zeta function (see Refs. [8, 21]). Equation (23) is similar to Eq. (10) in Ref. [7], but the elastic scattering length is replaced by an energy-dependent function $\frac{m}{4\pi} T_{\text{free}}(p_0)$. For a case of non-resonant scattering ($\Delta = 0$) Eq. (23) reproduces the results of Refs. [7, 8]. This derivation can also be implemented for a case of three-dimensional harmonic confinement, giving some justification to the use of an energy-dependent resonant scattering length in Ref. [12, 13], although a Fermi pseudopotential is used there rather than δ function.

II. FESHBACH RESONANCE SCATTERING IN ONE DIMENSION

A. Atom-molecule model

Let us treat a bound state of an atomic pair in the closed channel as a molecule. A many-body one-dimensional system of coupled atoms and two-atom

molecules can be described by the Hamiltonian similar to one used in a related three-dimensional problem (see Refs. [18, 22]),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{am} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left\{ \hat{\Psi}_m^{\dagger}(x) \left(-\frac{1}{4m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + D_{1D} \right) \hat{\Psi}_m(x) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}(x) \left[-\frac{1}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{U_a}{2} \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) \right] \hat{\Psi}_a(x) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[g \hat{\Psi}_m^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) + \text{h.c.} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here $\hat{\Psi}_a(y)$ and $\hat{\Psi}_m(x)$ are the annihilation operators for the atomic and molecular fields, respectively, U_a is the strength of an interatomic interaction, and D_{1D} is the energy of the molecular state counted from the open channel threshold.

A state vector of the two-atom system can be represented as a superposition of atomic and molecular states,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_2^{am}\rangle &= e^{iPx} \left[\varphi_1^{am} \hat{\Psi}_m^{\dagger}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \varphi_0(y) \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger} \left(x - \frac{y}{2} \right) \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger} \left(x + \frac{y}{2} \right) \right] |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where P is a center-of-mass momentum, y is an interatomic distance, and $|0\rangle$ is the physical vacuum state.

A substitution of Eq. (26) into the Schroedinger equation

$$\left(\frac{P^2}{4m} + E \right) |\Psi_2^{am}\rangle = \hat{H}_{am} |\Psi_2^{am}\rangle \quad (27)$$

gives the coupled equations for the coefficients $\varphi_0(y)$ and φ_1^{am}

$$E \varphi_0(y) = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{d^2 \varphi_0}{dy^2} + \left[U_a \varphi_0(0) + \sqrt{2} g^* \varphi_1^{am} \right] \delta(y) \quad (28)$$

$$E \varphi_1^{am} = D_{1D} \varphi_1^{am} + \sqrt{2} g \varphi_0(0)$$

Elimination of φ_1^{am} from these equations leads to a single Schroedinger equation

$$E \varphi_0(y) = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{d^2 \varphi_0}{dy^2} + U_{\text{eff}}(E) \delta(y) \varphi_0(0) \quad (29)$$

with the energy-dependent effective interaction strength

$$U_{\text{eff}}(E) = U_a + \frac{2|g|^2}{E - D_{1D}}. \quad (30)$$

B. Two-state model

An alternative description of Feshbach resonances in one-dimensional systems involves atoms with two internal states, a and b , associated to the annihilation operators $\hat{\Psi}_a(x)$ and $\hat{\Psi}_b(x)$, respectively. The many-body

Hamiltonian of this system has the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{ab} = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left\{ -\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\alpha=a,b} \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(x) \right. \\ & + D_b \hat{\Psi}_b^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_b(x) + \frac{U_a}{2} \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) \\ & + U_b \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_b^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) \hat{\Psi}_b(x) \\ & \left. + \left[\frac{U_{ab}}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_b^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) \hat{\Psi}_a(x) + \text{h.c.} \right] \right\}, \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

where D_b is the energy mismatch between the states a and b and U_{ab} describes transitions between the states on atomic collisions. A state vector of two-atom system can be represented as a superposition of different atomic states

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_2^{ab}\rangle = & e^{iPx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \left[\varphi_1^{ab}(y) \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right) \hat{\Psi}_b^{\dagger}\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi_0(y) \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right) \hat{\Psi}_a^{\dagger}\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right) \right] |0\rangle, \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $\varphi_0(y)$ and $\varphi_1^{ab}(y)$ satisfy the set of coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned} E\varphi_0(y) = & -\frac{1}{m} \frac{d^2 \varphi_0}{dy^2} + \left[U_a \varphi_0(0) \right. \\ & \left. + U_{ab}^* \varphi_1^{ab}(0) \right] \delta(y) \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E\varphi_1^{ab}(y) = & -\frac{1}{m} \frac{d^2 \varphi_1^{ab}}{dy^2} + D_{1D} \varphi_1^{ab}(y) \\ & + [U_{ab} \varphi_0(0) + U_b \varphi_1^{ab}(0)] \delta(y) \quad (34) \end{aligned}$$

A Feshbach resonance can appear if one of the channels, ab , related to the coefficient $\varphi_1^{ab}(y)$, has a bound state. Such state can appear when $U_b < 0$ and has the energy $D_{1D} = D_b - \frac{m}{4} U_b^2$. In following D_b and $|U_b|$ will be tended to infinity keeping a fixed value of D_{1D} . This limit allows us to neglect the third channel, bb , which will be infinitely distanced from the other ones.

The coefficient $\varphi_1^{ab}(y)$ can be expressed from Eq. (34) as

$$\varphi_1^{ab}(y) = -\frac{U_{ab}}{2\kappa_b/m + U_b} \varphi_0(0) \exp(-\kappa_b y), \quad (35)$$

where $\kappa_b = \sqrt{m(D_b - E)}$.

A substitution of Eq. (35) into Eq. (33) leads again to the one-channel equation (29), but now the effective strength is expressed as

$$U_{\text{eff}}(E) = U_a - \frac{|U_{ab}|^2}{2\kappa_b/m + U_b}. \quad (36)$$

This expression tends to Eq. (30) in the limit $U_b \rightarrow -\infty$, while

$$D_b = D_{1D} + \frac{m}{4} U_b^2, \quad U_{ab} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{m|U_b|}} g. \quad (37)$$

C. T matrix

Equation (29) has a simple exact solution. The wavefunction in the momentum representation can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_0(q) = & (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \psi_0(y) \exp(-iqy) \\ = & \delta(p_0 - q) + \frac{m}{p_0^2 - q^2 + i0} \frac{1}{2\pi} T_{1D}(p_0) \quad (38) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_0 = \sqrt{mE}$ is the collision momentum and the one-dimensional T matrix satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger equation,

$$T_{1D}(p_0) = U_{\text{eff}}(E) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} T_{1D}(p_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{mdq}{p_0^2 - q^2 + i0} \right]. \quad (39)$$

Its solution has the form

$$T_{1D}(p_0) = U_{\text{eff}}(E) \left[1 + \frac{im}{2p_0} U_{\text{eff}}(E) \right]^{-1}. \quad (40)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. One-dimensional parameters

The limit of small collision momentum p_0 corresponds to small values of the second argument of the Hurwitz zeta function in Eq. (23). A substitution of expansion

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right) \underset{\alpha \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} - C + O(\alpha), \quad \sqrt{-|\alpha|} = -i\sqrt{|\alpha|}, \quad (41)$$

where $C \approx 1.4603$ is the Olshanii constant (see Ref. [6]), into Eq. (23) leads to an expression which coincides with Eq. (40) if

$$U_{\text{eff}}(E) = \frac{1}{\pi a_{\perp}^2} T_{\text{free}}(E) \left[1 - \frac{Cm}{4\pi a_{\perp}} T_{\text{free}}(E) \right]^{-1}. \quad (42)$$

This expression is nothing else but the Olshanii formula (see Ref. [6]) where the elastic scattering length and the one-dimensional interaction strength are replaced by $\frac{m}{4\pi} T_{\text{free}}(p_0)$ and the energy-dependent strength $U_{\text{eff}}(E)$, respectively.

Equation (42), in a combination with Eqs. (9) and (30), allows to relate the one-dimensional parameters U_a , D_{1D} , and g to the physical parameters a_{3D} , Δ , B_0 , and μ as

$$U_a = \frac{4a_{3D}}{ma_{\perp}^2} \left(1 - C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_{\perp}} \right)^{-1} \quad (43)$$

$$|g|^2 = \frac{2a_{3D}\mu\Delta}{ma_{\perp}^2} \left(1 - C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_{\perp}} \right)^{-2} \quad (44)$$

$$D_{1D} = \mu(B - B_0) - \omega_{\perp} + C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_{\perp}} \mu\Delta \left(1 - C \frac{a_{3D}}{a_{\perp}} \right)^{-1} \quad (45)$$

The confinement-induced resonance (see Refs. [6, 7]) not only scales here the interaction parameters, but also shifts the Feshbach resonance by the last term in Eq. (45). The term ω_{\perp} in Eq. (45) reflects the shift of the continuum threshold due to transverse oscillations.

B. Scattering

Let us introduce dimensionless variables (the scattering momentum k , the elastic scattering length a , the detuning b , and the resonance strength d) as,

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \frac{p_0 a_{\perp}}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{E}{2\omega_{\perp}} - \frac{1}{2}} \approx 8.1 \times 10^{-3} \sqrt{\frac{E(\text{nK})}{\omega_{\perp}(\text{MHz})} - \frac{1}{2}} \\ a &= \frac{a_{3D}}{a_{\perp}} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \sqrt{m(\text{AMU})\omega_{\perp}(\text{MHz})} a_{3D} \text{ (nm)} \\ b &= \mu \frac{B - B_0}{2\omega_{\perp}} - \frac{1}{2} \approx 4.4\mu (\mu_B) \frac{(B - B_0)(\text{G})}{\omega_{\perp}(\text{MHz})} - \frac{1}{2} \\ d &= \frac{a_{3D}\mu\Delta}{2a_{\perp}\omega_{\perp}} \\ &\approx 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \frac{a_{3D}(\text{nm})\mu(\mu_B)\Delta(\text{G})\sqrt{m(\text{AMU})}}{\sqrt{\omega_{\perp}(\text{MHz})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

For example, for $\omega_{\perp} = 2\pi \times 80$ Khz, we have $a \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$, $b \approx 64 [B(\text{G}) - 853]$, and $d \approx 0.14$ for the case of the 853 G resonance in Na; $a \approx 0.07$, $b \approx 48 [B(\text{G}) - 1007]$, and $d \approx 0.6$ for the case of the 1007 G resonance in ^{87}Rb ; and $a \approx 0.31$, $b \approx 39 [B(\text{G}) - 155]$, and $d \approx 130$ for the case of the resonance in ^{85}Rb .

The T matrix for the scattering under cylindrical harmonic confinement (23) is expressed in terms of these dimensionless variables as

$$T_{\text{conf}} = \frac{4}{m\omega_{\perp}} \frac{ak^2 - ab + d}{[ak^2 - ab + d] \zeta(1/2, -k^2) + k^2 - b}. \quad (47)$$

For small values of k the zeta function can be approximated by the expansion Eq. (41), leading to the expression for one-dimensional T matrix [cf. Eq. (40)]

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1D} &= \frac{4}{m\omega_{\perp}} \\ &\times \frac{ak^3 - (ab + d)k}{(1 - Ca)k^3 + iak^2 - [b(1 - Ca) + Cd]k - i(ab - d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

A study of the opposite, high k , limit requires an expansion for $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right)$ at high values of α . For $\alpha > 0$ such expansion can be obtained from the integral representation (1.10.7) in Ref. [21]

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right) \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -2\alpha^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{24}\alpha^{-3/2}. \quad (49)$$

At $\alpha < 0$ the function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right)$ has complex values with the imaginary part represented by a finite sum (see also

Ref. [8]),

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right) = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, [|\alpha|] + 1 - |\alpha|\right) + i \sum_{n=0}^{[|\alpha|]} (|\alpha| - n)^{-1/2}, \quad (50)$$

where $[|\alpha|]$ denotes the integer part of $|\alpha|$. In the limit $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ this sum can be approximated by the Hurwitz zeta function itself [see Eq. (24)], leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right) &\underset{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty}{\sim} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, [|\alpha|] + 1 - |\alpha|\right) \\ &+ i \left\{ 2\sqrt{|\alpha|} + \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha| - [|\alpha|]\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

A substitution of the leading term of this expansion into Eq. (47) gives us

$$T_{3D} = -i \frac{4}{m\omega_{\perp}} \frac{ak^2 - ab + d}{2ak^3 - ik^2 - 2(ab - d)k + ib}. \quad (52)$$

This expression differs from T_{free} (see Eq. (9)) only by a factor due to different definitions of T matrix for the scattering of the confined and free atoms.

The one-dimensional scattering can be characterized by reflection probability

$$R = |f_{\text{even}}|^2 = \left| \frac{m}{2p_0} T_{\text{conf}} \right|^2 \quad (53)$$

and by the phase of the scattering amplitude

$$\chi = \arg T_{\text{conf}}(k) - \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (54)$$

A comparison of results obtained with the exact expression (47) and the approximate ones Eq. (48) and Eq. (52) is presented in Figs. 1, 2, and 3. As one can see, the one-dimensional approximation (48) is appropriate only at small values of k . The three-dimensional approximation (52) reproduces an average behavior at high k , but it cannot reproduce the jumps appearing due to opening of transverse channels at integer values of k^2 .

C. Bound states

The bound state energies of two atoms in the atomic waveguide are given by the poles of $T_{\text{conf}}(p_0)$ on the positive imaginary axis of p_0 . Equation (47) leads to the transcendent equation in $x = -ik$

$$\frac{x^2 + b}{ax^2 + ab - d} = -\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}, x^2\right), \quad x > 0, \quad (55)$$

determining the dimensionless binding energy

$$\epsilon_b = x^2, \quad (56)$$




FIG. 1: The reflection probability [(a), see Eq. (53)] and the scattering phase [(b), see Eq. (54)] calculated as functions of the dimensionless collision momentum k [see Eq. (46)] with the parameter values $a = 0.1$, $b = 0$, and $d = 1$. The solid, dashed, and dot-dashed lines are related, respectively, to the exact expression (47), to the one-dimensional approximation (48), and to the three-dimensional one (52).




FIG. 2: The same as in Fig. 1, but for the parameter values $a = 0.1$, $b = 10$, and $d = 1$.




FIG. 3: The same as in Fig. 1, but for the parameter values $a = -0.1$, $b = 10$, and $d = 1$.

such that the bound state energies are

$$E = -2\omega_{\perp} \left(\epsilon_b - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (57)$$

For shallow bound states ($|E - \omega_{\perp}| \ll \omega_{\perp}$, $x \ll 1$), a substitution of the expansion (41) gives a cubic equation

$$(1 - Ca)x^3 + ax^2 + [b(1 - Ca) + Cd]x + ab - d = 0 \quad (58)$$

for bound states in the related one-dimensional system. A similar equation has been used in Ref. [23] for evaluation of binding energies of “bosonic mesons”.

For deep bound states ($|E| \gg \omega_{\perp}$, $x \gg 1$) a substitution of the leading term of the expansion (49) into Eq. (55) results in a cubic equation

$$2ax^3 - x^2 + 2(ab - d)x - b = 0, \quad (59)$$

determined bound states of free particles (similar equation has been considered in Refs. [24, 25]).

A general behavior of bound states can be seen from a qualitative analysis of Eq. (55). Its right hand side varies monotonically from $-\infty$ to ∞ for $0 < x < \infty$. The left hand side is a monotonic function of x for $x > 0$ unless $b < d/a$. Therefore Eq. (55) has two real positive roots for $b < d/a$ and only one such root otherwise. The two roots correspond to two bound states. One of them tends at $b \rightarrow -\infty$ to the bound state in the closed channel. At $b \rightarrow \infty$ it tends to the bound state of the related one-channel system analyzed in Ref. [7]. The second bound state tends to the state of the one-channel system at $b \rightarrow \infty$ and vanishes at the continuum threshold at $b = d/a$. A numerical solution of Eq. (55) (see




FIG. 4: The binding energy [see Eq. (56)] calculated as functions of the dimensionless detuning b [see Eq. (46)] with the parameter values $a = 0.1$ and $d = 1$. The solid, dashed, and dot-dashed lines are related, respectively, to the exact expression (55), to the one-dimensional approximation (58), and to the three-dimensional one (59). The linear plot (a) demonstrates a general behavior, while the logarithmic one (b) highlights the near-resonance region. The solid and dot-dashed lines almost coincide in the part (a).

Figs. 4 and 5) confirms the results of the quantitative analysis, demonstrating an intermediate behavior of the confined problem between the one-dimensional and three-dimensional approximations. In a case of a positive background scattering length (see Fig. 4) the bound states of the confined problem tend at $|b| \rightarrow \infty$ to the bound states of the three-dimensional model, while the one-dimensional one has a single bound state only. An opposite situation takes place at negative scattering length (see Fig. 5), when the three-dimensional model has one bound state only, and the bound states of the confined problem tend at $|b| \rightarrow \infty$ to the two bound states of the

one-dimensional model.

Conclusions

A problem of two-channel scattering of atoms under cylindrical harmonic confinement can be solved using a renormalization procedure.

Many-body problems involving two-channel scattering can be described in one dimension by two models: the atom-molecule one and the two-state one. Param-




FIG. 5: The same as in Fig. 4, but for the parameter values $a = -0.1$ and $d = 1$. The lower solid and dashed lines almost coincide in the part (b).

eters of these models can be expressed in terms of three-dimensional scattering parameters, using the solution of the confined problem. Scattering amplitudes and bound states of the confined system incorporate both properties of the related one-dimensional and three-dimensional systems, as well as specific peculiarities.

-
- [1] A. Görlitz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** 130402 (2001); K. Strecker *et al.*, Nature (London) **417**, 150 (2002); L. Khaykovich *et al.*, Science **296**, 1290 (2002); S. Richard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** 010405 (2003).
 [2] A. Marte *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** 283202 (2002).
 [3] M. Greiner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 160405 (2001).
 [4] A. E. Leanhardt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 040401 (2002).

- [5] D. Schneble *et al.*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **20**, 648 (2003).
 [6] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 938 (1998).
 [7] T. Bergeman, M. Moore, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 163201 (2003).
 [8] M. Moore, T. Bergeman, and M. Olshanii, cond-mat/0402149.
 [9] T. Busch, B.-G. Eglert, K. Rzazewski, and M. Wilkens, Found. Phys. **28**, 549(1998).

- [10] E. Tiesinga, C. J. Williams, F. H. Mies, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 063416 (2000).
- [11] D. Blume and C. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 043613 (2002).
- [12] E. Bolda, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 013403 (2002).
- [13] E. Bolda, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 032702 (2003).
- [14] B. E. Granger and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 133202 (2004).
- [15] K. Kanjilal and D. Blume, cond-mat/0406022.
- [16] M. Girardeau, M. Olshanii, cond-mat/0309396.
- [17] M. Girardeau, M. Olshanii, cond-mat/0401402.
- [18] E. Timmermans, P. Tommasini, M. Hussein, and A. Kerman, Phys. Rep. **315**, 199 (1999).
- [19] S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 053617 (2002).
- [20] Y. E. Kim and A. L. Zubarev, Phys. Lett A **312**, 277 (2003).
- [21] H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. 2* (Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1953).
- [22] V. A. Yurovsky, A. Ben-Reuven, P. S. Julienne, and C. J. Williams, Phys. Rev. A **60**, R765 (1999); *ibid.* A **62**, 043605 (2000).
- [23] K. V. Kheruntsyan and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2488 (1998).
- [24] C. H. Greene, talk at “Bose-Einstein condensation: from atoms to molecules” workshop, Durham, 2004.
- [25] P. D. Drummond and K. V. Kheruntsyan, cond-mat/0404429.