

Correlation matrix for quartet codon usage

L. Frappat^{ad}, A. Sciarrino^b, P. Sorba^{ac}

^a *Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique LAPTH,
CNRS, UMR 5108, associée à l'Université de Savoie,
BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France*

^b *Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli "Federico II"
and I.N.F.N., Sezione di Napoli,
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo,
Via Cintia, I-80126 Naples, Italy*

^c *TH Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneve 23, Switzerland*

^d *Member of Institut Universitaire de France*

Abstract

It has been argued that the sum of usage probabilities for codons, belonging to quartets, that have as third nucleotide C or A , is independent of the biological species for vertebrates. The comparison between the theoretical correlation matrix derived from these sum rules and the experimentally computed matrix for 26 species shows a satisfactory agreement. The Shannon entropy, weakly depending on the biological species, gives further support. Suppression of codons containing the dinucleotides CG or AU is put in evidence.

PACS number: 87.10.+e, 02.10.-v

cond-mat/0304326

1 Introduction

The genetic information in DNA is stored in sequences built up from four bases (nucleotides) C, T, G, A (in mRNA, which plays a key role in the construction of proteins, the base T is replaced by U). The proteins are made up from 20 different amino-acids. The “quantum” of genetic information is constituted by an ordered triplet of nucleotides (codon). There are therefore 64 possible codons, which encode 20 amino-acids, plus the three signals of the termination of the biosynthesis process. It follows that the genetic code, i.e. the correspondence between codons and amino-acids, is degenerate, and almost all the amino-acids are encoded by multiple codons (synonymous codons). Degeneracy is mainly found in the third position of the codon. For a long time it was accepted that: 1) there was no preference for the use of any particular codon, except for the enhancement due to the abundance of a particular basis (nucleotide); 2) the codon usage frequency was strongly dependent on the considered biological species, and no regular pattern could therefore be extracted.

The currently available data show that some codons are used much more frequently than others to encode a particular amino-acid. Let us, indeed, define the usage probability for the codon XZN ($X, Z, N \in \{A, C, G, U\}$) in a quartet as

$$P(XZN) = \lim_{n_{tot} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{XZN}}{n_{XZ}} \quad (1)$$

where n_{XZN} is the number of times the codon XZN has been used in the biosynthesis process of the corresponding amino-acid and n_{XZ} is the total number of codons used to synthesize this amino-acid. It follows that our analysis and predictions hold for biological species with sufficiently large statistics of codons. The above definition holds also for the usage probability for doublets, in which case we have to consider two possibilities: $N \equiv Y (= C, U)$ and $N \equiv R (= G, A)$. Let us point out that we consider a sextet as the sum of a quartet and a doublet, and a triplet as the sum of a doublet and a singlet. Therefore, in the following, we consider eight quartets, corresponding to the five quartets plus the quartet subparts of the three sextets of the eukaryotic code. In the following the probabilities for each quartet or each doublet are normalized to 1. It is currently believed that a non-uniform usage of synonymous codons is a widespread phenomenon and it is experimentally observed that the pattern of codon usage varies between species and even between tissues within a species; see refs. [1, 2], which contain a large number of references to the original works on the subject. The main reasons for the codon usage biases are believed to be: the mutational biases, the translation efficiency, the natural selection and the abundance of specific anticodons in the tRNA. However, the aim of this paper is not to compare the different proposed explanations, but to put in evidence a general pattern of the bias.

To our knowledge, systematic studies of codon usage for eukaryotes are rather fragmentary, while the case of bacteriae has been widely studied. Most of the analyses of the codon usage frequencies have addressed an analysis of the relative abundance of a specified codon in different genes of the same biological species or in the comparison of the relative abundance in the same gene for different biological species. Little attention has been paid to analyze the codon usage frequency summed over the whole available sequences to infer global correlations between different biological species. Indeed, in [3], analysing a large sample of species, a correlation between the GC content and the codon usage has been pointed out and explained on the basis of a mutational model at the nucleotide level. In [4], starting from the so-called crystal basis model for the genetic code proposed a few years ago by the present authors in [5], it has been derived that the sum of the codon usage probabilities for codons belonging to quartets, in the generalized meaning specified above, with third nucleotide C and A (or G and U), should be a constant (sum rule). In the present paper we

pursue the analysis and the developments of these sum rules, in particular investigating the consequences on the correlation matrix. With the twofold aim of focussing on the implications of the sum rules and of making the paper more easily legible to a wide range of readers, which may be not acquainted with the mathematical background of our model, no reference will be made either to the model itself or to the mathematical reasoning that led to the derivation of the sum rules. The interested reader can find details in the quoted papers and references therein. We simply state the results, which will be the input for the further analysis presented below.

Sum rules: for the codons belonging to quartets and for biological species belonging to vertebrates,

$$P(XZC) + P(XZA) = \text{Const.} \quad (XZ = NC, CU, GU, CG, GG) \quad (2)$$

holds. Here Const. means a value, depending on XZ , but independent on the biological species. In [4] a table with the values of these quantities can be found. From (2), one gets immediately

$$\begin{aligned} P(XZC) &= \rho(XZC) + H_{bs}(XZC) \\ P(XZA) &= \rho(XZA) - H_{bs}(XZC) \\ P(XZG) &= \rho(XZG) + H_{bs}(XZG) \\ P(XZU) &= \rho(XZU) - H_{bs}(XZG), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where ρ are functions independent on the biological species while the functions H_{bs} depend on the biological species.

In [4] the r.h.s. of eq. (2) has been fitted to the experimental data. Therefore it is natural to wonder how the experimentally fitted values depend on the data. In table 3 we have computed, for two biological species, namely *Homo sapiens* and *Danio rerio*, the probabilities for the codons in quartets with the last nucleotide C or A and their sum, using different releases of available data from GenBank in the last four years, in order to investigate the dependence on the statistics. One can remark that the experimentally computed probabilities change, but that in about 80% of cases an increase in $P(XZA)$ corresponds to a decrease in $P(XZC)$ and vice versa. This property is an indication of the existence of an anticorrelation between $P(XZC)$ and $P(XZA)$. An analogous analysis for other biological species belonging to the sample listed in table 1 shows the same behaviour. Let us remark that from this kind of analysis, one expects that for statistics of codons lower than roughly 100 000, the statistical fluctuations become non-negligible.

2 Correlation matrix

Let us introduce now the correlation matrix Γ between two discrete random variables X and Y . For a sample of size n , it is defined by

$$\Gamma(X, Y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} (X_k - \langle X \rangle) (Y_k - \langle Y \rangle) \quad (4)$$

where σ_X is the standard deviation

$$\sigma_X = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left(n \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right)^2 \right)} \quad (5)$$

and $\langle X \rangle$ is the mean value

$$\langle X \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k. \quad (6)$$

In our context, X_k (resp. Y_k) are the usage probability functions $P(XZN)$ (resp. $P(X'Z'N')$) for the k -th biological species for the same or different amino-acids. The sample we consider is given in table 1.

We can then construct a 32×32 correlation matrix Γ whose entries are the correlation coefficients $\Gamma(P(XZN), P(X'Z'N'))$, where $XZ, X'Z' = CC, UC, GC, AC, CU, GU, CG, GG$. From the expressions of the probabilities (1) and the sum rules (2) we derive for the same amino-acid the following symmetric submatrix:

	$P(XZU)$	$P(XZC)$	$P(XZA)$	$P(XZG)$
$P(XZU)$	1	$-x$	x	-1
$P(XZC)$	$-x$	1	-1	x
$P(XZA)$	x	-1	1	$-x$
$P(XZG)$	-1	x	$-x$	1

where x is a theoretically undetermined quantity to be fitted experimentally for any amino-acid. This kind of pattern can be easily compared with the experimental data for vertebrates (see the list of biological species and the corresponding number of codons used) from GenBank (release 131.0 of August 2002). One gets for the eight quartets

	Γ_{UC}	Γ_{UA}	Γ_{UG}	Γ_{CA}	Γ_{CG}	Γ_{AG}
Pro	-0.75	0.71	-0.68	-0.88	0.22	-0.53
Thr	-0.89	0.88	-0.62	-0.89	0.27	-0.62
Ala	-0.86	0.70	-0.46	-0.80	0.17	-0.61
Ser	-0.75	0.44	-0.67	-0.84	0.30	-0.40
Val	-0.55	0.51	-0.89	-0.67	0.32	-0.66
Leu	-0.83	0.43	-0.82	-0.47	0.57	-0.78
Arg	-0.36	0.34	-0.92	-0.88	0.03	-0.16
Gly	-0.78	0.78	-0.91	-0.92	0.63	-0.80

where $\Gamma_{NN'}$ denotes for short $\Gamma(P(XZN), P(XZN'))$, for some amino-acid related to a quartet of codons XZN .

From the above table, we remark that the values of some antidiagonal elements $\Gamma_{NN'}$ are very close to the theoretical values -1 , namely Γ_{UG} and Γ_{CA} for Arg and Gly, Γ_{CA} for Pro, Thr, Ala, Ser and Γ_{UG} for Val and Leu ¹. We also note that the entries Γ_{UN} for all eight amino-acids are in good agreement with the theoretical values, while the entries Γ_{CG} , Γ_{AG} show a large numerical discrepancy. These facts mean that the small violations of the sum rules are averaged, in the sum defining the correlation coefficients, almost to zero for Γ_{UN} , but not for the other ones, as can be inferred from the analysis of the values of the probabilities $P(XZN)$ given in tables 4 to 7. It is evident that the degree of correlation is not the same for the eight amino-acids, Thr and Leu being the most correlated ones, and Arg the least one.

It may be useful to compute the average value over the eight amino-acids of the entries of the correlation matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Gamma_{CA} \rangle &= -0.79, & \langle \Gamma_{CG} \rangle &= 0.32, & \langle \Gamma_{CU} \rangle &= -0.72, \\ \langle \Gamma_{GU} \rangle &= -0.75, & \langle \Gamma_{AU} \rangle &= 0.60, & \langle \Gamma_{AG} \rangle &= -0.57. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

¹In the crystal basis model [5], the mathematical assignment of the codons encoding for the eight quartets exhibit the same pattern of grouping of codons.

We see that the average values differ within 20% from the expected ones, except for Γ_{CG} , which is almost half of the expected value. We shall comment later on this point.

For different amino-acids we derive from (3) that for the eight amino-acids related to quartets, the theoretical correlation pattern, for the different probabilities take the following form

	$P(XZU)$	$P(XZC)$	$P(XZA)$	$P(XZG)$
$P(X'Z'U)$	y	$-z$	z	$-y$
$P(X'Z'C)$	$-t$	x	$-x$	t
$P(X'Z'A)$	t	$-x$	x	$-t$
$P(X'Z'G)$	$-y$	z	$-z$	y

This kind of pattern can also be easily compared with the experimental data. One obtains, for example, for the correlations between the probabilities of codon usage of Valine and Threonine:

	$P(GUU)$	$P(GUC)$	$P(GUA)$	$P(GUG)$
$P(ACU)$	0.76	-0.64	0.75	-0.76
$P(ACC)$	-0.83	0.64	-0.72	0.81
$P(ACA)$	0.72	-0.80	0.83	-0.67
$P(ACG)$	-0.23	0.57	-0.57	0.22

for Glycine and Alanine:

	$P(GGU)$	$P(GGC)$	$P(GGA)$	$P(GGG)$
$P(GCU)$	0.83	-0.80	0.71	-0.67
$P(GCC)$	-0.65	0.86	-0.80	0.54
$P(GCA)$	0.56	-0.79	0.69	-0.40
$P(GCG)$	-0.48	0.35	-0.22	0.31

We can extend the correlation matrix by taking into account the correlations between quartets and doublets, getting a 58×58 matrix. We obtain, for the correlation entries between quartets and doublets:

	$P(XZU)$	$P(XZC)$	$P(XZA)$	$P(XZG)$
$P(X'Z'U)$	x	$-y$	y	$-x$
$P(X'Z'C)$	$-x$	y	$-y$	x
$P(X'Z'A)$	z	$-t$	t	$-z$
$P(X'Z'G)$	$-z$	t	$-t$	z

Note that the structure of the doublets implies that the second and fourth lines are direct consequences of the first and third lines, respectively. As an example, we obtain, for the correlations between Pro versus Asp and Glu:

	$P(CCU)$	$P(CCC)$	$P(CCA)$	$P(CCG)$
$P(GAU)$	0.68	-0.76	0.88	-0.55
$P(GAA)$	0.54	-0.58	0.75	-0.54

Also in this case, the largest discrepancy appears in the matrix entry Γ_{CG} .

An analysis of the above tables shows that there are numerical violations with respect to the theoretical correlation matrix, but that the signs, with few exceptions corresponding to values close to zero, are preserved. Let us remark that for each pair of quartets (or quartet and doublet), provided that the codons are put in the order U, C, A, G and assuming that the number x, y, z, t are all of the same sign, one obtains a correlation matrix whose entries show alternating signs along each row and each column. An inspection of the correlation

matrix shows that the 406 independent parameters that appear in our model are all positive. This intriguing property, which is likely to reflect some “dynamical” behaviour, requires further analysis in order to be well understood and also to evaluate to what extent it depends on the choice of the sample. A comparison with the experimentally computed 58×58 correlation matrix shows that this property is verified with a very good approximation. Indeed it is violated only for a few per cent of the entries: in these cases the correlation coefficients with wrong sign are very small, i.e. they concern poorly correlated codon usage probabilities, which are expected to be much more sensitive to fluctuations.

3 Shannon entropy

The order of magnitude of the biological species independent term $\rho(XZN)$ in (3) is around the mean value denoted $\langle P_N \rangle$ in tables 4 to 7, and the biological species dependent term in (3) is around $\sigma(P_N)$ ($N = C, A, U, G$). Note that the ratio $\sigma(P_N)/\langle P_N \rangle$ is around 10% in each case. A rough analysis of the distribution of the probabilities P_N seems to indicate a standard distribution, although the small size of the sample does not allow us to draw a reliable conclusion.

In order to check the effectiveness of the sum rules in the light of the above estimations, we compute the Shannon entropy related to the eight amino-acids, all considered as encoded by quartets:

$$S_{XZ} = - \sum_{N=C,A,U,G} P(XZN) \ln_2 P(XZN) \quad (8)$$

where $P(XZN)$ is given by eq. (3). Since $H_{bsN}/\rho_N \ll 1$, we can approximate $\ln(1 \pm H_{bsN}/\rho_N)$ by $\pm H_{bsN}/\rho_N - \frac{1}{2} H_{bsN}^2/\rho_N^2$. So we get for the Shannon entropy for a given amino-acid related to a dinucleotide XZ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_{XZ} \simeq & - \sum_{N=C,A,U,G} \rho_N \ln_2 \rho_N - H_{bsC} \ln_2 \frac{\rho_C}{\rho_A} - H_{bsG} \ln_2 \frac{\rho_G}{\rho_U} \\ & - \frac{1}{2 \ln 2} H_{bsC}^2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_C} + \frac{1}{\rho_A} \right) - \frac{1}{2 \ln 2} H_{bsG}^2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_G} + \frac{1}{\rho_U} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where for simplicity ρ_N , H_{bsN} and P_N stand for $\rho(XZN)$, $H_{bs}(XZN)$ and $P(XZN)$ respectively, the dinucleotide XZ being fixed. In this equation, the first sum corresponds to the biological species independent part of the Shannon entropy, while the second and third terms are the first- and second-order biological species dependent corrections. From the above formula, we expect the entropy to be independent of the biological species within a few per cent. We report in table 9 the Shannon entropy for the eight amino-acids related to quartets for the sample of biological species of table 1. The standard deviation is in this case reduced with respect to the standard deviations of the probability distributions $P(XZN)$, see tables 4 to 7, as can be easily checked quantitatively from the last terms of (9). Let us remark that there is no clear dependence of the Shannon entropies S_{XZ} on the total exonic GC content of the biological species.

Now we can compute the contribution of each quartet to the Shannon entropy of the total exonic region, defined as:

$$S_{tot} = - \sum_i p_i \ln_2 p_i, \quad (10)$$

where the sum runs over all the coding codons and p_i is the usage probability of the codon i , i.e.

$$p_i = \frac{n_i}{N_{tot}} \quad (11)$$

where n_i is the number of times the codons i has been used and N_{tot} is the total number of coding codons. The contribution to the Shannon entropy (10) of the amino-acid encoded by the codons XZN ($N = C, A, U, G$) is

$$S_{tot}^{XZ} = - \sum_{N=C,A,U,G} p_N \ln_2 p_N . \quad (12)$$

As $p_N = P(XZN) \frac{n_{XZ}}{N_{tot}}$, we get

$$S_{tot}^{XZ} = -P_{XZ} \ln_2 P_{XZ} + P_{XZ} S_{XZ} , \quad (13)$$

where P_{XZ} is the probability of the amino-acid encoded by XZN , i.e. $P_{XZ} = \frac{n_{XZ}}{N_{tot}}$, and S_{XZ} is given by eq. (8).

Therefore, from the remarked biological species independence of S_{XZ} , we derive that the contribution of an amino-acid (encoded by a quartet) to the total Shannon entropy depends only on its frequency. This is a non trivial result. Indeed, the probability of an amino-acid depends of course on the probabilities of the encoding codons, but a priori one might obtain the same amino-acid probability with different distributions of the encoding codons, therefore with different contributions to the total Shannon entropy. On the contrary, eq. (13) tells us that the contribution to the entropy is, within a few per cent, equal for all vertebrates for a fixed frequency of the amino-acid.

4 Conclusion

The above analysis provides a strong support of the validity for the sum rules equation (2). The emerging pattern, for vertebrates, is the existence of a species independent codon usage bias. From tables 4 to 7, it is clear that the third nucleotides in the quartets are in decreasing usage order: $C > U > A > G$ for Pro, Ala and Ser, $C > U > G > A$ for Thr, $G > C > U > A$ for Val and Leu, $C \gtrsim G > A \gtrsim U$ for Arg and $C > A > G > U$ for Gly. Some biological species show different patterns (e.g. *Danio rerio* and *Macaca fascicularis*). The deviations from the values given by the sum rules are fluctuations that shift the numerical values of the correlation matrix entries from the expected ones, but are not strong enough to change the sign. The existence of sum rules indicates correlations in the whole set of the coding sequences of DNA, while in the literature it is stated that correlations essentially appear in the non-coding part of DNA. It should be quoted that Zeeberg [7], from an analysis of over 7000 genes for *Homo sapiens*, has derived parameters for linear regression for codon nucleotide composition versus the per cent of GC content in genomic regions. From his analysis, one expects a correlation of the same order for codons having C or U , and C or A in third position. Our result shows a stronger correlation in the latter case.

As noted above, the values of the entry Γ_{CG} are smaller than those expected. This feature may be due to the known suppression of the codons containing a dinucleotide CG , see ref. [2], and for a thermodynamical explanation of this phenomenon, see ref. [6]. Note that this suppression can be inferred by looking at the first four columns of table 7. An inspection of the columns 5 and 6 of table 5 shows that there is also a suppression in the usage of codons containing the dinucleotide UA . It is worthwhile to further investigate this suppression in the other codons. Indeed an analysis of the codon usage probabilities of the codons AUA encoding for Ile and UUA encoding for Leu confirms this suppression. It is also interesting to observe that the codons UAR , being nonsense codons, are rarely used.

We have also remarked that the Shannon entropies related to the eight amino-acids, encoded by quartets in the present scheme, are biological species independent within a few per cent. Moreover, the contribution of these amino-acids to the total Shannon entropy (10) depends only on their frequencies.

It would be interesting to extend this type of analysis to a suitably chosen sample of plants or of invertebrates, while bacteriae seem to behave in a peculiar different way.

Acknowledgements: A.S. is indebted to the Université de Savoie for financial support and LAPTH for its kind hospitality.

References

- [1] Duret L., Mouchiroud D., *Expression pattern and, surprisingly, gene length shape codon usage in Caenorhabditis, Drosophila and Arabidopsis*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **96** (1999) 4482.
- [2] Kanaya S., Yamada Y., Kinouchi M., Kudo Y. Ikemura T., *Codon usage and tRNA genes in eukaryotes: correlation of codon usage diversity with translation efficiency with C-G dinucleotide usage as assessed by multivariate analysis*, J. Mol. Evol. **53** (2001) 290.
- [3] R.D. Knight, S.J. Freeland, L.F. Landweber, *A simple model based on mutation and selection explains trends in codon and amino-acid usage and GC composition within and across genomes*, Genome Biol. **2** (2001) 1, (<http://genomebiology.com/2001/2/4/research/0010>).
- [4] Frappat L., Sciarrino A., Sorba P., *Sum rules for codon usage probabilities*, to appear in Phys. Lett. A (2003) ([physics/0205013](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0205013)).
- [5] Frappat L., Sciarrino A., Sorba P., *A crystal base for the genetic code*, Phys. Lett. A. **250** (1998) 214 ([physics/9801027](http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/9801027)).
- [6] Klump H.H., Maeder D.L., *The thermodynamic basis of the genetic code*, J. Pure Appl. Chem. **63** (1991) 1357.
- [7] B. Zeeberg, *Shannon information theoretic computation of synonymous codon usage biases in coding regions of human and mouse genomes*, Genome Research **12** (2002) 944, (<http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.213402>).

Table 1: Data for the biological species (release 131.0 of GenBank)

	Species	# of seqs.	# of codons	exonic <i>GC</i> content
1	Homo sapiens	55194	24298072	52.45
2	Mus musculus	25249	11455875	52.38
3	Rattus norvegicus	7260	3637311	52.75
4	Xenopus laevis	3057	1402544	47.25
5	Gallus gallus	2352	1152438	52.05
6	Danio rerio	2535	1124891	50.91
7	Bos taurus	2012	884632	52.39
8	Sus scrofa	1256	503449	53.44
9	Macaca fascicularis	1547	466424	49.60
10	Oryctolagus cuniculus	914	462701	54.40
11	Canis familiaris	576	270095	52.19
12	Takifugu rubripes	373	212447	54.24
13	Oncorhynchus mykiss	454	163360	53.53
14	Cavia porcellus	360	144107	51.73
15	Ovis aries	444	143625	53.46
16	Oryzias latipes	265	119801	51.59
17	Cricetulus griseus	247	119508	51.37
18	Rattus sp.	277	113465	52.50
19	Macaca mulatta	364	109818	51.43
20	Mesocricetus auratus	246	108131	52.41
21	Pan troglodytes	325	104247	57.49
22	Cyprinus carpio	250	103536	49.60
23	Mus sp.	236	92973	52.87
24	Felis catus	222	81469	52.15
25	Equus caballus	221	77391	52.97
26	Rattus rattus	179	71784	53.11

Table 2: Number of codons for *Homo sapiens*, *Danio rerio* and *Macaca fascicularis* in different releases from GenBank used to compute probabilities reported in table 3.

Homo sapiens	1	6 130 940	2	8 707 603	3	11 310 862
Homo sapiens	4	19 894 411	5	24 298 072		
Danio rerio	1	99 766	2	213 258	3	312 789
Danio rerio	4	696 043	5	1 124 891		

Table 3: Probabilities for $P(XZC)$, $P(XZA)$ and $P(XZC) + P(XZA)$ corresponding to the eight amino-acids related to quartets, for *Homo sapiens*, *Danio rerio* and *Macaca fascicularis*, computed using data from different releases from 1998 to 2002, corresponding to a total number of codons reported in table 2.

<i>Homo sapiens</i>								
release	$P_C(P)$	$P_C(T)$	$P_C(A)$	$P_C(S)$	$P_C(V)$	$P_C(L)$	$P_C(R)$	$P_C(G)$
1	0.3336	0.3785	0.4109	0.3743	0.2462	0.2497	0.3332	0.3480
2	0.3318	0.3699	0.4072	0.3671	0.2400	0.2462	0.3287	0.3453
3	0.3284	0.3640	0.4040	0.3618	0.2387	0.2450	0.3243	0.3430
4	0.3280	0.3620	0.4037	0.3612	0.2380	0.2446	0.3228	0.3435
5	0.3300	0.3619	0.4026	0.3609	0.2386	0.2461	0.3221	0.3415
release	$P_A(P)$	$P_A(T)$	$P_A(A)$	$P_A(S)$	$P_A(V)$	$P_A(L)$	$P_A(R)$	$P_A(G)$
1	0.2705	0.2691	0.2189	0.2334	0.1063	0.0835	0.1811	0.2454
2	0.2705	0.2737	0.2215	0.2369	0.1107	0.0863	0.1850	0.2453
3	0.2734	0.2778	0.2251	0.2417	0.1133	0.0878	0.1883	0.2478
4	0.2737	0.2788	0.2256	0.2427	0.1138	0.0875	0.1875	0.2461
5	0.2734	0.2817	0.2280	0.2462	0.1175	0.0970	0.1895	0.2479
release	$P_{C+A}(P)$	$P_{C+A}(T)$	$P_{C+A}(A)$	$P_{C+A}(S)$	$P_{C+A}(V)$	$P_{C+A}(L)$	$P_{C+A}(R)$	$P_{C+A}(G)$
1	0.6041	0.6476	0.6298	0.6077	0.3525	0.3332	0.5143	0.5934
2	0.6023	0.6436	0.6287	0.6040	0.3507	0.3325	0.5137	0.5906
3	0.6018	0.6418	0.6291	0.6035	0.3520	0.3328	0.5126	0.5908
4	0.6016	0.6408	0.6293	0.6039	0.3518	0.3321	0.5103	0.5896
5	0.6034	0.6436	0.6306	0.6071	0.3561	0.3431	0.5116	0.5894
<i>Danio rerio</i>								
release	$P_C(P)$	$P_C(T)$	$P_C(A)$	$P_C(S)$	$P_C(V)$	$P_C(L)$	$P_C(R)$	$P_C(G)$
1	0.2717	0.3445	0.3202	0.3329	0.2457	0.2420	0.3432	0.3010
2	0.2708	0.3392	0.3244	0.3407	0.2495	0.2510	0.3407	0.3056
3	0.2641	0.3344	0.3208	0.3331	0.2468	0.2434	0.3379	0.2981
4	0.2601	0.3212	0.3168	0.3198	0.2387	0.2406	0.3326	0.2872
5	0.2542	0.3117	0.3113	0.3133	0.2376	0.2373	0.3271	0.2845
release	$P_A(P)$	$P_A(T)$	$P_A(A)$	$P_A(S)$	$P_A(V)$	$P_A(L)$	$P_A(R)$	$P_A(G)$
1	0.2666	0.2629	0.2347	0.2383	0.1010	0.0891	0.2142	0.3239
2	0.2629	0.2696	0.2327	0.2363	0.0990	0.0878	0.2140	0.3219
3	0.2718	0.2738	0.2373	0.2379	0.0998	0.0833	0.2141	0.3285
4	0.2749	0.2854	0.2417	0.2437	0.1049	0.0836	0.2195	0.3379
5	0.2816	0.2942	0.2461	0.2477	0.1035	0.0832	0.2252	0.3413
release	$P_{C+A}(P)$	$P_{C+A}(T)$	$P_{C+A}(A)$	$P_{C+A}(S)$	$P_{C+A}(V)$	$P_{C+A}(L)$	$P_{C+A}(R)$	$P_{C+A}(G)$
1	0.5383	0.6075	0.5548	0.5712	0.3466	0.3311	0.5574	0.6249
2	0.5337	0.6088	0.5571	0.5770	0.3485	0.3388	0.5547	0.6275
3	0.5359	0.6081	0.5582	0.5709	0.3466	0.3267	0.5520	0.6266
4	0.5350	0.6066	0.5584	0.5634	0.3436	0.3242	0.5522	0.6250
5	0.5358	0.6059	0.5575	0.5610	0.3410	0.3205	0.5523	0.6257

Table 4: Probabilities $P(XZC)$ for the eight amino-acids related to quartets (release 131.0 of GenBank database). First column refers to the biological species of table 1.

	$P_C(P)$	$P_C(T)$	$P_C(A)$	$P_C(S)$	$P_C(V)$	$P_C(L)$	$P_C(R)$	$P_C(G)$
1	0.330	0.362	0.403	0.361	0.239	0.246	0.322	0.342
2	0.306	0.354	0.383	0.363	0.250	0.248	0.306	0.334
3	0.319	0.372	0.401	0.380	0.261	0.255	0.313	0.340
4	0.235	0.273	0.278	0.301	0.199	0.197	0.262	0.236
5	0.331	0.333	0.347	0.359	0.231	0.234	0.364	0.321
6	0.254	0.312	0.311	0.313	0.238	0.237	0.327	0.284
7	0.350	0.383	0.427	0.377	0.257	0.255	0.329	0.349
8	0.356	0.414	0.448	0.419	0.266	0.255	0.354	0.366
9	0.292	0.315	0.363	0.328	0.229	0.242	0.289	0.300
10	0.385	0.416	0.474	0.447	0.277	0.269	0.393	0.392
11	0.350	0.379	0.424	0.376	0.264	0.259	0.341	0.334
12	0.339	0.401	0.409	0.418	0.305	0.266	0.363	0.332
13	0.384	0.430	0.416	0.404	0.283	0.251	0.381	0.298
14	0.335	0.380	0.413	0.392	0.245	0.251	0.364	0.345
15	0.369	0.431	0.452	0.401	0.266	0.277	0.371	0.361
16	0.293	0.372	0.351	0.372	0.269	0.234	0.367	0.300
17	0.320	0.379	0.377	0.361	0.243	0.235	0.296	0.342
18	0.316	0.382	0.401	0.373	0.274	0.256	0.309	0.344
19	0.318	0.384	0.403	0.389	0.262	0.268	0.334	0.310
20	0.332	0.378	0.404	0.376	0.257	0.237	0.308	0.323
21	0.415	0.496	0.388	0.419	0.242	0.273	0.418	0.367
22	0.259	0.312	0.315	0.309	0.247	0.233	0.298	0.273
23	0.296	0.358	0.395	0.366	0.244	0.253	0.319	0.350
24	0.371	0.404	0.436	0.403	0.276	0.258	0.330	0.351
25	0.349	0.423	0.443	0.412	0.281	0.261	0.363	0.369
26	0.325	0.400	0.409	0.406	0.272	0.259	0.338	0.355
$\langle P_C \rangle$	0.328	0.379	0.395	0.378	0.257	0.250	0.337	0.331
$\sigma(P_C)$	0.041	0.046	0.046	0.036	0.021	0.017	0.036	0.034

Table 5: Probabilities $P(XZA)$ for the eight amino-acids related to quartets (release 131.0 of GenBank database). First column refers to the biological species of table 1.

	$P_A(P)$	$P_A(T)$	$P_A(A)$	$P_A(S)$	$P_A(V)$	$P_A(L)$	$P_A(R)$	$P_A(G)$
1	0.273	0.282	0.228	0.246	0.117	0.097	0.189	0.248
2	0.284	0.291	0.229	0.232	0.116	0.098	0.213	0.256
3	0.275	0.278	0.221	0.225	0.110	0.092	0.205	0.251
4	0.361	0.346	0.317	0.257	0.174	0.134	0.241	0.345
5	0.269	0.289	0.248	0.237	0.119	0.084	0.163	0.262
6	0.282	0.294	0.246	0.248	0.103	0.083	0.225	0.341
7	0.261	0.273	0.209	0.237	0.111	0.111	0.198	0.250
8	0.264	0.257	0.198	0.230	0.108	0.131	0.188	0.250
9	0.307	0.315	0.261	0.271	0.141	0.107	0.206	0.281
10	0.224	0.224	0.180	0.182	0.078	0.060	0.155	0.224
11	0.256	0.265	0.203	0.224	0.115	0.091	0.183	0.256
12	0.239	0.211	0.180	0.180	0.073	0.059	0.183	0.268
13	0.230	0.250	0.199	0.196	0.102	0.098	0.148	0.277
14	0.279	0.286	0.221	0.233	0.105	0.087	0.178	0.254
15	0.241	0.233	0.184	0.206	0.089	0.083	0.179	0.248
16	0.262	0.262	0.224	0.230	0.079	0.077	0.178	0.324
17	0.283	0.282	0.234	0.222	0.121	0.097	0.211	0.247
18	0.268	0.273	0.216	0.219	0.103	0.087	0.208	0.251
19	0.289	0.271	0.217	0.231	0.087	0.077	0.167	0.284
20	0.288	0.285	0.221	0.226	0.106	0.084	0.205	0.254
21	0.204	0.216	0.160	0.179	0.060	0.086	0.157	0.217
22	0.309	0.297	0.236	0.270	0.097	0.096	0.221	0.337
23	0.284	0.277	0.216	0.215	0.114	0.095	0.208	0.246
24	0.242	0.241	0.199	0.214	0.109	0.110	0.198	0.255
25	0.239	0.238	0.189	0.223	0.102	0.098	0.178	0.241
26	0.262	0.246	0.194	0.188	0.096	0.086	0.205	0.238
$\langle P_A \rangle$	0.268	0.268	0.217	0.224	0.105	0.093	0.192	0.266
$\sigma(P_A)$	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.025	0.022	0.017	0.023	0.034

Table 6: Probabilities $P(XZU)$ for the eight amino-acids related to quartets (release 131.0 of GenBank database). First column refers to the biological species of table 1.

	$P_U(P)$	$P_U(T)$	$P_U(A)$	$P_U(S)$	$P_U(V)$	$P_U(L)$	$P_U(R)$	$P_U(G)$
1	0.283	0.242	0.263	0.302	0.179	0.162	0.140	0.163
2	0.304	0.247	0.291	0.318	0.168	0.160	0.149	0.175
3	0.295	0.233	0.280	0.303	0.156	0.149	0.152	0.169
4	0.320	0.300	0.333	0.367	0.269	0.253	0.259	0.215
5	0.257	0.234	0.281	0.289	0.196	0.155	0.167	0.168
6	0.300	0.251	0.308	0.321	0.214	0.170	0.221	0.217
7	0.266	0.215	0.256	0.291	0.164	0.144	0.137	0.160
8	0.244	0.197	0.240	0.255	0.139	0.121	0.119	0.139
9	0.303	0.273	0.288	0.326	0.209	0.199	0.167	0.177
10	0.238	0.193	0.220	0.245	0.138	0.118	0.113	0.132
11	0.280	0.231	0.260	0.307	0.157	0.150	0.127	0.179
12	0.241	0.193	0.259	0.253	0.168	0.114	0.186	0.186
13	0.282	0.220	0.291	0.320	0.161	0.116	0.267	0.220
14	0.277	0.223	0.267	0.285	0.169	0.142	0.135	0.178
15	0.263	0.214	0.246	0.289	0.146	0.132	0.151	0.158
16	0.294	0.230	0.303	0.310	0.243	0.164	0.196	0.197
17	0.318	0.256	0.322	0.344	0.173	0.169	0.172	0.202
18	0.302	0.227	0.282	0.313	0.154	0.143	0.156	0.166
19	0.281	0.238	0.273	0.306	0.173	0.162	0.167	0.162
20	0.292	0.237	0.282	0.308	0.156	0.154	0.170	0.177
21	0.218	0.166	0.257	0.321	0.116	0.105	0.105	0.109
22	0.305	0.281	0.344	0.334	0.226	0.171	0.286	0.252
23	0.296	0.251	0.272	0.311	0.154	0.150	0.151	0.169
24	0.265	0.212	0.243	0.285	0.149	0.133	0.127	0.150
25	0.305	0.220	0.255	0.276	0.147	0.126	0.163	0.168
26	0.302	0.237	0.297	0.317	0.155	0.140	0.169	0.180
$\langle P_U \rangle$	0.282	0.232	0.277	0.304	0.172	0.150	0.167	0.176
$\sigma(P_U)$	0.026	0.029	0.029	0.028	0.035	0.030	0.046	0.030

Table 7: Probabilities $P(XZG)$ for the eight amino-acids related to quartets (release 131.0 of GenBank database). First column refers to the biological species of table 1.

	$P_G(P)$	$P_G(T)$	$P_G(A)$	$P_G(S)$	$P_G(V)$	$P_G(L)$	$P_G(R)$	$P_G(G)$
1	0.114	0.114	0.106	0.091	0.465	0.495	0.349	0.248
2	0.105	0.108	0.097	0.087	0.466	0.494	0.331	0.235
3	0.111	0.117	0.099	0.092	0.473	0.504	0.331	0.240
4	0.084	0.081	0.072	0.075	0.358	0.416	0.238	0.204
5	0.143	0.144	0.124	0.115	0.455	0.527	0.306	0.249
6	0.164	0.143	0.134	0.118	0.445	0.509	0.226	0.157
7	0.124	0.129	0.108	0.095	0.468	0.491	0.336	0.241
8	0.136	0.131	0.114	0.096	0.487	0.494	0.340	0.245
9	0.099	0.097	0.089	0.075	0.420	0.452	0.338	0.243
10	0.154	0.168	0.125	0.126	0.507	0.553	0.339	0.252
11	0.113	0.125	0.114	0.093	0.464	0.500	0.350	0.231
12	0.182	0.195	0.152	0.150	0.454	0.561	0.268	0.214
13	0.104	0.100	0.093	0.080	0.455	0.536	0.204	0.204
14	0.109	0.112	0.099	0.090	0.481	0.520	0.323	0.223
15	0.127	0.123	0.118	0.103	0.499	0.508	0.299	0.233
16	0.151	0.136	0.122	0.088	0.409	0.525	0.259	0.179
17	0.079	0.083	0.067	0.073	0.463	0.499	0.320	0.209
18	0.115	0.118	0.101	0.095	0.469	0.514	0.327	0.240
19	0.112	0.108	0.107	0.073	0.478	0.492	0.331	0.244
20	0.088	0.099	0.093	0.090	0.481	0.525	0.317	0.246
21	0.163	0.122	0.194	0.081	0.582	0.535	0.320	0.307
22	0.127	0.111	0.106	0.087	0.431	0.500	0.195	0.138
23	0.124	0.114	0.117	0.108	0.487	0.502	0.323	0.235
24	0.122	0.143	0.122	0.099	0.466	0.499	0.346	0.244
25	0.108	0.119	0.114	0.089	0.470	0.516	0.296	0.222
26	0.111	0.117	0.100	0.089	0.476	0.515	0.289	0.227
$\langle P_G \rangle$	0.122	0.121	0.111	0.095	0.466	0.507	0.304	0.227
$\sigma(P_G)$	0.025	0.024	0.025	0.017	0.038	0.029	0.045	0.033

Table 8: Probabilities $P(XZC) + P(XZA)$ for the eight amino-acids related to quartets (release 131.0 of GenBank database). First column refers to the biological species of table 1.

	$P_{C+A}(P)$	$P_{C+A}(T)$	$P_{C+A}(A)$	$P_{C+A}(S)$	$P_{C+A}(V)$	$P_{C+A}(L)$	$P_{C+A}(R)$	$P_{C+A}(G)$
1	0.603	0.644	0.631	0.607	0.356	0.343	0.512	0.589
2	0.591	0.645	0.612	0.595	0.366	0.345	0.519	0.590
3	0.593	0.650	0.621	0.605	0.371	0.347	0.518	0.591
4	0.596	0.619	0.595	0.559	0.373	0.331	0.503	0.582
5	0.600	0.622	0.595	0.596	0.350	0.318	0.527	0.583
6	0.536	0.606	0.557	0.561	0.341	0.321	0.552	0.626
7	0.610	0.656	0.636	0.614	0.368	0.365	0.527	0.599
8	0.620	0.671	0.646	0.649	0.374	0.386	0.541	0.615
9	0.599	0.630	0.623	0.600	0.370	0.349	0.496	0.581
10	0.608	0.640	0.654	0.629	0.355	0.329	0.548	0.616
11	0.607	0.644	0.627	0.600	0.379	0.350	0.523	0.590
12	0.577	0.613	0.589	0.598	0.378	0.325	0.546	0.600
13	0.614	0.680	0.616	0.600	0.385	0.348	0.529	0.575
14	0.614	0.666	0.633	0.625	0.350	0.338	0.542	0.599
15	0.610	0.663	0.636	0.607	0.355	0.360	0.550	0.609
16	0.555	0.634	0.575	0.601	0.348	0.311	0.545	0.623
17	0.603	0.661	0.611	0.583	0.364	0.331	0.507	0.588
18	0.584	0.655	0.618	0.592	0.377	0.343	0.517	0.594
19	0.607	0.654	0.620	0.621	0.349	0.345	0.501	0.594
20	0.619	0.663	0.625	0.602	0.363	0.321	0.513	0.577
21	0.619	0.712	0.548	0.598	0.302	0.359	0.575	0.584
22	0.568	0.609	0.550	0.579	0.344	0.329	0.519	0.609
23	0.581	0.635	0.611	0.581	0.358	0.348	0.526	0.596
24	0.614	0.645	0.635	0.617	0.385	0.368	0.527	0.606
25	0.588	0.661	0.631	0.635	0.382	0.359	0.541	0.610
26	0.587	0.646	0.603	0.594	0.368	0.345	0.542	0.593
$\langle P_{C+A} \rangle$	0.596	0.647	0.611	0.602	0.362	0.343	0.529	0.597
$\sigma(P_{C+A})$	0.021	0.023	0.028	0.021	0.018	0.017	0.019	0.014

Table 9: Shannon entropy for the eight amino-acids related to quartets (release 131.0 of GenBank database). First column refers to the biological species of table 1.

	$S(P)$	$S(T)$	$S(A)$	$S(S)$	$S(V)$	$S(L)$	$S(R)$	$S(G)$
	Pro	Thr	Ala	Ser	Val	Leu	Arg	Gly
1	1.911	1.898	1.865	1.865	1.815	1.751	1.908	1.953
2	1.903	1.893	1.862	1.852	1.806	1.752	1.936	1.963
3	1.910	1.896	1.854	1.853	1.785	1.726	1.933	1.957
4	1.847	1.857	1.841	1.835	1.943	1.879	1.999	1.966
5	1.943	1.939	1.916	1.900	1.831	1.694	1.911	1.964
6	1.966	1.945	1.934	1.913	1.827	1.721	1.978	1.943
7	1.917	1.900	1.846	1.864	1.796	1.760	1.912	1.948
8	1.926	1.877	1.832	1.841	1.757	1.758	1.878	1.924
9	1.893	1.887	1.864	1.845	1.884	1.821	1.947	1.973
10	1.922	1.900	1.812	1.840	1.691	1.590	1.830	1.900
11	1.903	1.902	1.853	1.856	1.801	1.730	1.885	1.964
12	1.964	1.920	1.892	1.883	1.747	1.575	1.939	1.965
13	1.873	1.837	1.827	1.807	1.792	1.671	1.915	1.983
14	1.904	1.883	1.848	1.847	1.779	1.697	1.891	1.958
15	1.911	1.860	1.828	1.854	1.723	1.693	1.908	1.940
16	1.956	1.916	1.906	1.852	1.823	1.691	1.940	1.954
17	1.856	1.846	1.809	1.819	1.816	1.751	1.957	1.966
18	1.914	1.891	1.855	1.858	1.777	1.705	1.940	1.954
19	1.912	1.880	1.864	1.817	1.761	1.723	1.919	1.962
20	1.873	1.870	1.844	1.852	1.774	1.696	1.952	1.969
21	1.900	1.780	1.916	1.790	1.553	1.641	1.813	1.880
22	1.929	1.910	1.889	1.868	1.833	1.750	1.978	1.936
23	1.928	1.901	1.880	1.878	1.775	1.733	1.935	1.953
24	1.903	1.899	1.852	1.850	1.784	1.743	1.897	1.940
25	1.892	1.864	1.833	1.833	1.769	1.702	1.921	1.940
26	1.908	1.881	1.838	1.817	1.762	1.699	1.948	1.954
$\langle S \rangle$	1.910	1.886	1.860	1.850	1.785	1.717	1.922	1.950
$\sigma(S)$	0.029	0.033	0.032	0.027	0.068	0.061	0.041	0.022