

The trion as an exciton interacting with a carrier

M. Combescot and O. Betbeder-Matibet

GPS, Université Denis Diderot and Université Pierre et Marie Curie, CNRS,

Tour 23, 2 place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

Abstract

The X^- trion is essentially an electron bound to an exciton. However, due to the composite nature of the exciton, there is no way to write an exciton-electron interaction potential. We can overcome this difficulty by using a commutation technique similar to the one we introduced for excitons interacting with excitons, which allows to take exactly into account the close-to-boson character of the excitons. From it, we can obtain the X^- trion creation operator in terms of exciton and electron. We can also derive the X^- trion ladder diagram between an exciton and an electron. These are the basic tools for future works on many-body effects involving trions.

PACS number : 71.35.-y Excitons and related phenomena

The stability of semiconductor trions has been predicted long ago [1,2]. However, their binding energies being extremely small in bulk materials, clear experimental evidences [3-5] of these exciton-electron bound states have been achieved recently only, due to the development of good semiconductor quantum wells, the reduction of dimensionality enhancing all binding energies.

It is now possible to study these exciton-electron bound states as well as their interactions [6-8] with other carriers. However many-body effects with trions are even more subtle than many-body effects with excitons: Being made of indistinguishable carriers, the interchange of these fermions with other carriers is quite tricky to handle properly.

We have recently developed a novel procedure [9] to treat many-body effects between excitons without the help of any bosonization procedure. It allows to take *exactly* into account the possible exchanges between their components responsible for their close-to-boson character. In this communication, we first derive a similar procedure for an exciton interacting with electrons. It generates an exciton-electron coupling induced by direct Coulomb processes and an exciton-electron coupling induced by the possible exchange of the exciton electron with the other electrons, the interplay between the two giving rise to very many subtle exchange Coulomb processes.

We then use this commutation technique to get the trion creation operators in terms of (exact-)exciton and electron and we show how it is possible to hide all tricky exchange couplings in the prefactors of the trion operators, provided that we force them to have a very specific invariance.

In a last part, we derive the trion ladder diagram between an exciton and an electron. Although it ends by being conceptually similar to the exciton ladder diagrams [10] between an electron and a hole, its derivation faces at first a major difficulty due to the composite nature of the exciton. Indeed, the electrons being indistinguishable, there is no way to identify the exciton-electron *potential* responsible for the trion binding, similar to the Coulomb attracting potential which exists between electron and hole. In addition, the spins are known to be unimportant for excitons – if we neglect electron-hole exchange – while they are crucial for trions, the singlet and triplet states having different energy. Since the bare Coulomb scattering is spin independent, it is not clear at first how the total electronic spin affects these trion ladder diagrams.

Although we here speak in terms of exciton and electron, the extension of the present work to X^+ trions with electron replaced by hole is formally straightforward, although quite heavy due to the valence band degeneracy – and its resulting non diagonal heavy hole-light hole Coulomb interaction.

We wish to stress that the purpose of this work is not to find a new clever way to estimate the trion binding energies. The wide literature on H^- or H_2^+ in atomic physics [11] already provides much more accuracy than needed in Solid State Physics. What we want to do here, is to put the trion into the general framework of an (exact-)exciton interacting with indistinguishable carriers, in order to provide convenient tools for further works on many-body effects involving trions.

1 Commutation technique for an exciton interacting with electrons

Following the commutation technique we developed for excitons interacting with excitons, in the case of excitons interacting with electrons, we are led to introduce the two parameters $\Xi_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{dir}}$ and $\Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}$ defined as

$$\left[V_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger, a_{\mathbf{k},s}^\dagger \right] = \sum_{n',\mathbf{k}'} \Xi_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{dir}} B_{n',\sigma_n}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}',s}^\dagger, \quad (1)$$

$$\left[D_{n',\sigma_{n'};n,\sigma_n}, a_{\mathbf{k},s}^\dagger \right] = \delta_{\sigma_{n'},s} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma_n}^\dagger. \quad (2)$$

The “creation potential” V_{n,σ_n}^\dagger and the deviation-from-boson operator $D_{n',\sigma_{n'};n,\sigma_n}$ are the ones defined as for excitons interacting with excitons [9], namely $\left[H, B_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger \right] = E_n B_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger + V_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger$ and $\left[B_{n',\sigma_{n'}}, B_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger \right] = \delta_{n,n'} \delta_{\sigma_n,\sigma_{n'}} - D_{n',\sigma_{n'};n,\sigma_n}$. H is the exact semiconductor Hamiltonian and B_{n,σ_n}^\dagger is the creation operator of the exciton $n = (\nu_n, \mathbf{Q}_n)$, with energy $E_n = \epsilon_{\nu_n} + \hbar^2 \mathbf{Q}_n^2 / 2(m_e + m_h)$ and electron spin σ_n . (The hole “spin” being unimportant for trions, we drop it to simplify the notations). B_{n,σ_n}^\dagger is linked to the electron and hole creation operators $a_{\mathbf{k},s}^\dagger$ and $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ by

$$B_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathbf{p} | \varphi_{\nu_n} \rangle b_{-\mathbf{p}+\alpha_h \mathbf{Q}_n}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\alpha_e \mathbf{Q}_n, \sigma_n}^\dagger, \quad (3)$$

$$b_{\mathbf{k}_h}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_e, s}^\dagger = \sum_{\nu} \langle \varphi_{\nu} | \alpha_h \mathbf{k}_e - \alpha_e \mathbf{k}_h \rangle B_{\nu, \mathbf{k}_e + \mathbf{k}_h, s}^\dagger, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_e = 1 - \alpha_h = m_e/(m_e + m_h)$ and $\langle \mathbf{k} | \varphi_\nu \rangle$ is the exciton relative motion wave function in \mathbf{k} space. By using the explicit expression of V_{n,σ_n}^\dagger deduced from its definition [9], eq. (1) leads to

$$\Xi_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{dir}} = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}_{n'}+\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{Q}_n+\mathbf{k}} W_{\nu_{n'}\nu_n}(\mathbf{Q}_{n'} - \mathbf{Q}_n) , \quad (5)$$

$$W_{\nu'\nu}(\mathbf{q}) = V_{\mathbf{q}} \langle \varphi_{\nu'} | e^{i\alpha_h \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} - e^{-i\alpha_e \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} | \varphi_\nu \rangle , \quad (6)$$

$V_{\mathbf{q}}$ being the Fourier transform of the Coulomb potential. $W_{\nu'\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ characterizes the scattering of a ν exciton into a ν' state under a \mathbf{q} Coulomb excitation. For physical understanding, let us mention that $\Xi_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{dir}}$ also reads

$$\Xi_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{dir}} = \int d\mathbf{r}_e d\mathbf{r}_{e'} d\mathbf{r}_h \phi_{n'}^*(\mathbf{r}_e, \mathbf{r}_h) f_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(\mathbf{r}_{e'}) \left[\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_{e'} - \mathbf{r}_e|} - \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_{e'} - \mathbf{r}_h|} \right] \phi_n(\mathbf{r}_e, \mathbf{r}_h) f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}_{e'}) , \quad (7)$$

where $f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} / \sqrt{\mathcal{V}}$ is the free-particle wave function while $\phi_n(\mathbf{r}_e, \mathbf{r}_h) = \langle \mathbf{r}_{eh} | \varphi_{\nu_n} \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}_{eh} | \mathbf{Q}_n \rangle$, with $\mathbf{r}_{eh} = \mathbf{r}_e - \mathbf{r}_h$ and $\mathbf{R}_{eh} = (m_e \mathbf{r}_e + m_h \mathbf{r}_h) / (m_e + m_h)$, is the total wave function of the n exciton : $\Xi_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}^{\text{dir}}$ thus corresponds to the direct scattering of a (n, \mathbf{k}) exciton-electron state into a (n', \mathbf{k}') state induced by the Coulomb interactions *between* the exciton and the electron, when the n and n' excitons are made with the *same* electron-hole pair (e, h) .

If we turn to $\Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}$, eqs. (2,3) and the explicit expression of $D_{n',\sigma';n,\sigma}$ deduced from its definition [9] lead to

$$\Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}} = \delta_{\mathbf{K}',\mathbf{K}} L_{\nu_{n'},\mathbf{p}';\nu_n,\mathbf{p}} , \quad (8)$$

$$L_{\nu'\mathbf{p}'\nu\mathbf{p}} = \langle \varphi_{\nu'} | \mathbf{p} + \alpha_e \mathbf{p}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{p}' + \alpha_e \mathbf{p} | \varphi_\nu \rangle , \quad (9)$$

with $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} + \beta_e \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{Q}_n = -\mathbf{p} + \beta_x \mathbf{K}$ and $\beta_e = 1 - \beta_x = m_e / (2m_e + m_h)$. We can mention that $\Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}$ also reads

$$\Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}} = \int d\mathbf{r}_e d\mathbf{r}_{e'} d\mathbf{r}_h \phi_{n'}^*(\mathbf{r}_e, \mathbf{r}_h) f_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(\mathbf{r}_{e'}) \phi_n(\mathbf{r}_{e'}, \mathbf{r}_h) f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}_e) , \quad (10)$$

which clearly shows that the (n, \mathbf{k}) and (n', \mathbf{k}') states are coupled by $\Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}}$ due to their exchange of electrons, independently from any Coulomb process. This possible exchange of electrons also leads to

$$B_{n,\sigma}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k},s}^\dagger = - \sum_{n',\mathbf{k}'} \Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n\mathbf{k}} B_{n',s}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}',\sigma}^\dagger , \quad (11)$$

while two exchanges reduce to identity :

$$\sum_{n'',\mathbf{k}''} \Lambda_{n'\mathbf{k}'n''\mathbf{k}''} \Lambda_{n''\mathbf{k}''n\mathbf{k}} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} . \quad (12)$$

2 Trion creation operators

The X^- trions being made of two electrons and one hole, their creation operators *a priori* write in terms of $b_{\mathbf{k}_h}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_e}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}_{e'}}^\dagger$. According to eq. (4), they can also be written in terms of $B_n^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$, with $\mathbf{Q}_n + \mathbf{k}$ being equal to the trion total momentum \mathbf{K}_i .

Let us look for these trion creation operators as

$$\mathbb{T}_{i,S,S_z}^\dagger = \sum_{\nu,\mathbf{p}} f_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K}_i,S,S_z}^\dagger, \quad (13)$$

where i stands for (η_i, \mathbf{K}_i) , and the \mathcal{T} 's are the exciton-electron creation operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};1,\pm 1}^\dagger &= B_{\nu,-\mathbf{p}+\beta_x\mathbf{K},\pm}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\beta_e\mathbf{K},\pm}^\dagger, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,0}^\dagger &= \left(B_{\nu,-\mathbf{p}+\beta_x\mathbf{K},+}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\beta_e\mathbf{K},-}^\dagger - (-1)^S B_{\nu,-\mathbf{p}+\beta_x\mathbf{K},-}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}+\beta_e\mathbf{K},+}^\dagger \right) / \sqrt{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with $S = (0, 1)$. Due to eqs. (8,11), these operators are such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger = (-1)^S \sum_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'} L_{\nu'\mathbf{p}'\nu\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{T}_{\nu',\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger, \quad (15)$$

while, due to the indistinguishability of the electrons, the corresponding exciton-electron states do not form an orthogonal basis, since

$$\langle v | \mathcal{T}_{\nu',\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{K}';S',S'_z} \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger | v \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{K}',\mathbf{K}} \delta_{S',S} \delta_{S'_z,S_z} \left(\delta_{\nu',\nu} \delta_{\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{p}} + (-1)^S L_{\nu'\mathbf{p}'\nu\mathbf{p}} \right), \quad (16)$$

We can use eq. (15) to replace the f prefactors in eq. (13) by F defined as

$$F_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(f_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} + (-1)^S \sum_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'} L_{\nu\mathbf{p}\nu'\mathbf{p}'} f_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'}^{(\eta_i;S)} \right), \quad (17)$$

so that, due to eq. (12), these prefactors now verify

$$F_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} = (-1)^S \sum_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'} L_{\nu\mathbf{p}\nu'\mathbf{p}'} F_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'}^{(\eta_i;S)}, \quad (18)$$

which just states that they stay invariant under the possible electron exchange corresponding to eq. (11). From eq. (13), with f replaced by F , and eqs. (16,18), one can easily check that

$$\langle v | \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,S_z} \mathbb{T}_{\eta_i,\mathbf{K}_i;S_i,S_{iz}}^\dagger | v \rangle = 2 \delta_{S,S_i} \delta_{S_z,S_{iz}} \delta_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{K}_i} F_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)}. \quad (19)$$

If we now enforce $T_{i;S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle$ to be a trion, *i. e.*, an eigenstate of H , we must have

$$\langle v | \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K}_i;S,S_z} (H - \mathcal{E}_{i;S}) T_{i;S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle = 0 . \quad (20)$$

By using eqs. (14,15,19), we then derive the ‘‘Schrödinger equation’’ fulfilled by the trion prefactors,

$$\left(\epsilon_\nu + \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{p}^2}{2\mu_t} - \epsilon_{\eta_i;S} \right) F_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} + \sum_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'} W_{\nu\nu'}(-\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') F_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'}^{(\eta_i;S)} = 0 , \quad (21)$$

where we have set $\mathcal{E}_{i;S} = \epsilon_{\eta_i;S} + \hbar^2 \mathbf{K}_i^2 / 2(2m_e + m_h)$, μ_t being the exciton-electron relative motion mass, $\mu_t^{-1} = m_e^{-1} + (m_e + m_h)^{-1}$.

It can be surprising to have only *direct* Coulomb scatterings, through $W_{\nu\nu'}(-\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}')$, appearing in the trion Schrödinger equation (21). Exchange processes between electrons, through $L_{\nu\mathbf{p}\nu'\mathbf{p}'}$, seem absent. They are actually hidden in the F 's, more precisely in their invariance relation (18).

It is possible to relate the prefactors F of the trion operator to the trion orbital wave function in a quite easy way: Indeed eqs. (13-14) lead to write this wave function as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{i;S}(\mathbf{r}_e, \mathbf{r}_{e'}, \mathbf{r}_h) &= \langle \mathbf{R}_{ee'h} | \mathbf{K}_i \rangle \left[\psi^{(\eta_i;S)}(\mathbf{r}_{eh}, \mathbf{u}_{e';eh}) + (-1)^S (e \leftrightarrow e') \right] / 2 \\ &= \langle \mathbf{R}_{ee'h} | \mathbf{K}_i \rangle \psi^{(\eta_i;S)}(\mathbf{r}_{eh}, \mathbf{u}_{e';eh}) , \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we have set

$$\psi^{(\eta_i;S)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{\nu,\mathbf{p}} F_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} \langle \mathbf{r} | \varphi_\nu \rangle \langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{p} \rangle . \quad (23)$$

$\mathbf{R}_{ee'h} = (m_e \mathbf{r}_e + m_{e'} \mathbf{r}_{e'} + m_h \mathbf{r}_h) / (2m_e + m_h)$ is the trion center of mass position, and $\mathbf{u}_{e';eh} = \mathbf{r}_{e'} - \mathbf{R}_{eh}$ is the distance between the electron e' and the center of mass of the exciton made with (e, h) . (The two terms of the first line of eq. (22) are indeed equal due to eqs. (18,9)). Consequently, the prefactors of the trion expansion on the exciton-electron operators are given by

$$\sqrt{2} F_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} = \psi_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)} = \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{u} \langle \varphi_\nu | \mathbf{r} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{u} \rangle \psi^{(\eta_i;S)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}) . \quad (24)$$

$\psi_{\nu,\mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i;S)}$ is thus the generalized Fourier transform ‘‘in the exciton sense’’ of the relative motion wave function $\psi^{(\eta_i;S)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u})$. In the standard Fourier transform, $\langle \varphi_\nu | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ would be replaced by $\langle \mathbf{p}' | \mathbf{r} \rangle$.

3 Trion ladder diagram

It is widely known [10] that excitons correspond to “ladder diagrams” between one electron and one hole propagators. By writing the semiconductor Hamiltonian as $H = H_0 + V$, these diagrams simply result from the iteration of $(a-H)^{-1} = (a-H_0)^{-1} + (a-H)^{-1} V (a-H_0)^{-1}$ acting on one free electron-hole pair.

For trions, the problem is much more tricky. The most naïve idea is to look for the diagrammatic expansion of the trion in terms of two electron and one hole propagators, with all possible electron-electron and electron-hole interactions between them. In one of our previous works on interacting excitons, namely an exciton interacting with a distant metal [10,12,13], we have however shown that these usual free electron and hole diagrams turn out to be extremely complicated when compared to the “exciton diagrams”, in which appear exciton propagators instead of free electron and free hole propagators. This exciton diagram procedure however faces a major difficulty at first, since, due to the composite nature of the exciton, there is no way to write the exact semiconductor Hamiltonian H as $H'_0 + V'$, with V' being an exciton-electron potential, so that there is no simple iteration of $(a-H)^{-1}$ in terms of a V' interaction.

It is however possible to overcome this difficulty by using our “commutation technique”. From the definition of the creation potential V_{n,σ_n}^\dagger , it is easy to show that

$$\frac{1}{a-H} B_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger = B_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger \frac{1}{a-H-E_n} + \frac{1}{a-H} V_{n,\sigma_n}^\dagger \frac{1}{a-H-E_n} . \quad (25)$$

With $a = \Omega + i\eta$, this equation, along with eqs. (1,5), gives $(\Omega - H + i\eta)^{-1}$ acting on one exciton-electron pair as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega - H + i\eta} \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle &= \frac{1}{\Omega - E_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K}} + i\eta} \left[\mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'} W_{\nu'\nu}(-\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{\Omega - H + i\eta} \mathcal{T}_{\nu',\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle \right] , \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K}} = \epsilon_\nu + \hbar^2 \mathbf{p}^2 / 2\mu_t + \hbar^2 \mathbf{K}^2 / 2(2m_e + m_h)$ is the energy of the free exciton-electron pair.

The iteration of the above equation leads to

$$\frac{1}{\Omega - H + i\eta} \mathcal{T}_{\nu,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle = \sum_{\nu',\mathbf{p}'} A_{\nu'\nu}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) \mathcal{T}_{\nu',\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{K};S,S_z}^\dagger |v\rangle , \quad (27)$$

where $A_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K})$ given by

$$A_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = \left[\delta_{\nu',\nu} \delta_{\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{\Omega - E_{\nu',\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{K}} + i\eta} \{ W_{\nu'\nu}(-\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}) + \sum_{\nu_1, \mathbf{p}_1} \frac{W_{\nu'\nu_1}(-\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}_1) W_{\nu_1\nu}(-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p})}{\Omega - E_{\nu_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{K}} + i\eta} + \dots \} \right] \frac{1}{\Omega - E_{\nu, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{K}} + i\eta}, \quad (28)$$

can be formally rewritten as

$$A_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = \left[\delta_{\nu',\nu} \delta_{\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{p}} + \frac{\tilde{W}_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K})}{\Omega - E_{\nu',\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{K}} + i\eta} \right] \frac{1}{\Omega - E_{\nu, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{K}} + i\eta}. \quad (29)$$

$\tilde{W}_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K})$ appears as a “renormalized exciton-electron interaction”. It verifies the integral equation

$$\tilde{W}_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = W_{\nu'\nu}(-\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}) + \sum_{\nu_1, \mathbf{p}_1} \frac{\tilde{W}_{\nu'\nu_1\mathbf{p}_1}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) W_{\nu_1\nu}(-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p})}{\Omega - E_{\nu_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{K}} + i\eta}. \quad (30)$$

Its iteration is shown in fig. (1). Before going further, let us note that

$$\frac{1}{\Omega - E_{\nu_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{K}} + i\eta} = \int \frac{id\omega_1}{2\pi} g_e(\Omega + \omega_1, \mathbf{p}_1 + \beta_e \mathbf{K}) g_x(-\omega_1; \nu_1, -\mathbf{p}_1 + \beta_x \mathbf{K}) = G_{xe}(\Omega; \nu_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{K}), \quad (31)$$

with $g_e(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = (\omega - \hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2 / 2m_e + i\eta)^{-1}$ being the usual free electron Green’s function for an empty Fermi sea, while $g_x(\omega; n) = (\omega - E_n + i\eta)^{-1}$ is the free boson-exciton Green’s function, as if the excitons were non-interacting bosons, *i. e.*, if all the Ξ ’s and Λ ’s were set equal to zero. G_{xe} thus appears as the propagator of an exciton-electron pair. It is quite similar to the electron-hole pair propagator G_{eh} appearing in exciton diagrams (see for example eq. 2.10 of ref. [10]).

The simplest way to obtain $A_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K})$ is to insert the trion closure relation between the two operators of the l.h.s. of eq. (27) and to project this equation over $\langle v | \mathcal{T}_{\nu'', \mathbf{p}'', \mathbf{K}; S, S_z}$. By using eqs. (19,16,24), we get

$$\sum_{\eta_i} \frac{2 \psi_{\nu'', \mathbf{p}''}^{(\eta_i; S)} \left(\psi_{\nu, \mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i; S)} \right)^*}{\Omega - \mathcal{E}_{\eta_i, \mathbf{K}; S} + i\eta} = A_{\nu''\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) + (-1)^S \sum_{\nu', \mathbf{p}'} L_{\nu''\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}'} A_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}). \quad (32)$$

$A_{\nu''\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K})$ is then obtained by adding the above equations for $S = 0$ and $S = 1$. Using eq. (29), the renormalized exciton-electron interaction, *i. e.*, the sum of the exciton-electron ladder “rungs”, is thus given by

$$\tilde{W}_{\nu'\nu\mathbf{p}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = \left[-\delta_{\nu',\nu} \delta_{\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{G_{xe}(\Omega; \nu', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{K})} \sum_{\eta_i, S} \frac{\psi_{\nu', \mathbf{p}'}^{(\eta_i; S)} \left(\psi_{\nu, \mathbf{p}}^{(\eta_i; S)} \right)^*}{\Omega - \mathcal{E}_{\eta_i, \mathbf{K}; S} + i\eta} \right] \frac{1}{G_{xe}(\Omega; \nu, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{K})}. \quad (33)$$

This result is quite similar to the “renormalized electron-hole Coulomb interaction” appearing in electron-hole ladder diagrams, as given for example in eq. (2.18) of ref. (10).

4 Conclusion

This work relies on a new commutation technique for excitons interacting with electrons which takes exactly into account the possible exchange between carriers, *i. e.*, the close-to-boson character of the excitons. Using it, we have generated the trion creation operator in terms of exciton and electron. We have also generated the exciton-electron ladder diagram associated to these trions, in terms of exciton propagators and electron propagators.

Just as the exciton creation operator in terms of electrons and holes or the exciton ladder diagram between one electron and one hole propagators, do not help to solve the Schrödinger equation for one exciton, but allow to deal with many-body effects involving excitons, the trion creation operator and the trion ladder diagram derived here are of no use to solve the Schrödinger equation for one trion, but constitute the appropriate tools for further works on many-body effects with trions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. HYLLERAAS, Phys. Rev. 71, 491 (1947).
- [2] M. LAMPERT, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1, 450 (1958).
- [3] K. KHENG, R.T. COX, Y. MERLE D'AUBIGNÉ, F. BASSANI, K. SAMINADAYAR, S. TATARENKO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1752 (1993).
- [4] G. FINKELSTEIN, H.SHTRIKMAN, I. BAR-JOSEPH, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 976 (1995).
- [5] A.J. SHIELDS, M. PEPPER, D.A. RITCHIE, M.Y. SIMMONS, G.A. JONES, Phys. Rev. B 51, 18049 (1995).
- [6] S.A. BROWN, J.F. YOUNG, J.A. BRUM, P. HAWRYLAK, Z. WASILEWSKI, Phys. Rev. B, Rapid Com. 54, 11082 (1996).
- [7] R. KAUR, A.J. SHIELDS, J.L. OSBORNE, M.Y. SIMMONS, D.A. RITCHIE, M. PEPPER, Phys. Stat. Sol. 178, 465 (2000).
- [8] V. HUARD, R.T. COX, K. SAMINADAYAR, A. ARNOULT, S. TATARENKO, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 187 (2000).
- [9] M. COMBESCOT, O. BETBEDER-MATIBET, Europhys. Lett. 58, 87 (2002) ; O. BETBEDER-MATIBET, M. COMBESCOT, Eur. Phys. J. B 27, 505 (2002).
- [10] See for example, O. BETBEDER-MATIBET, M. COMBESCOT, Eur. Phys. J. B 22, 17 (2001).
- [11] See for example, F. ARIAS DE SAAVEDRA, E. BUENDIA, F.J. GALVEZ, A. SARSA, Eur. Phys. J. D 2, 181 (1998).
- [12] M. COMBESCOT, O. BETBEDER-MATIBET, B. ROULET, Europhys. Lett. 52, 717 (2002).
- [13] M. COMBESCOT, O. BETBEDER-MATIBET, Eur. Phys. J. B 31, 305 (2003).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig (1)

(a) Direct Coulomb scattering between one “free” exciton and one free electron.

(b) Renormalized free exciton-electron interaction as given by the integral equation (29). It corresponds to the sums of one, two, . . . ladder “rungs” between one “free” exciton and one free electron.