

# Temperature chaos, rejuvenation and memory in Migdal-Kadanoff spin glasses

M. Sasaki<sup>1</sup> and O.C. Martin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Large-scale Computational Science Division, Cybermedia Center and Interdisciplinary Computational Physics Group, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-32 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan*

<sup>2</sup> *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques, bât. 100, Université Paris-Sud, F-91405 Orsay, France.*

(Dated: May 22, 2019)

We use simulations within the Migdal-Kadanoff real space renormalization approach to probe the scales relevant for rejuvenation and memory in spin glasses. One of the central questions concerns the role of temperature chaos. First we investigate scaling laws of equilibrium temperature chaos, finding super-exponential decay of correlations but no chaos for the total free energy. Then we perform out of equilibrium simulations that follow experimental protocols. We find that: (1) rejuvenation arises at a length scale smaller than the “overlap length”  $l(T, T')$ ; (2) memory survives even if equilibration goes out to length scales much larger than  $l(T, T')$ .

PACS numbers: 75.10.Nr, 05.50.+q, 75.40.Gb, 75.40.Mg

Two of the most spectacular experimental properties of spin glasses are “rejuvenation” and “memory” (see [1] and references therein). Both are out of equilibrium phenomena that arise in slowly relaxing systems [2], and so understanding these properties is of great importance. Qualitatively, when a spin glass approaches equilibrium, it “ages”, reducing its susceptibility, that is its response to external perturbations. However, if one lowers the temperature after aging, one sees a restart or rejuvenation of the susceptibility, while memory of the previous aging can be retrieved upon heating back! From a theoretical point of view, rejuvenation must appear if there is “temperature chaos”, that is if the spin polarizations at two temperatures  $T$  and  $T'$  are decorrelated [3] beyond a characteristic length scale  $\ell(T, T')$ . Moreover, temperature chaos is compatible with memory through the presence of ghost domains [4]. However, temperature chaos is *not* seen in Monte Carlo simulations [5] and estimates of the chaos length  $\ell(T, T')$  give very large values [6], seemingly much larger than the length scale  $\ell_R$  on which rejuvenation appears experimentally.

Our purpose here is to find the scales relevant for rejuvenation and memory in Ising spin glasses. We use Migdal-Kadanoff (MK) lattices whose exact renormalization [7] allows one to measure equilibrium quantities on large *length* scales. Furthermore, it also allows for efficient dynamical simulations at very long *time* scales, enabling us to extract the length  $\ell_R$  which is relevant for rejuvenation. The outline of the paper is as follows. First, we define the MK lattices. Then we consider some equilibrium two-temperature observables as manifestations of temperature chaos. For instance we show that the sample to sample fluctuations in the *total* free energy are not chaotic in temperature. After, we show how (renormalized) dynamics can be used to probe rejuvenation and memory on very long length and time scales, performing out of equilibrium measurements that follow standard experimental protocols. These show that reju-

venation arises even if temperature chaos is very weak as has been argued in refs. [8, 9], and that memory is preserved even if the equilibrated length scale is much larger than  $\ell(T, T')$  as suggested in ref. [4].

*The model* — We consider MK lattices following the standard real space renormalization group approximation [7] to the Edwards Anderson model [10]. The recursive construction of such hierarchical lattices is described in Fig. 1; edges are replaced by  $2b$  edges so the “length” of the lattice is multiplied by 2. We call generation “level” the order of the recursion and  $G$  the total number of these. Then the lattice length  $L$  is  $2^G$  and the number of bonds is  $(2b)^G$  (which is also roughly the number of sites); one can thus identify  $1 + \ln b / \ln 2$  with the dimension of space on such a lattice. When all the edges




FIG. 1: Construction of a hierarchical MK lattice ( $b = 2$ ).

are constructed, each is assigned a random coupling  $J_{ij}$ . Similarly, on each site  $i$  we put an Ising spin  $S_i = \pm 1$ . The Hamiltonian is

$$H_J(\{S_i\}) = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} S_i S_j \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over all the nearest neighbor spins of the lattice. The MK approach leads to accurate values for the spin glass stiffness exponent  $\theta$  and for the lower critical dimension; furthermore it exhibits temperature chaos [6, 11, 12]. We thus feel it is a good starting point for studying the mechanisms of rejuvenation and memory in spin glasses. All of the work presented here will be for three dimensions ( $b = 4$ ) with couplings  $J_{ij}$  taken from a Gaussian of mean 0 and width 1. The model then undergoes a spin glass transition at  $T_c \approx 0.896$  [12].

*Chaos in the free energy* — Bray and Moore [3] were the first to study the temperature dependence of *domain wall* free energies. Call  $\Delta F_J$  the free energy of a domain wall obtained by forcing the outer-most spins of the MK lattice to be anti-parallel instead of parallel. ( $\Delta F_J/2$  is the effective coupling between these spins.) When  $L$  grows,  $\Delta F_J(T)$  and  $\Delta F_J(T')$  becomes decorrelated if  $T \neq T'$ , so the linear correlation coefficient [12]

$$C_L(T, T') = \frac{\overline{\Delta F_J(T) \Delta F_J(T')}}{\sigma(T) \sigma(T')} \quad (2)$$

goes to zero at large  $L$ . (In this definition,  $\overline{\cdot}$  is the disorder average,  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of  $\Delta F_J$ , and we have used the fact that  $\overline{\Delta F_J} = 0$ .) We are not




FIG. 2: Data collapse plot of  $\ln(-\ln[C_L(T, T - \Delta T)])$ . The lines are the weak and strong chaos scaling limits.

aware of any study describing *how*  $C_L$  vanishes when  $L$  grows. We thus computed  $\Delta F_J$  for a large number of MK lattices and then estimated  $C_L$ . Defining  $\ell(T, T')$  as the value of  $L$  where  $C_L = 1/e$ , we find that the data fall on a single curve when using the scaling variable  $L/\ell(T, T')$ :

$$C_L \sim F[L/\ell(T, T')] \quad (3)$$

This is illustrated in Fig. 2. As expected, we find that the “overlap length”  $\ell(T, T')$  goes as  $|T - T'|^{-1/\zeta}$  where  $\zeta$  is the chaos exponent [11]; for our system,  $\zeta = d_s/2 - \theta \approx 0.745$ . As shown in ref. [12], in the “weak” chaos limit  $L \ll \ell(T, T')$ ,  $1 - C_L \approx [L/\ell(T, T')]^{2\zeta}$ . This scaling is represented by the line in Fig. 2 with larger slope. Now the surprising result we obtain is in the strong chaos limit,  $L \gg \ell(T, T')$ : we find that the scaling function  $F(x)$  becomes *super-exponential*,  $\exp(-x^\alpha)$ , with  $\alpha = 1.18 \pm 0.02$ .

We also measured the spin-spin correlation function  $G(r) \equiv \langle S_0 S_r \rangle_T \langle S_0 S_r \rangle_{T'}$ , and found that it decays to 0 super-exponentially, just like  $C_L$  does. This is to be contrasted with the prediction of Kondor [13] who expected  $G(r)$  to have a standard exponential decay with  $r$ .

So far we have considered the chaotic nature of  $\Delta F_J$ ; does the total free energy  $F_J$  behave similarly? Call  $\delta F_J$  the free energy of a given lattice minus its disorder average. As before, we can measure the linear correlation

coefficient  $C_L$  of  $\delta F_J(T)$  and  $\delta F_J(T')$ . This quantity has been studied for directed polymers in random media [14, 15], giving  $C_L \rightarrow 0$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$  if  $T \neq T'$ ; this is called as the “factorization” of the free energy landscape. For disordered ferromagnets, factorization fails (but so does chaos): the regions with large couplings contribute positively to  $C_L$ ; since these regions arise with a finite density, they prevent  $C_L$  from vanishing as  $L$  grows. Interestingly, this argument applies also to finite dimensional spin glasses; because they contain small ferromagnetic regions with a finite density, the correlation coefficient cannot go to zero even under large temperature changes. We confirmed this numerically; in particular, in the extreme case  $T = 0$ ,  $L$  and  $T' \rightarrow \infty$ , we find  $C_L \approx 0.895$  which is surprisingly large!

*The two temperature  $P(q)$*  — Until now, we have used an indirect definition of  $\ell(T, T')$  obtained by twisting the boundary conditions. But the natural definition of  $\ell(T, T')$  is through the spatial decorrelation of magnetizations at  $T$  and  $T'$  *without* changing boundary conditions. We thus consider the overlap  $q = \sum_i S_i^{(1)} S_i^{(2)} / N$ , where the superscript refers to the two configurations at  $T$  and  $T'$  and  $N$  is the total number of spins. Let  $P(q)$  be the disorder averaged distribution of such overlaps. Roughly, we can say that an overlap decomposes into  $N/[\ell(T, T')]^d$  independent parts. The overlap  $q$  of the whole system is the average of these. The central limit theorem then suggests that  $P(q)$  goes to a Gaussian whose mean is zero and variance is  $[\ell(T, T')]^d / N$ . We can thus define  $\ell(T, T')$  through the large  $L$  scaling:

$$\overline{q^2} \approx [\ell(T, T')/L]^d \quad (4)$$




FIG. 3: Two-temperature overlap probability distribution  $P(q)$  when  $T = 0.4$ ,  $T' = 0.7$ ;  $L = 2^G$ .

We can also consider the probability distribution  $P(q)$  of  $q$ ; clearly  $P(q)$  tends towards a delta function in 0 when  $L/\ell(T, T') \rightarrow \infty$ , but let us focus on the pre-asymptotic regime. We have determined  $P(q)$  numerically by generating many MK lattices, extracting for each sample two equilibrium configurations at random according to their Boltzmann weights and measuring their overlap. In Fig. 3 we show the dependence of  $P(q)$  on the lattice

size ( $L = 2^G$ ) in the case  $T = 0.4$ ,  $T' = 0.7$  for which  $\ell(T, T') = 2^{7.8}$ . When  $G \leq 6$ ,  $P(q)$  for  $T \neq T'$  and  $T = T'$  are similar: there is a main peak at a “large” value of  $q$ , and a broad tail towards the lower values of  $q$ . The peak height grows and shifts to lower  $q$  with increasing  $G$ . The differences with the case  $T = T'$  are that the distributions have a shoulder and also a clear peak at  $q = 0$ . This behavior is close to what has been observed in Monte Carlo simulations [5]. Now for larger  $G$ ’s, the shoulder takes over, and starting with  $G = 9$ ,  $P(q)$  has a single peak, located at  $q = 0$ ; note that even  $G = 6$  corresponds to very large  $L$  and so this last behavior is not likely to be seen soon with Euclidean lattices.

*Exploiting renormalization for dynamical quantities —*

Temperature chaos has often been used to explain rejuvenation, but temperature chaos is not always necessary for rejuvenation: rejuvenation arises (1) in GREM systems [16], and (2) in the 4-dimensional Edwards-Anderson spin glass where there is no trace of temperature chaos [8]. However, a study [9] has suggested that the influence of temperature chaos may be visible even if the domain size (or equilibrated length scale) reached experimentally is much smaller than the overlap length  $\ell(T, T')$ . Thus we ask here what is the relation between the (equilibrium) overlap length and the length scale  $l_R$  which is relevant for rejuvenation. In the framework of Migdal-Kadanoff lattices, we can address this question because one may go to long time scales as follows.

Suppose we focus on a time window  $t_{\min} \leq t \leq t_{\max}$ . Between  $t = 0$  and  $t = t_{\min}$  the system has had time to equilibrate up to the length scale  $L(t_{\min})$ ; any out of equilibrium physics (such as rejuvenation) comes from larger length scales. On the MK lattice, this means that the spins whose generation “level” is larger than  $G_{\min}$  (with  $2^{G-G_{\min}} = L(t_{\min})$ ) are in local equilibrium; the other spins have dynamics that is well described by the effective Hamiltonian at the generation  $G_{\min}$ . In practice, we implement this idea as follows. First we generate a large number of bare couplings from a Gaussian of mean 0 and width 1. Then, we use renormalization to produce an ensemble of effective couplings. This process is iterated  $NRG \equiv G - G_{\min}$  times. The final effective couplings are then used in the creation of a MK lattice of size  $2^{G_{\min}}$ . After that, we simply do standard Monte Carlo. Note that one Monte Carlo Sweep (MCS) on the renormalized lattice corresponds to a (huge) number of sweeps, in fact the number which is needed to equilibrate on the length scale  $2^{NRG}$ .

*Memory and Rejuvenation —* We use the standard temperature cycling protocol and measure a quantity similar to the ac-susceptibility defined as [17]

$$\chi(\omega, t) = \frac{1 - C(t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}, t)}{T} \quad (5)$$

where  $C(t, t') \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle S_i(t) S_i(t') \rangle$ . The period  $2\pi/\omega$  of our ac-field is 16 MCS. Every MCS updates each spin




FIG. 4: Isothermal  $\chi(\omega, t)$  (smooth curve) and that with a negative T-cycle (broken curve) for  $NRG = 0, 8, 11$  and  $15$ . The average is from  $10^4$  samples.

once. An alternative choice is to sweep the bonds, updating their end spins as in [18]; we have checked that the results are hardly affected by the method used. The simulations were done on Migdal-Kadanoff lattices with four generations using  $0 \leq NRG \leq 15$ . In Fig. 4 we compare  $\chi$  for isothermal relaxation and for a  $T \rightarrow T - \Delta T \rightarrow T$  temperature cycle. We used  $T = 0.7$  and  $\Delta T = 0.05$ . Since we calculate renormalized couplings at  $T$  and  $T - \Delta T$  from the *same* set of bare couplings, they are highly correlated when  $NRG$  is small. However, their correlation vanishes for large  $NRG$  due to temperature chaos. The direction of each spin at  $t = 0$  is chosen randomly with equal probability, corresponding to a quench from an infinitely high temperature at an infinite rate.

For small  $NRG$ , we see no rejuvenation when the temperature is changed as illustrated in Fig. 4(A). Furthermore, the two curves overlap in the third stage: the effective aging time  $t_{\text{eff}}$ , that is the equivalent time the system would have had to spend at  $T$  rather than at  $T - \Delta T$  to return to the same curve, is close to the actual window time  $t_{w2}$ . It is often observed in experiment that  $t_{\text{eff}}$  becomes 0 for large  $\Delta T$  (*perfect memory*). However, we did not find perfect memory for any  $NRG$ . This general trend of no rejuvenation yet memory with  $t_{\text{eff}} \approx t_{w2}$  arises until  $NRG \approx 7$ .

Rejuvenation in the second stage and visible deviations of the cycling data from the isothermal data at the beginning of the third stage appear at  $NRG \approx 8$  (see Fig. 4(B)). We can say that rejuvenation emerges earlier than expected because the linear correlation coefficient is still large,  $C = 0.965$ . (Note that the equilibrium overlap length  $l(0.7, 0.65)$  is about  $2^{12}$ .) This result is consistent

with that in [19] where rejuvenation was observed in the 3-dimensional EA spin glass model when reversing the sign of 5% of the couplings. We have also compared the evolution of  $\chi(\omega, t)$  in the second stage to the result after a direct quench. The result is that  $\chi(\omega, t)$  in the second stage is clearly *older* than that after a direct quench, i.e., the former is below the latter. In experiments, the curves overlap for large  $\Delta T$ , but we observe this in our simulations only when  $NRG \geq 13$  in which case the effective couplings at the two temperature are very decorrelated ( $C \leq 0.10$ ).

One may expect  $t_{\text{eff}}$  to be smaller than  $t_{w2}$  since the temperature is lower in the second stage. However, when  $NRG = 10, 11$  and  $12$ , quite surprisingly, we find that the cycling data in the third stage are slightly *below* the isothermal data, meaning that  $t_{\text{eff}} > t_{w2}$  (see Fig. 4(C)). Fig. 4(D) shows the case  $NRG = 15$ . The effective couplings at the two temperatures are now completely decorrelated. As a consequence, strong relaxation is observed not only in the second stage but also in the third stage. Now an interesting question is whether memory remains or not. We have thus compared  $\chi(\omega, t)$  in the third stage to that after a direct quench, and found that the former is clearly *older* than the later. This is consistent with the prediction of ref. [4] that memory survives even if there is complete temperature chaos, i.e.,  $l(T, T') = 0$ .

Now for a few remarks. First, we have also performed *positive* T-cycling simulations, changing temperature temporarily from  $T = 0.7$  to  $T + \Delta T = 0.75$  in the second stage. The qualitative behavior is similar, the main difference being that equilibration is accelerated by the cycling. In particular, when  $NRG$  is not too large,  $\chi(\omega, t)$  in the third stage and at large times is below the isothermal data. This kind of behavior has been observed in glassy systems like polymer glasses [20], but not yet in spin glasses. Second, we have used the same  $NRG$  at  $T$  and  $T - \Delta T$  but really  $NRG$  should decrease with temperature because the ordering is then slower. A temperature dependence of  $NRG$  will cause separation of length scales which can be a crucial ingredient for memory and rejuvenation [21].

*Discussion and conclusions* — Equilibrium temperature chaos implies rejuvenation, but our findings show that rejuvenation arises on scales  $\ell_R$  smaller than the equilibrium overlap length  $\ell(T, T')$ . (Note that in the example treated in Fig. 4, rejuvenation is visible even though  $\ell(T, T')/\ell_R \approx 2^4$ .) We also found that our system exhibits memory which persists even when the equilibrated scale is much *larger* than  $\ell(T, T')$ . From an experimental point of view, these results are appealing. However, one must keep in mind that rejuvenation becomes visible in our system only when the equilibrated scale reaches about  $2^8$  which is inaccessible experimentally. This may be related to the fact that spin glasses with Ising spins show much less rejuvenation than those with Heisenberg spins. Finally, from a theoretical per-

spective, we have discovered some unexpected properties concerning *equilibrium* chaos. For instance, we found that a two-temperature overlap correlation function decays super-exponentially with distance. We also found that the total free energy is rather smooth as a function of temperature, chaos appearing only in domain wall free energies.

*Acknowledgements* — This work was partially supported by the IT-program of Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. Some of the computations were carried out on the Machikaneyama PC cluster system (URL: <http://www.mhill.org/>) in the Large-scale Computational Science Division, Cybermedia Center, Osaka University. We thank J.-P. Bouchaud, A. Pagnani and H. Yoshino for useful discussions. MS acknowledges support from the MENRT while he was in France. The LPTMS is an Unité de Recherche de l'Université Paris XI associée au CNRS.

- 
- [1] V. Dupuis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 174204 (2001).
  - [2] L. C. E. Struik, *Physical aging in amorphous polymers and other materials*. (Elsevier, Houston, 1978).
  - [3] A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 57 (1987).
  - [4] H. Yoshino, A. Lemaître, and J.-P. Bouchaud, Eur. Phys. J. B. **20**, 367 (2000), cond-mat/0009152.
  - [5] A. Billoire and E. Marinari, J. Phys. A **33**, L265 (2000).
  - [6] T. Aspelmeier, A. Bray, and M. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 197202 (2002).
  - [7] A. P. Young and B. W. Southern, J.Phys.C **10**, 2179 (1977).
  - [8] L. Berthier and J.-P. Bouchaud, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 054404 (2002).
  - [9] P. Jönsson, H. Yoshino, and P. Nordblad, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 097201 (2002).
  - [10] S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, J. Phys. F **5**, 965 (1975).
  - [11] J. R. Banavar and A. J. Bray, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 8888 (1987).
  - [12] M. Ney-Nifle and H. Hilhorst, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2992 (1992).
  - [13] I. Kondor, J. Phys. A **22**, L163 (1989).
  - [14] D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 10728 (1991).
  - [15] M. Sales and H. Yoshino, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 066131 (2002).
  - [16] M. Sasaki and K. Nemoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **69**, 2283 (2000).
  - [17] T. Komori, H. Yoshino, and H. Takayama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl A **228** (2000).
  - [18] F. Ricci-Tersenghi and F. Ritort, J. Phys. A **33**, 3727 (2000).
  - [19] M. Picco, F. Ricci-Tersenghi, and F. Ritort, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 174412 (2001).
  - [20] L. Bellon, S. Ciliberto, and C. Laroche, (1999), cond-mat/9905160.
  - [21] J.-P. Bouchaud, V. Dupuis, J. Hammann, and E. Vincent, Phys. Rev. B. **65**, 024439 (2001).