

# Reply to the comment by I.Ispolatov and M.Karttunen, cond-mat/0303564

D.H.E. Gross, E.V. Votyakov, and A. De Martino

<sup>1</sup>*Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Bereich Theoretische Physik, Glienickerstr. 100, 14109 Berlin (Germany)*

The description of self-gravitating stellar systems as equilibrated objects in the microcanonical thermodynamics applied also to "Small" systems [1] with sharp total energy and angular momentum demands a spherical container centered in the center of mass of the total system. Of course in reality there is no such container. The container is necessary to avoid particle loss by evaporation. The statistical equilibrium thus obtained is a metastable equilibrium which lives over times such that the mass loss due to evaporation is negligible, and makes sense as long as nuclear hydrogen burning stabilizes the stars against collapse.

In order for the calculated equilibrium configuration to have anything in common with the real free stellar system the effect of the container on the obtained mass distribution must be minimized. I.e., only configurations with the center of mass fixed at the center of the container are admitted. Moreover, the density near the container walls should be small. This was achieved in our calculation [2, 3, 4, 5] by setting the contributions of the spherical harmonics  $\int b_{l=1,m}(x)x^2 dx = 0$ .

In the molecular-dynamics simulation by Ispolatov

and Karttunen this necessary constraint was forgotten. Therefore the spatial distribution they show in their Figure 1 is irrelevant for reality because the container has a non-negligible momentum and the center of mass of the gas is not at rest. Certainly after removing this crucial restriction it is impossible to find any realistic configuration like binary stars rotating around their common center of mass and with a negligible density of matter at the artificial container walls, as the one we describe e.g. in [2]. On the other hand, if in our setting we release the constraint on the functions  $b_{1,m}$  we get configurations similar to those they find.

A possible way to enforce preservation of center of mass within molecular dynamics may be to take only those initial conditions with positive parity, where for each particle at  $(r_i, p_i)$  there is a partner starting at opposite initial point in phase space  $(-r_i, -p_i)$ . Such pairs of particles would hit the container simultaneously at opposite sides and the center of mass of the whole system without the container would not move. This should lead to symmetric binary configurations as the ones found by us in [2].

- 
- [1] D.H.E. Gross. *Microcanonical thermodynamics: Phase transitions in "Small" systems*, volume 66 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [2] E.V. Votyakov, H.I. Hidmi, A. De Martino, and D.H.E. Gross. Microcanonical mean-field thermodynamics of self-gravitating and rotating systems. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 89:031101–1–4; <http://arXiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0202140>, (2002).
- [3] E.V. Votyakov, A. De Martino, and D.H.E. Gross. Thermodynamics of rotating self-gravitating systems. *Eur. Phys. J B*, 29:593 (2002); <http://arXiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0207153>, (2002).
- [4] A. De Martino, E.V. Votyakov and D.H.E. Gross. Antonov problem for rotating system. *Nucl.Phys.B*, 654:427–444 (2003); <http://arXiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0208230>, (2002).
- [5] A. De Martino, E.V. Votyakov and D.H.E. Gross. Asymmetric binaries and hierarchy of states in self-gravitating systems. *Europhys.Lett.*, 62:14–20 (2003); <http://arXiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0210707>, (2002).